REGISTERED POST/BY HAND

No.N-11028/1/2009/IHSDP/JNNURM –Vol.XIV
Government of India
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
JNNURM Directorate

Room No. 201 G Wing New Delhi, dated 28th February, 2009

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of the minutes of the 60th meeting of the Central Sanctioning Committee of Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 24th February, 2009 under the Chairmanship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction projects under Integrated Housing and Slum Development Programme (IHSDP).

- 2. The appraisal agencies (i.e. HUDCO and BMTPC) are requested to convey the decisions of the Central Sanctioning Committee to the State implementing agency/nodal agency for IHSDP to take appropriate follow up actions as per the minutes of the meeting.
- 3. A copy of the minutes is forwarded to the Secretaries in-charge of BSUP and IHSDP in the States/UTs with a request to take further follow up action.

(M. Jayachandran)

Deputy Director (BSUP)

Telephone No. +91-11-23061519

Encl: Minutes of the meeting

To Members of the CSC as follows:

- 1. The Joint Secretary (JNNURM) and Mission Director, Ministry of HUPA.
- 2. The JS&FA, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 3. The Joint Secretary (UD), Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 4. The CMD, HUDCO, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
- 5. The Director (UPA), Convenor, Ministry of HUPA

Copy to the Joint Secretary (PF-I), Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance, North Block, New Delhi.

Copy to the concerned officer in respect of projects considered in the meeting:-

- 1. Shri Navneet Sehgal, Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Bapu Bhavan, Lucknow 226 001.
- 2. Shri Chintamani, Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, 10-Ashok Marg, Navchetna Kendra, Lucknow.
- 3. Dr. Arun Kumar Panda, Commissioner & Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government Orissa, Secretariat, Bhubaneswar 751 001.
- 4. Shri Shailesh Kumar Singh, Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, HEC, Dhurva, Ranchi.

Copy to the Secretaries in charge of Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) and Integrated Housing & Slum Development Programme (IHSDP) in the States/UTs:-

Integrated Housing & Slum Developmen	nt Programme (IHSDP) in the States/UTs:-
The Principal Secretary,	The Principal Secretary,
Urban Development &	Housing Department,
Municipal Administration Department	Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Government of Andhra Pradesh,	L-Block, A.P. Secretariat,
L-Block Secretariat	Hyderabad – 500 002
Hyderabad – 500 002	
11yderasad 544	
The Secretary,	The Principal Secretary,
Municipal Administration Department,	Urban Development & Tourism,
Government of Andhra Pradesh,	Government of Arunachal Pradesh,
L-Block Secretariat,	Civil Secretariat,
Hyderabad-500 002.	Itanagar.
Hyderabad-300 002.	
The Commissioner & Secretary,	The Secretary,
UD Department,	Urban Development Department,
Government of Assam,	Government of Bihar,
	Vikash Bhawan,
Assam Secretariat,	New Secretariat,
Dispur,	Patna
Guwahati -781 006.	The Secretary (Housing),
The Additional Secretary & Director	Government of Bihar
(BUDA),	Sachivalaya
Urban Development Department,	Patna - 800 015
Government of Bihar,	Tattia 333
Vikash Bhawan,	
Patna.	The Secretary(Housing)
The Secretary,	Government of Goa,
Urban Administration & Development	Secretariat Annexe,
Department,	EDC House,
Government of Chhattishgarh,	Panaji- 403 001
Room NO 316, DKS Bhawan,	1 allaji 100 012
Mantralaya, Raipur -492 001.	The Chief Executive Officer,
The Principal Secretary(UD) & Housing,	Gujarat Urban Development Mission,
Government of Gujarat,	GMFB Building, Sector-10A,
Block No, 14, 9th Floor,	Gandhinagar – 382 016.
New Sachivalaya,	Gandiniagai
Gandhinagar-382 010.	The Secretary (UD),
The Commissioner & Secretary,	Government of Himachal Pradesh,
Department of Urban Development,	Shimla – 171 002
Government of Haryana,	Shima - 1/1 002
SCO-20 Sec.7C,	
Chandigarh – 160 001.	
	The Principal Secretary (Housing),
The Secretary,	Government of Himachal Pradesh,
Housing and UD Department,	Shimla – 171 002
Government of Jammu & Kasnmir,	- · ·
New Secretariat, Srinagar	

The Director,	The Secretary	
Urban Local Bodies	Urban Development Department,	
Government of Jammu & Kahsmir,	Government of Jharkhand,	
151-A/D, Gandhi Nagar,	Ranchi -834 004.	
Jammu.		
The Secretary (Housing)	The Principal Secretary (Housing)	
Government of Jharkhand,	Government of Karnataka,	
Project Building, Dhurwa,	Room No.213,	
Project building, Dilui wa,	2 nd Floor, Vikas Sauda	
Ranchi-834004	Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore-560 001	
Comment	The Secretary (Housing),	
The Principal Secretary to Government	Government of Kerala,	
UD Department,	Secretariat,	
Government of Karnataka	Thiruvananthapuram – 695 001	
Room No.436,	Intruvatiantiapuram	
4th Floor, Vikas Sauda		
Dr. B.R.Ambedkar Road,		
Bangalore 560 001		
	The Secretary,	
The Principal Secretary,	Local Self Government,	
Local Self Government Department	Government of Kerala,	
Government of Kerala	Thiruvananthapuram-695 001	
Thiruvananthapuram – 695 001	Timuvammin	
	The Principal Secretary,	
The Executive Director	Urban Administration and Development	
Kudumbashree		
State Poverty Eradication Mission	Department,	
Government of Kerala	Government of Madhya Pradesh,	
2 nd Floor, TRIDA Building,	Mantralaya,	
Chalakuzhy Road, Medical College (PO),	Bhopal - 462 032	
Thiruvananthapuram 695 011.		
The Principal Secretary (Housing &	The Commissioner,	
The Principal Secretary (Troubing 1	Urban Administration & Development,	
Environment),	Government of Madhya Pradesh,	
Government of Madhya Pradesh,	Nagar Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar	
Mantralaya, Ballabh Bhavan,	Bhopal -462 016	
Bhopal - 462 032	The Principal Secretary (Housing),	
The Principal Secretary (UD),	Government of Maharashtra,	
Government of Maharashtra,		
Room No.425, 4th floor	Room No.268, 2 nd Floor, Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032	
Mantraalaya, Mumbai-400 032		1
Commissioner & Secretary,	The Secretary,	
Urban Affairs & Housing,	Housing, UD & Municipal Administration,	
Government of Meghalaya,	Government of Manipur,	
Main Secretariat Building	Chief Secretariat,	
CI III-no 703 001	Imphal -795 001	1
Shillong-793 001 The Commissioner & Secretary,	The Principal Secretary,	
The Commissioner & Secretary,	Urban Development Department,	
Urban Development & Poverty Alleviation	Government of Nagaland,	
Department,	Kohima – 797 001	
Government of Mizoram,		
Civil Secretariat, Aizwal-796 001.	The Principal Secretary (Housing & UD),	
The Commissioner & Secretary, Works &	The Principal Secretary (1100000000000000000000000000000000000	
Housing,	Government of Orissa,	
Government of Nagaland	Orissa Secretariat,	
Kohima – 797 001	Bhubaneswar - 751 001	1.
Komma - 171 ook		J.
T .		

