

REGISTERED POST/
BY HAND

No.N-11028/13/2007/IHSDP/JNNURM-Vol. XI
Government of India
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
JNNURM Directorate

Room No. 201 G Wing
New Delhi, dated 31st December, 2007

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of the minutes of the 27th meeting of the Central Sanctioning Committee of Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 27th December, 2007 under the Chairmanship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction projects under Integrated Housing and Slum Development Programme.

2. The appraisal agency (i.e. HUDCO) is requested to convey the decisions of the Central Sanctioning Committee to the State implementing agency/nodal agency for IHSDP to take appropriate follow up actions as per the minutes of the meeting.
3. A copy of the minutes is forwarded to the Secretaries in-charge of BSUP and IHSDP in the States/UTs with a request to take further follow up action.



(M. Jayachandran)
Deputy Director (BSUP)
Telephone No. +91-11-23061519

Encl: Minutes of the meeting

To
Members of the CSC as follows:

1. The Joint Secretary and Mission Director (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
2. The JS&FA, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
3. The Joint Secretary (UD), Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
4. The CMD, HUDCO, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
5. The Director (UPA), Convenor, Ministry of HUPA

Copy to the Joint Secretary (PF-I), Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance, North Block, New Delhi.

Copy to the concerned officer in respect of projects considered in the meeting:-

4. Ms. Sumita Dawra, Managing Director, APUFIDC, O/o E-in-C, Public Health, 2nd Floor, Kashana Building, A. C. Guards, Hyderabad
2. Shri Raghav Chandra, Principal Secretary, Urban Administration Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal
3. Ms. Deepu Gaur, Commissioner, Urban Administration Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal

Handwritten notes:
24/11
20
50/11/2007

4. Shri G.K. Dwivedi, Director, Urban Development, Government of Uttarakhand,
Dehradun

Copy to the Secretaries in charge of Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) and Integrated Housing & Slum Development Programme (IHSDP) in the States/UTs:-

The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Municipal Administration Department Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat Hyderabad - 500 002	The Principal Secretary, Housing Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad - 500 002
The Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat, Hyderabad-500 002.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Tourism, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat, Itanagar.
The Commissioner & Secretary, UD Department, Government of Assam, Assam Secretariat, Dispur, Guwahati - 781 006.	The Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
The Additional Secretary & Director (BUDA), Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Bihar Sachivalaya Patna - 800 015
The Secretary, School Education & UD/ISG, Government of Chhattisgarh, Room NO 254, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur.	The Secretary (Housing) Government of Goa, Secretariat Annexe, EDC House, Panaji- 403 001
The Principal Secretary(UD) & Housing, Government of Gujarat, Block No, 14, 9 th Floor, New Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar-382 010.	The Chief Executive Officer, Gujarat Urban Development Mission, GMFB Building, Sector-10A, Gandhinagar - 382 016.
The Commissioner & Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Government of Haryana, SCO-20 Sec.7C, Chandigarh - 160 001.	The Secretary (UD), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla - 171 002

The Secretary, Housing and UD Department, Government of Jammu & Kashmir, New Secretariat, Srinagar	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla - 171 002
The Director, Urban Local Bodies Government of Jammu & Kashmir, 151-A/D, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu.	The Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi - 834 004.
The Secretary (Housing) Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004	The Principal Secretary (Housing) Government of Karnataka, Room No.213, 2 nd Floor, Vikas Sauda Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore-560 001
The Principal Secretary to Government UD Department, Government of Karnataka Room No.436, 4 th Floor, Vikas Sauda Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Road Bangalore 560 001	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Kerala, Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram - 695 001
The Principal Secretary, Local Self Government Department Government of Kerala Thiruvananthapuram - 695 001	The Secretary, Local Self Government, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram-695 001
The Executive Director Kudumbashree State Poverty Eradication Mission Government of Kerala State Municipal House Vazhuthacaud Thiruvananthapuram	The Principal Secretary, Urban Administration and Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal - 462 032
The Principal Secretary (Housing & Environment), Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Ballabh Bhavan, Bhopal - 462 032	The Commissioner, Urban Administration & Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Nagar Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar Bhopal - 462 016
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.425, 4 th floor Mantraalaya, Mumbai-400 032	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.268, 2 nd Floor, Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032
The Secretary, Housing, UD & Municipal Administration, Government of Manipur, Chief Secretariat, Imphal -795 001	The Commissioner & Secretary (LAD), Government of Mizoram, Civil Secretariat, Aizwal-796 001.

The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Nagaland, Kohima - 797 001	The Commissioner & Secretary, Works & Housing, Government of Nagaland Kohima - 797 001
The Principal Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Orissa, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar - 751 001	The Principal Secretary (L.S.G.) Government of Punjab Mini Secretariat Sector-9, Chandigarh 160 001
The Secretary (Housing & UD) Government of Punjab, Room No.419, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9 Chandigarh 160 001	The Principal Secretary, UDH & LSG Department, Government of Rajasthan Room No. 29, Main Building, Secretariat, Jaipur
The Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Rajasthan , Room No.39, SSO Building, Government Secretariat , Jaipur 302 005.	The Secretary, Department of UD & Housing, Government of Sikkim, NH 31A, Gangtok - 737 101
The Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009	The Secretary, Municipal Administration & Water Supply, Government of Tamil Nadu, 6 th Floor, Ezhilagam Annexe, Chepauk, Chennai- 600 009
The Secretary (UD), Government of Tripura Civil Secretariat, Pt. Nehru Complex, Agartala-799 001	The Principal Secretary (UD & MA) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Room No.825, 8 th floor, Bapu Bhawan, Lucknow - 226 001
The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Uttar Pradesh, 325 Bapu Bhawan, Lucknow - 226 001	The Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Navchetna Kendra, 10, Ashok Marg, Lucknow.
The Secretary (UD & Housing), Government of Uttarakhand, Uttarakhand Secretariat, 4 B, Subhash Road DEHRADUN	The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of West Bengal, Writers Building, Kolkata-700001
The Secretary (UD & Housing), Chandigarh Administration, UT Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh-160 001	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605 001
The Secretary,	The Principal Secretary (UD),

Local Administration Department Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605 001	Government of NCT of Delhi, 9 th Floor, C Wing, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi.
The Additional Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi-110 002	The Commissioner & Secretary, (Relief & Rehabilitation), UT of Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Secretariat, Port Blair -744 101
The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Daman & Diu, Secretariat, Moti Daman-396 220	The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Secretariat, Silvassa-396 220

Copy to:

1. The Joint Secretary to Hon'ble Prime Minister (Kind attention Shri R. Gopalakrishnan), PMO, South Block, New Delhi.
2. PS to Hon'ble Minister (HUPA)
3. Sr. PPS to Secretary (HUPA)
4. Joint Secretary (H), Ministry of HUPA
5. OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
6. Director (Administration), Ministry of HUPA
7. DS(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
8. ~~LS(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA~~
9. DD(JPC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
10. DD(Data & MIS), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
11. The CMD, NBCC, "NBCC Bhavan", Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003
12. The CMD, HPL, Jangpura, New Delhi-110014
13. The Executive Director, BMTPC, Core 5 A, First Floor, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003
14. The Director (Corporate Planning), HUDCO, "HUDCO Bhavan", India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110 003.
15. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110 016.
16. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, Uttarkhand - 247-667



(M. Jayachandran)
Deputy Director (BSUP)

Copy to:- Guard folder on JNNURM

**MINUTES OF THE 27th MEETING OF THE CENTRAL
SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC) OF INTEGRATED
HOUSING AND SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 27th December, 2007

The twenty-seventh meeting of the Central Sanctioning Committee (CSC) of Integrated Housing and Slum Development Programme (IHSDP) was held under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation in New Delhi on 27th December, 2007. List of participants is at **Annexure – I**.

