OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject:- Minutes of the 138th meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee of Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 11th January 2013 under the Chairpersonship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction ACA under Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM)

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of the minutes of the 138th meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee of Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 11th January 2013 under the Chairpersonship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction ACA under Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM).

2. The Appraisal agencies (i.e. HUDCO, BMTPC) are requested to convey the decisions of the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee to all the State implementing agencies/nodal agencies for BSUP and IHSDP to take appropriate follow up action as per the minutes of the meeting.

3. A copy of the minutes is forwarded to the Secretaries in-charge of BSUP and IHSDP in States/UTs with a request to take further follow up action.

Encl: Minutes of the meeting

To
Members of the CSMC as follows:
1. The Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, New Delhi.
3. The Principal Adviser (HUD), Planning Commission, Yojana Bhavan, New Delhi.
4. The Secretary, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
5. The Secretary, Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
6. The Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
7. The Secretary, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
8. The Joint Secretary and FA, Ministry of Urban Development and Ministry of HUPA, New Delhi.
11. The CMD, Housing and Urban Development Corporation Ltd., HUDCO Bhavan, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
12. The Mission Director & Additional Secretary (JNNURM & RAY), Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation, New Delhi- Member-Secretary.
Copy to the Secretaries in charge of Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) and Integrated Housing & Slum Development Programme (IHSDP) in the States/UTs:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Principal Secretary, Urban Development &amp; Municipal Administration Department Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat Hyderabad – 500002</th>
<th>The Principal Secretary, Housing Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad -500002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat, Hyderabad-500002.</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary, Urban Development &amp; Tourism, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat, Itanagar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, UD Department, Government of Assam, Dispur, Guwahati -781006.</td>
<td>The Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Additional Secretary &amp; Director (BUDA), Urban Development department, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.</td>
<td>The Secretary (Housing), Government of Bihar Sachivalaya, Patna-800015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Urban Administration &amp; Development Department, Government of Chhattisgarh, Room No.316, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur -492001.</td>
<td>The Secretary (Housing) Government of Goa, Secretariat Annexe, EDC House, Panaji -403001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD) &amp; Housing, Government of Gujarat, Block No 14, 9th Floor, New Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar-382010</td>
<td>The Chief Executive Officer, Gujarat Urban Development Mission, GMFB Building, Sector-10A, Gandhinagar-382016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Government of Haryana, SCO-20 Sec. 7C, Chandigarh- 160001.</td>
<td>The Secretary (UD), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Housing and UD Department, government of Jammu &amp; Kashmir, New Secretariat, Srinagar</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Director, Urban Local Bodies Government of Jammu &amp; Kashmir, 151-A/D, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu.</td>
<td>The Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi-834004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing) Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing) Government of Karnataka, Room No.213, 2nd Floor, Vikas Sauda, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Road, Bangalore- 560001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Principal Secretary of Government UD Department, Government of Karnataka, Room No.436, 4th Floor, Vikas Sauda Dr, B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore 560001</th>
<th>The Secretary (Housing), Government of Kerala, Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram - 695001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram – 695001</td>
<td>The Secretary, Local Self Government, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram- 695001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Executive Director, Kudumbashree, State Poverty Eradication Mission, Government of Kerala 2nd Floor, TRIDA Building, Chalakuzhy Road, Medical College (PO), Thiruvananthapuram 695011.</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary, Urban Administration and Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal -462032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing &amp; Environment), Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Ballabh Bhavan, Bhopal-462032</td>
<td>The Commissioner, Urban Administration &amp; Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Nagar Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar Bhopal-462016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Maharashtra, Room No. 425, 4th floor Mantraalaya, Mumbai-400032</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.268, 2nd Floor, Manralay, Mumbai-400032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner &amp; Secretary, Urban Affairs &amp; Housing, Government of Meghalaya, Main Secretariat Building Shillong-793001</td>
<td>The Secretary, Housing, UD &amp; Municipal Administration, Government of Manipur. Chief Secretariat, Imphal-795001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, Urban Development &amp; Poverty Alleviation Department, Government of Mizoram, Civil Secretariat, Aizwal-796001</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Nagaland, Kohima-797001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, Works &amp; Housing, Government of Nagaland Kohima-797001</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), Government of Orissa, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar-751001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (LSG), Government of Punjab, Mini Secretariat Sector-9, Chandigarh -160001</td>
<td>The Secretary ( Housing &amp; UD), Government of Punjab, Room No.419, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh-160001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary, UDH &amp; LSG Department, government of Rajasthan Room No. 29, Main Building, Secretariat, Jaipur</td>
<td>The Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Rajasthan, Room No. 39, SSO Building, Government Secretariat, Jaipur 302005.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Department of UD &amp; Housing, Government of Sikkim, NH 31A, Gangtok- 737101</td>
<td>The Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), Government of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Secretariat, Chennai-600009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Municipal Administration &amp; Water Supply Government of Tamil Nadu, St.Fort George, Secretariat, Chennai- 9</td>
<td>The Secretary (UD), Government of Tripura Civil Secretariat, Pt. Nehru Complex, Agartala-799001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD &amp; MA) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Room No.825, 8th floor, Bapu Bhawan, Lucknow-226001</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Uttar Pradesh, 325 Bapu Bhavan, Lucknow-226001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Navchetna Kendra 10, Ashok Marg, Lucknow.</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand Secretariat, 4 B, Subhash Road DEHRADUN-248001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Project Director (JNURM), Urban Development Directorate, Government of Uttarakhand, 43/6, Mata Mandir Marg, Dharampur, Dehradun-248001</td>
<td>The Secretary (UD &amp; Housing), Chandigarh Administration, UT Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh-160001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of West Bengal, Nagarayan, DF-8, Sector-1, Bidsannagar, Kolkata 700064</td>
<td>The Secretary, Local Administration Department, Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing), Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605001</td>
<td>The Additional Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi-110002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, 9th Floor, C Wing, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi.</td>
<td>The Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), UT of Daman &amp; Diu, Secretariat, Moti Daman-396220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, (Relief &amp; Rehabilitation), UT of Andaman &amp; Nicobar Islands, Secretariat, Port Blair-7440101</td>
<td>The Chief Town Planner, Town &amp; Country Planning Department, UT Administration of Dadra &amp; Nagar Haveli, 2nd Floor, Secretariat, Silvassa-396230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), UT of Dadra &amp; Nagar Haveli, Secretariat, Silvassa-396220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copy to:

