OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The undersigned is directed to forward herewith a copy of the Minutes of the 36th Meeting of the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee (CSMC) of the Ministry of Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 7th April 2008 under the Chairmanship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction projects under Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under the Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM).

2. The appraisal agencies (i.e. HUDCO, BMTPC) are requested to convey the decisions of the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee to all the State implementing agencies/nodal agencies for BSUP and IHSDP to take appropriate follow up action as per the Minutes of the Meeting.

3. A copy of the Minutes is forwarded to the Secretaries in-charge of BSUP and IHSDP in the States/UTs with a request to take further follow up action.

Encl: Minutes of the Meeting

To:
Members of CSMC:-
1. The Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, North Block, New Delhi
3. The Principal Adviser (HUD), Planning Commission, Yojana Bhavan, New Delhi
4. The Secretary, Ministry of Environment & Forests, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi
5. The Secretary, Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi
6. The Secretary, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi
7. The Secretary, Department of Education, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi
8. The Joint Secretary & FA, Ministry of Urban Development & Ministry of HUPA, New Delhi
10. The Adviser, CPHEEO, Ministry of Urban Development, New Delhi
11. The CMD, Housing & Urban Development Corporation, HUDCO Bhavan, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi
12. The Joint Secretary & Mission Director (JNNURM), Ministry of Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation, New Delhi

Copy to the concerned officers in respect of projects considered in the meeting:-
1. Shri Baron Kumar Ray, Secretary, Kolkata Metropolitan Development Authority, DD-I, Sector-I, Salt Lake, Kolkata- 64
2. Shri Mahesh Singh, Deputy Municipal Commissioner, Surat Municipal Corporation, Surat
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Principal Secretary, Housing Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad - 500 002.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary, Urban Development &amp; Tourism, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat, Itanagar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat, Hyderabad-500 002.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat, Itanagar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, UD Department, Government of Assam, Assam Secretariat, Dispur, Guwahati - 781 006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Additional Secretary &amp; Director (BUDA), Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing), Government of Bihar Sachivalaya Patna - 800 015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, School Education &amp; UD/LSG, Government of Chhattisgarh, Room NO 254, DKS Bhawan, Mainzaiy, Raipur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing) Government of Goa, Secretariat Annexe, EDC House, Panaji - 403 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD) &amp; Housing, Government of Gujarat, Block No 14, 9th Floor, New Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar-382 010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Chief Executive Officer, Gujarat Urban Development Mission, CMFB Building, Sector-10A, Gandhinagar - 382 016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Government of Haryana, SCO-20 Sec.7C, Chandigarh - 160 001.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (UD), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla - 171 002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Housing and UD Department, Government of Jammu &amp; Kashmir, New Secretariat, Srinagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Director, Urban Local Bodies, Government of Jammu &amp; Kashmir, 151-A/ID, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing), Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhuwa, Ranchi-834004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary to Government UD Department, Government of Karnataka Room No.436, 4th Floor, Vikas Soudha, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore 560 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary, Local Self Government Department Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram - 695 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing &amp; Environment), Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Lalbah Bhavan, Bhopal - 462 032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner, Urban Administration &amp; Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Nagar Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar Bhopal -462 016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Housing, UD &amp; Municipal Administration, Government of Manipur, Chief Secretariat, Imphal-795 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Nagaland, Kohima - 797 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), Government of Orissa, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar - 751 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), Government of Punjab, Room No.413, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9 Chandigarh 160 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Rajasthan, Room No.39, SSO Building, Government Secretariat, Jaipur 302 005.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), Government of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (UD), Government of Tripura Civil Secretariat, Pt. Nehru Complex, Agartala-799 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Uttar Pradesh, 325 Bapu Bhawan, Lucknow - 226 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (UD &amp; Housing), Government of Uttarakhand, Uttarakhand Secretariat, 4 B, Subhash Road DEHRADUN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (UD &amp; Housing), Chandigarh Administration, UT Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh-160 001.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Local Administration Department, Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Additional Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi-110 002.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), UT of Daman &amp; Diu, Secretariat, Moti Daman-396 220.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copy to:-

1. The Joint Secretary to Hon’ble Prime Minister (Kind attention Shri R. Gopalakrishnan), PMO, South Block, New Delhi.
2. PS to Hon’ble Minister (HUPA).
3. Sr. PPS to Secretary (HUPA).
4. Joint Secretary (H), Ministry of HUPA.
5. Director (UPA), Ministry of HUPA.
6. OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
7. DS(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
8. US (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
9. DD (JPC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA.
10. DD (Data & MIS), NBO, Ministry of HUPA.
11. The CMD, NBCC, “NBCC Bhavan”, Lodhi Road, New Delhi- 110 003.
12. The CMD, HPL, Jangpura, New Delhi- 110 003.
13. The Director (Corporate Planning), HUDCO “HUDCO Bhavan”, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi- 110 003.
14. The Executive Director, BMTPC, Core 5A, First Floor, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi- 110 003.
15. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi- 110 016.
16. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee, Roorkee, Uttrakhand-247 667.