2. Joint Secretary (JNNURM) welcomed the participants. He informed the Committee that the overall progress in implementation of BSUP and IHSDP is good as shown in the quarterly progress reports. The State of Madhya Pradesh has also come up with a proposal for release of second instalment giving details of progress in the prescribed proforma.

3. At the outset, Chairman, CSC and Secretary (HUPA) urged all states to strive for achieving mid-term targets which have been committed by the Ministry in the review meeting taken by the Hon'ble Prime Minister on 15.6.2007. The mid-term targets were communicated to the States vide DO. Letter No.N-11027/42/2007-BSUP/JNNURM dated 8th August, 2007 from Secretary (HUPA) to Chief Secretaries. Secretary (HUPA) called upon the State Government representatives to take all steps to complete the houses sanctioned earlier. He suggested that States must come up with proposals for release of second installment of Central assistance. Special attention should be paid for implementation of the three reforms stipulated under JNNURM that are critical to the urban poor, i.e., (i) internal earmarking within local body budgets for basic services to the urban poor; (ii) provision of basic services including the 7-point charter in accordance with agreed timelines; (iii) earmarking at least 20-25% of developed land in all housing projects (both public and private agencies) for EWS/LIG category with a system of cross subsidization.

4. Chairman, CSC and Secretary (HUPA) said that many points are brought out in the minutes of the meeting. Though some of them are of repetitive in nature, they should not be ignored. Some of the points are further fine tuned taking into consideration new aspects being brought to the notice of the Committee. Accordingly, care should be taken to read these points and also to take necessary follow up action. The following aspects were emphasized by the Chairman:-

1/53
m

- Utmost importance must be accorded to identification of beneficiaries. A socio-economic survey must be conducted including the occupation or livelihood profile of the beneficiaries. In case there are difficulties in conducting a detailed survey, a rapid survey may be conducted to identify the beneficiaries, their occupations and needs so as to design appropriate social infrastructure including livelihood infrastructure. Willingness of the beneficiaries should also be taken for any rehabilitation project.
- Beneficiary contribution including loan may be restricted to an upper limit of Rs.40,000 per dwelling unit as beyond this amount it will be difficult for the poor beneficiaries to bear.
- Overall cost of one dwelling unit under BSUP project, in general, be restricted to Rs.2.50 lakh. The housing component should normally be at least 50% of the total project cost. Earlier it was decided that a housing project must have at least 20 dwelling units in a slum. **Considering the difficulties and special needs in some locations, it has now been decided that on a case to case basis, housing projects for locations having lesser number of houses, say not less than 15 houses, can also be considered.**
- Housing for the poor does not mean poor quality housing. Utmost emphasis must be given on quality of houses for the poor. A vector-free atmosphere and healthy living environment should be ensured in the housing projects under BSUP/IHSDP.
- The layout plan must be socially cohesive and facilitate social interaction. Efforts must be made for providing at least 30% open spaces with 15% green area in the lay-outs and adequate social and livelihoods infrastructure.
- Adequate space must be provided for informal sector markets, pen for animals, space to take care of convergent services such as health, education and recreation conforming to the specific needs of each of the slum pockets and the beneficiaries.
- The houses proposed should have two rooms, balcony, kitchen and separate bathroom and latrine, individual water connection and sewer connection. Aspects such as storage space for keeping things in rooms/kitchen, location of kitchen, location of toilet and bathroom in the houses to facilitate privacy, independent access from both rooms to toilet and bathroom, leaving a small space for fitting exhaust fan in kitchen, balcony for drying clothes etc., are some of the nuances that can be thoughtfully incorporated in the design of the houses for the poor.
- The state authorities/ULBs may adopt some of the innovative designs and layouts of houses, multi-purpose community centres, informal sector

markets and animal pen etc. prepared and compiled by HUDCO and BMTPC.

- The State authorities in consultation with appraisal agencies should ensure that necessary clearances such as environmental clearance, Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) regulation clearance, land use, clearance, etc. are obtained. They should also ensure that necessary technical approvals are secured from the competent agencies as per State PWD Code
- Any cost over-run over approved project cost will have to be borne by the concerned State Government/ULBs. Since these projects are required to be completed in 12 to 18 months, it is only reasonable that no escalation of the project cost is provided for.
- A low cost boundary of barb wire or low-height brick structure may be constructed around the project site (slum location) to give a sense of belongingness to the inhabitants of that slum.
- Wherever informal sector markets or shops are provided they should be operated on a time-sharing basis by inhabitants.
- Adequate provision should be made for solid and liquid waste disposal and digester technology should be adopted in place of dual-pits/septic tanks.
- Road-side plantations with tree guards must be taken up. In all relocation projects at least 15-18% green area may be provided.

5. Chairman, CSMC and Secretary (HUPA) further said that the responsibility of the technical specifications and their approval lies with the ULBs/State Level Nodal Agency. The appraisal agencies must ensure that technical specifications are approved by the competent authority. He said that similar instructions and measures have been conveyed to the States/UTs/ULBs/parastatals/implementing agencies/appraisal agencies to ensure improved, affordable and quality housing and other civic/infrastructural facilities for the urban poor as envisaged under the outcomes for JNNURM. They are once again enumerated below:-

- *Land tenure and affordable houses are among the 7-point charter and core to the urban reforms under JNNURM. While formulating the projects under BSUP and IHSDP, the other components of the 7-point charter, viz., water supply, sanitation, health, education and social security must be ensured. But the planned provision of these important factors warrants in-depth study. In a letter to all the Chief Ministers recently, the Hon'ble Prime Minister has emphasised the need to prepare a time-bound action plan for each city for providing all entitlements and amenities envisaged in the 7-Point charter within the Mission period. A socio-economic survey would be*

required to find out the exact needs and also the economic capacity of the beneficiaries. While conducting such surveys emphasis should be given to:

- *Mapping of slum, poverty and livelihood profiles of the poor to assess the needs for infrastructure including livelihoods infrastructure, basic services needed by the poor so as to prioritise projects etc.*
- *Ascertaining the status of capacity of the existing schools to accommodate children of the proposed beneficiaries under BSUP & IHSDP projects;*
- *Ascertaining the status of health facilities such as availability of a female doctor, timings of the primary health centre – whether it suits the convenience of the slum dwellers who mostly keep away from their dwellings during the day.*

Based on the survey, necessary remedial measures would have to be proposed while preparing projects for implementation under BSUP & IHSDP.

- *A need-based multipurpose Community Centre including a study area to take care of special needs of the children besides other community facilities such as work-shed for the urban poor to facilitate their livelihood activities, pen for animal and informal sector markets should be built into the infrastructure component of the project.*
- *The State authorities/implementing agencies should ensure that adequate land free from disputes are available before the projects are brought up before the State Level Steering Committee/State Level Coordination Committee. Requisite approval from the SLSC/SLCC should be obtained before the projects are brought up to the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. If technical specifications and estimates are changed during appraisal, the revised estimates must be duly approved. It should be ensured by the State level authorities that no escalation, departmental charges or contingencies are incorporated in the project cost.*
- *Emphasis should be given to ensure that good quality houses are constructed within a reasonable period of time, say 12 to 18 months. An incentive would be given for projects completed and handed over to the beneficiaries within a period of 12 months. Separating the tendering process for housing and infrastructure components would facilitate early start of construction and completion of housing projects.*
- *In all the housing projects the aim should be to provide a decent place of living to the poor. The design and layout of the houses should incorporate following features*
 - i) *Carpet area of the house should not be less than 25 square meters under BSUP.*
 - ii) *House should have two rooms, kitchen, balcony and separate bath and toilet.*
 - iii) *Design of the houses should ensure proper ventilation and natural lighting. Entrance doors and windows should have a wire mesh.*