1. Shri Shatrughna Singh, Joint Secretary to Hon’ble Prime Minister, PMO, South Block, New Delhi
2. PS to Hon’ble Minister (HUPA)
3. PS to Secretary (HUPA)
4. Joint Secretary (H), Ministry of HUPA
5. JS (A & C), Ministry of HUPA
6. The Joint Secretary (PP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Room No. 1125, 11th Floor, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, New Delhi.
7. The Joint Secretary (UT), Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi.
8. OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
9. Director (JNNURM & RAY), Ministry of HUPA
10. DS (UPA), Ministry of HUPA
11. US(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
12. JD(JPC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
13. AO (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
14. SO (IHSDP), Ministry of HUPA
15. Deputy Chief (BMTPC) Monitoring Cell (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
16. The Executive Director, BMTPC, Core 5A, First Floor, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003

Copy to:-
   i) I.T. Officer Monitoring Cell (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
   ii) Guard Folder on JNNURM

(Samir Kumar Das)
Deputy Director (BSUP)
Tel.: 23061519
MINUTES OF THE 138th MEETING OF THE CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF THE SUB-MISSION ON BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (BSUP) UNDER JAWAHARLAL NEHRU NATIONAL URBAN RENEWAL MISSION (JNNURM)

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 11th January 2013

The 138th Meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee (CSMC) of the Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) of the Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) was held under the Chairpersonship of the Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation on 11th January 2013 at 3.30 P.M. in Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. The list of participants is at Annexure–I.

2. Director (NBO) & OSD (JNNURM) welcomed the Chairperson and Members of the CSMC and representatives of State Governments, SLNAs/ULBs. The Additional Secretary & Mission Director (JNNURM), Ministry of Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation reiterated that the duration of the JNNURM has been extended for another 2 years i.e. upto 2013-14 for completion of projects sanctioned upto March 2012, and implementation of 3 pro-poor reforms under BSUP and IHSDP components of JNNURM. It was further clarified that sanctioning of new projects under BSUP and IHSDP is not envisaged during this extended period. However, the structural support for Mission as approved from time to time, viz. A&OE charges, PMU, PIU, DPR preparation charges, TPIMA expenditure, funding for various activities related to Project Implementation and Quality Assurance and Community Mobilisation will continue during the extended period i.e. upto 2013-14.

3. The Additional Secretary & Mission Director further informed that the CSMC has been emphasizing on adhering to guidelines regarding the responsibilities of the State/UT Governments, SLNAs and implementing agencies for successful implementation of the projects under BSUP and IHSDP, which have been stated in the Minutes in the past. Since the JNNURM completed its 7-year period and has been extended for another 2 years i.e. upto 31st March 2014, the States/UTs must comply with the CSMC observations recorded in the Minutes of earlier CSMC and circulated to the State/UT Governments from time to time and make all efforts to complete the projects and reforms within the extended period. All States/SLNAs have been requested to furnish city-wise, project-wise work schedule and plan for utilization of ACA released/seeking additional ACA. They have also been requested to expedite the pending compliances for release of ACA and updated
status of Reforms as per the worksheet/s along with Utilisation Certificate before seeking next installment of ACA.

4. The details of the proposals put up and considered by CSMC are given in the succeeding paragraphs.

5. Delhi

5.1 The representative of the State Government presented the following proposals:

Proposals for 2nd installment
i. DPR under BSUP for construction of 5 storeyed low cost housing for slum dwellers at Poothkhurd (Ph.I) Bawana-III, Delhi.
ii. DPR under BSUP for construction of 5 storeyed low cost housing for slum dwellers at Poothkhurd (Ph.III), Bawana-III, Delhi.

5.2 The Committee noted that the physical and financial progress of the projects. However, the physical progress is about 20%. In case of Poothkhurd (Ph.III) project, the figures of amount utilized in the “Form of UC” do not tally with worksheet-2 & GFR-19A. The State was requested to clarify on the issue.

5.3 The State representative informed that the revised “Form of UC” indicating the figures tallying with worksheet-2 & GFR-19A will be furnished soon.

5.4 In view of the assurance of the State confirming sufficient physical progress, satisfactory quality of the projects and completion of all DUs & other sanctioned components, CSMC considered the above 2 BSUP projects for release of 2nd installment of ACA. However, the release of ACA in respect of Poothkhurd (Ph.III), will be recommended on receipt of revised “Form of UC” indicating the figures tallying with worksheet-2 & GFR-19A.

5.5 After detailed discussions, the Committee approved the following proposals:

Proposals for 2nd installment
i. DPR under BSUP for construction of 5 storeyed low cost housing for slum dwellers at Poothkhurd (Ph.I) Bawana-III, Delhi.
ii. DPR under BSUP for construction of 5 storeyed low cost housing for slum dwellers at Poothkhurd (Ph.III), Bawana-III, Delhi.

However, in case of Poothkhurd (Ph.III) (Bawana III) project the release of ACA will be recommended on receipt of revised “Form of UC” indicating the figures tallying with Worksheet-2 & GFR-19A.

Details of the approval are at Annexure II (A & B)

[Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend Central ACA as per para 5.5;

State to take action on the observations of the Committee].

6 Haryana

Proposal for appointment of TPIMA for 11 IHSDP towns/projects

6.1 The OSD (JNNURM) informed that the Deptt of Urban Local Bodies, Got. of Haryana has forwarded a proposal for engagement of TPIMA for 11 IHSDP towns. It is intended to engage M/s WAPCOS-Ltd as TPIMA on the same terms and conditions as was appointment for the 12 IHSDP towns earlier during the course of 85th CSMC meeting held on 5.5.2010. The State Govt. has now proposed appointment of M/s WAPCOS for 11 IHSDP projects at a total cost of Rs. 39.05 lakhs.