Copy to: Guard folder on JNNURM.

(J.A. Vaidyanathan)
Under Secretary (JNNURM)
MINUTES OF THE 36th MEETING OF THE CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF SUB-MISSION ON BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (BSUP) UNDER JAWAHARLAL NEHRU NATIONAL URBAN RENEWAL MISSION (JNNURM)

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 7th April, 2008

The 36th meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee (CSMC) of Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) was held under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation in New Delhi on 7th April, 2008. List of participants is at Annexure – I.

2. Joint Secretary (JNNURM) welcomed the participants for the first CSMC meeting of the financial year 2008-09. He requested the States/ULBs to concentrate on implementation of already-approved projects so as to achieve the mid-term targets committed before the Prime Minister. Emphasis should also be given for capacity building activities for project management and quality control. In the year 2006-07, the Ministry of HUPA had released funds to the State Governments for capacity building activities including Research and Training towards implementation of BSUP and IHSDP projects. Unless the States submit utilisation certificates for the funds released earlier, further release of Central assistance will be held up, as utilisation certificates have to be furnished within 12 months from the date of closure of the financial year to which financial sanction pertains. Mission Director & JS (JNNURM) asked the States/ULBs to present one page abstract on the status of implementation of projects and reforms and furnish QPRs before presenting the project proposal in future meetings of CSMC.

3. Joint Secretary (JNNURM) informed the Committee that many States have been enquiring about the procedure for submission of proposals for claiming fee for preparation of DPRs under BSUP and IHSDP. He clarified that since the projects have been approved based on the DPRs which have the approval of SLSC/SLCC, there is no further requirement of approval of the same by SLSC/SLCC. He also informed the Committee the following procedures for appraisal of proposals regarding claim for DPR preparation charges, setting up of PMU/PIA/PIU at State/ULB level, Community Development Network.-
PMU/PIA/PIU: The States will submit proposals to the office of OSD (JNNURM) which will get the same appraised; and bring up before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee.

Fee for Preparation of DPR: The States will submit proposals to the concerned Appraisal Agency (HUDCO, BMTPC etc.) which had appraised the projects. The Appraisal Agency has a crucial role in examining the claim with particular reference to various stages of improvement and modifications that were brought out in the DPR before they were finally approved by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. The Appraisal Agency will submit proposals to the Ministry for releasing Central assistance towards the cost of preparation of DPRs (both in the case of DPRs prepared by in-house personnel as well as by consultants). These will be considered by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee. After approval of CSMC, recommendation will be sent to Ministry of Finance/Ministry of Home Affairs for releasing Central assistance out of the ACA allocation for the particular State/UT. The Central assistance for DPRs prepared through in-house personnel of the States would be released from the 1% JNNURM fund in the Budget of Ministry of HUPA.

Community Development Network: The State will prioritise and get necessary approval from SLSC/SLC to the proposals for Community Development Network. Such proposals received in the Ministry of HUPA will be appraised by a team working under the GOI-UNDP Project on National Strategy for Urban Poor. The report will then be placed before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee after examination by DS (JNNURM).

4. Joint Secretary (JNNURM) highlighted the necessity of keeping all project-related documents ready to answer any query under RTI. Chairman and Secretary (HUPA) said that NBO is the custodian of records of JNNURM project. Appraisal agencies should, therefore, submit all the copies of the DPRs and related documents to OSD (JNNURM), without further delay. They should also kept copies which could be retrieved with no loss of time in case needed in connection with RTI Act 2005.

5.1 Chairman, CSMC and Secretary (HUPA) said that in all meetings, before new projects are taken up, a status report on already sanctioned projects and reforms should be brought up before the Committee. The States should submit Quarterly Progress Reports in time without fail. This should not only contain information on physical and financial progress of the projects on hand, but also
the timeline and achievement of reforms. In addition, in the context of reporting to Prime Minister's Office, they should also send Monthly Reports capturing salient features of progress in prescribed formats.

