

**REGISTERED POST/
BY HAND**


No.N-11026/2/2008/BSUP/JNNURM –Vol. XII
Government of India
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation

Room No. 201, G Wing
New Delhi, dated 28th August, 2008

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of the minutes of the 40th meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee of Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 26th August, 2008 under the Chairmanship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction projects under Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM).

2. The appraisal agencies (i.e. HUDCO, BMTPC) are requested to convey the decisions of the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee to all the State implementing agencies/nodal agencies for BSUP and IHSDP to take appropriate follow up action as per the minutes of the meeting.
3. A copy of the minutes is forwarded to the Secretaries in-charge of BSUP and IHSDP in the States/UTs with a request to take further follow up action.


(M. Jayachandran)
Deputy Director (BSUP)
Telephone 011-2306 1519

Encl: Minutes of the meeting

To

Members of the CSMC as follows:

1. The Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, New Delhi.
3. The Principal Adviser (HUD), Planning Commission, Yojana Bhavan, New Delhi.
4. The Secretary, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
5. The Secretary, Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
6. The Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
7. The Secretary, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
8. The Joint Secretary and FA, Ministry of Urban Development and Ministry of HUPA, New Delhi.
9. The Chief Planner, Town and Country Planning Organisation (TCPO), I.P. Estate, New Delhi.
10. The Adviser, CPHEEO, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
11. The CMD, Housing and Urban Development Corporation Ltd., HUDCO Bhavan, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
12. The Joint Secretary (JNNURM)/Mission Director, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation, New Delhi – Member-Secretary

Copy to the concerned officers in respect of projects considered in the meeting:-

1. Shri Hadadare, Chief Engineer, Maharashtra Housing and Area Development Authority (MHADA), Griha Nirman Bhavan, Bandra (East), Mumbai-400 051.

Copy to the Secretaries in charge of Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) and Integrated Housing & Slum Development Programme (IHSDP) in the States/UTs:-

The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Municipal Administration Department Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat Hyderabad – 500 002	The Principal Secretary, Housing Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad – 500 002
The Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat, Hyderabad-500 002.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Tourism, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat, Itanagar.
The Commissioner & Secretary, UD Department, Government of Assam, Assam Secretariat, Dispur, Guwahati -781 006.	The Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna..
The Additional Secretary & Director (BUDA), Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Bihar Sachivalaya Patna – 800 015
The Secretary, School Education & UD/LSG, Government of Chhattishgarh, Room NO 254, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur.	The Secretary(Housing) Government of Goa, Secretariat Annexc, EDC House, Panaji- 403 001
The Principal Secretary(UD) & Housing, Government of Gujarat, Block No, 14, 9 th Floor, New Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar-382 010.	The Chief Executive Officer, Gujarat Urban Development Mission, GMFB Building, Sector-10A, Gandhinagar – 382 016.
The Commissioner & Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Government of Haryana, SCO-20 Sec.7C, Chandigarh – 160 001.	The Secretary (UD), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla – 171 002
The Secretary, Housing and UD Department, Government of Jammu & Kashmir, New Secretariat, Srinagar	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla – 171 002

The Director, Urban Local Bodies Government of Jammu & Kashmir, 151-A/D, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu.	The Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi -834 004.
The Secretary (Housing) Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004	The Principal Secretary (Housing) Government of Karnataka, Room No.213, 2 nd Floor, Vikas Souda Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore-560 001
The Principal Secretary to Government UD Department, Government of Karnataka Room No.436, 4 th Floor, Vikas Souda Dr. B.R.Ambedkar Road Bangalore 560 001	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Kerala, Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram – 695 001
The Principal Secretary, Local Self Government Department Government of Kerala Thiruvananthapuram – 695 001	The Secretary , Local Self Government, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram-695 001
The Executive Director Kudumbashree State Poverty Eradication Mission Government of Kerala 2 nd Floor, TRIDA Building, Chalakupuzhy Road, Medical College (PO), Thiruvananthapuram 695 011.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Administration and Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal - 462 032
The Principal Secretary (Housing & Environment), Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Ballabh Bhavan, Bhopal - 462 032	The Commissioner, Urban Administration & Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Nagar Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar Bhopal -462 016
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.425, 4 th floor Mantraalaya, Mumbai-400 032	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.268, 2 nd Floor, Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032
Commissioner & Secretary, Urban Affairs & Housing, Government of Meghalaya, Main Secretariat Building Shillong-793 001	The Secretary, Housing, UD & Municipal Administration, Government of Manipur, Chief Secretariat, Imphal -795 001
The Commissioner & Secretary (LAD), Government of Mizoram, Civil Secretariat, Aizwal-796 001.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Nagaland, Kohima – 797 001


The Commissioner & Secretary, Works & Housing, Government of Nagaland Kohima – 797 001	The Principal Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Orissa, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar - 751 001
The Principal Secretary(LSG) Government of Punjab Mini Secretariat Sector-9, Chandigarh 160 001	The Secretary (Housing & UD) Government of Punjab, Room No.419, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9 Chandigarh 160 001
The Principal Secretary, UDH & LSG Department, Government of Rajasthan Room No. 29, Main Building, Secretariat, Jaipur	The Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Rajasthan , Room No.39, SSO Building, Government Secretariat , Jaipur 302 005.
The Secretary, Department of UD & Housing, Government of Sikkim, NII 31A, Gangtok – 737 101	The Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Secretariat, Chennai –600 009
The Secretary, Municipal Administration & Water Supply, Government of Tamil Nadu, 6 th Floor, Ezhilagam Annexe, Chepauk, Chennai– 600 009	The Secretary (UD), Government of Tripura Civil Secretariat, Pt. Nehru Complex, Agartala-799 001
The Principal Secretary (UD & MA) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Room No.825, 8 th floor, Babu Bhawan, Lucknow – 226 001	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Uttar Pradesh, 325 Babu Bhawan, Lucknow – 226 001
The Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Navchetna Kendra, 10, Ashok Marg, Lucknow.	The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Uttarakhand, Uttarakhand Secretariat, 4 B, Subhash Road DEHRADUN – 248 001.
The Project Director (JNNURM), Urban Development Directorate, Government of Uttarakhand, 43/6, Mata Mandir Marg, Dharampur, Dehradun – 248 001	
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of West Bengal, Nagarayan DF-8, Sector1, Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700 064	The Secretary (UD & Housing), Chandigarh Administration, UT Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh-160 001

The Secretary (Housing), Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605 001	The Secretary, Local Administration Department Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605 001
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, 9 th Floor, C Wing, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi.	The Additional Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi-110 002
The Commissioner & Secretary, (Relief & Rehabilitation), UT of Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Secretariat, Port Blair -744 101	The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Daman & Diu, Secretariat, Moti Daman-396 220
The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Secretariat, Silvassa-396 220	The Chief Town Planner, Town & Country Planning Department, UT Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, 2 nd Floor, Secretariat, Silvassa - 396 230.