6.2 It has been intimated that the ULB Deptt, Haryana had carried out extensive exercise for the selection of TPIMA and got it approved from State Govt. for engaging M/s WAPCOS for TPIMA for IHSDP projects. The State Urban Development Society, Haryana vide its letter dt. 30.3.2012 had forwarded a letter of M/s WAPCOS wherein the Agency had certified that:

i. WAPCOS had submitted the proposal as per the toolkit of TPIMA prescribed by MHUPA.

ii. WAPCOS has no conflict of interest with employer.

iii. Rates quoted by WAPCOS are as per norms defined in toolkit.

iv. Works are within the capacity of WAPCOS.

v. Reports/Inspection notes will be submitted as per prescribed Performa of toolkit.
6.3 The OSD (JNNURM) further informed that the JNNURM has been extended for 2 years up to March 2014 for completion of projects sanctioned till March 2012 and implementation of reforms under JNNURM. During the extended period, sanctioning of new projects is not envisaged under BSUP and IHSDP. However, it was also decided to extend for the same period, the structures and support for Mission as approved from time to time and utilization of funds for the purposes such as A&OE PMU/PIU, DPR preparation charges, TPIMA expenditure, funding for various activities related to Project Implementation and Quality Assurance and Community Mobilization etc. as per the existing guidelines of BSUP/IHSDP and the relevant toolkit for structures and support of the Mission.

6.4 The Committee after detailed discussions approved the proposal to appoint M/s WAPCOS as TPIM Agency for 11 IHSDP projects for the State of Haryana at a total cost of Rs. 39.05 lakhs. The release of fund would be subject to guidelines and provisions of toolkit on reimbursement basis as well as availability of ACA for the State.

(\textit{Action: State Government})

7 Karnataka

\textbf{Proposal for reimbursement of expenditure of TPIMA}

7.1 The OSD (JNNURM) made a presentation seeking reimbursement of expenditure incurred on payment of TPIM charges to the TPIM Agency M/s BLG Construction Services (P) Ltd. for the State of Karnataka.

7.2 The Committee took note of the report of State Government stating that the TPIMA reports have been received as per contract/agreements and discrepancies/variations in the TPIM reports have been corrected/addressed. The Committee observed that the State has furnished the requisite documents/certificates.

7.3 The Committee observed that the State has not furnished evidence of payment to TPIMA and only RTGS transfer of payments have been forwarded as evidence. The State may forward acknowledgement receipt of payment from the Agency. The Committee also noted that the Agency has been paid Rs. 23,25,922/- after deducting TDS @ 10% by the State Govt. as such the total amount payable is Rs. 25,84,358/- which was accepted by the CSMC.

7.4 The Committee approved the proposal seeking reimbursement of expenditure incurred on payment of TPIMA charges of Rs. 25,84,358/- to the
TPIM Agency M/s BLG Constructions Services (P) Ltd. from out of 5% funds with the Deptt of Expenditure.

7.5 After detailed discussions, the Committee approved the following proposals and recommended release of ACA.

Sanction of reimbursement of expenditure of Rs. 25,84,358/- incurred on payment of TPIMA in the State of Karnataka out of 5% funds with the Deptt of Expenditure on receipt of actual receipt from the State Govt.

The Details of the approval are at Annexure-III.

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of expenditure on payment of TPIMA as mentioned at para 7.5 through IFD).

8 Maharashtra

Proposal for reimbursement of expenditure of TPIMA

8.1 The OSD (JNNURM) made a presentation seeking reimbursement of expenditure incurred on payment of TPIM charges to the TPIM Agencies M/s SGS India Pvt Ltd. and M/s National Consultancy for Planning and Engineering (NCPE).

8.2 The Committee took note of the report of State Government stating that the TPIMA reports have been received as per contract/agreements and discrepancies/variations in the TPIM reports have been corrected/addressed. The Committee observed that the State has furnished the requisite documents/certificates.

8.3 The Committee noted that the copies of vouchers have been sent as evidence of payment and a certificate to this effect by SLNA that the amount proposed for reimbursement has been paid to Agency. Similarly, E-payments are also being made through RTGS as evidence of payment by the SLNA. The Committee deliberated on the issue and accepted the mode of payment duly certified by the SLNA. With regard to claim of payment of Service Tax, it was observed that if the service tax or TDS is actually made with documentary evidence, only in that case the amount may be considered for reimbursement.

8.4 The Committee approved the proposal seeking reimbursement of expenditure incurred on payment of TPIMA charges of Rs. 59,38,560/- to the TPIM Agencies i.e. M/s SGS India Pvt Ltd. and M/s National Consultancy for
Planning and Engineering (NCPE) from out of 5% funds with the Deptt of Expenditure.

8.5 After detailed discussions, the Committee approved the following proposals and recommended release of ACA.

Sanction of reimbursement of expenditure of Rs. 59,38,560/- incurred on payment of TPIMA in the State of Maharashtra out of 5% funds with the Deptt of Expenditure.

The Details of the approval are at Annexure-IV.

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of expenditure on payment of TPIMA as mentioned at para 8.5 through IFD).

9 Odisha

9.1 The representative of the State Government presented the following proposals:

i. **Proposal for 2nd installment**
   BSUP scheme for Puri

ii. **Proposal for 3rd installment**
   BSUP scheme for construction of 192 DUs at Bhubaneshwar

iii. **Proposal for additional requirement of funds under JNNURM**

9.2 **Proposal for 2nd installment**
BSUP scheme for Puri

9.2.1 The TPIMA report was also presented in the meeting. The BMTPC analysed the TPIMA report. The Committee noted the following:

- 159 DUs are non-started out of 295 DUs & most of the Infrastructure work is yet to start.
- Overall physical progress of project is 23.73% which is less & need to be accelerated.
- Action taken report on previous report is not enclosed, progress of road work in infrastructure work is mismatching with the progress of road work shown.
• TPIM agency is also not sure about the structural stability of project. SLNA/ULB shall clarify the steps taken to ensure the quality control at site.
• Executing agency shall maintain the frequency of material testing as per the standards & specifications.

9.2.2 The Committee took note of the physical progress of the project and advised the representative of the State Govt. to further expedite the completion of construction of DUs as well as infrastructure work at the earliest. Besides, the Committee also observed that any cost escalation in view of delay in execution of the project, will have to be borne by the State/ULB.

9.2.3 In view of the assurance of the State confirming sufficient physical progress, satisfactory quality of the projects and completion of all DUs & other sanctioned components, CSMC considered the above BSUP project for release of 2nd installment of ACA.

9.3 Proposal for 3rd installment
BSUP scheme for construction of 192 DUs at Bhubaneshwar

9.3.1 The BMTPC reviewed the TPIMA report. The Committee noted that out of 192 sanctioned DUs, work order for all DUs have been issued and 50% of work on all the DUs is completed. The overall quality of construction is satisfactory as reported by TPIMA.

9.3.2 In view of the assurance of the State confirming sufficient physical progress, satisfactory quality of the projects, redressal of TPIMA comments & completion of all DUs & other sanctioned components, CSMC considered the above BSUP projects for release of third installment of ACA.