The Principal Secretary(LSG)	The Secretary (Housing & UD)
Government of Punjab	Government of Punjab,
Mini Secretariat	Room No.419, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9
Sector-9,	Chandigarh 160 001
Chandigarh 160 001	
The Principal Secretary,	The Secretary,
UDH & LSG Department,	Local Self Government Department,
Government of Rajasthan	Government of Rajasthan,
Room No. 29, Main Building,	Room No.39, SSO Building,
Secretariat, Jaipur	Government Secretariat,
	Jaipur 302 005.
The Secretary,	The Secretary (Housing & UD),
Department of UD & Housing,	Government of Tamil Nadu,
Government of Sikkim,	Fort St. George, Secretariat,
NH 31A,	Chennai —600 009
Gangtok - 737 101	
The Secretary,	The Secretary (UD),
Municipal Administration & Water Supply,	Government of Tripura
Government of Tamil Nadu,	Civil Secretariat,
6th Floor, Ezhilagam Annexe,	Pt. Nehru Complex,
Chepauk, Chennai- 600 009	Agartala-799 001
The Principal Secretary (UD & MA)	The Principal Secretary (Housing),
Government of Uttar Pradesh,	Government of Uttar Pradesh,
Room No.825,	325 Bapu Bhavan,
8th floor, Bapu Bhawan,	Lucknow – 226 001
Lucknow – 226 001	THE D : LC (III)
The Director,	The Principal Secretary (UD),
SUDA,	Government of Uttarakhand, Uttarakhand Secretariat,
Government of Uttar Pradesh,	4 B, Subhash Road
Navchetna Kendra,	DEHRADUN – 248 001.
10, Ashok Marg, Lucknow.	DETAIDON - 248 001.
The Project Director (JNNURM),	
Urban Development Directorate, Government of Uttarakhand,	
•	
43/6, Mata Mandir Marg, Dharampur, Dehradun – 248 001	
The Principal Secretary (UD),	The Secretary (UD & Housing),
Government of West Bengal,	Chandigarh Administration,
Nagarayan DF-8, Sector1,	UT Secretariat, Sector 9,
Bidhannagar,	Chandigarh-160 001
Kolkata 700 064	
The Secretary (Housing),	The Secretary,
Government of Puducherry,	Local Administration Department
Chief Secretariat,	Government of Puducherry,
Puducherry-605 001	Chief Secretariat,
,	Puducherry-605 001
The Principal Secretary (UD),	The Additional Secretary (UD),
Government of NCT of Delhi,	Government of NCT of Delhi,
9 th Floor, C Wing,	Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate,
Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi.	New Delhi-110 002

The Commissioner & Secretary, (Relief & Rehabilitation), UT of Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Secretariat,	The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Daman & Diu, Secretariat, Moti Daman-396 220
Port Blair –744 101 The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Secretariat, Silvassa-396 220	The Chief Town Planner, Town & Country Planning Department, UT Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, 2 nd Floor, Secretariat, Silvasa – 396 230.

Copy to:

- 1. The Joint Secretary to Hon'ble Prime Minister (Kind attention Shri R. Gopalakrishnan), PMO, South Block, New Delhi.
- 2. PS to Hon'ble Minister (HUPA)
- 3. Sr. PPS to Secretary (HUPA)
- 4. Joint Secretary (H), Ministry of HUPA
- 5. The Joint Secretary (PP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Room No.1125, 11th Floor, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, New Delhi.
- 6. The Joint Secretary (UT), Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi
- 7. Director (UPA), Ministry of HUPA
- 8. OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
- 9. Director (Administration), Ministry of HUPA
- 10. DS(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 11. US(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 12. DD(JPC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
- 13. DD(Data & MIS), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
- 14. DD (NRC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
- 15. SO (IHSDP), Ministry of HUPA
- 16. Monitoring Cell (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 17. The CMD, NBCC, "NBCC Bhavan", Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003
- 18. The CMD, HPL, Jangpura, New Delhi-110014
- 19. The Executive Director, BMTPC, Core 5 A, First Floor, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003
- 20. The Director (Corporate Planning), HUDCO, "HUDCO Bhavan", India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110 003.
- 21. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, Uttarakhand 247 667

Copy to:- Guard folder on JNNURM

(M. Jayachandran) Deputy Director (BSUP)



MINUTES OF THE 60th MEETING OF THE CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC) OF THE INTEGRATED HOUSING AND SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP) UNDER JAWAHARLAL NEHRU NATIONAL URBAN RENEWAL MISSION (JNNURM)

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 24th February, 2009

The 60th Meeting of the Central Sanctioning Committee (CSC) of the Integrated Housing & Slum Development Programme (IHSDP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) was held under the Chairpersonship of Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation in New Delhi on 24th February, 2009. The list of participants is at Annexure – I.

- 2.1. Welcoming the Chairperson and the Members of CSC, Joint Secretary & Mission Director (JNNURM) requested the representatives of the States/UTs to come up with adequate number of proposals for the release of 2nd/3rd/4th installments for projects sanctioned earlier and 1st installment in the case of new projects under BSUP and IHSDP. They should also send progress report on the sanctioned projects and reforms in time, using the e-tool developed by the Ministry. If any additional training is required, the Director General, Centre for Good Governance may be approached for the same.
 - 2.2. Informing the officers present that Mid-term target of 5 lakh houses for the urban poor has been committed by the Ministry before Hon'ble Prime Minister to be attained by 30th September, JS (JNNURM) requested the State/UT Secretaries to establish PMU/PIUs expeditiously, institute TPIMA, establish internal and external quality control systems, gear up the JNNURM implementation process by fixing clear milestones for progress project-wise, undertake regular monitoring, submit UCs in time, establish beneficiary committees to supervise construction, develop supporting frameworks at State and city levels for effective institutional coordination and undertake capacity building programmes. JS (JNNURM) recalled the instructions of Secretary (HUPA) & Chairperson, CSMC that the States/UTs would have the flexibility to engage technical experts as needed in their PMU/PIUs using the ACA amounts released by GoI so as to make professional support available for the implementation of BSUP and IHSDP.
 - 2.3. Joint Secretary (JNNURM) called upon the SLNAs and appraising agencies to ensure that the estimates included in the DPRs conform to the



latest Schedule of Rates (SoRs) brought out by the State Government. Further, the bills of quantities would need to be certified to be correct by the competent authority. The State Level Nodal Agencies must ensure that the competent State engineering authority specified in the PWD Code / State Government Orders has certified/accorded necessary technical endorsement to the estimates included in the DPRs before the same are placed before the State Level Steering Committee (SLSC) / State Level Coordination Committee (SLCC), as the case may be, for approval. The details of SLSC / SLCC approval and check lists along with appraisal reports must be placed by the Appraising Agency before the CSMC/CSC for consideration. JS & Mission Director (JNNURM) reiterated the important points emphasized by the Chairperson in the earlier meetings of CSMC / CSC for adherence / implementation by the States/UTs/ULBs (Annexure-II).