Copy to:

1. The Joint Secretary to Hon'ble Prime Minister (Kind attention Shri R. Gopalakrishnan),
PMO, South Block, New Delhi.
2. PS to Hon'ble Minister (HUPA)
3. Sr. PPS to Secretary (HUPA)
4. Joint Secretary (H), Ministry of HUPA
5. The Joint Secretary (PP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Room No.1125, 11th Floor,
Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, New Delhi.
6. Director (UPA), Ministry of HUPA
7. OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
8. Director (Administration), Ministry of HUPA
9. DS(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
10. US(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
11. DD(JPC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
12. DD(Data & MIS), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
13. SO (IISDP), Ministry of HUPA
14. The CMD, NBCC, "NBCC Bhavan", Lodhi Road , New Delhi-110 003
15. The CMD, HPL, Jangpura, New Delhi-110014
16. The Executive Director, BMTPC, Core 5 A, First Floor, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road,
New Delhi-110 003
17. The Director (Corporate Planning), HUDCO, "HUDCO Bhavan", India Habitat Centre,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110 003.
18. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110 016
19. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, Uttarakhand - 247 667

Copy to:- Guard folder on JNNURM


(M. Jayachandran)
Deputy Director (BSUP)

MINUTES OF THE 40th MEETING OF THE CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF SUB-MISSION ON BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (BSUP) UNDER JAWAHARLAL NEHRU NATIONAL URBAN RENEWAL MISSION (JNNURM)

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 26th August, 2008

The 40th meeting of the Central Sanctioning Committee and Monitoring Committee (CMSC) of Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) was held under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation in New Delhi on **26th August, 2008**. List of participants is at **Annexure – I**.

2. At the outset, Joint Secretary (JNNURM) and Mission Director expressed on his behalf and on the behalf of the Members of the Committee sincere gratitude for the guidance and leadership of the Chairman, CSMC, and Secretary (HUPA) who is superannuating from Government service at the end of this month. The Members of the Committee placed on record various improvements introduced by the CSMC under the chairmanship of the Secretary (HUPA) leading to a considerable amelioration in the quality of projects sanctioned by the Committee.

3.1. Chairman, CSMC, and Secretary (HUPA), in his address to the Committee, reiterated the need to provide quality houses and infrastructure to the urban poor. He said that it should be ensured by all concerned that funds meant for urban poverty alleviation should reach the targeted beneficiaries. Under BSUP and IHSDP, the targeted outcome is to provide a garland of benefits such as security of tenure at affordable prices, improved housing, water supply, sanitation and delivery of health education and social security. It is important that the entire garland of entitlements and services reaches the urban poor.

3.2. Many States/ULBs have not utilised the Central assistance released for implementation of the programme. They should also release and utilize State and ULB share so that the housing units can be constructed expeditiously. There is no provision for providing central assistance to meet cost escalation of projects on account of time delays or other associated reasons. Any such escalation has to be borne by the respective State/ULB from their own sources. In this respect, setting up of a revolving fund named 'Basic Services to the Urban Poor Fund' should be

1 / 32
MJe

given high priority. Requirement of funds for meeting escalation cost can be sourced from the BSUP fund.

3.3. At every stage of implementation of the programme, top most priority should be given to the quality execution of the projects. In this respect, the Third Party Inspection of Monitoring (TPIM) mechanism needs to be established and strengthened. Some of the States have established TPIM. Other States/ULBs should take initiative for establishing TPIM.

3.4. Chairman, CSMC and Secretary (HUPA) urged the States/ULBs and other agencies engaged in the implementation of BSUP and IHSDP projects to continue to strive for betterment of the lot of the urban poor. He said that it is a matter of satisfaction that useful work has been done in this direction.

4.1 Chairman, CSMC, and Secretary (HUPA) reiterated the importance of community infrastructure in the slum development projects. The design and size of proposed community infrastructure should be in line with the socio economic survey. The survey should reveal the details of not only main occupation but also subsidiary occupation of the slum dwellers. In case the beneficiaries are engaged in production of goods, a livelihood centre should be considered for provision in the pocket. In case of scarcity of land for providing community infrastructure, the SLNA/ULB should evolve innovative practices. This is essential for providing facilities such as crèche, rooms for a male and female doctor and multipurpose hall etc. The appraising agencies need to play a greater role in providing necessary guidance in this matter to the State/ULB.

4.2. Regarding design and layout plan for either the in-situ redeveloped slum or a relocated slum, Chairman, CSMC, and Secretary (HUPA) said that same should be prepared in such a manner that the construction does not tend to become a concrete jungle. Accordingly, along with community infrastructure, the pocket should have adequate open areas and green areas. Ideally, a relocated pocket should have 15% green areas. In the case of in-situ redevelopment, there should be a minimum of 10% green area. Where BSUP/IHSDP projects are proposed in unauthorized areas, such projects should be preferably undertaken on a redevelopment basis.

4.3 Chairman, CSMC reiterated the need to bring proposals for release of 2nd/3rd instalments based on the actual progress on the ground and utilization of Central Share, State Share and ULB share. States should

come up with proposals in the prescribed format for second and subsequent instalments for already sanctioned projects. **Release of funds for sanctioned projects and completion thereof should be accorded Top Priority.** Central share along with State share should be released to ULBs within 2-3 days from the date of receipt of ACA. Any delay on this account would result in delay in the completion of projects which would put the poor beneficiaries under undue hardship.

4.4. Chairman, CSMC also drew attention of the States/UTs towards the Prime Minister's New 15-Point Programme for the Welfare of the Minorities. *An important objective of the new programme is to ensure that the benefits of various government schemes for the underprivileged reach the disadvantaged sections of the minority communities.* In this regard, care should be taken to take up clusters of minority beneficiaries to the extent possible. Wherever feasible, efforts should be made to allocate upto 15% of targets and outlays under BSUP and IHSDP for the minorities. Similarly, priority should be given to accommodate physically challenged beneficiaries.