9.3.3 The Committee also directed SLNA to ensure that the 3 pro-poor reforms are in place and all 3 Pro-poor Reforms certificates in the prescribed formats be furnished before claiming 4th installment of ACA.

9.4 Proposal for additional requirement of funds under JNNURM

9.4.1 The State representative informed that there has been escalation of cost in sanctioned projects. It was further informed that the State has provided additional funds to the concerned ULBs form the State budget in anticipation of approval from Govt. of India.
9.4.2 The Committee informed that there is no provision for meeting any additional funds from the JNNURM and any cost escalation will have to be borne by the State Government. It was further informed that no proposal for meeting any additional fund requirement be made to the Govt. of India as the 7-Year Mission period is already over and extended only for completion of sanctioned projects.

9.5 After detailed discussions, the Committee approved the following proposals:

i. Proposals for 2nd installment
   BSUP scheme for Puri

ii. Proposals for 3rd installment
    BSUP scheme for construction of 192 DUs at Bhubaneshwar

The proposal for additional requirement of funds under JNNURM is not agreed to by the CSMC.

Details of the approval are at Annexure V and VI.

[Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend Central ACA as per para 9.5;
State to take action on the observations of the Committee].

10 Uttar Pradesh

Proposal for reimbursement of expenditure of TPIMA

10.1 The OSD (JNNURM) made a presentation seeking reimbursement of expenditure incurred on payment of TPIMA charges to the TPIM Agencies M/s Shristi Urban Infrastructure Development Ltd., New Delhi and M/s IPE Pvt Ltd., New Delhi for the State of Uttar Pradesh

10.2 The Committee took note of the report of the State Government stating that the TPIMA reports have been received as per contract/agreements and discrepancies/variants in the TPIM reports have been corrected/addressed. The Committee observed that the State has furnished the requisite documents/certificates.
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10.3 The Committee approved the proposal seeking reimbursement of expenditure incurred on payment of TPIMA charges of Rs. 1,07,87,340/- to the TPIM Agencies M/s Shristi Urban Infrastructure Development Ltd., New Delhi and M/s IPE Pvt Ltd., New Delhi from out of 5% funds with the Deptt of Expenditure.

10.4 After detailed discussions, the Committee approved the following proposals and recommended release of ACA.

Sanction of reimbursement of expenditure of Rs. 1,07,87,340/- incurred on payment of TPIMA in the State of Uttar Pradesh out of 5% funds with the Deptt of Expenditure.

The Details of the approval are at Annexure-VII.

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of expenditure on payment of TPIMA as mentioned at para 10.4 through IFD).

11 West Bengal

11.1 The representative of the State Government presented the following proposals:

a. **Proposals for 3rd installment**
   i. BSUP scheme for the town of Maheshtala (Ph.II), Kolkata.
   ii. BSUP scheme for the town of Baruipur (Ph.II), Kolkata.
   iii. BSUP scheme for the town of Chandan Nagore (Ph.III), Kolkata.

b. **Proposals for 4th installment**
   i. BSUP scheme for the town of Rishra (Ph.II).
   ii. BSUP scheme for the town of Rajpur- Sonarpur (Ph.I).
   iii. BSUP scheme for the town of Khardah (Ph.II)
   iv. BSUP scheme for the town of Barasat (Ph.II), Kolkata.
   v. BSUP scheme for the town of Maheshthala (Ph.I), Kolkata.

11.2 **Proposals for 3rd installment**
   i. BSUP scheme for the town of Maheshtala (Ph.II), Kolkata.
   ii. BSUP scheme for the town of Baruipur (Ph.II), Kolkata.
iii. BSUP scheme for the town of Chandan Nagore (Ph.III), Kolkata.

11.2.1 The TPIMA reports were analyzed by HUDCO. The Committee noted the following:

a. BSUP scheme for the town of Maheshtala (Ph.II), Kolkata.

It was informed that out of 2167 sanctioned DUs, 631 DUs completed, and 1536 DUs are in progress. The overall physical progress of housing and infrastructure are 65.89% and 0.00% respectively. The overall physical progress including housing and infrastructure works is 32.94%. DUs and infrastructure work is far behind schedule. The Committee noted that the overall quality of construction, as reported by TPIMA, is generally satisfactory but there is need to monitor quality of the project and to ensure the mandatory quality test requirements and upkeep their records. SLNA has also certified that the overall quality is satisfactory.

b. BSUP scheme for the town of Baruipur (Ph.II), Kolkata.

It was informed that out of 1982 sanctioned DUs, 600 DUs completed, and 491 DUs are in progress. 891 DUs have not been taken up. The overall physical progress of housing and infrastructure are 41.75% and 25.71% respectively. The overall physical progress including housing and infrastructure works is 35.66%. DUs and infrastructure work is far behind schedule. The Committee noted that the overall quality of construction, as reported by TPIMA is satisfactory. SLNA has also certified that the overall quality is good.

c. BSUP scheme for the town of Chandan Nagore (Ph.III), Kolkata.

It was informed that out of 1177 sanctioned DUs, 490DUs completed, and 597DUs are in progress. 90 DUs have not been taken up. The overall physical progress of housing and infrastructure are 62.37% and 9.40% respectively. The overall physical progress including housing and infrastructure works is 39.60%. DUs and infrastructure work is far behind schedule. The Committee noted that the overall quality of construction as reported by TPIMA is satisfactory but there is need to monitor quality of the project and to ensure the mandatory quality test requirements and upkeep their records. SLNA has also certified that the overall quality is satisfactory.

11.2.2 The Committee noted that while the progress in all the projects is good, there is a short release of Rs. 48.97 lakhs of proportionate State share in case of 1 project at Baruipur (Ph.II). Similarly in case of Baruipur, work
started only for 1091 DUs and work on 891 DUs are yet to be started. The overall quality is satisfactory as reported by TPIMA.

11.2.3 The Committee advised the State Govt. to accelerate the pace of the project, improve the quality of construction, rectify the defects reported by TPIMA, ensure quality management system is in place and structural safety of the project, ensure mandatory test requirements and complete the project along-with the infrastructure work at the earliest.

11.2.4 The State representative assured that the compliances on the observations of the Committee & TPIMA and also assured to take all necessary steps to ensure the expediting infrastructure and housing work.