- Secretary (HUPA) & Chairperson, CSC emphasized the need for the preparation and implementation of slum development plans following the basic minimum town planning norms. These plans should ensure the provision of water and sewerage infrastructure, storm water drainage, roads, community infrastructure facilities, open spaces, etc. as per the norms applicable to EWS/low-income housing. In the absence of proper physical planning, the slums would remain as slums and the purpose of INNURM would be defeated. Secretary (HUPA) emphasized the need for a 'whole slum' approach. The slums should be denotified after the development projects under JNNURM are implemented. Secretary (HUPA) suggested that every beneficiary household under BSUP and IHSDP must be provided with a descent place, an individual water connection and an individual toilet - connected to city water and sewer systems respectively and be enabled to have a household electricity connection. Further, pucca houses of the urban poor not having toilet facilities must also be provided with the same. Secretary (HUPA) expressed that urban renewal cannot be achieved without meeting the requirements of basic sanitation for the urban poor. She called upon the States/UTs to adopt a 'total sanitation' approach for slums and low income settlements.
- 3.2. Secretary (HUPA) & Chairperson, CSC said that the infrastructure networks being developed under BSUP and IHSDP should invariably be integrated or planned to be integrated with trunk-line city infrastructure facilities, either already existing or being developed under UIG / UIDSSMT or State Government programmes in accordance with CDPs. She suggested that the State/ULBs should ensure proper coordination amongst various agencies engaged in the implementation of JNNURM

(UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP) and other schemes to make sure that slums and low-income communities are linked to city-wide infrastructure systems. The project appraisal teams for UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP must ensure such type of linkages.

- Secretary (HUPA) called upon the State/UT Secretaries to take all necessary steps to ensure that the Mid-term and Mission targets are attained. JNNURM envisages the construction of 15 lakh houses as Mission target and all steps need to be taken to achieve the same. The Chairperson requested the State/UT Secretaries to undertake a detailed review and submit action plans for starting and completing projects sanctioned so far with clearly specified milestones. She emphasized the need for (i) construction of high quality houses for the urban poor without time- and cost- overruns and (ii) institution of TPIMA expeditiously.
- For the CSC Meeting, the following projects were put up to the CSC as part of the agenda for consideration (Brief details are at Annexure -III).

Uttar Pradesh (15 Projects): Malihabad, Sultanpur, Mugalsari, Kakori, Ram Nagar, Jaswant Nagar, Ajhuwa, Aligarh, Bhatawali, Hasanpur, Joya, Kosi-Kalan, Shivli, Sikandra, and Hastinapur.

Orissa (8 Projects): Balasore, Berhampur, Parlakhemundi, Nabarangpur, Jatni, Bhadrak, Malkangiri & Subarnapur.

Jharkhand (1 Project): Medininagar.

New Projects

Uttar Pradesh 5.0

The representative of the Government of Uttar Pradesh made a 5.1. presentation on the following 15 Projects:

	for construction of 148 DUs at
1	Implementation of IHSDP projects for construction of 148 DUs at
	3 t 13 1 - J Digtt Lucknow
2	Implementation of IHSDP projects for construction of 116 DUs at
	Oi : Diett Sultannur
3	Implementation of IHSDP project at Bichhari, Mugalsarai, Distt
)	
	Implementation of IHSDP project for construction of 629 DUs at
4	Implementation of IFISIM project 202
	Kakori, Distt Lucknow
5	Implementation of IHSDP project for construction of 228 DUs at
) 3	Ramnagar, Distt Barabanki
1	Kamnagar, Discr Darastan

6	Implementation of IHSDP project for construction of 96 DUs at town area of Jaswant Nagar II, Distt Etawah
7	Implementation of IHSDP project for construction of 144 DUs at Ajhuwa, Distt Kaushambi
8	Implementation of IHSDP project at Aligarh (Indra Nagar)
9	Implementation of IHSDP project at Bhatawali, Distt Moradabad
10	Implementation of IHSDP project at Hasanpur
11	Implementation of IHSDP project at Joya, Distt J P Nagar
12	Implementation of IHSDP project at Kosi-Kalan, Distt Mathura
13	Implementation of IHSDP project for construction of 132 DUs at Shivli, Distt Kanpur
14	Implementation of IHSDP project for construction of 204 DUs at Sikandra, Distt Kanpur
15	DPR under IHSDP for in-situ upgradation (582 DUs), Hastinapur

5.2. The Committee made the following observations:

- Biometric identity cards should be issued to all the beneficiaries in the case of projects approved by CSC within 2 months.
- Layout plans and maps to the scale have been provided; the DPRs including estimates, layout plans and maps have to be authenticated by the competent authority. Layout maps to the scale for all projects approved so far need to be submitted.
- The State Government will furnish the details of land tenure and ownership status in respect of all the projects approved.
- While implementing the projects, SUDA, DUDA and ULBs must ensure that alignments of roads, water lines and sewer lines are made as straight as possible. If necessary, layout plans may be redrawn and copies of the same duly approved be sent to the Mission Directorate. A key objective is that slums taken up for development would be denotified and the beneficiaries under BSUP and IHSDP should be enabled to have access to amenities as are available to general city residents.
- The State Government must ensure that the slum infrastructure networks implemented under BSUP/IHSDP are connected to the city level trunk infrastructure systems taken up/being taken up under UIG/UIDSSMT.

- The slums taken up for development will be denotified as soon as the projects are implemented.
- The State Government will taken urgent action to constitute PMU and PIUs and institute TPIMA - positively by March, 2009.
- The State may work out and implement a slum prevention scheme to ensure that new slums do not come up and adequate provision is made for land and housing for the urban poor.
- Required number of signed copies of DPRs will be submitted by the State to the Appraisal Agency within 7 days.
- Hastinapur project which was deferred in the earlier meeting has a revised cost of Rs. 19.09 crores with some modifications in the infrastructure components.
- SLCC approval is yet to be furnished for projects of Kosi-Kalan Distt Mathura; Ajhuwa, Distt Koshambhi; Bhatawali, Distt Moradabad; Shivli, Distt Kanpur Dehat and Sikandra, Distt Kanpur Dehat.
- Taking into account the presentations made, the report of the Appraising Agencies (BMTPC for 1 project in Hastinapur and HUDCO for the remaining 14 projects) and the views of the members, the Committee approved the projects for Uttar Pradesh. The approved project components are at Statement-I to XV of Annexure-IV. Funds will be released on furnishing of SLCC approval for the projects stated above.

6.0 Orissa

The State Nodal Officer from the Government of Orissa presented 8 new projects which are as follows

8 n	lew projects which are as follows
1	IHSDP scheme for the town of Balasore, Orissa.
1	
	Grade town of Berhampur, Orissa.
2	IHSDP scheme for the town of Berhampur, Orissa.
3	IHSDP scheme for the town of Parlakhemundi, Orissa.
)	Tribini scheme tot dat
	CNI-bernamur Orissa
4	IHSDP scheme for the town of Nabarangpur, Orissa.
-	IHSDP scheme for the town of Jatni Phase II, Orissa
5	IMSDP Scheme for the town and
	and 1 1 Di II Origea
6	IHSDP scheme for the town of Bhadrak, Phase II, Orissa
-	- I Calle town of Malkangiri Orissa
7	IHSDP scheme for the town of Malkangiri, Orissa
i	

- 8 IHSDP scheme for the town of Subarnapur, Orissa
- 6.2. The Committee made the following observations:
 - The State should institute PMU, PIUs and TPIMA without any loss of time.
 - The State should take urgent action to establish a framework for implementation of the three reforms relating to provision of land and housing to the urban poor:
 - o Reservation of 20-25% of developed land in all housing colonies for EWS/LIG;
 - o Earmarking of 25% of municipal budget for the urban poor and preparation of P-Budget;
 - o Implementation of 7-Point Charter and pursuing Slum-free City Agenda.
 - Biometric identity cards should be issued to all the beneficiaries in the case of projects approved by CSC within 2 months.
 - The State Government must ensure that the slum infrastructure networks implemented under BSUP/IHSDP are connected to the city level trunk infrastructure systems taken up/being taken up under UIG/UIDSSMT.
 - The slums taken up for development will be denotified as soon as the projects are implemented.
 - The State may work out and implement a slum prevention scheme to ensure that new slums do not come up and adequate provision is made for land and housing for the urban poor.
- 6.3. Taking into account the presentation made, remarks by the State Nodal Officer and the comments of the Appraising Agency, the Committee approved the projects of Orissa. The approved project components are at Statement-XVI to XXIII of Annexure IV.