4.5. The following issues, raised in previous meetings, directly related to improving the quality of life of the urban poor, were reiterated by the Chairman, CSMC:-

- In case there is time constraint, a regular socio-economic survey can be preceded by *a rapid survey for identifying beneficiaries, their main and subsidiary occupations, their educational profile and felt-needs so as to design appropriate social infrastructure for each project.* Willingness of the beneficiaries should also be taken for any rehabilitation/relocation projects.
- Affordability of the urban poor should be kept in view while working out Beneficiary Contribution. Overall construction cost of the housing unit should be kept at a minimum. The housing component should generally be at least 50% of the total project cost with a view to giving primacy to provision of shelter to the urban poor except where housing units have already been constructed/are being constructed under VAMBAY or other EWS scheme of Central or State Governments. Further, considering the difficulties and special needs of the urban poor at some locations, clusters having 15 housing units can also be considered.
- Each project should be accompanied by a list of beneficiaries based on a socio-economic survey and ULBs should go for bio-metric cards, wherever feasible, to avoid the possibility of sale/misuse of housing units allotted to properly targeted beneficiaries. The list should be notified and placed in the website of the ULB/JNNURM.

- The layout plan must be socially cohesive and facilitate social interaction. Efforts may be made for providing at least 30% open spaces with 15% green area in the lay-outs and adequate social and livelihoods infrastructure.
- Adequate space must be provided for community activities, informal sector markets, livelihood activities, pen for animals (if permitted and required), space to take care of convergent services such as health, education and recreation conforming to the specific needs of each of the slum pockets and their beneficiaries.
- The houses proposed should have two rooms, balcony, kitchen and separate bathroom and latrine, individual water connection and sewer connection. Aspects such as storage space for keeping things in rooms/kitchen, location of kitchen, location of toilet and bathroom in the houses to facilitate privacy, independent access from both rooms to toilet and bathroom, leaving a small space for fitting exhaust fan in kitchen and toilet, balcony for drying clothes etc., are some of the nuances that can be thoughtfully incorporated in the design of the houses for the poor.
- The State authorities/ULBs may adopt some of the innovative designs and layouts of houses, multi-purpose community centres, informal sector markets and animal pen, etc., prepared and compiled by HUDCO and BMTPC. The Toolkit published may be referred to.
- The State authorities in consultation with appraisal agencies should ensure that necessary clearances such as environmental clearance, Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) regulation clearance, land use clearance, etc., are obtained. They should also ensure that necessary technical approvals are secured from the competent agencies as per State PWD Code.
- Since these projects are required to be generally completed in 12 to 15 months, it is generally expected that any escalation of the project cost is borne by the State Government/ULB concerned. For reducing escalation in the cost of project, the following option could be exercised:-
 - i) Purchasing materials (cement, steel, sanitary pipes, electrical items) in bulk, wherever considered prudent and feasible with a view to reducing cost;
 - ii) Encouraging labour contribution from the beneficiaries under the supervision of qualified personnel;

- iii) Bifurcating tendering (between housing component and infrastructure component) with a view to reducing the possibility of time and cost overruns; and
- iv) Creating/using a revolving “Basic Services for Urban Poor (BSUP) Fund” earmarked out of the municipal budget and supplemented by other innovative measures like cross subsidization for meeting escalation.

- Wherever informal sector markets are taken up as a part of social infrastructure, their operation on a time-sharing basis by inhabitants for enabling wider coverage of the beneficiaries can be considered by the ULB concerned.
- Adequate provision should be made for solid and liquid waste disposal and digester technology can be adopted in place of dual-pits/septic tanks wherever feasible.
- Road-side plantations with tree guards are advisable.
- Responsibility of the technical specifications (adherence to State PWD Code) and their approval by the competent authority lies with the ULBs/State Level Nodal Agency. The appraisal agencies must ensure that technical specifications are duly approved by the technically competent authority.

5.1. Joint Secretary (JNNURM) and Mission Director requested the States/ULBs/Appraisal Agencies to ensure that all the projects for which Central assistance is sought have been prepared as per the latest SOR to obviate under-invoicing leading to cost escalation. Any escalation shall be borne by the States/ULBs. He requested the States/ULBs to come up with new projects along with request for 2nd/3rd/4th instalments. The proposal seeking 2nd/subsequent instalment for projects under IHSDP/BSUP should give break up details of Central share, State share, ULB contribution and beneficiary contribution received and the expenditure thereon.

5.2. Joint Secretary (JNNURM) gave an overview of important aspects of preparation of projects, their appraisal and implementation on the ground. He reiterated the following:-

- **Capacity Building Activities:** In the year 2006-07, the Ministry of HUPA had released fund to the State Governments for capacity building activities including Research and Training towards implementation of BSUP and IHSDP projects. Unless the States submit utilisation certificates for the funds released earlier, further release of Central Assistance would be held up, as

utilisation certificates have to be furnished within 12 months from the date of closure of the financial year to which financial sanction pertains.

- **Status of Project Implementation:** The States/ULBs should present Quarterly Progress Reports/Monthly Progress Reports before bringing proposals to the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. Further, one page abstract on the status of implementation of projects & reforms must be presented before presenting the project proposals in the meetings of Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee.
- **Setting up of PMU/PIA/PIU:-** The States should submit proposals to the o/o OSD (JNNURM) which will get the same appraised; and bring up before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. Transparent method should be adopted in the selection and appointment of professionals in PMUs and PIUs. Such appointments should not be permanent in nature but only in terms of short-term consultancy appointments. Such appointments should not be seen as a place for parking dead-wood. Each appointment should be based on prescribed terms of reference and the deliverables should be measured. Various activities, tasks and outcomes have to be clearly spelt out in the TORs. States/ULBs should exercise utmost caution in making such appointments on a consultancy basis. The States/ULBs should try and ensure minimum expenditure by selecting/appointing professionals at an appropriate fee rather than immediately opting for the maximum amount indicated by the Centre. However, the calibre of such professionals should be of a good level. If need be, qualified persons from Central/State Government/ULBs could be posted on deputation. The personnel with PMUs/PIUs should work in tandem/collaboration with the State Level Nodal Agency.
- **Fees for Preparation of DPRs:** The States should submit proposals to the concerned Appraisal Agency which had appraised the projects. The Appraisal Agency has a crucial role in examining the claim with particular reference to the various stages of improvement and modifications that were brought out in the DPRs before they were finally approved by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. The Appraisal Agency will submit proposals to the

Ministry for releasing Central Assistance towards the cost of preparation of DPRs (both in the case of DPRs prepared by in-house personnel as well as by consultants). These will be considered by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee. After approval, recommendation will be sent to Ministry of Finance/Ministry of Home Affairs for releasing Central Assistance out of the ACA allocation for the particular State/UT in the case of projects prepared by consultants. The Central Assistance for DPRs prepared through in-house personnel of the States would be released from out of the 1% JNNURM fund in the Budget of Ministry of HUPA as decided in the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee meetings earlier.