- iii) *An innovative approach should also be adopted while designing the inner layout of the houses. For example, if a 3ft x 2ft section is extended in the wall in the room and a small section in the kitchen, the poor people would be able to improvise and use them as a shelf for store things.*
 - v) *While constructing multi-storey structure efforts should be made to make it disabled-friendly. Construction of ramps instead of conventional staircases is an alternative.*
 - vi) *There must be sufficient open space and green area within the colony.*
 - vii) *Layout of the houses should facilitate social interaction among the residents and row-by-row houses are not desirable. The layout design must be socially cohesive.*
- *All the urban poor people do not have enough capacity to pay huge amount of loan. Almost seventy per cent of them earn less than Rs.20 per day as revealed by National Commission for Enterprises in the Unorganized Sector (NCEUS) Report. This aspect should be kept in mind while deciding the EMI for the loan towards beneficiary contribution. Care should be taken to ensure that the cost of house is affordable for the urban poor people, and common structures/common facilities/common spaces such as stair-case for a multi-storey building, landing area, common water sump, soak-pits etc. should be excluded from the unit cost of dwelling unit. Such cost is to be included under infrastructure component and not loaded on the beneficiary as cost of dwelling unit.*
- *Though no specifics have been provided in the BSUP and IHSDP guidelines regarding the percentage of cost of housing and infrastructure components, in the projects implemented under BSUP and IHSDP a reasonable limit would be about 50 to 60 per cent for housing and remaining for infrastructure (excluding colonies where EWS units were built/are being built with Central Government/State Government support including VAMBAY). This would ensure that more numbers of houses are constructed for the poor under JNNURM.*
- *The beneficiary share component may be recovered in about 4 installments as stepped up equity in accordance with the progress of the construction of different phases as the poor cannot pay amounts in one installment.*
- *In all the projects undertaken under BSUP and IHSDP effort should be taken for inclusion of the following within the total approved project cost*
 - *Measures for proper sanitation such as Digesters where sewerage system is not available.*
 - *Adequate open space and community infrastructure facilities;*
 - *Linkage to outside infrastructures; city-wide infrastructure will have to be provided under UIG/State/ULB plan budgets.*

- *The States/UTs should work towards achieving the Mid-Term Targets by 31st August, 2008. Already approved projects need to be completed on a war footing and the States/UTs should come forward with proposals for release of 2nd instalment of Central assistance. States/UTs are to furnish quarterly progress report on the stage of implementation of projects (physical and financial) along with progress of key reforms. In addition to this, all the States/UTs should furnish a single page abstract of the progress by 5th of every month to the Ministry of HUPA.*

Project Preparation:

- *The State Governments/Nodal Agencies/ULBs should undertake biometric identification of beneficiaries and place the lists on the State / Municipal / JNNURM websites with a view to ensuring utmost transparency in the implementation of housing and slum development programmes under JNNURM;*
- *In all the projects the aim should be to cover the poorest among the poor under housing who are not in a position to build houses on their own rather than spending money only for infrastructure facilities for the already built houses (excepting in the cases of VAMBAY and EWS Scheme projects). When large numbers of poor people are living in slums with no land tenure, the States may give preference for integrated development of slums with security of tenure. Where only infrastructure facilities are to be provided, the State Government may consider providing their own fund for such activities. The ultimate objective should be to cover first the needy people who require shelter so that the poor get the maximum benefit out of JNNURM. This also assumes importance considering the estimated shortage of about 24.7 million houses in the country at the beginning of the 11th Plan with 98% of the same being under EWS/LIG categories.*
- *State/ULB/implementing agencies should ensure that all procedures are followed as per the State PWD Code regarding technical scrutiny, administrative sanction and financial propriety before projects are put up to the State Level Steering Committee/State Level Coordination Committee. They should ensure that General Financial Rules are followed.*
- *Detailed Project Reports need to be prepared with more technical inputs in areas such as structural design, connectivity of local infrastructure systems with city-wide trunk lines, costing of projects based on approved schedule of rates and appropriate local verification to avoid over-invoicing. DPRs should include status and capacity of the existing services and the demand-gap situation. DPRs should specially include the following aspects:*

- *Status of availability and quality of water supply to slum dwellers/ to their new improved houses, connectivity to trunk water lines, level of water table (in case of tube well), provision of overhead tanks, etc.;*
 - *Technical details of the proposed sewerage and storm drainage lines such as invert level, outfall parameters and their proper connectivity to city-wide systems;*
 - *Details of the existing schools should contain data about their distance from slum/low-income neighborhood and intake capacity, whether they can accommodate children from the family of those slum dwellers for whom houses are existing/ or are being constructed under BSUP/IHSDP; whether the schools are run by Government or private agency; etc.*
 - *Status of health facilities such as availability of health centres/sub-centres/maternity centers and their OPD and bed strength capacity, details of hospital facilities (whether they can adequately serve the slum population or not), distance of facilities from the slum locality etc.; and*
 - *Proximity of all infrastructure facilities proposed in the slum area/low-income neighborhood to the city-level infrastructure and their proper integration with trunk supply networks.*
 - *Availability of community, including livelihoods infrastructure suiting the needs of local population.*
- *The inputs as mentioned above, along with details of statutory requirements, should be inbuilt into DPRs for both slum level and city level projects under JNNURM at the stage of preparation of Detailed Project Reports. The various reports will need to be technically verified, certified and signed by the engineers of appropriate levels as per the State/UT Public Works Code.*

Project Appraisal:

- *Appraisal agencies should pay more attention to the structural and technical aspects and if necessary site visits should be conducted before writing the appraisal report. Assistance from technically competent academic institutions/agencies must be secured where required. Good quality DPRs are necessary for ensuring construction of quality houses and civic amenities without wastage of resources and possibility of cost and time over-runs. The appraisal agencies need not be on a fault-finding mission; they need to provide expert inputs that would facilitate proper convergence between components of projects and various governmental schemes.*
- *Appraisal agencies should look into the above mentioned aspects before bringing any project proposals for consideration of the Committee. They should ensure that the ratio of cost of housing and infrastructure components in a project proposal is within a reasonable limit in general, say at least 50 to 60 per cent for housing and remaining for*

infrastructure. This would ensure that more numbers of houses are constructed for the poor under JNNURM.

Project Implementation

As stipulated in earlier minutes, the State Government should take all necessary action for effective implementation of projects and:

- Ensure that the funds for providing housing and related infrastructure facilities to the urban poor are not thinly spread. On the contrary, sanctioned projects should be implemented in 12-18 months to ensure tangible and quantifiable outcome in the field so that the poor, who are contributing money, are benefited in time.*
- As the primary objective of JNNURM is to assist the urban poor, the subsidy from the Central Government should be passed on to the urban poor. Further, the concerned State/UT Government should provide a subsidy in keeping with the intent and spirit of BSUP/IHSDP so that the loan burden of a poor EWS or LIG household does not exceed between 12 to 25 per cent of the total cost of the EWS or LIG dwelling unit.*
- Ensure that the assets created for the urban poor are of high quality. The houses built under BSUP/IHSDP should preferably have two rooms with attached bath, latrine and kitchen and the carpet area should be at least 25 square metres. The beneficiaries should have individual water connection and individual toilet;*
- Take up city-wide infrastructure development by using Urban Infrastructure and Governance Sub-Mission / Urban Infrastructure Development Scheme for Small and Medium Towns/State Plan/Municipal funds to ensure that slum infrastructure networks: water supply, sewerage, storm drainage, roads etc. are connected to city-wide infrastructure systems.*
- Ensure convergence of facilities under education, health and social security with physical amenities and other services in coordination with respective programmes such as Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Health Mission etc.*
- Prepare State / Municipal / Slums urban poverty profiles with due attention to the 7-Point Charter, namely land tenure, shelter, water, sanitation, education, health and social security and other amenities and develop online MIS;*