5.2. Chairman, CSMC and Secretary (HUPA) laid special emphasis on the following:-

(a) **Mid-Term Targets:** Focused attention is required to be paid to the commitment made by the Ministry of HUPA in the review meeting taken by the Hon'ble Prime Minister on 15.6.2007. Accordingly, the mid-term targets were communicated to the States vide DO. Letter No.N-11027/42/2007-BSUP/JNNURM dated 8th August, 2007 from Secretary (HUPA) to Chief Secretaries. Secretary (HUPA) called upon the State Government representatives to take all steps to fulfill the mid-term targets. Not only the targets have to be met but it should be ensured that the houses and infrastructure constructed meet the requisite parameters of quality.

(b) **Quality of Projects:** Housing for the poor does not mean poor quality housing. Utmost emphasis must be given to quality of houses for the poor. A vector-free atmosphere and healthy living environment should be ensured in all housing projects taken up under BSUP/IHSDP.

(c) **Third Party Inspection and Monitoring (TPIM):** States/ULBs/Parastatal agencies should pay attention towards quality assurance and the importance of third party inspection and monitoring of projects (TPIM). The Ministry would give necessary assistance to the States for TPIM.

(d) **Socio-economic Survey:** No efforts should be spared for conducting socio-economic surveys of potential beneficiaries including preparation of bio-metric cards. Such surveys should emphasize health, educational and livelihood profiles. This survey would assist in designing good BSUP/IHSDP projects by taking into account important aspects such as dependency load in the existing schools, hospitals, need for multi-purpose community centres including livelihood centre/informal sector market, etc. HUDCO and BMTPC have developed good designs of houses, colony layouts and various types of social infrastructure which could be appropriately used while formulating project proposals.
(e) **Convergence of Health, Education and Social Security:** It is necessary to integrate provisions of Health, Education and Social Security with Housing for Poor to enable them to lead an improved and dignified life. The Urban Local Bodies and the State Governments have a leading role to play to ensure proper convergence of facilities under the already available schemes for education, health and social security implemented through different departments/fields. The projects should list out the deficiencies in terms of school, primary health centre, provision of social welfare measures etc. so that timely remedial measures in accordance with the socio economic survey can be taken up.

5.3. Chairman, CSMC urged upon the States to come up with projects, especially for in-situ development, with good lay-out and design. In the case of in-situ projects, the emphasis should be to provide a better atmosphere of living. The in-situ development should not end up with creation of another cluster of houses without access to water, sanitation and social infrastructure.

5.4. Chairman, CSMC and Secretary (HUPA) drew attention of all the stakeholders towards development of Community Development Networks. The dynamics of the CDN should lead to fraternity with the neighbourhood so that the issues of alienation of all sorts are eliminated. CDN should work towards better inter- and intra-relationships in colonies to get over the dividing forces. This will strengthen a feeling of solidarity among the residents.

5.5. To create a sense of belongingness, the slums may be named in consultation with the intended beneficiaries. Provision of a low-cost enclosure around common spaces/layouts in slum pockets being covered under BSUP/IHSAP can be considered by a State/ULB in the interest of safety and a sense of local identity, if the cost is not prohibitive and the beneficiaries want such enclosures.

5.6. Chairman, CSMC also reiterated that proposals for release of 2nd instalment should be based on the actual progress on the ground. He requested all States to come up with proposals in the prescribed format for second and subsequent instalments for already sanctioned projects with appropriate utilisation certificates in the prescribed formats. **Progress on sanctioned projects should be accorded Top Priority.** Central share along with State share should be released to ULBs within 2-3 days of receipt of funds. Any delay on this account would result in delay in the completion of projects which would put poor beneficiaries in undue hardships.
5.7. Chairman further pointed out that special attention should be paid for implementation of the three reforms stipulated under JNNURM that are critical to the urban poor: (i) internal earmarking within local body budgets for basic services to the urban poor; (ii) provision of basic services including the 7-point charter in accordance with agreed timelines; (iii) earmarking at least 20-25% of developed land in all housing projects (both public and private agencies) for EWS/LIG category with a system of cross subsidization.

5.8. Chairman, CSMC also drew attention of the States/UTs towards the Prime Minister’s New 15-Point Programme for the Welfare of the Minorities. An important objective of the new programme is to ensure that the benefits of various government schemes for the underprivileged reach the disadvantaged sections of the minority communities. In this regard, care should be taken to take up clusters of housing for minority beneficiaries in slums to the extent possible. Wherever possible, up to 15% of targets and outlays under BSUP and JHISDP should be earmarked for minorities.