- **Community Development Network (CDN):** The State should prioritise and get necessary approval from SLSC/SLCC to the proposals related to Community Development Network (CDN). Such proposals received in the Ministry of HUPA will be appraised by a team working under the GOI-UNDP Project on National Strategy for Urban Poor coordinated by the National Project Coordinator/Deputy Secretary (JNNURM). The reports will then be placed before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee.
- **Status report on already sanctioned projects:** In all meetings, before new projects are taken up, a status report on already sanctioned projects should be brought up before the Committee. This should cover physical and financial progress of projects, utilization of funds and reforms. The States should submit Quarterly Progress reports and brief Monthly Progress Reports, as per prescribed format, without fail to enable the Ministry to report to Prime Minister's Office in time.
- **Third Party Inspection and Monitoring (TPIM) mechanism:** TPIM should be instituted to bring transparency and quality in the implementation of BSUP and IHSDP projects. The Ministry would give necessary assistance to the States for TPIM. Toolkit has been prepared and communicated to the State Governments.
- **Quality of Projects:** Housing for the poor does not mean poor quality housing. Utmost emphasis must be given to the quality of houses for the poor. A vector-free atmosphere and healthy living environment should be ensured in the housing projects under BSUP/IHSDP.

- **Socio-economic Survey:** No efforts should be spared for conducting socio-economic surveys of potential beneficiaries including preparation of bio-metric cards. Such surveys should emphasize housing, health, educational and livelihood profiles of the urban poor. The survey would assist in designing good BSUP/IHSDP projects by taking into account important aspects such as dependency load in the existing schools and hospitals, need for multi-purpose community centres including livelihood centre and informal sector market. *HUDCO and BMTPC have developed good designs of houses and various types of social infrastructure which could be appropriately used while formulating project proposals. A Toolkit has also been published.*
- **City Poverty Reduction Strategy Report.** The city of Rajkot (Gujarat) has brought out a City Poverty Reduction Strategy Report. Other cities/towns may bring out similar reports.
- **Convergence of Health, Education and Social Security:** It is necessary to integrate provisions of Health, Education and Social Security with Housing for the Poor to enable them to lead a better quality of life. The Urban Local Bodies and the State Governments have a critical role to play to ensure proper convergence of facilities under the already available schemes for education, health and social security implemented through different departments/fields. The projects should list out the deficiencies in terms of access to school, primary health centre, provision of social welfare measures so that timely remedial measures in accordance with the socio-economic survey can be taken up.
- **Community Development Network.** The dynamics of the CDN should lead to fraternity with the neighbourhood so that the issues of alienation of all sorts are eliminated. CDN should work towards better inter- and intra- relationships in colonies to get over the dividing forces. This will strengthen a feeling of solidarity among the residents.
- **Projects for in-situ development:** States should come up with projects for in-situ development with good lay-outs and designs. The emphasis should be to provide a better and supportive atmosphere of living. The in-situ development should not end up with creation of another cluster of houses without access to water, sanitation and social infrastructure.
- **Sense of belongingness:** To create a sense of belongingness, the slums may be named in consultation with the intended beneficiaries. Provision of a low cost enclosure around a slum

pocket being covered under BSUP/IHSDP could be considered by a State/ULB in the interest of safety and a sense of local identity, if the cost is not prohibitive.

- **Three reforms core to the urban poor:** special attention should be paid for implementation of the three reforms stipulated under JNNURM that are critical to the urban poor: (i) internal earmarking within local body budgets for basic services to the urban poor; (ii) provision of basic services including the 7-point charter in accordance with agreed timelines; (iii) earmarking at least 20-25% of developed land in all housing projects (both public and private agencies) for EWS/LIG category with a system of cross subsidization. The poor are squeezed out of the urban land market and the issue of land for housing the poor and informal sector activities and security of land tenure must be accorded top-most priority by the State Governments.

6. For the Meeting, the following were put up in the agenda:-

- (i) Proposals for releasing 2nd/3rd instalments to the States of Andhra Pradesh and Maharashtra – **brief details are at Annexure-II**
- (ii) Proposal for setting up of PMU and PIUs in Maharashtra– **brief details are at Annexure-III**

7. The Chairman, CSMC requested the representatives of the State Governments to give an account of the following:-

- (i) *Brief details of physical and financial progress of already approved projects including the actual availability of Central share, State share, ULB share, beneficiary contribution, and loan component; tentative schedule for seeking 2nd instalment of Central share; number of houses actually constructed; stage of progress of housing and infrastructure, reforms etc.;*
- (ii) Basic details of the present proposals such as total project cost and its break up into housing and infrastructure components (percentages); break-up of cost of housing and infrastructure under Central Share, State share, ULB share, Beneficiary contribution, loan, contribution by parastatals if any; and
- (iii) Design of colony layout and house layout proposed.

8.1. Deputy Secretary (JNNURM) presented the proposals for release of 2nd/3rd instalment of Central assistance. He informed the Committee that the prescribed financial parameters have been fulfilled in respect of the proposals for releasing 2nd instalment (1 project in Pune and 3 projects in Visakhapatnam) and for releasing 3rd instalment (1 project each in Vijayawada , Vishakhapatnam and Greater Mumbai).

8.2 Chairman, CSMC, emphasized that the States/ULBs must implement reforms as per the targets in MOA and any delay in that should be addressed immediately. He also reiterated that the projects have to be executed as per sanctioned DPR and any escalation in cost on that account would be borne by the State/ULB. The states of Maharashtra and Andhra Pradesh reiterated commitment to reforms and stated that all pending reforms will be completed soon.