11.2.5 In view of the assurance of the State confirming sufficient physical progress, satisfactory quality of the projects, redressal of TPIMA comments & completion of all DUs & other sanctioned components, CSMC considered the above BSUP projects for release of third installment of ACA. The CSMC also directed the State to complete the projects within the extended Mission period and submit the claims for final installment along with supporting documents at the earliest.

11.3 Proposals for 4th installment

i. BSUP scheme for the town of Rishra (Ph.II).
ii. BSUP scheme for the town of Rajpur-Sonarpur (Ph.I).
iii. BSUP scheme for the town of Khardah (Ph.II)
iv. BSUP scheme for the town of Barasat (Ph.II), Kolkata.
v. BSUP scheme for the town of Maheshthala (Ph.I), Kolkata.

11.3.1 The HUDCO analysed the TPIMA report. The Committee noted the following:

a. BSUP project at Rishra (Ph.II)

It was informed that out of 1643 sanctioned DUs, 1272 DUs completed, and 371 DUs are in progress. The overall physical progress of housing and infrastructure are 82.04% and 51.08% respectively. The overall physical progress including housing and infrastructure works is 66.56%. DUs and infrastructure work is far behind schedule. The Committee noted that the overall quality of construction, as reported by TPIMA, is satisfactory but there is need to monitor quality of the project and to ensure the mandatory quality test requirements and upkeeping their records. SLNA has also certified that the overall quality is satisfactory.
b. BSUP scheme for the town of Rajpur-Sonarpur (Ph.I) Municipality,

It was informed that out of 2135 sanctioned DUs, 1705 DUs completed, and 375 DUs are in progress. 55 DUs have not been taken up. The overall physical progress of housing and infrastructure are 92.27% and 68.82% respectively. The overall physical progress including housing and infrastructure works is 80.55%. DUs and infrastructure work is far behind schedule. The Committee noted that the overall quality of construction as reported by TPIMA, is average to good. SLNA has also certified that the overall quality is good.

c BSUP Scheme for the town of Kardah (Ph-II)

The HUDCO analysed the TPIMA report. It was informed that out of 1330 sanctioned DUs, 960 DUs completed, and 370 DUs are in progress. The overall physical progress of housing and infrastructure are 73.68% and 68.87% respectively. The overall physical progress including housing and infrastructure works is 71.27%. DUs and infrastructure work is far behind schedule. The Committee noted that the overall quality of construction as reported by TPIMA, is generally satisfactory. SLNA has also reported the overall quality is good.

d BSUP scheme for the town of Barasat (Ph.II), Kolkata.

The HUDCO analysed the TPIMA report. It was informed that out of 2486 sanctioned DUs, 910 DUs completed, and 1537 DUs are in progress. 39 DUs have not been taken up. The overall physical progress of housing and infrastructure are 67.80% and 19.48% respectively. The overall physical progress including housing and infrastructure works is 49.54%. DUs and infrastructure work is far behind schedule. The salinity, dampness and water percolates through the roof of Dwelling units in Wireless Para Slum were observed by the TPIMA. The Committee noted that the overall quality of construction, as reported by TPIMA, is poor.

e. BSUP scheme for the town of Maheshthala (Ph.I), Kolkata.

The HUDCO analysed the TPIMA report and it was informed that out of 2622 sanctioned DUs, 1875 DUs completed, and 747 DUs are in progress. The overall physical progress of housing and infrastructure are 86% and 64.54% respectively. The overall physical progress including housing and infrastructure works is 75.27%. DUs. The infrastructure work is far behind schedule. The infrastructure work is almost stopped.
and in view of this TPIMA has observed that the project may be deemed to be curtailed to the extent of infrastructure progress (64.54%). Further, Mayor Maheshtala Municipality has also endorsed the view of TPIMA. The Committee noted that the overall quality of construction, as reported by TPIMA, is generally satisfactory.

11.3.2 The Committee also noted that in case of projects at Rishra Ph.II, Rajpur-Sonarpur (Ph.I) and Maheshtala (Ph.I), the tender cost is less than approved cost. It was also pointed out that in case of Rajpur Sonarpur project, it was desired that a Structural Safety Certificate from the prescribed competent authority of West Bengal may be submitted before making request for 4th installment of Central ACA. Similarly, in case of Khardah (Ph.II) project, State was requested to provide Undertaking on the unit plan, structural design and construction mythologies adopted as per recommendation of the technical Committee.

11.3.3 The State representative informed that the structural safety and construction mythologies adopted has already been submitted by the concerned Technical Sector (CE, M.E. Directorate). The structural stability design of DUs has been checked and found safe by the M.E. Directorate, Govt. of West Bengal which was also approved by MoHUPA and CSMC in its 110th meeting held on 30th May 2011.

11.3.4 In case of 1 BSUP project at Maheshtala (Ph.I), it was noticed that the structural stability design as certified by the Govt. of West Bengal has been accepted. The SLNA shall make it sure that the DUs and infrastructure work is completed in all respect as per covenant of the sanctioned DPR before handing it over to the beneficiaries, failing which refund the proportionate ACA along with interest as per provisions of GFRs.

11.3.5 In case of Rishra (Ph.II) and Rajpur-Sonarpur (Ph.I), the Committee directed that the savings in Central ACA due to less tendered cost may be refunded with interest as per the GFRs.

11.3.6 In case of 1 BSUP project at Barasat Ph.II, the Committee noted that that the DUs executed by the Trust are of good quality whereas the DUs executed by the Contractors are of poor quality. The Committee directed the State to take corrective measures to bring the quality of the DUs executed by the Contractors upto mark so that ACA can be considered. The State may also put in place adequate safeguard mechanism to ensure that such type of cases may not happen in any other BSUP/IHSDP project in the State of West Bengal.
11.3.7 With regard to status of reforms, it was informed that the 3 pro-poor reforms certificates in respect of the above projects have since been furnished.

11.3.8 In view of the assurance of the State confirming sufficient physical progress, satisfactory quality of the projects, redressal of TPIMA comments and completion of all DUs & other sanctioned components, CSMC considered the above BSUP projects except Barasat (Ph.II) for release of 4th installment of ACA.