- *Develop State / City / Slums development plans with a vision of slum-free city and devise phasing plan with time-bound action to provide all basic amenities to the urban poor within the Mission period as contemplated under reform agenda for JNNURM;*
- *Establish State / Municipal Poverty Alleviation & Livelihood Development Cells to plan, develop, monitor and assist in the implementation of JNNURM with the full involvement of all stakeholders – professionally equip PMUs in SLNAs and PIU/PIA in ULBs;*
- *Computerize database and MIS on slums and the urban poor and prepare State / City Strategy for Urban Poverty Alleviation for which part funding is provided under JNNURM. Put in place a computerized tracking system to track the physical and financial progress of projects sanctioned under JNNURM, slum-wise and beneficiary-wise and stage of construction-wise;*
- *Establish quality control systems – internal and external including Third Party Implementation Monitoring – to ensure that the constructions are undertaken with utmost quality to represent value for money. They should also constitute beneficiary committees which would supervise construction at various levels – foundation, basement, lintel, roof, completion, occupation etc. and undertake social audit of the projects after completion;*
- *Ensure that there is no delay in implementation of the projects. Since housing projects for the urban poor involve beneficiary contribution and sometimes a small loan component, delay in implementation will severely hamper their ability to meet EMI requirements.*
- *In so far as security of tenure is concerned, a mechanism may be evolved to prevent resale of such properties before a reasonable period of say 10-15 years. The patta granted to the beneficiaries could be mortgaged to banks in the case of beneficiaries taking loans. In case no loan component is involved, the ownership of such houses could vest in a society of the beneficiaries themselves. With bio-metric identification of beneficiaries and proper control by the Registrar of Cooperatives, such a mechanism could be a desirable option. All the State Governments/UT Administration should ensure that biometric identification of beneficiaries, in the case of approved projects, is completed as early as possible. Where occupancy rights are not granted, the same should be given to the beneficiaries within 6 months.*

Project Monitoring

9/53
 (P)

- *The Mid-Term Targets fixed during the review meeting held by the Hon'ble Prime Minister recently should be achieved. All the State Governments have been informed about this through a DO letter from Minister for Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation to Chief Ministers and from Secretary (HUPA) to the Chief Secretaries and the concerned Secretaries in the State Government/ UT Administration. States/UTs are required to send quarterly progress reports on physical and financial progress and reforms for which formats have been sent to the State Secretaries and Nodal agencies and also one page reports on summary of achievements every month.*
- *The States/UTs which have already been sanctioned projects under BSUP/IHSDP should expeditiously implement them with the 1st instalment from the Central Government and appropriate State share and submit UCs for 70% of the Central share and State share. [UCs should specifically mention the Central share received and utilized]. This would facilitate the Ministry for releasing 2nd instalment of the share of the Central Government.*

6. For the meeting 24 projects (20 projects from Andhra Pradesh, 2 projects from Madhya Pradesh and 2 projects from Uttarakhand) were put up in the agenda. Brief details of the agenda are at **Annexure-II**. The Chairman of CSC and Secretary (HUPA) requested representatives of the State Governments to give an account on the following:

- (i) Brief details of physical and financial progress of already approved projects including the actual availability of Central share, State share, ULB share, beneficiary contribution, loan component, tentative schedule for seeking 2nd instalment of Central share, number of houses actually constructed, stage of progress of housing and infrastructure etc.
- (ii) Basic details of the present proposals such as total project cost and its break up into housing and infrastructure components (percentages); break-up of cost of housing and infrastructure under Central Share, State share, ULB share, Beneficiary contribution, loan and parastatals.
- (iii) Design of colony layout and house layout proposed.

7. Representative of the state Government of Andhra Pradesh made a presentation on 20 projects to provide infrastructural facilities at various locations where housing component is covered under various Government programmes. These projects were earlier deferred in the 26th meeting of the

CSC. The representative of the State Government informed that all the relocation projects have 15 to 18 percent of green area in every town. However, green area in case of in-situ projects is less. All efforts were made to increase green areas in case of such projects. The committee approved the projects with the following conditions

- All those projects where project cost exceeds Rs. 15 crores, it would be revised to bring it down to Rs. 15 crore or less.
- The project duration would be restricted to 15 months where it exceeds 15 months.
- Detailed socio economic survey to be completed in all cases and bio-metric details of the beneficiaries would be put on the web-site within 1 month.

7.1. The Committee also made following suggestions to be incorporated by the State Government

- A better design of the houses may be adopted by the State within same cost under the State programme, especially where houses are yet to be built;
- The lay-outs may be improved, especially in relocation projects to have more open space and green areas (15 to 18%) and also to make the houses socially cohesive. In this regard, State may think of reducing the road component or acquiring a contiguous space to the project site;
- Based on the results of socio-economic survey, need based multi purpose community centre/livelihood centre/informal sector market may be provided;
- A low cost boundary wall may be provided enclosing green spaces in order to give a sense of belongingness to the inhabitants
- A walkway may be provided along the boundary wall.
- In some slums shops have been provided. Occupancy should be given on time-sharing basis to the slum dwellers.
- Digester technology may be implemented in place of dual-pit/septic tank for sewerage disposal.

The State Government representative agreed to adopt these suggestions.

Abstracts of the approved projects, based revised statements, are at Statement-I to XX of Annexure-III.

8. The representative of the State Government of Uttarakhand made presentation on two projects for Pauri Garhwal. The Committee approved the projects with the condition that the beneficiaries would not include any regular

employee of the Central and State Government or local municipality. The biometric details of the beneficiaries should be put on website within one month. The Committee also made following suggestions to be incorporated

- 15% green space may be provided in each project;
- Need based multipurpose community centre/livelihood centre/informal sector market may be provided in each slum

Abstracts of the approved projects are at Statement-XXI and XXII of Annexure-III.

9. The representative of the State Government of Madhya Pradesh made a presentation on two projects for the cities/towns of Burhanpur and Jaora. The Committee observed that the design and layout of houses require an improvement. After detailed deliberations the Committee approved the projects subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) The State Government would furnish a revised design and layout for the Houses ;
- (ii) Need based multipurpose community centre/livelihood centre/informal sector market may be provided;
- (iii) The State should explore adopting digester technology within the same project cost and any extra cost would be borne by the State Government;
- (iv) The State should certify that the cost estimates are based on prevailing PWD rates.
- (v) Detailed socio-economic survey would be carried out and Bio-metric details of the beneficiaries would be put on the web-site within 1 month.

Abstracts of the approved projects, based on the revised design and lay-out plan, are at Statement-XXIII and Statement -XXIV of Annexure-III. The State has certified that the estimates are based on prevailing SoR of the State PWD rate with appropriate indexation.

10. The Committee observed that some of the projects being received from States are not incorporating various design features being suggested by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee from time to time. The Committee, therefore, decided that to show case good designs and lay-out of the houses and infrastructure facilities, including social/livelihood infrastructure, regional/national level workshops

should be held within the next couple of weeks. BMTPC and HUDCO will organise these workshops at the following places:-

- | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Lucknow | 4. Pune |
| 2. Bengaluru | 5. Thiruvananthapuram |
| 3. Bhopal | 6. Guwahati |

11. Concluding the meeting, the Chairman of CSC and Secretary (HUPA) once again urged the States/UTs/ULBs/parastatals (e.g., Development Authority)/implementing agencies/appraisal agencies to adhere to these guidelines/undertake measures for smooth implementation of the projects to benefit the poor. Guidelines in minutes issued in the past may also be referred to while implementing specific projects.

12. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE 27TH MEETING OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING
COMMITTEE (CSC) HELD UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SECRETARY
(HUPA) ON 27.12.2007.**

1. Dr. Harjeet S. Anand, Secretary, in chair
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
2. Dr. P.K. Mohanty, Joint Secretary and Mission Director (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
3. Shri D.S. Negi, OSD (JNNURM) and Director (NBC), Ministry of HUPA
4. Shri V.K. Gupta, Deputy Financial Adviser, Ministry of Urban Development.
5. Shri N. Venugopalan, Deputy Secretary (NURM), Ministry of Urban Development.
6. Shri Deena Nath, Deputy Director, Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance
7. Shri Umraw Singh, Deputy Director, Ministry of HUPA, New Delhi.
8. Shri Subhash Kumar, Assistant Director, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare
9. Shri Raghav Chandra, Principal Secretary, Urban Administration Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal
10. Ms. Deepti Gaur, Commissioner, Urban Administration Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal
11. Shri U.K. Sadhav, Joint Director, Urban Administration and Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar, Bhopal- 462 016
12. Shri Nikunj Kumar, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bhopal.
13. Shri P. Chandra Mouliswar Reddy, Municipal Commissioner, Kadappa, Andhra Pradesh
14. Shri O.P. Gupta, Assistant Engineer, Bhopal Municipal Corporation, Bhopal
15. Shri S.K. Chaudhary, Executive Director, HUDCO, New Delhi.
16. Shri S.K. Tripathi, Director, (Corporate Planning) HUDCO, New Delhi.
17. Shri I.S. Sidhu, Chief (PM&T), BMTPC, New Delhi.
18. Shri C.N. Jha, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi.
19. Ms. Usha P. Mahavir, HUDCO, New Delhi.
20. Ms. Radha Roy, Assistant Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi.
21. Shri A.P. Tiwari, Assistant Chief Project, HUDCO, New Delhi
22. Shri H.T. Suresh, Regional Chief, HUDCO, Thiruvananthapuram
23. Dr. D. Subramaniam, ED, HUDCO, Kolkata
24. Shri S. Ishwariah, Superintending Engineer, AP State Housing Corporation Ltd., Hyderabad.
25. Shri A. Sivakoti Prasad, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh.
26. Shri G.K. Dwivedi, Director, Urban Development, Government of Uttarakhand, Dehradun
27. Shri R.K. Sharma, E.O., Nagar Palika Parishad, Pauri, Uttarakhand
28. Shri P.K. Katiyal, Junior Engineer, Nagar Palika Parishad, Pauri, Uttarakhand
29. Dr. K. Krishna Kumar, State Programme Officer, Kudumbashree, State Poverty Eradication Mission, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala.
30. Dr. D.S. Sharma, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Burhanpur, Madhya Pradesh
31. Shri Anubhav Shrivastava, Urban Planner, Bhopal Municipal Corporation, Bhopal
32. Shri D.K. Patel, EE, Municipal Corporation, Burhanpur, Madhya Pradesh
33. Shri Rajiv Pandey, P.O., SUDA, Government of Uttarakhand, 43/6, Mata Mandir, Dharampur, Dehradun.
34. Shri Sanjay Bhargava, SPAO, HUDCO, Dehradun
35. Shri G.Das, Chief Engineer, Government of West Bengal, ME Directorate, MA Department, Government of West Bengal, Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata-700 064

36. Shri Kousik Das, S.E. (HQ), ME Directorate, Government of West Bengal, Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata-700 091.
37. Shri Promod Adlaha, Adlaha Associate Pvt. Ltd., Consultants for Delhi Development Authority, F-70, Bhagat Singh Market, New Delhi-110 001.
38. Shri V.K. Sethi, Adlaha Associate Pvt. Ltd., Consultants for Delhi Development Authority, F-70, Bhagat Singh Market, New Delhi-110 001.

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC) UNDI
INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

Rs. in Crores

Sl. No.	City/ ULB, State	Project Title	Project Cost	Central Share	State Share/ Other	1st Installment (50% of Central Share)	Comments
A.	Bapatla, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh	HSDP Project for providing infrastructure facilities to 39 notified slums at Bapatla, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh	7.62	6.09	1.53	3.05	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Information regarding elected local body is not given. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed houses shall have a carpet area of more than 25 Sqm with separate Bath, & WC provision as required. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The ULB has to furnish a certificate stating that the designs adopted are adequate and correct and further quantity calculations confirms to proposed design /Plan. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP.

B.	Beemunipatnam, Andhra Pradesh	providing infrastructure facilities in 39 slums at Beemunipatnam, Andhra Pradesh	3.39	2.72	0.67	1.36	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The duration of project is one year SLCC has approved the project. Elected local body is in existence. This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed 1967 houses shall have a plinth area of less than 25 Sqm and other 1995 houses are proposed plinth area of more than 25 Sqm or equal to 25 Sqm. List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. The ULB has to furnish a certificate stating that the designs adopted are adequate and correct and further quantity calculations confirms to proposed design /Plan. The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. The duration of project is two year it may be restricted to one year.
----	-------------------------------	--	------	------	------	------	--

10 to 16

12/53

C.	Vinukonda, District-Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities in 34 slums at Vinukonda, District-Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	18.44	14.75	3.69	7.38	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Information regarding elected local body is not given. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e, Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed 1684 houses shall have a plinth area of less than 25 Sqm and other 634 houses are proposed plinth area of more than 25 SQm or equal to 25 Sqm. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The ULB has to furnish a certificate stating that the designs adopted are adequate and correct and further quantity calculations confirms to proposed design /Plan. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and County Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is one year. 	17 to 24
----	--	--	-------	-------	------	------	---	----------------

FR | 

D.	Wanaparthy, Mahboobnagar-District, Andhra Pradesh	HSDP Project for providing infrastructure facilities Wanaparthy, Mahboobnagar-District, Andhra Pradesh	11.74	9.39	2.35	4.70	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project • Information regarding elected local body is not given. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed 1920 houses shall have a plinth area of more than 25 Sqm or equal. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The ULB has to furnish a certificate stating that the designs adopted are adequate and correct and further quantity calculations confirms to proposed design /Plan. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given.
----	---	--	-------	------	------	------	--

25
to
31

- 19 | 53
M

E.	Narayanpet, Mahboobnagar-District, Andhra Pradesh	HSDP Project for providing infrastructure facilities at Narayanpet, Mahboobnagar-District, Andhra Pradesh	12.58	10.07	2.51	5.04	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Information regarding elected local body is not given. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed houses shall have a carpet area of more than 25 Sqm or equal.. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The ULB has to furnish a certificate stating that the designs adopted are adequate and correct and further quantity calculations confirms to proposed design /Plan. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given.
----	---	---	-------	-------	------	------	---

32 to 37

20 / 53
 (NN)

F.	Sattenapalli, Guntur-District, Andhra Pradesh	HSDP Project for providing infrastructure facilities in 22 slums at Sattenapalli, Guntur-District, Andhra Pradesh	13.92	11.14	2.78	2.57	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Information regarding elected local body is not given. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed proposed 1920 houses shall have a plinth area of less than 25 Sqm and 2390 units more than 25 Sqm or equal.. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The ULB has to furnish a certificate stating that the designs adopted are adequate and correct and further quantity calculations confirms to proposed design /Plan. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is one year.
----	---	---	-------	-------	------	------	--