8.3. **Accordingly, the CSMC approved the proposals for release of 2nd instalment (1 project in Pune & 3 projects in Visakhapatnam) and for release of 3rd instalment (1 project each in Vijayawada, Vishakhapatnam and Greater Mumbai). Details of 2nd /3rd instalments approved are at Annexure-IV.**

9. OSD (JNNURM) presented the proposals for setting up of Programme Management Unit (PMU) and Project Implementation Units (PMUs) in the State of Maharashtra. He informed the Committee that the proposals are as per the guidelines in this respect. **Accordingly, the Committee approved the proposals. Details are at Annexure-V & Annexure VI (a) (b) (c).**

10. Concluding the meeting, the Chairman of CSMC and Secretary (HUPA) wished all success for the implementation of JNNURM. He once again urged the States/UTs/ULBs/parastatals (c.g., Development Authority)/implementing agencies/appraisal agencies to adhere to the guidelines as well as undertake measures for smooth implementation of the projects to benefit urban poor.

11. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

10/32
(M)

ANNEXURE-I

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE 40th MEETING OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF BSUP HELD UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SECRETARY (HUPA) ON 26.8.2008

1. Dr. Harjit S. Anand, Secretary, in Chair
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
2. Dr. P.K. Mohanty, Joint Secretary (JNNURM) and Mission Director, Ministry of HUPA
3. Shri D.S. Negi, OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
4. Shri V.K. Gupta, Deputy Financial Adviser, Ministry of Urban Development
5. Shri Vivek Nangia, Deputy Secretary (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
6. Shri N.Venugopalan, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development
7. Shri Deena Nath, Deputy Director, Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance
8. Shri Lalit Kapur, Additional Director, Ministry of Environment & Forests, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex New Delhi.
9. Shri Ashok Kumar, Under Secretary, Ministry of Minority Affairs
10. Shri Sunil Kansal, SO, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare
11. Shri Umraw Singh, Deputy Director, Ministry of HUPA
12. Shri Devendra Singh, Section Officer, Ministry of HUPA
13. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, Statistical Officer, Ministry of HUPA
14. Shri Subir Kumar Bhattacharaya, Financial Adviser, SUDA, Government of West Bengal, Kolkata
15. Shri H.V.L. Zarzoenga, Joint Director, Urban Development and Poverty Alleviation Department, Government of Mizoram, Aizwal
16. Ms. Usha P. Mahavir, Deputy Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi.
17. Shri Pankaj Gupta, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi
18. Shri A.P. Tiwari, Assistant Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi.
19. Shri Medozho Zango, Regional Chief, HUDCO, Aizwal
20. Ms. Radha Roy, Assistant Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi.
21. Shri C.N. Jha, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi
22. Ms Lalrimawii Khiangte, Development Officer, HUDCO, Aizwal
23. Shri Ritabrata Ghosh, Assistant Chief (project), HUDCO, Kolkata
24. Shri G. Das, Adviser, SUDA, Government of West Bengal, ILGUS Building. Complex, Salt Lake
25. Mr. K. Das, Additional Chief Engineer, Municipal Engineering Directorate, Government of West Bengal, Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata-700 091
26. Dr. Raghavendra Seshagiri, Urban Consultant, APUI/IDC, 2nd Floor, AC Guard, Hyderabad
27. Shri K. Roy, Executive Engineer, Municipal Engineering Directorate, Government of West Bengal Bikas Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata
28. Shri Suman Ranjan Sensarma, APC, GOI-UNDP Project on NSUP
29. Shri D.R. Hadadarc, Chief Engineer, MHADA, Maharashtra, Mumbai
30. Shri M. Jayachandran, Deputy Director, Ministry of HUPA

ANNEXURE-II
(40th CSMC-BSUP)

BSUP 40th CSMC 26-08-2008

(Rupees lakhs)

State/ City	Name of Project	Total central share Approve d	State Share approve d	Central share Released, so far			Amount of Central share utilised	%of utilisat ion	Amount of State+ ULB share released	Amount of State+ ULB share utilised	% of utilisat ion	Amount recommended for release as 2 nd /3 rd installment of ACA		% of anno unit reco mme nded to the total centr al share appr oved
				1 st installme nt	2 nd installme nt	Total						2 nd instal lment	3 rd installme nt	
Mumbai/ Maharashtra	BSUP Proposal for LIG Houses for EWS/LIG housing scheme in Mumbai Maharashtra	7122.85	8053.53	1780.71	1780.71	3561.42	2519.52	70.74 %	4596.00	3252.58	70.77 %	--	1780.71	75%

12/32
MSD

BSUP

(Rupees lakhs)

State	Name of city	Name of Project	Total central share Approved	State share approved (Excludg Ben share, A&OE)	Central share Released,			Amount of Central share utilised	%of utilisation	Amount of State+ULB share released	Amount of State+ULB share utilised	%of utilisation	Amount recommended for release as 2 nd /3 rd installment of ACA	% of amount recommended to the total central share approved
					1 st installment	2 nd installment	Total							
Maharashtra	Pune	Integrated Rehabilitation Project for Urban Poor staying in Slums in Ecologically Dangerous Locations in the City of Pune	4962.60	3970.08			1240.65	975.00	78.59%	670.80	975.00	145.35%	1240.65	50%
TOTAL Maharashtra													1240.65	

13/22


Name of city	Name of Project	Total central share approved	State share approved	Central share Released, so			Amount of Central share utilised	% of utilisation	Amount of State+ULB share released	Amount of State+ULB share utilised	% of utilisation	Amount recommended for release as 2 nd /3 rd installment of ACA		% of amount recommended for share approval
				1 st installment	2 nd installment	Total						2 nd installment	3 rd installment	
Vijayawada	Detailed Designs And Estimations for BSUP, Circle-1 Area (8 slums) of VMC	185.02	196.12	46.255	46.255	92.51	66.60	71.99 %	109.69	79.01	72.03%	--	46.255	75%
Visakhapatnam	Providing Infrastructure Facilities to 5 Poor Settlements	4828.90	4828.90	1207.226	--	1207.226	845.780	70.06 %	1207.23	881.54	73.02%	1207.222	--	50%
	Providing Infrastructure Facilities to 11 Poor Settlements	4662.62	4662.62	1165.654	--	1165.654	847.25	72.68 %	1165.650	811.08	69.58%	1165.654	--	50%
TOTAL												2372.876	46.255	

14/32
MS

BSUP 40th CSMC 26-08-2008

(Rupees lakhs)