11.4 After detailed discussions, the Committee approved the following proposals:-

a. **Proposals for 3rd installment**
   i. BSUP scheme for the town of Maheshtala (Ph.II), Kolkata.
   ii. BSUP scheme for the town of Baruipur (Ph.II), Kolkata.
   iii. BSUP scheme for the town of Chandan Nagore (Ph.III), Kolkata.

b. **Proposals for 4th installment**
   i. BSUP scheme for the town of Rishra (Ph.II).
   ii. BSUP scheme for the town of Rajpur, Sonapur (Ph.I).
   iii. BSUP scheme for the town of Khardah (Ph.II).
   iv. BSUP scheme for the town of Maheshtala (Ph.I), Kolkata.

The Committee also directed that any un-utilised Central ACA by way of dropping of any component or less tender cost may be refunded with applicable interest as per provision of GFRs.

The Committee, however, deferred proposal for 1 BSUP scheme for the town of Barasat (Ph.II), Kolkata as per its observations at para 11.3.6.

Details of the approval are at Annexure VIII (A to C) and IX (A to D).

[Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend Central ACA as per para 11.4;

State to take action on the observations of the Committee].

12. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.
LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE 138th MEETING OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF BSUP HELD UNDER THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SECRETARY (HUPA) ON 11.1.2013

1. Shri Arun Kumar Misra, Secretary, ... in Chair Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
2. Dr. P.K. Mohanty, Add. Secretary & Mission Director (JnNURM), MoHUPA
3. Mrs. Alka Selot Ashana, Director (JnNURM), MoHUPA, New Delhi
4. Shri D.S. Negi, OSD (JnNURM & RAY), MoHUPA, New Delhi
5. Shri V.P. Baligar, CMD, HUDCO, New Delhi.
6. Dr. Shailesh Kumar Agrawal, ED, BMTPC, New Delhi
7. Ms. Usha Prasad Mahavir, GM(P), HUDCO, New Delhi
8. Ms. Radha Roy, DGM(P), HUDCO, New Delhi
9. Shri Naresh Kumar, DGM(P), HDUCO, New Delhi
10. Shri Rakesh Shrivastava, SNPUPR, New Delhi
11. Ms. Vineeta Harinaran, SNPUPR, New Delhi
12. Shri S.A. Laharwal, Director, Urban Local Bodies, ULB J&K (Kashmir)
13. Dr. Sunil Pareek, RUIDFDCO (SLNA for RAY), Jaipur (Rajasthan)
14. Shri Satish Chandra Verma, DUDA, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh
15. Shri Manish Kumar, CURE, Agra, Uttar Pradesh
16. Shri Sanjay Gupta, Consultant, MIS YASHI on Govt of Rajasthan, Jaipur
17. Shri Inder Singh Solanki, Secretary, UIT, Alwar, Rajasthan
19. Shri Shahena CURE, Agra Nagar Nigam, Uttar Pradesh
20. Shri Manish Kumar, Program Manager, CURE, Agra Nagar Nigam Uttar Pradesh
21. Ms. Renu Khosla, Director, CURE, Agra Nagar Nigam, Uttar Pradesh
22. Shri Anamika Saxena, PODODO, Agra, Agra Nagar Nigam, Uttar Pradesh
23. Shri Parveen Gulia, Consultant Yashi Consulty Services Pvt. Ltd, Jaipur Rajasthan
24. Ms. Anjana Panda (QAS), Deputy Secretary, H&UD Deptt. G/o Odisha Bhubaneswar
25. Shri R.N. Goel, C&DS, UP Jal Nigam, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh
26. Shri V.K. Yaduvanshi, Adl. Director, SUDA, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh
27. Shri Pravir Kumar, Pr. Secy. UD, G/o Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow
28. Shri Naresh Salesha, J&FA, M/o UD, New Delhi
29. Shri G. Bansal, Director (PF-I), Deptt. of Expenditure, M/o Finance, New Delhi
30. Shri Kulvinder Singh, Addl. Comm(T), M.C. Jalandhar, Punjab
31. Shri V.P. Singh, Chief Engineer, Local Govt. Chandigarh, Punjab
32. Shri Pankaj Gupta, Dy. Chief, BMTPC, New Delhi
33. Shri C.N. Jha, Dy. Chief, BMTPC, New Delhi
34. Shri Sanjay Lahri, GM (Enng.), RUIDFDCO, Jaipur
35. Shri Moti Lal Meena, Municipal Corporation Bharatpur, Rajasthan
36. Shri M.B. Gupta, UIT Bharatpur, Rajasthan
37. Shri D.K. Meena, S.E, Nagar Nigam Jaipur, Rajasthan
38. Shri A. Mengi, DSE, PWD, Leh, Jammu & Kashmir
39. Shri Nirmal Kumar Patel, Chief Officer, Himmat Nagar, Gujarat
40. Shri Resham Ram Hooda, Ex.En. UIT, Ajmer, UIT, Ajmer, Rajasthan
40. Shri Sanjay Kumar, S.E., DSIIDC, Delhi
41. Shri G.S. Agarwal, EE, DSIIDC, Delhi
42. Shri P.K. Jain, Executive Engineer, U.I.T. Alwar, Rajasthan
43. Shri R. Silakari, Aakar Consultant Sagar, Bharatpur, Madhya Pradesh
44. Shri Haj Mohd Ali, Executive Engineer, R&B Div., Leh Ladakh, Jammu & Kashmir
45. Shri B.K. Saini, DUDA, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh
46. Shri S.V. Singh Rajpat, DUDA, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh
47. Shri U.K. Singh, DUDA, Mainpuri, Uttar Pradesh
48. Shri Dhan Raj Karh, ARO, DUDA, Uttar Pradesh
49. Shri G. Singh, REC&DS Jai Nigam, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh
50. Shri Dulal Das, Chairman Maheishtala Municipal, Kolkata, West Bengal
51. Shri S.K. Pattanayak, SAE, Maheishtala Municipality, Kolkata, West Bengal
52. Shri Himadri Ray, Chief Engineer, BSUP/KMDA, Kolkata
53. Shri Palsah Gosh, PMU/KMDA, West Bengal
54. Shri Ravinder Singh, Asstt. Engineer, Municipal Council Batala, Punjab
55. Shri Rajesh Sahni, Asstt. Municipal Eng., M.C. Batala, Punjab
56. Shri Arun Gupta, HIMUDA, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh
57. Shri Umesh Sharma, Executive Engineer, HIMUDA, Himachal Pradesh
58. Shri Ashwani Comm(RC) & ED, RUIDFCD, Jaipur
59. Shri Balamurugan, Secretary (LG), RUIDFDCO, Punjab
60. Shri S K Das, DD (BSUP), MoHUPA, New Delhi
61. Shri Umraw Singh, Jt. Director, MoHUPA, New Delhi
62. Shri Praveen Suri, S.Analyst, JnNURM Cell, MoHUPA, New Delhi
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the State</th>
<th>Name of the City</th>
<th>Name of the Project</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
<th>Total Central share Approved</th>
<th>Total State Share Approved (Excluding Beneficiary &amp; other expenses)</th>
<th>1st Instalment of Central Share Released</th>
<th>Amount of Central Share utilized</th>
<th>% of Utilisation</th>
<th>Amount of State Share released</th>
<th>Amount of State Share utilized</th>
<th>% of Utilisation</th>
<th>Amount Approved/recommended for release as 2nd instalment of ACA</th>
<th>% of amount recommended to the total Central share approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Delhi</td>
<td>Detailed Project report for &quot;Construction of Five Storeyed Low Cost housing for Slum Dwellers at Poothkhurd, Phase-I (BAWANA-III)&quot;</td>
<td>35061.24</td>
<td>16480.51</td>
<td>13344.22</td>
<td>4120.13</td>
<td>4120.13</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2627.52</td>
<td>4528.31</td>
<td>172%</td>
<td>4120.13</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Delhi</td>
<td>Detailed Project report for &quot;Construction of Five Storeyed Low Cost housing for Slum Dwellers at Poothkhurd, Phase-III (BAWANA-III)&quot;</td>
<td>41629.06</td>
<td>19576.19</td>
<td>15819.75</td>
<td>4894.05</td>
<td>4894.05</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4786.40</td>
<td>4786.40</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4894.05</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FOR DELHI (2 PROJECTS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36056.70</td>
<td>9014.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Statement for Reimbursement of Expenditure Incurred on Payment of TPIMA for the Govt. of Karnataka for Consideration by CSMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of Work awarded/approved by CSMC (Rs. in lakh)</th>
<th>No. &amp; Date of CSMC</th>
<th>Total amount recommended so far for release by CSMC (in Rs.)</th>
<th>Total amount released by MoF (Rs. in lakh)</th>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description of Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate as per Tender (in Rs.)</th>
<th>Total amount (in Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72nd dt 25.11.09, 94th dt 2.12.10 &amp; 99th dt 31.1.11</td>
<td>Nil (Rs. 25.84 lakh during the present proposal)</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Name of Agency: M/s BLG Construction Services (P) Ltd.  
   Invoice No. Nil dated 19.4.2011
   - a. Providing On Site Visits for the Projects (Package 1)  
     Quantity: 11  
     Rate: 17,000  
     Total: 1,87,000
   - b. Providing On Site Visits for the Projects (Package 2)  
     Quantity: 08  
     Rate: 21,000  
     Total: 1,68,000
   - c. Providing Desk Reviews Reports (Package 2)  
     Quantity: 04  
     Rate: 1,20,000  
     Total: 4,80,000
   Total: 8,35,000
   Service Tax @10.30% Nil  
   Total Amount Paid (A): 8,35,000