38
to
44

53
M

G.	Chirala, Prakasam-District, Andhra Pradesh	HSDP Project for providing infrastructure facilities in 12 slums at Chirala, Prakasam-District, Andhra Pradesh	3.52	2.82	0.70	1.41	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project • Elected local body is in existence. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed proposed 228 houses shall have a plinth area of less than 25 Sqm and 756 units more than 25 Sqm or equal. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The ULB has to furnish a certificate stating that the designs adopted are adequate and correct and further quantity calculations confirms to proposed design /Plan. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is one year.
----	--	--	------	------	------	------	---

44 to 51

22/12/07

H.	Tanduru, Rangareddy- District, Andhra Pradesh	HSDP Project for providing infrastructure facilities in 14 slums at Tanduru, Rangareddy- District, Andhra Pradesh	13.82	11.06	2.76	5.53	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project • Elected local body is in existence. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed houses shall have a plinth area of more than 25 Sqm or equal.. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given. 	53 to 59
----	--	--	-------	-------	------	------	--	----------------

1.	Ongole, Prakasam-District, Andhra Pradesh	HSDP Project for providing infrastructure facilities in 14 slums at Ongole, Prakasam-District, Andhra Pradesh	2.83	2.27	0.56	1.13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Elected local body is in existence. • This project proposed only Infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed 1651 houses shall have a carpet area less than 25 Sqm. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given. 	60 to 65
----	---	---	------	------	------	------	---	----------

24/53

J.	Pulveendula, Kadapa-District, Andhra Pradesh	Provision of infrastructure facilities in 11 slums at Pulveendula, Kadapa-District, Andhra Pradesh	14.69	11.75	2.94	5.88	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project • Elected local body is in existence. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed 3602houses shall have a plinth area less than 25 Sqm. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is 18 months. 	66 to 73
----	--	---	-------	-------	------	------	---	----------------

25/53
(M)

K.	Macherla, Guntur-District, Andhra Pradesh	Provision of infrastructure facilities in 16 slums at Macherla, Guntur-District, Andhra Pradesh	17.17	13.73	3.44	6.86	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Elected local body is in existence. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed 2815 houses shall have a plinth area less than 25 Sqm. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR:2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given.
----	---	--	-------	-------	------	------	---

74
to
81

26/53

L.	Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh	Provision of infrastructure facilities at YSR Nagar (Anupally) under IHSDP Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh	4.22	3.38	0.84	1.69	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Elected local body is in existence. • This project proposed only Infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given.
----	-----------------------------	---	------	------	------	------	---

82
to
87

22/53
M

M.	Gadwal, Mahboob nagar-District, Andhra Pradesh	Provision of infrastructure facilities at Gadwal, Mahboob nagar-District, Andhra Pradesh	3.55	2.84	0.71	1.42	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Elected local body is in existence. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e., Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh and proposed 3036 houses shall have a plinth area less than 25 Sqm. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given.
----	--	--	------	------	------	------	--

81
to
9:

28/53
(10)

N.	Kavali Phase-I, Nellore-District, Andhra Pradesh	Provision of infrastructure facilities at Girjanapalem Slum (Ice Factory) at Kavali Phase-I, Nellore-District, Andhra Pradesh	1.52	1.22	0.30	1.11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project • Information regarding Elected local body is not given. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given.
----	--	--	------	------	------	------	---

92
to
95

29/53
RM

O.	Kavali Phase-II, Nellore-District, Andhra Pradesh	Provision of infrastructure facilities at Satellite township, budamagu nta lay out for relocating 680 houses at Kavali Phase-II, Nellore-District, Andhra Pradesh	4.33	3.46	0.87	1.73	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Information regarding Elected local body is not given. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given.
----	---	--	------	------	------	------	--

100
to
106

30/15
100

P.	Kakinada, Nellore-District, Andhra Pradesh	Provision of Infrastructure facilities to Parlupeta lay out relocating housing colony and Jagandhapuram lay out relocating housing colony at Kakinada, Nellore-District, Andhra Pradesh	10.80	8.64	2.16	4.32	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Information regarding Elected local body is not given. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given.
----	--	---	-------	------	------	------	--

10
to
1'

31/53
(M)

Q.	Madanapalle, Andhra Pradesh	Provision of infrastructure facilities at B K Palle lay out in Madanapalle, Andhra Pradesh	6.79	5.43	1.36	2.72	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Information regarding elected local body is not given. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given.
----	--------------------------------	---	------	------	------	------	--

11
to
12

32/53
(32)

R.	Siddipet town, Andhra Pradesh	Provision of infrastructure facilities to 9 Slums in Siddipet town, Andhra Pradesh	3.97	3.17	0.80	1.58	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Information regarding elected local body is not given • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indira Awaaz Yojana) of Government of Andhra Pradesh • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given
----	----------------------------------	--	------	------	------	------	--

12
to
13

27th Meeting of CSC, Dt. 27.12.2007 (Agenda)

33/53
100

S.	Adoni, Kurnool-District, Andhra Pradesh	Provision of infrastructure facilities at 5 Slums in Adoni, Kurnool-District, Andhra Pradesh	4.94	3.96	0.98	1.98	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Information regarding elected local body is not given. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh. • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given.
----	---	---	------	------	------	------	---

13
to
13

34/53
MIR

T.	Chilakaluripet, Andhra Pradesh	Provision of infrastructure facilities to 41 Slums in Chilakaluripet, Andhra Pradesh	17.89	14.31	3.58	7.15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLCC has approved the project. • Information regarding elected local body is not given. • This project proposed only infrastructure facilities only and housing component will be taken up under the regular schemes (i.e. Indiramma Programme) of Government of Andhra Pradesh • List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. • The proposed lay out plan is not having the requisite open space of 20%. However the layout plan is approved by the Director Town and Country Planning. • 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. • Estimates are based on SSR 2007-08 of AP. • The duration of project is not given. 	138 to 146
	Total		177.73	142.20	35.53	68.61		

BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC) UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)

(Rs. in Crores)

Sl. No.	Name of ULB, State	Project Title	Project cost	Central Share	State Share	Ist instal -ment	Brief summary	Page No.
A.	Burhanpur, Madhya Pradesh	IHSDP proposal for the town of Burhanpur, Distt.- Burhanpur	13.66	9.65	4.00	4.82	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLSC has approved the project. MoA has been submitted. • Elected local body is in existence. • 7-Point charter has been complied with. • Ground, G+1 and G+2 RCC framed structure with floor area of 30.87 sq. mtr. • Land tenure is with the beneficiaries. • The percentage ratio of cost for housing & infrastructure is 60%:40%. • The Schedule of Rates adopted is MP PWD rate effective from 1st November, 1999. • The project duration is 12 months. 	01 To 13
B.	Jaora, Madhya Pradesh	IHSDP proposal for the town of Jaora, Distt.-Ratlam, MP	2.48	1.74	0.74	0.87	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLSC has approved the project. MoA has been submitted. • Elected local body is in existence. • 7-Point charter has been complied with. 	14 To 26

27th Meeting of CSC, Dated: 27.12.2007 (Supplementary Agenda)

36/53


						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ground, G+1 and G+2 RCC framed structure with floor area of 30.87 sq. mtr. • Land tenure is with the beneficiaries. Pattas are issued on lease for 30 years. • The percentage ratio of cost for housing & infrastructure is 65%: 35%. • The Schedule of Rates adopted is MP PWD rate effective from 1st November, 1999. • The project duration is 12 months. 	
C.	Pauri, Uttarakhand	Project Report for IHSDP scheme at Pauri, Distt. -Pauri Garhwal, Uttarakhand	5.01	2.50	2.51	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1.25 • SI,SC has approved the project. • MoA has been submitted. • Elected local body is in existence. • The structural design and details of DU has not been furnished. • List of beneficiaries have been submitted. • Individual 106 nos. of cattle sheds have been provided @ Rs. 25,000/-. • The Schedule of Rates adopted is PWD SOR 2006 with latest market. • The project duration is 01 years. 	14 To 20