Name of city	Name of Project	Total central share approved	State Share approved (excluding ben share)	Central share Released, so			Amount of Central share utilised	%of utilisation	Amount of State+ULB share released	Amount of State+ULB share utilised	%of utilisation	Amount recommended for release as 2 nd /3 rd installment of ACA		% of amount reco mme nded to the total central share approved
				1 st installment	2 nd installment	Total						2 nd installment	3 rd installment	
State														
Andhra Pradesh	Visakhapatnam	1372.55	1372.55	343.14	343.14	686.28	506.95	73.87%	686.25	512.37	74.66%	--	343.14	75%
	Providing 7968 houses and basic infrastructure in 6 urban poor settlements in GVMC	4828.65	2309.22	1207.160		1207.160	846.50	70.12%	631.86	443.71	76.86%	1207.16	343.14	50%
	TOTAL											1207.16	343.14	

15/32

A**Proposal for establishment of PMU in Maharashtra****Proposed Financial Support:**

S. No.	Position	No. of Months	Remuneration per month (Rs)	Amount (Rs)
1	Project specialist (Housing and slum Development) – 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
2	Specialist (Social Development) – 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
3	Specialist (Community Mobilization and MIS) -1 No.	12	50,000	600000
4	Specialist (Poverty Management) – 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
5	Research & Training Officer – 1 No.	12	40,000	480000
	Sub Total 1			2880000
6	Travel Expenses (20% of the total cost)			576000
7	Operational Expenditure (10% of total cost)			288000
8	Contingencies Expenses (10% of total cost)			288000
	Sub Total 2			1152000
	GRAND TOTAL			4032000

Observations:

- The total funds to be sanctioned to the state may be restricted to **Rs 40 Lakhs** only per annum keeping in view the ceiling limit as per the toolkit.
- The Educational Qualification and scope of work of the key professionals is in accordance with the guidelines/toolkit of the PMU.
- The proposal for financial support is as per the guidelines.
- The approval is subject to the ratification of the proposal by the State Level Steering Committee (SLSC).
- The financial support, formulation and scope of work of PMU will be strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions laid down in the guidelines/toolkit for establishment of PMU/PIU.

16/32 +
(M)

Appraised Financial Support for PMU in Maharashtra

S. No.	Position	No. of Months	Remuneration per month (Rs)	Amount (Rs)
1	Project specialist (Housing and slum Development) – 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
2	Specialist (Social Development) – 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
3	Specialist (Community Mobilization and MIS) -1 No.	12	50,000	600000
4	Specialist (Poverty Management) – 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
5	Research & Training Officer – 1 No.	12	40,000	480000
	Sub Total 1			2880000
6	Travel Expenses (20% of the total annual support)			960000
7	Operational Expenditure (10% of total annual support)			480000
8	Contingencies Expenses (10% of total annual support)			480000
	Sub Total 2			1920000
	GRAND TOTAL			4800000

Financial support for PMU in Maharashtra		
Total Annual Support for 1st year	Rs	40.00 Lacs
Total Annual Support for 2nd year	Rs	40.00 Lacs
Total Annual Support for 3rd year	Rs	40.00 Lacs
TOTAL SUPPORT	Rs	120.00 Lacs

17/32
MJ

B

Proposal for establishment of four PIUs in Maharashtra: Mumbai, Pune, Nashik & Nagpur

Proposed Financial Support (per PIU):

S. No.	Position	No. of Months	Remuneration per month (Rs)	Amount (Rs)
1	Project Coordinator (Housing and slum Development) - 1 No	12	50,000	600000
2	Social Development Officer - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
3	Livelihoods Development Specialist - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
4	Research Officer - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
5	Research and Training Coordinator - 1 No.	12	20,000	240000
	Sub Total 1			2400000
6	Travel Expenses (20% of total cost)			480000
7	Operational Expenditure (10% of total cost)			240000
8	Contingencies Expenses (10% of total cost)			240000
	Sub Total 2			960000
	GRAND TOTAL			3360000

Observations:

- a The Educational Qualification and scope of work of the key professionals is in accordance with the guidelines/toolkit of the PMU.
- b The proposal for financial support is as per the guidelines.
- c The approval is subject to the ratification of the proposal by the State Level Steering Committee (SLSC).
- d The financial support, formulation and scope of work of PMU will be strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions laid down in the guidelines/toolkit for establishment of PMU/PIU.

18/32 s
(M/c)

Appraised Financial Support per PIU in Maharashtra

S. No.	Position	No. of Months	Remuneration per month (Rs)	Amount (Rs)
1	Project Coordinator (Housing and slum Development) - 1 No	12	50,000	600000
2	Social Development Officer - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
3	Livelihoods Development Specialist - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
4	Research Officer - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
5	Research and Training Coordinator - 1 No.	12	20,000	240000
	Sub Total 1			2400000
6	Travel Expenses (20% of total annual support)			800000
7	Operational Expenditure (10% of total annual support)			400000
8	Contingencies Expenses (10% of total annual support)			400000
	Sub Total 2			1600000
	GRAND TOTAL			4000000

Financial Support for each PIU in Maharashtra		
Total Annual Support for 1st year (100%)	Rs	40.00 Lacs
Total Annual Support for 2nd year (75%)	Rs	30.00 Lacs
Total Annual Support for 3rd year (50%)	Rs	20.00 Lacs
TOTAL SUPPORT	Rs	90.00 Lacs

19/32
 (MS) A

C

Proposal for establishment of PIU in Nanded (Maharashtra)

Proposed Financial Support (per PIU):

S. No.	Position	No. of Months	Remuneration per month (Rs)	Amount (Rs)
1	Project Coordinator (Housing and slum Development) - 1 No	12	30,000	360000
2	Social Development Officer - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
3	Livelihoods Development Specialist - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
4	Research Officer - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
5	Research and Training Coordinator - 1 No.	12	20,000	240000
	Sub Total 1			1680000
6	Travel Expenses (20% of total cost)			336000
7	Operational Expenditure (10% of total cost)			168000
8	Contingencies Expenses (10% of total cost)			168000
	Sub Total 2			672000
	GRAND TOTAL			2352000

Observations:

- a The Educational Qualification and scope of work of the key professionals is in accordance with the guidelines/toolkit of the PMU.
- b The proposal for financial support is as per the guidelines.
- c The approval is subject to the ratification of the proposal by the State Level Steering Committee (SLSC).
- d The financial support, formulation and scope of work of PMU will be strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions laid down in the guidelines/toolkit for establishment of PMU/PIU.