6. Chairman also drew attention of the members of the CSMC and States’ representatives to the following issues, raised in previous meetings, directly related to improving the quality of life of the urban poor:-

- In case of time constraint, a regular socio-economic survey can be preceded by a rapid survey for identifying beneficiaries, their main and subsidiary occupations, their educational profile and skill base and felt-needs so as to design appropriate social infrastructure for each project. Willingness of the beneficiaries should also be taken for any rehabilitation/relocation projects.
- Affordability of the urban poor should be kept in view while working out Beneficiary Contribution. Overall construction cost of the housing unit should be kept at a minimum. It was suggested that generally the construction cost of a dwelling unit may not exceed about Rs.2.50 lakhs with 25% extra for hill states. The housing component should generally be at least 50% of the total project cost with a view to giving primacy to provision of shelter to the urban poor except where housing units have already been constructed/are being constructed under VAMBAY or other EWS scheme of Central or State Governments. Further, considering the difficulties and special needs of the urban poor at some locations, clusters having 15 housing units can be considered.
- Each project should be accompanied by a list of beneficiaries based on a socio-economic survey and ULBs should go for bio-metric cards,
wherever feasible, to avoid the possibility of sale/misuse of housing units allotted to properly targeted beneficiaries.

- The layout plan must be socially cohesive and facilitate social interaction. Efforts may be made for providing at least 30% open spaces with 15% green area in the lay-outs and adequate social and livelihoods infrastructure.

- Adequate space must be provided for informal sector markets, livelihood activities, pen for animals (if permitted and required), space to take care of convergent services such as health, education and recreation conforming to the specific needs of each of the slum pockets and their beneficiaries.

- The houses proposed should have two rooms, balcony, kitchen and separate bathroom and latrine, individual water connection and sewer connection. Aspects such as storage space for keeping things in rooms/kitchen, location of kitchen, location of toilet and bathroom in the houses to facilitate privacy, independent access from both rooms to toilet and bathroom, leaving a small space for fitting exhaust fan in kitchen and toilet, balcony for drying clothes etc., are some of the nuances that can be thoughtfully incorporated in the design of the houses for the poor.

- The State authorities/ULBs may adopt some of the innovative designs and layouts of houses, multi-purpose community centres, informal sector markets and animal pen etc. prepared and compiled by HUDCO and BMTPC (Toolkit to be circulated by OSD (JNNURM)).

- The State authorities in consultation with appraisal agencies should ensure that necessary clearances such as environmental clearance, Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) regulation clearance, land use clearance, etc., are obtained. They should also ensure that necessary technical approvals are secured from the competent agencies as per State PWD Code.

- Since these projects are required to be generally completed in 12 to 15 months, it is generally expected that any escalation of the project cost is borne by the State Government/ULB concerned.

- A low cost boundary of barbed wire or low-height brick structure may be considered for construction around the project site (slum location)/common spaces to give a sense of belonging to the inhabitants of a slum, if the cost is reasonable and the beneficiaries desire the same. The State Government/ULB is to take a final view on this as per the local conditions.

- Wherever informal sector markets are taken up as a part of social infrastructure, their operation on a time-sharing basis by inhabitants for
enabling wider coverage of the beneficiaries can be considered by the ULBs concerned.

- Adequate provision should be made for solid and liquid waste disposal and digester technology can be adopted in place of dual-pits/septic tanks wherever feasible.
- Road-side plantations with tree guards are advisable.

7. Chairman, CSMC and Secretary (HUPA) further said that the responsibility of the technical specifications (State PWD Code) and their approval by the competent authority lies with the ULBs/State Level Nodal Agency. The appraisal agencies must ensure that technical specifications are duly approved by the competent authority.

8. For the Meeting, 2 new projects from Kolkata, West Bengal and a proposal for releasing second instalment of Central assistance to a BSUP project in Surat, Gujarat were put up in the agenda. Brief details of the agenda are at Annexure-II. The chairman, CSMC requested the representatives of the State Government to give an account of the following:

(i) Brief details of physical and financial progress of already approved projects including the actual availability of Central share, State share, ULB share, beneficiary contribution, loan component, tentative schedule for seeking 2nd instalment of Central share, number of houses actually constructed, stage of progress of housing and infrastructure, etc.;

(ii) Basic details of the present proposals such as total project cost and its break up into housing and infrastructure components (percentages); break-up of cost of housing and infrastructure under Central Share, State share, ULB share, Beneficiary contribution, loan and parastatals; and

(iii) Design of colony layout and house layout proposed.