32/53
ML

D.	Pauri Garhwal, Uttarakhand	Project report for IHSDP scheme at Srinagari, Distt.- Pauri Garhwal, Uttarakhand	1.33	0.66	0.68	0.33	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLSC has approved the project • MoA has been submitted. • Elected local body is in existence. • The structural design and details of DU has not been furnished. • List of beneficiaries is submitted • All the proposed beneficiaries are patta holders. • The percentage ration of housing: infrastructure is 78% : 22%. • Individual 106 nos. of cattle sheds have been provided @ Rs. 25,000/-. • The Schedule of Rates adopted is PWD SOR 2006 with latest market • The project duration is 01 years. 	21 To 27
			22.48	14.55	7.93	7.27		

38/53


Sl No	Name of the State	Name of the city			IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	50 % of Central Share
(1)	(2)	(3)			(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Andhra Pradesh	Bapatla			IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at 39 notified slums at Bapatla, Guntur Dist.				
STATEMENT-I									
	Details of State Share		(Rs in lacs)	A	Housing -	Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP wide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDIRAMMA			
	1) State share		76.21		1. CC Roads	560.43	448.36	112.09	224.18
	2) ULB contribution		76.21		2. Street Lighting	201.63	161.30	40.33	80.65
	Total State Share		152.42	B	Sub Total B	762.08	609.66	152.42	304.83
					Total Project Cost	762.08	609.66	152.42	304.83
	Andhra Pradesh	Beemunipattanam			IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at 39 slums at Beemunipattanam, AP				
STATEMENT-II									
	Details of State Share		(Rs in lacs)	A	Housing -	Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP wide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDIRAMMA			
	1) State share		33.94		1. Water Supply	56.87	45.50	11.37	22.75
	2) ULB contribution		33.95		2. Roads	70.76	56.61	14.15	28.30
					3. Drains	141.58	113.26	28.32	56.65
					4. Culverts	31.74	25.39	6.35	12.70
					5. Street Lighting	12.15	9.72	2.43	4.86
					6. Multipurpose Community Centre	26.34	21.07	5.27	10.54
	Total State Share		67.89	B	Sub Total B	339.44	271.55	67.89	135.78
					Total Project Cost	339.44	271.55	67.89	135.78

19/11/08
20/11/08
MD

Sl No	Name of the State	Name of the city				Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	50 % of Central Share	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
	Andhra Pradesh	Vinukonda								
STATEMENT-III										
Details of State Share (Rs in lacs)										
1	State share					140.51	112.41	28.10	56.25	
2	ULB contribution					540.38	432.24	108.06	216.12	
	Total State Share					680.89	544.65	136.24	272.37	
A										
Housing -										
1. Water Supply										
2. Roads										
3. Drains										
4. Street Lighting										
5. Multi facility Community Centre (15)										
Sub Total B										
Total Project Cost										
1468.42										
1174.74										
293.68										
587.37										
IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at 34 existing slums at Vinukonda, Guntur distt, AP										
B										
IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at slums at Wanaparthy, Mahabubnagar distt, AP										
A										
Housing -										
1. Roads										
2. Drains										
3. Multi facility Community Centre										
Sub Total B										
Total Project Cost										
1173.97										
939.18										
234.79										
469.59										
STATEMENT-IV										
Details of State Share (Rs in lacs)										
1	State share					794.91	635.93	158.98	317.96	
2	ULB contribution					176.06	140.85	35.21	70.42	
	Total State Share					970.97	776.78	194.19	388.38	
B										
Total Project Cost										
1173.97										
939.18										
234.79										
469.59										

Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDIRAMMA

40/53
 29/15 (M)

Sl No	Name of the State	Name of the city			IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	50 % of Central Share
(1)	(2)	(3)			(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Andhra Pradesh	Narayanpet			IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at slums at Narayanpet, Mahabubnagar distr., AP				
STATEMENT-V									
Details of State Share (Rs in lacs)					A				
1)	State share				Housing	738.10	390.68	147.62	295.24
2)	ULB contribution				1. Roads	259.23	207.38	51.85	103.69
					2. Drains	261.00	208.80	52.20	104.40
					3. Multi utility Community facility centres				
	Total State Share								
					Sub Total B	1258.33	1006.66	251.67	503.33
					B				
					Total Project Cost				
					IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at 22 slums at Sateenapalli, Guntur distt., AP	1258.33	1006.66	251.67	503.33
STATEMENT-VI									
Details of State Share (Rs in lacs)					A				
1)	State share				Housing	781.99	625.59	156.40	312.80
2)	ULB contribution				1. Roads	465.61	372.49	93.12	186.24
					2. Drains & culverts	67.89	54.31	13.58	27.16
					3. Multi utility Community facility centres	62.19	49.75	12.44	24.88
					4. Street Lighting	15.00	12.00	3.00	6.00
					5. Parks				
	Total State Share				Sub Total B	1392.68	1114.14	278.54	557.07
					B				
					Total Project Cost				
					IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at 22 slums at Sateenapalli, Guntur distt., AP	1392.68	1114.14	278.54	557.07

Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDIRAMMA

Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDIRAMMA

Sl No	Name of the State	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	(Rs in lakh)			
				Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	50 % of Central Share
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Andhra Pradesh	Chirala	IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at 12 slums at Chirala, Parkasam distt., AP				
STATEMENT-VII							
Details of State Share				(Rs in lacs)			
	1) State share			215.05	172.04	43.01	86.02
	2) ULB contribution			95.01	76.01	19.00	38.00
				23.57	18.86	4.71	9.43
				18.61	14.89	3.72	7.44
	Total State Share			352.24	281.79	70.45	140.90
Total Project Cost				352.24	281.79	70.45	140.90
STATEMENT-VIII							
Details of State Share				(Rs in lacs)			
	1) State share			777.30	621.84	155.46	310.92
	2) ULB contribution			387.50	310.00	77.50	155.00
				217.20	173.76	43.44	86.88
	Total State Share			1382.00	1105.60	276.40	552.80
Total Project Cost				1382.00	1105.60	276.40	552.80

Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDIRAMMA

Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDIRAMMA

4 of 5 42/53
(NN)

To the minutes of the 27th meeting of ESCQ(18/07)
(Rs in lakh)

Sl No	Name of the State	Name of the city		IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	50 % of Central Share
(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Andhra Pradesh	Ongole		IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at 14 slums at Ongole, Prakasam distt., AP				
STATEMENT-IX					Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDIRAMMA			
Details of State Share (Rs in lakh)					A			
1) State share		28.38		1. Water Supply	35.71	28.57	7.14	14.28
2) ULB contribution		28.37		2. Roads & Drains	180.86	144.69	36.17	72.34
				3. Electrification	11.52	9.22	2.30	4.61
				4. Multi purpose community facility centres	55.68	44.54	11.14	22.27
				Sub Total B	283.77	227.02	56.75	113.51
				Total Project Cost	283.77	227.02	56.75	113.51
Andhra Pradesh					B			
Pulvendula					IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at 14 slums at Pulvendula, Kaddapa distt., AP			
STATEMENT-X					Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDIRAMMA			
Details of State Share (Rs in lakh)					A			
1) State share		146.92		1. Roads	582.25	465.86	116.45	232.90
2) ULB contribution		146.92		2. Drains	421.39	337.11	84.28	168.56
				3. Water Supply	89.99	71.99	18.00	36.00
				4. Street Light	106.29	85.03	21.26	42.52
				5. Multi functional Community Facility Centre	198.00	158.40	39.60	79.20
				6. Community Toilets	71.29	57.03	14.26	28.52
				Sub Total B	1469.21	1175.37	293.84	587.68
				Total Project Cost	1469.21	1175.37	293.84	587.68
B								