20/32
(M) 8

Appraised Financial Support for PIU in Nanded (Maharashtra)

S. No.	Position	No. of Months	Remuneration per month (Rs)	Amount (Rs)
1	Project Coordinator (Housing and slum Development) - 1 No	12	30,000	360000
2	Social Development Officer - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
3	Livelihoods Development Specialist - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
4	Research Officer - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
5	Research and Training Coordinator - 1 No.	12	20,000	240000
	Sub Total 1			1680000
6	Travel Expenses (20% of total annual support)			560000
7	Operational Expenditure (10% of total annual support)			280000
8	Contingencies Expenses (10% of total annual support)			280000
	Sub Total 2			1120000
	GRAND TOTAL			2800000

Financial Support for PIU in Nanded (Maharashtra)		
Total Annual Support for 1st year (100%)	Rs	28.00 Lacs
Total Annual Support for 2nd year (75%)	Rs	21.00 Lacs
Total Annual Support for 3rd year (50%)	Rs	14.00 Lacs
TOTAL SUPPORT	Rs	63.00 Lacs

21/32
MS 8

D

Proposal for establishment of six PIUs in different regions for Maharashtra (for covering all IHSDP towns)

Proposed PIU structure:

S. No.	Proposed PIU	District Towns covered
1	Pune Region	Pune, Satara, Solapur, Kolhapur & Sangali
2	Konkan Region	Thane, Raigad, Ratnagiri & Sindhudurg
3	Nashik Region	Nashik, Dhule, Jalgaon, Nandurbar & Ahmednagar
4	Amravati Region	Amravati, Akola, Buldhana, Washim,
5	Nagpur Region	Nagpur, Bhandara, Chandrapur, Gadchiroli, Wardha & Gondia
6	Aurangabad Region	Aurangabad, Parbhani, Nanded, Jalna, Latur, Beed, Osmanabad & Hingoli

Proposed Financial Support (per PIU):

S. No.	Position	No. of Months	Remuneration per month (Rs)	Amount (Rs)
1	Project Coordinator (Housing and slum Development) - 1 No	12	50,000	600000
2	Social Development Officer - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
3	Livelihoods Development Specialist - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
4	Research Officer - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
5	Research and Training Coordinator - 1 No.	12	20,000	240000
	Sub Total 1			2400000
6	Travel Expenses (20% of total cost)			480000
7	Operational Expenditure (10% of total cost)			240000
8	Contingencies Expenses (10% of total cost)			240000
	Sub Total 2			960000
	GRAND TOTAL			3360000

22/327
(M)

Observations:

- a The Educational Qualification and scope of work of the key professionals is in accordance with the guidelines/toolkit of the PMU.
- b The proposal for financial support is as per the guidelines.
- c The approval is subject to the ratification of the proposal by the State Level Steering Committee (SLSC).
- d The financial support, formulation and scope of work of PMU will be strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions laid down in the guidelines/toolkit for establishment of PMU/PIU.

Appraised Financial Support per PIU in Maharashtra

S. No.	Position	No. of Months	Remuneration per month (Rs)	Amount (Rs)
1	Project Coordinator (Housing and slum Development) - 1 No	12	50,000	600000
2	Social Development Officer - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
3	Livelihoods Development Specialist - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
4	Research Officer - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
5	Research and Training Coordinator - 1 No.	12	20,000	240000
	Sub Total 1			2400000
6	Travel Expenses (20% of total annual support)			800000
7	Operational Expenditure (10% of total annual support)			400000
8	Contingencies Expenses (10% of total annual support)			400000
	Sub Total 2			1600000
	GRAND TOTAL			4000000

Financial Support for each PIU in Maharashtra		
Total Annual Support for 1st year (100%)	Rs	40.00 Lacs
Total Annual Support for 2nd year (75%)	Rs	30.00 Lacs
Total Annual Support for 3rd year (50%)	Rs	20.00 Lacs
TOTAL SUPPORT	Rs	90.00 Lacs

23/320
(M)

ANNEXURE-IV
40th CSMC

BSUP 40th CSMC 26-08-2008

(Rupees lakhs)

State	Name of city	Name of Project	Total central share approved	State share approved (excluding ben share)	Central share Released, so			Amount of Central share utilised	% of utilisation	Amount of State+ULB share released	Amount of State+ULB share utilised	% of utilisation	Amount recommended for release as 2 nd /3 rd installment of ACA		% of amount recommended to the total central share approved
					1 st installment	2 nd installment	Total						2 nd installment	3 rd installment	
Andhra Pradesh	Visakhapatnam	Providing Infrastructural facilities to VADLAPUDI (Rehabilitated) Poor Settlement in GVMC	1372.55	1372.55	343.14	343.14	686.28	506.95	73.87 %	686.25	512.37	74.66%	--	343.14	
			4828.65	2309.22	1207.160		1207.160	846.50	70.12 %	631.86	443.71	76.86%	1207.16	--	
	TOTAL	Providing 7968 houses and basic infrastructure in 6 urban poor settlements in GVMC											1207.16	343.14	25%

24/32
MDP

BSUP 40th CSMC 26-08-2008

(Rupees lakhs)

State	Name of city	Name of Project	Total central share approved	State share approved	Central share far	1 st installment	2 nd installment	Total	Amount of Central share utilised	% of utilisation	Amount of State+ULB share released	Amount of State+ULB share utilised	% of utilisation	Amount recommended for release as 2 nd /3 rd installment of ACA	3 rd installment	% of amount utilised to the total central share approved	
Andhra Pradesh	Vijaya Vaidya	Detailed Designs And Estimations for BSUP, Circle-1 Area (8 slums) of VMC	185.02	196.12	46.255	46.255	46.255	92.51	66.60	71.99%	109.69	79.01	72.03%	--	46.255		
			4828.90	4828.90	1207.226	--	1207.226	845.780	70.06%	1207.23	881.54	73.02%	1207.222	--			
			4662.62	4662.62	1165.654	--	1165.654	847.25	72.68%	1165.65	811.08	69.58%	1165.654	--			
	TOTAL													2372.876	46.255	25%	

25/32 (mp)

BSUP 40th CSMC 26-08-2008

(Rupees lakhs)

State/ City	Name of Project	Total central share Approve d	State Share approve d	Central share Released, so far			Amount of Central share utilised	%of utilisat ion	Amount of State+ ULB share released	Amount of State+ ULB share utilised	% of utilisat ion	Amount recommended for release as 2 nd /3 rd installment of ACA	2 nd instal lment	3 rd instalme nt	% of anno uit reco mme nded to the total centr al share appre oved
				1 st installme nt	2 nd installme nt	Total									
Mumbai/ Maharashtra	BSUP Proposal for LIG Houses for EWS/LIG housing scheme ³ in Mumbai Maharashtra	7122.85	8053.53	1780.71	1780.71	3561.42	2519.52	70.74 %	4596.00	3252.58	70.77 %	--	1780.71	25%	