7.0 <u>Jharkhand</u>

The lone project of Medininagar, Dist. Palamau was not presented as no officer from the Government of Jharkhad was present. Accordingly the proposal was deferred.

8.1. Concluding the meeting, Secretary (HUPA) & Chairperson, CSC, requested the Secretaries/State Nodal Officers to take all steps to avoid time and cost over-runs in the projects already sanctioned and achieve the Mid-term and Mission targets. She desired that every States/UT Secretary concerned conduct detailed project-wise reviews and furnish targets dates

set for completion of all the projects so far sanctioned to the Ministry by 07th March 2009. She suggested that the State / UT Secretary in charge of BSUP and IHSDP should take adequate measures to ensure utmost quality in the construction in housing projects for the urban poor. She desired that both internal and external quality assurance mechanisms (including TPIMA) must be established by March 2009 to ensure that every house sanctioned under BSUP and IHSDP is constructed with utmost quality so as to become a place of pride for the poor person who has been deprived of access to affordable shelter and basic amenities for so long. She suggested that the State/UT Secretaries may send high level teams to fields to check the quality of construction. Further, beneficiary committees must be constituted in all cases to plan, supervise and monitor the construction of houses and basic amenities being taken up.

- 8.2. Secretary (HUPA) & Chairperson, CSMC emphasized the need for the convergence of BSUP and IHSDP with other schemes such as UIG, UIDSSMT, Sarva Sikhsa Abhiyan, Health Mission, National Social Assistance Programme, Aam Aadmi Bima Yojana, Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana, Prime Minister's Employment Generation Programme, Skill Development Initiative, SJSRY etc. She was of the view that shelter and basic amenities to the urban poor may not suffice them to move above the poverty line. She suggested that the State Government/SLNA/ULBs should make effort to dovetail the implementation of SJSRY and skill development programmes with JNNURM. This step would provide the urban poor with access to better livelihoods and enable them to overcome poverty. Secretary (HUPA) stated that programmes for skill development, self-employment, and community empowerment are necessary to facilitate sustained improvements in the living conditions of the urban poor and move towards the goals of poverty-free, slum-free and inclusive cities.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE 60th MEETING OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC) OF IHSDP HELD UNDER THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SECRETARY (HUPA) ON 24.2.2009

1. Ms. Kiran Dhingra, Secretary, in Chair Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation

- 2. Dr. P.K. Mohanty, Joint Secretary (JNNURM) and Mission Director, Ministry of HUPA
- 3. Shri D.S. Negi, OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 4. Shri V.K. Gupta, Deputy Financial Adviser, Ministry of HUPA
- 5. Shri Deena Nath, Dy Director, Min. of Finance
- 6. Dr. Sailesh Kumar Agarwal, ED, BMTPC, New Delhi
- 7. Shri Chintamani, Director, SUDA, U.P.
- 8. Shri A.S. Chauhan, PO, DUDA, Meerut, U.P.
- 9. Shri Umesh Kumar Dubey, Nirman Consultant, Lucknow
- 10. Shri Anil Kumar Srivastava, MD, Snow Fountain Consultants, Lucknow
- 11. Shri Sudhir Pandey, Sudhir & Associates,
- 12. Shri Ramendra Kumar, DUDA, Meerut
- 13. Shri Tirth Raj, PO, DUDA, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh,
- 14. Shri G.P.N. Singh, Project Officer, DUDA, Chandoli
- 15. Ms Manju Safaya, Chief (DD), HUDCO, New Delhi
- 16. Ms. Usha P. Mahavir, Deputy Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi
- 17. Ms. Radha Roy, Assistant Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi
- 18. Shri Deepak Bansal, AC (P), HUDCO, New Delhi
- 19. Ms Poonam K Banerjee, SPAO, HUDCO, New Delhi
- 20. Shri A.P. Tiwari, Assistant Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi
- 21. Shri S V Kamath, Regional Chief, HUDCO, Lucknow
- 22. Shri Pankaj Gupta, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi

IMPORTANT POINTS REGARDING FORMULATION, EXECUTION & MONITORING OF BSUP AND IHSDP PROJECTS

CDPs and Slum Development Plans

• The first generation CDPs have not addressed the requirements of housing and infrastructure in slums/low-income settlements adequately. States/UTs should revisit their CDPs, identify all the slums in their cities and towns — both notified and non-notified, develop database, and assess the needs for both *in situ* and relocation projects. As far as possible, priority should be accorded to *in situ* development of slums, keeping the livelihood needs of the urban poor in view. Time-bound Slum Development Plans need to be prepared for all cities and towns to pursue the agenda of Slumfree Cities/Towns in view of the mandatory reform regarding the provision of entitlements and amenities to the urban poor in accordance with the 7-Point Charter of JNNURM.

Slum & Socio-economic Surveys

- Survey of slums and potential beneficiaries for coverage under BSUP and IHSDP projects is a must for the meaningful formulation of DPRs. All efforts should be made for the proper conduct of detailed slum surveys and household socio-economic surveys to identify the projects/facilities to be included under DPRs. The SLNAs concerned would be responsible for the conduct of various surveys under the guidance of the State Secretary concerned. OSD (JNNURM) will coordinate the conduct of surveys in BSUP cities/towns and other cities and towns covered / proposed to be covered under IHSDP. He will communicate necessary guidelines / formats for the conduct of Slum, Household and Livelihoods Surveys in States/UTs. Training programmes as required for effective survey works should be organised by SLNAS, coordinated by OSD (JNNURM).
- Surveys would facilitate the assessment of the felt-needs of slum-dwellers/urban poor, especially for housing and physical and social infrastructure including schools, health care centres and other social /community facilities like community halls, common facility centres etc. Such surveys should cover health, education and livelihood profiles of the urban poor. The survey findings must be utilized for designing good BSUP/IHSDP projects, taking into account

important aspects such as dependency load in the existing schools, capacity in hospitals for in- and out-patients, need for multi-purpose community centres including livelihood centres, informal sector markets, etc. depending on the occupational profiles of the beneficiaries and the likely numbers.