9.1. Representative of the State Government of West Bengal made a presentation on the 2 projects. The representative of the Ministry of Environment & Forests drew attention of the Committee towards a need for improving the projects in so far as provision of sanitation is concerned. It was pointed out that instead of providing individual septic tanks and chlorination chamber, the project may take up digester facilities for a cluster of houses. This would provide better environment which would be free from diseases. Adoption of digester for a cluster of houses would also save the land otherwise used for individual septic tanks. The Committee, therefore, advised the
States/ULBs to adopt appropriate technology and make suitable modifications in the project proposals. The representative of the State Government informed the Committee that possibilities of the proposed modification for adopting digester facility will be explored in consultation with the appraisal agency and the State Departments. A report in this respect would be sent through the appraisal agency (HUDCO).

9.2. The State nodal agency (KMDA) has since informed through the appraisal agency that the proposed sites are in the close vicinity of Air Port and there is tremendous scarcity of land. Hence, it will not be possible to provide digesters in the instant proposals.

9.3. In view of decision conveyed by the State nodal agency, the two projects stand deferred to enable the State/ULB to come up with pros and cons of providing individual septic tank and chlorination chambers (in more than 4000 houses under the proposed two projects), instead of providing digester facilities at a few places.

10. The Committee then considered the proposal for release of 2nd instalment of Central assistance for the BSUP project in Surat, Gujarat. Deputy Secretary (JNNURM) informed that the States/ULB has furnished required documents and utilisation certificate as per prescribed guidelines. The Committee approved the proposal for releasing the 2nd instalment for BSUP projects in Surat. Details of the approved proposal are at Annexure-III.

11. Joint Secretary (JNNURM) informed the Committee that the Delhi Government has taken a decision that for all BSUP projects the beneficiary contribution per dwelling unit will be limited to Rs.60,000/-. This was earlier discussed in the 34th meeting of the CSMC held on 14.3.2008. Then the Committee also took on record a communication received from the Chief Secretary, Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi (No.F.68(7)/UD/JNNURM/2008/CS/1221 dated 7.3.2008) indicating that Beneficiary Contribution in all the projects approved so far may be fixed at Rs. 60,000/-. The Committee had then asked the Appraising Agencies to provide the revised costing of all the projects sanctioned for Delhi, with Central share remaining unchanged, before the CSMC. Joint Secretary (JNNURM) informed the Committee that the Appraisal Agencies have now obtained revised details of the beneficiary contribution in all the 8 BSUP projects approved for Delhi earlier, with amount of Central share remaining the same. The Committee placed on record the revision.
12. Concluding the meeting, the Chairman of CSMC and Secretary (HUPA) once again urged the States/UTs/ULBs/parastatals (e.g., Development Authority)/implementing agencies/appraisal agencies to adhere to the guidelines/undertake measures for smooth implementation of the projects to benefit urban poor.

13. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.
LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE 36th MEETING OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) HELD UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SECRETARY (HUPA) ON 7.4.2008

1. Dr. Harjit S. Anand, Secretary
   Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
2. Dr. P.K. Mohanty, Joint Secretary and Mission Director, Ministry of HUPA
3. Shri D.S. Negi, OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
4. Shri V.K. Gupta, Deputy Financial Adviser, Ministry of HUPA
5. Shri Vivek Nangia, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of HUPA
6. Shri P.K. Monga, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, North Block, New Delhi.
8. Shri Avinash, Ministry of Health.
9. Shri S.K. Tripathi, Director (Corporate Planning) HUDCO, New Delhi.
10. Shri Umraw Singh, Deputy Director, Ministry of HUPA
11. Shri Barun Kumar Ray, Secretary, Kolkata Metropolitan Development Authority, DD-1, Sector-1, Salt Lake, Kolkata-64.
12. Shri Vivek Bharadwaj, Special Secretary (UD), West Bengal, DF-8, Sector-1, Salt Lake, Kolkata.
13. Dr. D. Subramanyam, Executive Director, HUDCO, Kolkata Regional Office, Kolkata.
16. Shri Pankaj Gupta, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi.
17. Shri S.R. Dogra, Under Secretary to represent Secretary, School Education Literacy, Ministry of HRD, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
21. Shri Amit Sardana, Team Leader, PMU, JNNURM, KMDA, Prashasan Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata.
22. Shri M. Zachariah, Procurement Specialist, APUFIDC, Hyderabad.
23. Shri M.S. Raghuveendra, Urban Consultant, APUFIDC, Hyderabad.
24. Shri M. Jayachandran, Deputy Director, Ministry of HUPA.
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Rs. 7.00 Cr. Central Share as 2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present proposal is request to release</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average 80% of funds are utilized.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Released</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State share of Rs. 7.03 Cr. was</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounting to Rs. 7.00 Cr. Released in 2006-07.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ist installment of Central Share</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejectment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release of 2nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coommittee (CSSACG) under Basic Services to the URBAN POOR (SUb-MISSION-II)

ESTEEM SUMMARIZE OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING.