CSP- KERALA 2nd, August, 2010

Total Population 3.18 Crore (2001)

Total no. of cities developing CSPs

BY ASCI- 5 ULBs

BY STATE- 20 ULBs



| GRADE 1- MUNICIPALITIES | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|---------------|---------|------------|--|--|--|
| Municipality | Consti- | Population | Area Geo Zone | | Economy | | | |
| | tuted | | | | | | | |
| 1. Kottayam: | 1923 | 60728 | 15.99 | Midland | Commercial | | | |
| 2. Aluva | 1919 | 24110 | 6.46 | Midland | Tourist | | | |
| 3. Kannur | 1867 | 68496 | 11.03 | Coastal | Commercial | | | |
| 4. Thalasseri | 1865 | 99387 | 43.08 | Coastal | Commercial | | | |
| 5. Tirur | 1971 | 53694 | 16.55 | Coastal | Commercial | | | |
| 6. Thrissur | 2000 | 317526 | 84.29 | Midland | Commercial | | | |

| GRADE 2 – MUNICIPALITIES | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|------------|-------|----------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Municipality | Consti- | Population | Area | Geo | Economy | | | |
| | tuted | | | Zone | | | | |
| 7. Kanhangad | 1984 | 65503 | 16.69 | Coastal | Commercial | | | |
| 8. Attingal | 1924 | 35693 | 16.87 | Midland | Commercial | | | |
| 9. Pathanamthitta | 1978 | 38009 | 23.50 | Midland | Tourist/Pilgrim | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Thodupuzha | 1978 | 46280 | 35.43 | Highland | Commercial | | | |
| 11. Chalakudi | 1970 | 48380 | 25.23 | Midland | Commercial | | | |
| 12. Perinthalmanna | 1990 | 44612 | 34.41 | Midland | Commercial | | | |
| 13. Varkala | 1980 | 40728 | 14.87 | Coastal | Tourist | | | |
| 14. Kodungallur | 1977 | 33539 | 17.30 | Coastal | Tourist | | | |
| 15. N Paravur | 1920 | 30059 | 9.02 | Coastal | Tourist | | | |

| GRADE 3 MUNICIPALITIES | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|----------|-----------------|--|--|
| Name of Consti- Population Area Geo Zone Economy | | | | | | | |
| Municipality | tuted | | | | | | |
| 16. Guruvayur | 1962 | 21186 | 7.45 | Coastal | Tourist/Pilgrim | | |
| 17. Ponnani | 1977 | 87495 | 24.82 | Coastal | Commercial | | |
| 18. Kalpetta | 1990 | 29612 | 40.74 | Highland | Commercial | | |
| 19. Koyilandi | 1993 | 68982 | 29.05 | Coastal | Commercial | | |
| 20. Taliparamba | 1990 | 67507 | 38.54 | Midland | Commercial | | |

| GRADE 1- MUNICIPALITIES | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Municipality | % Open | % of LW | % of LW | %of | Authorities/ | Current | | | |
| | Defecation | treated | treated | SW | Agencies | MoUD | | | |
| | | through | thrugh | treated | involved | rating | | | |
| | | sewerage | Onsite | | | | | | |
| | | system | system | | | | | | |
| 1. Kottayam | 0 | 0 | 100 | 80 | Suchitwa | 47 | | | |
| | | | | | Mission | | | | |
| 2. Aluva | 5 | 0 | 95 | 40 | Do | N/A | | | |
| 3. Kannur | 5 | 0 | 95 | 40 | Do | N/A | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Thalasseri | 5 | 0 | 95 | 50 | Do | N/A | | | |
| 5. Tirur | 8 | 0 | 92 | 70 | Do | N/A | | | |
| 6. Thrissur | 3 | 0 | 97 | 70 | Do | 114 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| GRADE 2 – MUNICIPALITIES | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|--|
| Municipality | % | % of LW | % of LW | %of | Authoriti | Current | |
| | Open | treated | treated | SW | es/ | MoUD | |
| | Defeca | through | thrugh | treat | Agencies | rating | |
| | tion | sewerage | Onsite | ed | involved | | |
| | | system | system | | | | |
| 7. Kanhangad | 8 | 0 | 92 | 60 | Suchitwa | 174 | |
| | | | | | Mission | | |
| 8. Attingal | 2 | 0 | 98 | 90 | Do | N/A | |
| 9. Pathanamthitta | 6 | 0 | 94 | 40 | Do | N/A | |
| 10. Thodupuzha | 7 | 0 | 93 | 40 | Do | N/A | |
| 11. Chalakudi | 2 | 0 | 98 | 90 | Do | N/A | |
| 12. Perinthalmanna | 2 | 0 | 98 | 80 | Do | N/A | |
| 13. Varkala | 4 | 0 | 96 | 60 | Do | N/A | |
| 14. Kodungallur | 3 | 0 | 97 | 60 | Do | N/A | |
| 15. N Paravur | 4 | 0 | 96 | 90 | Do | N/A | |

| GRADE 3 MUNICIPALITIES | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|--|--|
| Name of | Authorities/ | Current | | | | | | |
| Municipality | Defecation | treated | treated | SW | Agencies | MoUD | | |
| | | through | thrugh | treated | involved | rating | | |
| | | sewerage | Onsite | | | | | |
| | | system | system | | | | | |
| 16. Guruvayur | 1 | 0 | 99 | 70 | Suchitwa | N/A | | |
| | | | | | Mission | | | |
| 17. Ponnani | 8 | 0 | 92 | 40 | Do | N/A | | |
| 18. Kalpetta | 4 | 0 | 96 | 50 | Do | N/A | | |
| 19. Koyilandi | 2 | 0 | 98 | 90 | Do | N/A | | |
| 20. Taliparamba | 2 | 0 | 98 | 85 | Do | N/A | | |

Steps initiated so far......