- In case there is time constraint, regular slum survey and household socioeconomic survey can be preceded by rapid slum and socio-economic surveys for the purposes of assessing the state of basic amenities in slums and the felt-needs of beneficiaries, duly considering their broad social, economic, educational and skill profiles, main and subsidiary occupations etc. so that appropriate physical and social infrastructure facilities can be proposed in the DPRs.
- Each DPR should be accompanied by a list of beneficiaries based on the socio-economic survey. Efforts should be made to develop slums inhabited predominantly by SCs, STs and other weaker sections living in sub-human conditions. States/ULBs should ensure that houses under BSUP and IHSDP are provided to the needy and the properly targeted sections. The list of beneficiaries should be notified and placed in the website of the ULB/JNNURM.
- States/UTs should go in for issues of bio-metric identity cards to beneficiaries based on the socio-economic survey and computerization of data/records. This is to ensure that they do not sell the dwelling units and squat elsewhere. Further, the States/ULBs may impose conditions that the houses constructed under JNNURM cannot be transferred over a specified period or that the same would be on a long-term lease. The possibility of sale/alienation/misuse of housing units constructed under BSUP and IHSDP should be prevented.

Consultation with Beneficiaries

- Consultation with beneficiaries is a must before deciding on preparation of DPRs. Willingness of the beneficiaries should be taken for any rehabilitation/relocation projects proposed and also for payment of beneficiary contribution.
- Affordability of the urban poor should be kept foremost in view while working out beneficiary contribution. Any contribution amount beyond their financial capacity may lead to the imposition of undue burden on them. Therefore, special care needs to be taken while deciding upfront beneficiary contribution or EMI payment. States/UTs may arrange loans under Differential Rate of Interest scheme for beneficiaries to enable them to meet their share. Overall construction cost of the housing unit may also be kept at a minimum.



Mandatory Clearances/Approvals

- The State Level Nodal Agency/implementing bodies should ensure that the necessary clearances/approvals such as environmental clearance, Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) regulation clearance, land use clearance, etc. are in place before the DPRs are posed to the State Level Steering Committee/State Level Coordination Committee, as the case may be, for consideration. The CEO of the SLNA and State Secretary concerned should place the necessary technical clearances/approvals under various laws, including Environmental, Town Planning and Municipal laws, etc. and certificate that the estimates contained in the DPRs are authenticated/certified by the technically competent authorities under the State PWD Core/rules before the SLSC/SLCC.
- The layouts proposed for housing colonies under BSUP and IHSDP, showing various land uses and facilities proposed must conform to the prevalent Town Planning Rules/norms, as applicable to low income housing/informal settlements. Copies of layouts and housing designs must invariably accompany DPRs when the same are sent to Appraising Agencies.

Housing & Infrastructure Components

- The Ministry of Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation has circulated Model DPR document to all States/UTs. The same is also placed in the Ministry's web site for JNNURM. A 'whole slum' approach will need to be adopted covering provision of land tenure, affordable housing and basic services. The whole slum proposed for development/redevelopment/relocation should be de-notified after the BSUP or IHSDP project is implemented. However, considering the difficulties in practice and special needs of the urban poor at some locations, clusters having more than 15 housing units can also be considered. Under the whole slum approach, it must be ensured that pucca houses left out of housing programme should be provided with individual toilet facilities with a view to achieving total sanitation.
- The housing component should generally be at least 50% of the total project cost with a view to achieving the targets fixed under the Mission and also giving primacy to the provision of shelter to the urban poor except in cases where housing units have already been constructed/are being constructed under VAMBAY or other EWS scheme of Central or State Governments.
- Adequate provision should be made for water supply, sewerage, drainage, solid and liquid waste disposal in the colonies proposed

for development under BSUP or IHSDP. Individual water and sewer connection should be provided. Digester technology, where immediate connections to city sewer systems are not feasible, could be adopted in the place of dual-pits/septic tanks, wherever feasible.

Education, Health & Other Facilities

- Proposals for additional schools or additional rooms in existing schools must be part of the DPRs. The capacity of the existing schools to absorb the children from colonies being developed under BSUP and IHSDP needs to be carefully studied. The estimate of school-going children (including those from the new colonies) and demand for classrooms in terms of prevailing norms, capacity in existing schools and the additional capacity required should be worked out.
- Similar exercise should be done for providing health care facilities hospitals, health centres, maternity centres etc.
- Action needs to be taken to ensure that other community infrastructure facilities like electrical sub-stations, transformers, water supply reservoirs, parks, playgrounds, bus stops, local markets, post offices etc. are also made available to the poor.
- Detailed estimates of community facility requirements as per planning norms, availability and gaps to be addressed have to be prepared at the initial stage of project preparation itself.

Colony Layouts & Housing Designs

- The colony layout plan should be socially cohesive and should facilitate social interaction amongst the dwellers. Efforts should be made for providing at 30% open areas along with 15% organised green area in the layouts.
- Adequate space must be provided in the layouts for community facilities including social and livelihoods infrastructure. The layout plan must include designated space to take care of convergent services such as health, education and recreation, informal sector markets, livelihood centres, pens for animals (if permitted and required) etc. in accordance with the specific needs of each of the slum pockets and their beneficiaries.
- The houses proposed should have two rooms, balcony, kitchen and separate bathroom and latrine, individual water connection and sewer connection. Aspects such as storage space for keeping things in rooms/kitchen, location of kitchen, location of toilet and bathroom in the houses to facilitate privacy, independent access from both rooms to toilet and bathroom, leaving a small space for fitting exhaust fan in kitchen and toilet, balcony for drying clothes

12/5%

etc., are some of the nuances that can be thoughtfully incorporated in the design of the houses for the poor.

- In the case of more than G+3 structures, provisions for ramp/lifts may be made to ensure that the old, disabled and children are not put to inconvenience.
- While priority should be given to accommodate physically challenged beneficiaries in the colonies, suitable barrier-free environments need to be provided for them in the proposed projects.
- The Ministry of HUPA, with the help of HUDCO and BMTPC, has developed good designs of houses, colonies, ramps and various types of social infrastructure facilities which could be appropriately used while formulating project proposals. A Toolkit has also been published in this regard. The same may be referred to.
- The State Level Nodal Agency/ULBs/other implementing agencies may adopt innovative designs for layouts and houses for the poor, multi-purpose community centres, informal sector markets, animal pens, etc. not only in BSUP and IHSDP projects but also in their own programmes taken up by Housing Corporations/Boards etc. duly considering the models presented by HUDCO and BMTPC in the Toolkit published by the Ministry.

Developing Green Habitats

- States/UTs should take action to develop green habitats for the urban poor duly providing as many green belts, parks, avenue plantations, etc. as possible. Road-side plantations with tree guards and block plantations in the colonies taken up under BSUP and IHSDP should be given priority by the ULBs/Departments dealing with Parks, Plantations and Urban Forests.
- Tall seedlings, say 4-5 years old may be procured and planted in BSUP and IHSDP colonies so that they get established quickly without the need for careful nurture and maintenance.

Connectivity to City Infrastructure

- The infrastructure networks being developed under BSUP and IHSDP should invariably be integrated or planned to be integrated with trunk-line city infrastructure facilities, either already existing or being developed under UIG / UIDSSMT or State Government programmes in accordance with CDPs.
- The States / ULBs should ensure proper coordination amongst various agencies engaged in the implementation of JNNURM (UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP) and other schemes to make sure



- that slums and low-income communities are linked to city-wide infrastructure systems. The project appraisal teams for UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP must ensure such type of linkages.
- Infrastructure facilities provided to slum-dwellers/urban poor in the BSUP and IHSDP colonies should not be inferior to those in the surrounding areas.