50/5
42/53
MD

Sl No	Name of the State	Name of the city		IHSDP Project Name / Component	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	50 % of Central Share
(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Andhra Pradesh	Macherla		IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at 16 slums at Macherla, Guntur distt., AP				
STATEMENT-XI								
Details of State Share (Rs in lakh)								
1)	State share				743.40	594.72	148.68	297.36
2)	ULB contribution				548.61	438.89	109.72	219.44
Total State Share								
					1498.88	1199.10	299.78	599.55
STATEMENT-XII								
Details of State Share (Rs in lakh)								
1)	State share				376.72	301.38	75.34	150.69
2)	ULB contribution				45.36	36.29	9.07	18.14
Total State Share								
					422.08	337.66	84.42	168.83

Secretary (M&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDRAMMA

IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at YSR Nagar at Chittoor, AP

Secretary (M&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDRAMMA

6 of 15
44/153
(M)

Sl. No	Name of the State	Name of the city		IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	50 % of Central Share	
(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
	Andhra Pradesh	Gadwal		IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities in various slums at Gadwal, Mahabubnagar Dist, AP					
STATEMENT-XIII					Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDIRAMMA				
Details of State Share					(Rs in lakh)				
	1) State share			A					
	2) ULB contribution			Housing -					
				1. Roads	242.80	194.24	48.56	97.12	
				2. Drains	74.65	59.72	14.93	29.86	
				3. Street Lighting/ Electrification	10.40	8.32	2.08	4.16	
				4. Multi-Utility Community Facility Centre	97.28	21.82	5.46	10.91	
				Sub Total B	355.13	284.10	71.03	142.05	
				Total Project Cost	355.13	284.10	71.03	142.05	
				B					
				IHSDP Project for provision of infrastructure facilities at Grijanapalem Slum (Ice Factory) at Kavali Phase I, Nellore Dist, AP.					
STATEMENT-XIV					Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under UPH and INDIRAMMA				
Details of State Share					(Rs in lakh)				
	1) State share			A					
	2) ULB contribution			Housing -					
				1. Roads	39.68	47.74	11.94	23.87	
				2. Drains	66.05	52.84	13.21	26.42	
				3. Multi-Utility Community Facility Centre	26.88	21.50	5.38	10.75	
				Sub Total B	152.61	122.09	30.52	61.04	
				Total Project Cost	152.61	122.09	30.52	61.04	
				B					

70/MS
45/53
ND

(Rs in lakh)

Sl No	Name of the State	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost				50 % of Central Share			
				(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)				
STATEMENT-XVI				Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under LPH and INDIRAMMA							
Details of State Share (Rs in lacs)											
1)	State share	106.38									
2)	ULB contribution	106.38									
Total State Share		212.76									
A								B			
Housing-								Sub Total B			
1. Land levelling								Total Project Cost			
2. Roads, Drains & Culverts								1063.79			
3. Water supply								851.03			
4. Sewerage				212.76							
5. Electrification				212.76							
6. Multi-use community facility centre				212.76							
7. Local food centre				212.76							
Provision of Infrastructure facilities at BK Palle layout in Madanapalle Municipality under IHSDP.				425.52							
B				A							
Housing-				Sub Total B							
1. Roads				Total Project Cost							
2. Drains & Culverts				1063.79							
3. Water supply				851.03							
4. Street lighting				212.76							
5. Multi-use community facility centre				212.76							
6. Urban Health Centre				212.76							
7. Park				212.76							
Sub Total B				425.52							
Total Project Cost				851.03							

Secretary (MA&UD), Govt. of AP vide letter dated 26/10/2007 has given certification stating that Housing component will be taken up by the State Govt. under LPH and INDIRAMMA

STATEMENT-XVII		(Rs in lacs)	
Details of State Share			
1)	State share	47.42	
2)	ULB contribution	47.42	
Total State Share		94.84	
A		B	
Housing-		Sub Total B	
1. Roads		Total Project Cost	
2. Drains & Culverts		474.20	
3. Water supply		379.36	
4. Street lighting		94.84	
5. Multi-use community facility centre		94.84	
6. Urban Health Centre		94.84	
7. Park		94.84	
Sub Total B		189.68	
Total Project Cost		189.68	

9/15

42/153

M

Sl No	Name of the State	Name of the city	(Rs in lacs)	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	50 % of Central Share
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	Madhya Pradesh	Jaura		IHSDP in selected Slums of Jaura, Ratlam Distt, MP	16.89	10.88	6.01	5.44
				Construction of 17 Dwelling units at 2 sites @ Rs.99,364/- per DU) Single Storey for In-situ with 2 Rooms, Kitchen bath and toilet with floor area 30.37 sq mt. Land ownership with beneficiaries (30 year lease/ part). House will be allotted in the name of female member or in joint name.	147.24	96.00	51.24	48.00
				Construction of 150 Dwelling units @ Rs.98,158/- per DU) G+3 for relocation with 2 Rooms, Kitchen, bath and toilet with floor area 30.87 sq mt.	164.13	106.88	57.25	53.44
				Sub Total A				
				1. Roads	29.83	23.86	3.97	11.93
				2. Storm Water Drain	18.53	14.82	3.71	7.41
				3. Water Supply	7.33	5.86	1.47	2.93
				4. Sewerage	6.30	5.04	1.26	2.52
				5. Multi purpose community centre	20.04	16.03	4.01	8.02
				6. Street lighting	1.57	1.26	0.31	0.63
				Sub Total B	83.60	66.88	16.72	33.44
				Total Project Cost (A+B)	247.73	173.76	73.97	86.88
				Total	98158	99364		

14 of 15
52/53
MVA

Sl No	Name of the State	Name of the city	Burhanpur	(Rs in lacs)		IHSDP Project Name / Components	(Rs in lakh)			
							Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	50 % of Central Share
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)		
	Madhya Pradesh		Burhanpur			IHSDP PROPOSAL FOR THE TOWN OF BURHANPUR, DISTRICT - BURHANPUR (M.P.)				
						<p>A</p> <p>i) Construction of 240 Dwelling units at 4 sites @ Rs.98,798/- per DLU with floor area 30.87 sq.mt. with 2 rooms, a kitchen, a bath and a toilet. Land ownership with beneficiaries (30 year lease/ patta). House will be allotted in the name of female member or in joint name.</p>	237.12	153.60	83.52	76.80
						<p>ii) 533 Dwelling units @ Rs.99,364/- per DLU</p> <p>iii) 40 Dwelling units @ Rs.98,823/- per DLU</p>	549.48	353.92	195.56	176.96
						<p>Sub Total A</p> <p>1. Roads, WBM Roads</p> <p>2. Storm Water Drain</p> <p>3. Water Supply</p> <p>4. Sewerage</p> <p>5. Multi purpose community centre</p> <p>6. Street lighting</p>	826.13	533.12	293.01	266.56
						<p>Sub Total B</p> <p>Total Project Cost (A+B)</p>	1355.85	887.04	488.57	453.52
						Total for Madhya Pradesh (2 Projects)	1613.58	1138.66	474.92	569.33
						Grand Total for all States				
						Andhra Pradesh	16673.89	13339.11	3334.78	6669.56
						Uttarakhand	585.44	290.92	294.53	145.46
						Madhya Pradesh	1613.58	1138.66	474.92	569.33
						Total (24 projects)	18872.91	14768.68	4104.22	7384.34
						Total	98798	99364	98923	

15 of 35
53153
MD