26/32
(MD)

BSUP

(Rupees lakhs)

State	Name of Project	Total share Approved	State Share approved (Excludg Ben share, A&OE)	Central share Released,			Amount of Central share utilised	% of utilisation	Amount of State+ULB share released	Amount of State+ULB share utilised	% of utilisation	Amount recommended for release as 2 nd /3 rd installment of ACA		% of amount recommended to the total central share approved
				1 st installment	2 nd installment	Total						2 nd installment	3 rd installment	
Maharashtra	Pune Integrated Rehabilitation Project for Urban Poor staying in Slums in Ecologically Dangerous Locations in the City of Pune	4962.60	3970.08	1240.65	--	1240.65	975.00	78.59%	670.80	975.00	145.35%	1240.65	--	25%
	TOTAL Maharashtra											1240.65		

27/32
(M)

**FINANCIAL SUPPORT APPROVED FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAMME
MANAGEMENT UNIT (PMU) UNDER JNNURM (BSUP& IHSDP) FOR MAHARASHTRA**

S. No.	Position	No. of Months	Remuneration per month (Rs)	Amount (Rs)
A. Cost towards Professionals				
1	Project specialist (Housing and slum Development) – 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
2	Specialist (Social Development) – 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
3	Specialist (Community Mobilization and MIS) -1 No.	12	50,000	600000
4	Specialist (Poverty Management) – 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
5	Research & Training Officer – 1 No.	12	40,000	480000
	Sub Total 1			2880000
B. Non Staff Component				
6	Travel Expenses @ 20% of total annual support			960000
7	10% towards system support cost, stationery, documentation, printing, etc			480000
8	10% towards overheads and other miscellaneous expenses			480000
	Sub Total 2			1920000
	GRAND TOTAL			4800000

1st Installment of Central Assistance for 1st year (50%) Rs 20.00 lakh

Financial support for PMU in Maharashtra		
Total Annual Support for 1st year	Rs	40.00 lakh
Total Annual Support for 2nd year	Rs	40.00 lakh
Total Annual Support for 3rd year	Rs	40.00 lakh
TOTAL SUPPORT	Rs	120.00 lakh

**FINANCIAL SUPPORT APPROVED FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF FOUR PROJECT
IMPLIMENTATION UNITS (PIUs) UNDER JNNURM (BSUP& IIHSDP) FOR
MUMBAI, PUNE, NASHIK & NAGPUR**

S. No.	Position	No. of Months	Remuneration per month (Rs)	Amount (Rs)
A. Cost towards Professionals				
1	Project Coordinator (Housing and slum Development) - 1 No	12	50,000	600000
2	Social Development Officer - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
3	Livelihoods Development Specialist - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
4	Research Officer - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
5	Research and Training Coordinator - 1 No.	12	20,000	240000
	Sub Total 1			2400000
B. Non Staff Component				
6	Travel Expenses @ 20% of total annual support			800000
7	10% towards system support cost, stationery, documentation, printing, etc			400000
8	10% towards overheads and other miscellaneous expenses			400000
	Sub Total 2			1600000
	GRAND TOTAL			4000000

1st Installment of Central Assistance for 1st year (50%)

1	MUMBAI	Rs	20.00	lakh
2	PUNE	Rs	20.00	lakh
3	NASHIK	Rs	20.00	lakh
4	NAGPUR	Rs	20.00	lakh
	Total first instalment	Rs	80.00	lakh

Financial Support for each PIU in Maharashtra	
Total Annual Support for 1st year (100%)	Rs 40.00 lakh
Total Annual Support for 2nd year (75%)	Rs 30.00 lakh
Total Annual Support for 3rd year (50%)	Rs 20.00 lakh
TOTAL SUPPORT	Rs 90.00 lakh

FINANCIAL SUPPORT APPROVED FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF SIX PROJECT
IMPLEMENTATION UNITS (PIUs) IN DIFFERENT REGIONS OF MAHARASHTRA
(for covering all IHSDP towns)

S. No.	Position	No. of Months	Remuneration per month (Rs)	Amount (Rs)
A. Cost towards Professionals				
1	Project Coordinator (Housing and slum Development) - 1 No	12	50,000	600000
2	Social Development Officer - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
3	Livelihoods Development Specialist - 1 No.	12	50,000	600000
4	Research Officer - 1 No.	12	30,000	360000
5	Research and Training Coordinator - 1 No.	12	20,000	240000
	Sub Total 1			2400000
B. Non Staff Component				
6	Travel Expenses @ 20% of total annual support			800000
7	10% towards system support cost, stationery, documentation, printing, etc			400000
8	10% towards overheads and other miscellaneous expenses			400000
	Sub Total 2			1600000
	GRAND TOTAL			4000000

1st Installment of Central Assistance for 1st year (50%)

1	Pune Region	Rs	20.00	lakh
2	Konkan Region	Rs	20.00	lakh
3	Nashik Region	Rs	20.00	lakh
4	Amravati Region	Rs	20.00	lakh
5	Nagpur Region	Rs	20.00	lakh
6	Aurangabad Region	Rs	20.00	lakh
	Total first instalment	Rs	120.00	lakh

Financial Support for each PIU in Maharashtra	
Total Annual Support for 1st year (100%)	Rs 40.00 lakh
Total Annual Support for 2nd year (75%)	Rs 30.00 lakh
Total Annual Support for 3rd year (50%)	Rs 20.00 lakh
TOTAL SUPPORT	Rs 90.00 lakh

PIU structure:

S. No.	Proposed PIU	District Towns covered
1	Pune Region	Pune, Satara, Solapur, Kolhapur & Sangali
2	Konkan Region	Thane, Raigad, Ratnagiri & Sindhudurg
3	Nashik Region	Nashik, Dhule, Jalgaon, Nandurbar & Ahmednagar
4	Amravati Region	Amravati, Akola, Buldhana, Washim,
5	Nagpur Region	Nagpur, Bhandara, Chandrapur, Gadchiroli, Wardha & Gondia
6	Aurangabad Region	Aurangabad, Parbhani, Nanded, Jalna, Latur, Beed, Osmanabad & Hingoli

32/32
MSD