O&M - Maintenance of Assets

- Proper maintenance of assets and upkeep of cleanliness and hygiene in the housing complexes / colonies developed under BSUP and IHSDP should be given utmost importance. States/UTs must develop viable and sustainable mechanisms for the maintenance of the houses and common infrastructure facilities created under BSUP and IHSDP though suitable mechanisms such as colony welfare associations, local body-residents partnerships, institutional arrangements of collection of monthly maintenance charges etc.
- Wherever informal sector markets are taken up as a part of social infrastructure facilities in colonies, their operation on a time-sharing basis by inhabitants or their associations can be considered by the ULB concerned for enabling wider coverage of beneficiaries, without allotting space to any one person permanently.

Prime Minister's New 15-Point Programme for Welfare of Minorities

• An important objective of the new programme is to ensure that the benefits of various government schemes for the underprivileged reach the disadvantaged sections of the minority communities. In this regard, care should be taken to take up clusters of minority beneficiaries to the extent possible. Wherever feasible, efforts should be made to allocate up to 15% of targets under BSUP and IHSDP for the minorities.

Appraisal Check Lists

• Responsibility for the technical specifications in DPRs (adherence to State PWD Code and Government Orders) and their endorsement/approval by the competent authority lies with the ULB/implementing authority/State Level Nodal Agency. The appraisal agencies must also ensure that the technical specifications are duly certified by the technically competent authorities as per State Government Public Works Code/Government Orders. Authenticated estimates (rates and bills of quantities) duly signed by appropriate authorities must accompany the DPRs/Appraisal Reports.

14/56

- The SLNAs/Appraisal Agencies should specially devote attention to the following aspects:
 - Land availability for housing the poor verification of ownership;
 - Land tenure status patta, temporary patta, occupancy right etc.;
 - Availability of State share, ULB share, Beneficiary contribution as per Guidelines of BSUP/IHSDP;
 - Willingness of beneficiaries to pay for their contribution.
 - Housing design two rooms, kitchen, balcony, individual toilet, individual water connection - refer to the Design Manual circulated by the Ministry;
 - Adherence to town planning norms Layout plans/designs should conform to town planning regulations applicable and be duly signed by competent municipal authority/SLNA officer;
 - Provision of adequate open space in layouts for green belts, parks, playgrounds, avenue plantations, roads etc.;
 - Authentication by competent engineering authority as per State Government PWD Code/Order duly signed by engineers of appropriate level and SLNA Chief Executive Officer;
 - Identification of eligible beneficiaries process for issue of biometric identity cards must be completed within one month after sanction of projects, in general;
 - Rapid/detailed socio-economic survey of beneficiaries details to be provided;
 - Proper identification of needs of community infrastructure
 - Provision of required civic infrastructure including social infrastructure such as community hall, livelihood centre, information sector market, animal pen, etc. Estimation of requirements must be based on judicious norms.
 - Connectivity of slum infrastructure facilities with citywide trunk infrastructure networks water supply, sewerage, storm drainage, roads etc. ensuring connectivity of local infrastructure being taken up under BSUP/IHSDP with facilities being created under UIG/UIDSSMT.
 - Availability/provision of basic amenities like post offices, bus stops, transport services, local shopping complexes, electricity transformers, sub-stations, water supply overhead tanks/ground level service reservoirs etc.

 SLSC/SLCC approval, project-wise (not in a block) with all details clearly indicating estimates, plans, availability of State share and agreement to meet cost escalation.

Time & Cost Over-runs

- The BSUP and IHSDP projects are generally required to be completed in 12 to 15 months. In the case of Special Category States/UTs and projects requiring special considerations, 3 to 6 months additional period could be provided. It is expected that any escalation in the project cost would be borne by the State Government/ULB concerned.
- For reducing escalation in the cost of projects, the following options could be exercised by the implementing agencies:
 - i) Purchasing materials (cement, steel, sanitary pipes, electrical items) in bulk, wherever considered prudent and feasible with a view to reducing cost;
 - ii) Encouraging labour contribution from the beneficiaries under the supervision of qualified technical personnel;
 - Bifurcating tendering (between housing component and infrastructure component) with a view to reducing the possibility of time and cost overruns; and
 - iv) Creating/using a revolving "Basic Services for Urban Poor (BSUP) Fund" earmarked out of the municipal budget and supplemented by other innovative measures like cross-subsidization, State Government support etc. for meeting cost escalation.

Setting up of PMU/PIUs

- The States/UTs should submit proposals to OSD (JNNURM) who will get the same appraised and bring up before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. Transparent methods should be adopted in the selection and appointment of professionals in PMUs and PIUs. Such appointments should not be permanent in nature but only in terms of short-term engagements. No appointment should be seen as a place for parking the dead-wood. States/UTs must take all care to ensure that the PMU/PIUs have competent personnel.
- Each appointment to PMU/PIU should be based on prescribed terms of reference and the deliverables should be measured. Various activities, tasks and outcomes have to be clearly spelt out in the TORs. States/ULBs should exercise utmost caution in making such appointments on a contract basis. They should try and ensure minimum expenditure by selecting / appointing professionals at an

appropriate remuneration rather than immediately opting for the maximum amount indicated by the Centre. However, the calibre of such professionals should be of a reasonably high level. If need be, qualified persons from Central/State Government/ULBs could be taken in PMU/PIU on deputation. The personnel with PMUs/PIUs should work in tandem/collaboration with the State Level Nodal Agency / ULBs. These personnel should also assist in the implementation of other programmes like SJSRY.

Fees for Preparation of DPRs

- The States/UTs should submit proposals for reimbursement of fees to the concerned Appraisal Agency which had appraised the projects. The Appraisal Agency has a crucial role in examining the claim with particular reference to the various stages of improvement and modifications that were brought out in the DPRs before they were finally approved by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. The Appraisal Agency should submit report to the Ministry for releasing Central Assistance for reimbursement of charges towards the cost of preparation of DPRs (both in the case of DPRs prepared by inhouse personnel as well as by consultants). These will be considered by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. After approval, recommendation will be sent to the Ministry of Finance/Ministry of Home Affairs for releasing Central Assistance out of the ACA allocation for the particular State/UT in the case of projects prepared by consultants.
- The Central Assistance for DPRs prepared through in-house personnel of the States would be released from out of the 1% JNNURM fund in the Budget of Ministry of HUPA as decided in the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee /Central Sanctioning Committee Meetings earlier. While sending proposals for reimbursement of project preparation charges, the appraising agency must ensure that two copies of DPRs (duly revised based on decisions of CSMC/CSC) are provided to OSD (JNNURM) for record. The Appraising Agency should also keep two copies of DPRs with it in safe custody so as to be able to meet requests for information under the RTI Act, 2005.

Community Development Network (CDN)

• The States / UTs should prioritise and get necessary approval from SLSC/SLCC to the proposals concerning Community Development Network (CDN) so as to seek support from the Community Participation Fund. Such proposals received in the Ministry of

HUPA will be appraised by a team working under the GOI-UNDP Project on National Strategy for Urban Poor coordinated by the National Project Coordinator/Deputy Secretary (JNNURM). The reports will then be placed before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee for consideration.

• Community Development Networks involving Neighbourhood Groups, Neighbourhood Committees and Community Development Societies should be promoted so that the dynamics of the CDN lead to fraternity in the neighbourhoods and issues of alienation of all sorts could be eliminated. CDN should work towards better inter- and intra- relationships in colonies to get over the dividing forces. This will strengthen a feeling of solidarity among the residents.