- Are any workshops planned with stakeholders on CSP- Yes
 - Dissemination workshop on National Urban Sanitation Policy
 - Preliminary workshop on City Sanitation Rating & City Sanitation Plans
- Trainings/ orientation completed- Preliminary orientation done
- Is the city sanitation task force formed- No
- Data collection
 - Survey on Individual Household Toilet requirements in ULBs
 - State of Municipal Solid Waste Management- Issues, Solutions & Projects
 - Progress of Integrated Low Cost Sanitation
 - Survey on Rehabilitation of Scavengers (Ongoing)
 - Survey on state & strategy for managing non-biodegradable waste
- Awareness campaigns for various stakeholders
 - As part of Waste-free Kerala Campaign
 - Social Reality Show-Green Kerala Express
- Agency executor for CSP hired- Technical support from ASCI

Work Plan and Time Lines

| Activity | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|-------------------|---|----------|---------------|---------------|
| Orientation of ULBs-State and City Level | \rightarrow | | | | |
| Constitute City Sanitation Task Force | \Longrightarrow | | | | |
| City level meetings/consultations/training | | | — | | |
| Baseline Survey and Validation of Analysis | | | | | |
| Develop and Finalize of CSP Strategy | \longrightarrow | | | | |
| Drafting and Finalize City Sanitation Plan | | | | \rightarrow | |
| Process documentation and toolkit | | | | | \rightarrow |
| Dissemination Workshops | | | | | \Rightarrow |

Strategy/ goal of CSP....

- Vision for CSP (with respect to management of liquid and solid waste)- Zero Waste Kerala
 - Improved MSW Management systems
 - Coverage of Household solid waste management systems
 - Resource Recovery Centres for Non-biodegradable solid waste
 - Household systems for grey water management
 - Incremental increase of Sewerage systems
 - Improved On-site sanitation systems
 - Installation of Septage management system
 - Participatory water quality assessment & management
 - Improved awareness on sanitation to school students

Strategy/ goal of CSP....

- How do you propose to integrate new/ ongoing/ existing projects on sanitation with CSP?
 - City based collection & evaluation of sanitation status & problems
 - Overlay analysis of Zero-waste Kerala Action Plans
 - Establishing Service delivery standards
 - Revised Scientific action plans based on appropriate technology
 - Focus-group discussions and dissemination of integrated plans
 - Prioritization of action plans
- Plans for stakeholder participation- NGOs, Media, citizens etc
 - Media initiated to City based actions including sanitation through a Social Reality Show namely Green Kerala Express
 - Local NGO's to be involved in data collection, evaluation & consultations
 - Citizen level consultations by the Municipal Task Force

Costing

How does the city intend to utilize the funds provided to develop CSP?

| • | Baseline survey & | k benchmarking- | Rs. 1 lakh |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
|---|-------------------|-----------------|------------|

- Stakeholder consultation Rs. 0.50lakh
- Technical assistance & support Rs. 5 lakh
- Validation of CSP Rs. 0.50lakh
- IEC- Rs. 2 lakh
- Capacity building Rs. 1 lakh

Strategy/ goal of CSP....

- 1. Setting up City Sanitation Task Force- Facilitate the process at ULB level
- 2. Provide technical support and orientation
- 3. City Level Orientation meetings- Guidance and participation
- 4. Carry out orientation for Task Force and other Committees
- 5. Share Best Practices
- 6. Baseline Data /Field Surveys/Status assessment/Performance benchmarking
- 7. Finalize indicators and facilitation of process/ Liaison with Municipalities.
- 8. Baseline data compilation on sanitation services with a focus on rating indicators
- 9. Development and finalization of CSP strategy
- 10. Periodic inputs to strategy development
- 11. Draft a CSP Strategy based on good practices, survey and consultations with Task Force, Officials and city elected representatives and other stakeholders
- 12. Drafting of City Sanitation Plan
- 13. Regular inputs and seek validation of Experts.
- 14. Deployment of expertise
- 15. Carry out detailed process documentation of all steps
- 16. Draft and develop toolkit and guidelines on City Sanitation
- 17. City Level Workshops for dissemination of CSPs, CSP Toolkits / Benchmarking

Challenges/ capacity needs of ULB...

- Is their capacity within ULB to develop CSP? YES
- Will the CSP be developed in house or through external agency? INHOUSE through EXTERNAL SUPPORT
- Are any trainings required to develop CSP? YES
- Are their any best practices (if any) in urban sanitation that you can replicate? NOT HOLISTIC BUT SECTORAL
- Specific challenges faced (if any)
 - LAND CONSTRAINTS
 - TECHNICAL CAPACITY
- Additional support required from MoUD and others?
 - DISSEMINATION OF BEST EXAMPLES

To conclude

Various initiatives as part of Zero Waste Kerala Action Plans

- 1. Toilets for all-IHHL & Public facilities
- 2. Common, Household & Institutional MSW Management facilities
- 3. Facilities for non-biodegradable
- 4. SHG based waste collection systems with cost recovery
- 5. Improved On-sanitation systems- Apartments, Institutions
- 6. Household grey-water management drive
- 7. Extensive storm-water collection, poor management
- 8. Water quality assessments through School laboratories
- 9. Environmental hygiene of public places
- 10. Sanitation rating of ULBs and Panchayaths
- 11.Innovating IEC Programmes

To conclude

Short comings

- 1. Poor baseline data
- 2. Ambiguous Service delivery standards
- 3. Inadequate environment management planning of systems developed
- 4. Poor sewerage network & septage management
- 5. Inadequate land allocation for sanitation infrastructure
- 6. Delay in CSP process- Elections to Local Governments during September 2010

THANKS