2. Invoice No. Nil dated 27.02.2012
   - a. Providing On Site Visits for the Projects (Package 1)  
     Quantity: 19  
     Rate: 17,000  
     Total: 3,23,000
   - b. Providing On Site Visits for the Projects (Package 2)  
     Quantity: 13  
     Rate: 21,000  
     Total: 2,73,000
   - c. Providing Desk Reviews Reports (Package 1)  
     Quantity: 09  
     Rate: 1,10,000  
     Total: 9,90,000
   Total: 15,86,000
   Service Tax @10.30%  
   Total Amount Paid (B): 1,63,358
   Grand Total Amount proposed by the KUIDFC Ltd. (A+B): 25,84,358
# Statement for Reimbursement of Expenditure Incurred on Payment of TPIMA for the Govt. of Maharashtra for Consideration by CSMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description of Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate as per Tender (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Rate Proposed (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Total amount (In Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>BSUP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>26,100</td>
<td>7,56,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>IHSDP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,15,000</td>
<td>1,03,500</td>
<td>7,24,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,81,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description of Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate as per Tender (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Rate Proposed (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Total amount (In Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>BSUP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34,500</td>
<td>31,050</td>
<td>31,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>IHSDP</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>26,500</td>
<td>23,850</td>
<td>11,68,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>IHSDP</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26,700</td>
<td>24,030</td>
<td>4,80,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>IHSDP</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>24,300</td>
<td>1,94,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>IHSDP</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>25,200</td>
<td>22,680</td>
<td>4,76,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.</td>
<td>IHSDP</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>25,800</td>
<td>23,220</td>
<td>92,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g.</td>
<td>IHSDP</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>23,400</td>
<td>1,17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h.</td>
<td>IHSDP</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>1,17,000</td>
<td>1,05,300</td>
<td>11,58,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18,96,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Amount paid and proposed to be reimbursed for NCPE (B)**

**Grand Total paid and proposed to be reimbursed (A+B)**

**Details of instant proposal**

**Name of Agency:** M/s SGS India Pvt. Ltd.

**Providing On Site Visits for the Projects**

**Name of Agency:** M/s NCPE

**Providing On Site Visits for the Projects**

**Providing Desk Reviews for the Project**

**Service Tax @10.30%**

Total Amount paid and proposed to be reimbursed for NCPE (B)

Grand Total paid and proposed to be reimbursed (A+B)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the State</th>
<th>Name of the City</th>
<th>Name of the Project</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
<th>Total Central share Approved</th>
<th>Total State Share Approved</th>
<th>% of Utilisation</th>
<th>Amount of Central Share utilised</th>
<th>Amount of State Share released</th>
<th>Amount of State Share recommended for release as 2nd instalment of ACA</th>
<th>% of utilisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ORISSA</td>
<td>Puri</td>
<td>BSUP Scheme for the town of Puri, Orissa</td>
<td>927.78</td>
<td>674.75</td>
<td>168.69</td>
<td>147.39</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>51.14</td>
<td>44.59</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FOR ORISSA</td>
<td>(1 PROJECT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>674.75</td>
<td>168.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>168.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td>Total Central Share Approved</td>
<td>Total State Share Approved (Excluding Beneficiary &amp; other expenses)</td>
<td>Central Share released so far</td>
<td>Amount of Central Share utilized</td>
<td>% of Utilisation</td>
<td>Amount of State Share released</td>
<td>Amount of State share utilized</td>
<td>% of utilisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORISSA</td>
<td>BSUP Scheme for the construction of 192 DUs at Bhubneshwar, Orissa</td>
<td>745.26</td>
<td>541.01</td>
<td>97.43</td>
<td>135.25</td>
<td>270.50</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50.72</td>
<td>50.72</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL FOR ORISSA**