Quality in Execution of Projects

- Housing for the poor does not mean poor quality housing. Utmost emphasis must be accorded to the quality execution of houses and infrastructure facilities for the poor. High quality construction, functional units, vector-free atmosphere and healthy living environment should be ensured in the housing projects under BSUP/IHSDP. States/UTs should establish both internal and external quality assurance mechanisms in the case of all BSUP and IHSDP projects.
- State Secretaries in charge of BSUP and IHSDP should ensure that all the projects approved are inspected by independent high level teams from time to time to ensure quality in execution and timely completion of projects through removal of all hurdles
- Beneficiary committees must be constituted to supervise construction of houses. States/UTs should take steps for conducting social audit of projects under BSUP and IHSDP similar to NREGA.

Third Party Inspection and Monitoring (TPIM)

- TPIM should be instituted to bring in transparency and quality in the implementation of all BSUP and IHSDP projects. The Ministry will be providing necessary financial and technical assistance to the States / UTs for TPIM. A Toolkit has been prepared and communicated to the States /UTs.
- Those States/UTs who have not been able to establish TPIMA for BSUP and IHSDP projects may use the services of independent review and monitoring agencies engaged for UIG, UIDSSMT or other programmes.

Before final installment is released under BSUP and IHSDP projects, TPIM or quality inspection report until such time a TPIMA is engaged, will be required from the concerned States/UTs unless the CSMC/CSC is of the opinion that the release need not be stopped for the present in the interest of completing houses for the urban poor, who have contributed their share.

Capacity Building Activities

- In the year 2006-07, the Ministry of HUPA had released fund to the State Governments for capacity building activities including research and training towards implementation of BSUP and IHSDP projects. Unless the States/UTs submit utilisation certificates for the funds released earlier, further releases of Central Assistance would be held up, as utilisation certificates have to be furnished within 12 months from the date of closure of the financial year to which financial sanction pertains.
- Capacity building is one of the biggest constraints in the execution of projects and reforms under JNNURM. The States/UTs may take full advantage of the IEC facilities under JNNURM, the National Programme on Capacity Building for Urban Poverty Alleviation and the programme of National Network of Resource Centres (NNRCs).

City/Town Poverty Reduction Strategy

- The city of Rajkot (Gujarat) has brought out a City Poverty Reduction Strategy Report. Other cities/towns may bring out similar reports. They may prepare comprehensive Slum Development Plans with a view to pursuing the goal of Slum-free City. The toolkit prepared by the National Strategy for the Urban Poor project may be referred to.
- States/UTs must develop agenda for Slum-free Cities and Towns and prepare and implement time-bound action plans with specified milestones for progress.

Key Reforms - Core to the Urban Poor

• Special attention should be paid for the implementation of the three key reforms stipulated under JNNURM that are critical to the urban poor: (i) internal earmarking within local body budgets for basic services to the urban poor; (ii) provision of basic services including the implementation of 7-Point Charter in accordance with agreed timelines; (iii) earmarking at least 20-25% of developed land in all housing projects (both public and private agencies) for EWS/LIG category with a system of cross subsidization. States/UTs must



- develop broad state level policy frameworks to facilitate the implementation of these reforms in all cities and towns.
- The issues of land availability for housing the urban poor and providing them security of land tenure are important issues to be addressed by States/UTs/ULBs if the goals of JNNURM are to be attained. States/UTs need to develop a policy framework including tangible reforms in master planning paradigm and process urgently.

Convergent Delivery of Social Services

- It is necessary to integrate provisions of health, education and social security with land tenure, housing and other amenities for the urban poor to enable them to lead a descent quality of life. Urban Local Bodies and State Governments have a critical role to play to ensure the proper convergence of facilities under the already available schemes for education, health, social security, etc. implemented through different Departments/Agencies. The DPRs should list out the deficiencies in terms of access to school, primary health centre, provision of social welfare and other facilities so that timely remedial measures can be taken up in accordance with the socioeconomic survey. Provision of adequate infrastructure by way of school/additional class rooms and health care centres should be taken at the formulation of the DPR itself. A mere statement that adequate number of schools/health centres is available in the vicinity of the proposed housing colony would not be sufficient. The State/ULB/implementing agencies concerned should certify that such facilities available in the vicinity are also accessible to the slum dwellers. Similarly proper convergence of schemes in the realm of social security such as old age pension, widow pension, disability pensions, health insurance, maternity benefit scheme, etc. should be ensured to benefit the urban poor selected under JNNURM and other programmes.
- The States/UTs must take all steps to ensure the convergence of BSUP and IHSDP with other ongoing schemes such as UIG, UIDSSMT, Sarva Sikhsa Abhiyan, Health Mission, Aam Aadmi Bima Yojana, Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana, National Social Assistance Programme, Prime Minister's Employment Generation Programmes, SJSRY etc. Shelter and basic amenities to the urban poor may not suffice them to move above the poverty line. In particular, State Governments/ULBs should make effort to dovetail the implementation of SJSRY with JNNURM. This step would provide the urban poor with access to livelihoods and enable them to overcome poverty. Programmes for skill development, self-employment, community mobilization, development and

empowerment are necessary to facilitate sustained improvements in the living conditions of the urban poor and develop 'inclusive' cities.

Projects for in situ Development

• States /UTs should come up with adequate number of projects for in-situ development with good lay-outs and type designs. The emphasis should be to provide a better and supportive atmosphere for living and working. The in-situ development projects should not end up with creation of another cluster of unplanned houses without access to water, sanitation and social infrastructure. Suitable planning and infrastructure provision norms must be adopted. The quality of infrastructure provided to housing colonies under BSUP and IHSDP projects should not be inferior to those available for surrounding areas.

Handholding in Relocation

- In the case of relocation projects, the process of shifting to a new environment with inadequate facilities, near-loss of contact with close relatives and being far off from work places can be very traumatic. The States/UTs should engage social counselors and Community Development Department personnel/Community Organisers in ULBs to work closely with the beneficiaries and ensure that the process of transition to the new multi-storeyed housing complex/environment/location is as smooth as possible.
- Time-bound programmes must be implemented to provide all basic amenities to the urban poor in the relocation colonies, including city transport services and local market complexes.

Sense of Belongingness

- To create a sense of belongingness, the slums may be named in consultation with the intended beneficiaries. Provision of a low cost enclosure around open spaces in the slum pocket being covered under BSUP/IHSDP could be considered by States/ULBs, if the cost is not prohibitive.
- Beneficiaries must be closely involved in the planning, identification, implementation, monitoring, review and social audit of JNNURM projects.

IEC Activities - Awareness Building

• In a people-centric programme like BSUP and IHSDP under JNNURM, there is a need to generate awareness amongst both the targeted so that they are abele to receive what is intended for them by the Government. Awareness needs to be generated amongst the



non-targeted sections so as to improve urban policy and highlight how the concerns of the urban poor are very relevant to them. Any awareness campaign should have a national tone, tenor, appeal and recall value, backed by consistent and coherent slogans and themes. The States/ULBs could bring out advertisements in vernacular languages with local adaptation of the templates prepared by the Ministry of HUPA. States/ULBs should ensure that the local adaptation does not deviate from the letter and spirit of the national templates and the messages being conveyed are only about the programme and related policy advocacy. They should also ensure that all such media campaigns are in accordance with the relevant rules and regulations applicable. Cost of such campaigns, in accordance with Government approved rates, would be reimbursed to the States/ULBs under the IEC component of JNNURM subject to limits fixed by CSMC/CSC. Reimbursement will be made if prior approval of the Mission Directorate/CSMC/CSC in the Ministry of HUPA was obtained before launching such campaign. Proposals for reimbursement of such expenditure will be submitted through HUDCO, which will put up the same to the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee for its consideration and approval of reimbursement through Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance or Ministry of Home Affairs, as the case may be.