(1 PROJECT) | 541.01 | 135.25 | 135.25 | 270.50 | | | | | | | 135.25 | |
# STATEMENT FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENDITURE INCURRED ON PAYMENT OF TPIMA FOR THE GOVT. OF UTTAR PRADESH FOR CONSIDERATION BY CSMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description of Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate as per Tender (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Rate Proposed (in Rs.)</th>
<th>Total amount (In Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Providing On Site Visits for the Projects.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. BSUP</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. IHSDP</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (a+b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12,60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Providing Desk Reviews for the Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. BSUP</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. IHSDP</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>30,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (a+b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (1+2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53,60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Tax @10.30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,52,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Amount paid and proposed to be reimbursed for M/s Shristi (A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59,12,080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Name of Agency: M/s Shristi Urban Infrastructure Development Ltd., New Delhi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description of Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate as per Tender (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Rate Proposed (in Rs.)</th>
<th>Total amount (In Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Providing On Site Visits for the Projects.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. BSUP</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. IHSDP</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (a+b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Providing Desk Reviews for the Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. BSUP</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. IHSDP</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (a+b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (1+2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44,20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Tax @10.30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,55,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Amount paid and proposed to be reimbursed for M/s IPE (B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48,75,260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Name of Agency: M/s IPE Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description of Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate as per Tender (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Rate Proposed (in Rs.)</th>
<th>Total amount (In Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Providing On Site Visits for the Projects.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. BSUP</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. IHSDP</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (a+b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Providing Desk Reviews for the Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. BSUP</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. IHSDP</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (a+b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (1+2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44,20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Tax @10.30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,55,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Amount paid and proposed to be reimbursed (A+B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48,75,260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Name of Agency: M/s IPE Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi

---

**Amount of Work awarded/approved by CSMC (Rs. in lakh):** 524.70  
**No. & Date of CSMC:** 69th, 29.10.20 09  
**Total amount recommended so far for release by CSMC (in Rs.):** Nil (Rs. 107.87 lakh during the present proposal)  
**Total amount released by MoF (Rs. in lakh):** Nil  
**Grand Total paid and proposed to be reimbursed (A+B):** 1,07,87,340
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the State</th>
<th>Name of the City</th>
<th>Name of the Project</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
<th>Total Central share Approved</th>
<th>Total State Share Approved</th>
<th>Central Share released so far</th>
<th>Amount of Central Share utilized</th>
<th>% of Utilisation</th>
<th>Amount of State Share released</th>
<th>Amount of State share utilized</th>
<th>% of utilisation</th>
<th>Amount Approved/recommended for release as 3rd instalment of ACA</th>
<th>% of amount recommended to the total Central share approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Kolkata (Maheshtala)</td>
<td>BSUP Scheme for the town of Maheshtala (Phase-II), West Bengal</td>
<td>5600.18</td>
<td>2800.11</td>
<td>2800.07</td>
<td>735.67</td>
<td>664.39</td>
<td>1400.06</td>
<td>1125.00</td>
<td>1731.00</td>
<td>1364.85</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Kolkata (Baruipur)</td>
<td>BSUP Scheme for the town of Baruipur (Phase-II), West Bengal</td>
<td>5583.61</td>
<td>2791.81</td>
<td>2791.80</td>
<td>697.95</td>
<td>697.95</td>
<td>1395.90</td>
<td>1085.00</td>
<td>1398.83</td>
<td>1100.02</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Kolkata (Chandernagore)</td>
<td>BSUP Scheme for the town of Chandernagore (Phase-III), West Bengal</td>
<td>3040.75</td>
<td>1520.38</td>
<td>1520.37</td>
<td>380.09</td>
<td>380.09</td>
<td>760.18</td>
<td>597.00</td>
<td>855.42</td>
<td>680.60</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FOR WEST BENGAL (3 PROJECTS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7112.30</td>
<td>1813.71</td>
<td>1742.43</td>
<td>3556.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1778.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of the State</td>
<td>Name of the City</td>
<td>Name of the Project</td>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td>Total Central share Approved</td>
<td>Total State Share Approved</td>
<td>Central Share released so far</td>
<td>Amount of Central Share utilized</td>
<td>% of Utilization</td>
<td>Amount of State Share released</td>
<td>Amount of State share utilized</td>
<td>Amount Approved/ recommend for release as 4th instalment of ACA</td>
<td>% of amount recommended to the total Central share approved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Kolkata (Rishiak)</td>
<td>BSUP Scheme for the town of Rishra (Phase II), West Bengal</td>
<td>4129.25</td>
<td>2064.62</td>
<td>2064.63</td>
<td>516.16 516.16 516.16</td>
<td>1548.48</td>
<td>1285.00</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>1371.50</td>
<td>1253.13</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>516.14 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Kolkata (Rajarhat)</td>
<td>Rehabilitation of 15 slums in Rajair Sora (Phase-I) Kolkata, West Bengal</td>
<td>4889.99</td>
<td>2222.73</td>
<td>2222.72</td>
<td>555.68 555.68 555.68</td>
<td>1667.04</td>
<td>1625.00</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>1960.72</td>
<td>1902.53</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>555.69 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Kolkata (Khardah)</td>
<td>BSUP Scheme for the town of Khardah Phase II, West Bengal</td>
<td>3355.10</td>
<td>1677.55</td>
<td>1677.55</td>
<td>419.39 419.39 419.39</td>
<td>1258.17</td>
<td>1225.00</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>1279.36</td>
<td>1241.83</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>419.38 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Maheshtala (Ph-I)</td>
<td>BSUP Scheme for the town of Maheshtala (Ph-I), West Bengal</td>
<td>6336.62</td>
<td>2872.67</td>
<td>2872.67</td>
<td>718.17 718.17 718.17</td>
<td>2154.51</td>
<td>2154.51</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2418.64</td>
<td>1986.18</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>718.16 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FOR WEST BENGAL (4 PROJECTS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8837.57</td>
<td>2209.40</td>
<td>2209.40</td>
<td>2209.40</td>
<td>2209.40</td>
<td>2209.40</td>
<td>2209.40</td>
<td>2209.40</td>
<td>2209.40</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2209.40 25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>