Progress Reports on Implementation

- The States/ULBs should send Quarterly Progress Reports/ Monthly Progress Reports on projects as well as reforms as per prescribed formats, without fail to enable the Ministry to report to the Prime Minister's Office in time. Further, one page abstract on the status of implementation of projects and reforms must be presented before posing the details of project proposals in the meetings of Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee.
- State/UT Secretaries in charge of BSUP and IHSDP should take monthly review of the implementation of projects and reforms under BSUP and IHSDP. Copies of the minutes of such review meetings should be sent to the Mission Directorate in MoHUPA.

Focus on Urban Policy

 There is an urgent need for States/UTs to focus on broader urban policy and urban management reforms to address not only the backlog and current urban issues but also the challenges of future urban growth, say in the next 20-25 years, so that the conditions that led to urban decay are prevented well in time. We should not be



in a situation where we are perpetually chasing slum upgradation; States/UTs should plan proactively in anticipation of the future patterns of urban growth due to the factors of rural-urban migration, urban-urban migration, reclassification and natural increase. Without the implementation of urban planning and local government reforms and capacity building for effective urban management, JNNURM would remain a mere infrastructure upgradation programme, and none of the policy changes for vibrant, productive, sustainable and inclusive cities that JNNURM hopes to drive would materialize.

BRIEF SUMMARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC) UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME(IHSDP)

(Rs. in Crores)

	m	?	No SI.
60° CS	Mediningar, Jharkand	Hastinapur, UttarPradesh	State/ULB
CSC meeting , dated : 24.02.2009 (Agenda-Brief)	Integrated Housing & Slum Development programme at Medininagar.Dist	Insitu slums upgradation,Hastinpur, Uttar Pradesh	Project Title
2009 (a	19.90	19.09	Total Cost
genda- I	10.84	10.10	Central Share
Brief)	9.06	8.99	State Share
8 / 12 / S	5.42	5.05	Ist Install
Tage Jat 2	 CDP Has been approved and MOA has been Signed. The proposal has been approved by SLCC. Elected Body is in existence. 	Te E S S S T T E S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Brief Summary
ार मंत्रा	YNN EY	7 6 1	Page No.



BRIEF SUMMARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC) UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME(IHSDP) (Rs. in Crores)

					- livelih	I ivalihood Survey has been carried out by the
	Palamau, Jharkhand				7.70000	
				<u> </u>	• The ho	• The housing and infrastructure is 71%:29%.
					• Benefi	Beneficiary details have been submitted.
					Biome	 Biometric Identification of Beneficiaries needs
					to be	to be carried out.
					n 696 •	 969 new DUs are to be constructed with
			-		Carpe	Carpet area of dwelling unit is 25.00 Sq. Mts
					in sin	in single story with all the basic infrastructure
					facilities.	ies.
					• Cost	 Cost estimates are based on South
					Chho	Chhotanagpur Division SOR 2008.Per DU cost
			,		is Rs	is Rs.1,45,568/-
					• Certi	 Certificate regarding denoitification of slum
					after	after the implementation of the scheme has
	1				beer	been submitted.
					• Per	 Per DU cost is Rs.1,45,568/-
. ·					• Bene	 Beneficiary Contribution is Rs.14,557/-
					• Land	 Land is owned by the benificiaries.
			:		• The	 The duration of Project is 18 months.
	Total	38.99	20.94	18.05	10.47	

WATER SOMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING

COMMITTEE (CSC) UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME(IHSDP)

	Page	Š.					•	s et savenilet d'A	y				\$	13							
(Rs. in Crores)	Brief Summary		1	SLCC approval is still awaited.	 Elected body is in existence. 	 The housing and infrastructure is 55%:47%. 	 Beneficiary list has been furnished by the 	agency.	 Biometric Identification of Beneficiaries needs 	to be carried out.	 Livelihood survey has been carried out. 	• 387 new DUs are to be constructed with the	built up area of 26.00 Sq. Mt in Ground floor	structure with all the basic infrastructure	facilities.	 Agency has submitted proper lay out plan. 	• All the estimates ,rates quoted are based on	WD, SOR-2008, Govt. of Orissa.		• Per DU cost is Rs.1,14,923/-	• Beneficiary Contribution is Rs.10,000/-
	Ist	Install	ment	3.09.		,															
	State	Share		2.97																	
	Central	Share		6.18	and the second s	gentari i respecto															
·	Total	Cost		9.15																	
	Project Title			IHSDP Scheme for the	town of Balasore, Orissa				-										·		:
	State/ULB			Balasore,	Orissa			-													
		9		نه				١,													

32

Q E

60th CSC meeting , dated : 24.02.2009 (Supplementry Agenda-Brief)

Jaget of 6

COMMITTEE (CSC) UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME(IHSDP)

											,	7	2	53						
(Re in Cronce)	• The duration of Project is 12 months.		 SLCC approval is still awaited. Elected body is in existence 	• The housing and infrastructure is 51%:49%.	 Beneficiary list has been furnished by the 	agency.	• Biometric Identification of Beneficiaries needs	to be carried out.	 Livelihood survey has been carried out. 	• 1202 new DUs are to be constructed with the	built up area of 25.00 Sq. Mt in Ground floor	structure with all the basic infrastructure	facilities,	 Agency has submitted proper lay out plan. 	• All the estimates ,rates quoted are based on	WD, SOR-2008, Govt. of Orissa.	• Per DU cost is Rs.1,20,000/-	• Beneficiary Contribution is Rs.10,000/-	 The duration of Project is 12 months. 	
			10.32						-					V 1000000000000000000000000000000000000						
			10.38																	
			2 0.03							nganina nga a samu			·					****		
	**************************************	10.12																		
		IHSDP Scheme for the	town of Berhampur,	Orissa																
		Berhampur,	Orissa					-												
		83			•	١.														

多色

Jagg 2 of 6

60th CSC meeting , dated : 24.02.2009 (Supplementry Agenda-Brief)

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC) UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME(IHSDP)

	30 to 43	
(Rs. in Crores)	 SLCC approval is still awaited. Elected body is in existence. The housing and infrastructure is 54%:46%. Beneficiary list has been furnished by the agency. Biometric Identification of Beneficiaries needs to be carried out. Livelihood survey has been carried out. 307 new DUs are to be constructed with the built up area of 25.10 Sq. Mt in Single storey structure with all the basic infrastructure facilities. Agency has submitted proper lay out plan. Agency has submitted proper lay out plan. All the estimates ,rates quoted are based on PWD, SOR-2008. Per DU cost is Rs.1,20,000/- Beneficiary Contribution is Rs.10,000/- The duration of Project is 12 months. 	 SLCC approval is still awaited. Elected body is in existence. The housing and infrastructure is 54%:46%.
	2.49	2.01
	2.55	1.54
	86.98	4.02
	7.53	5.56
	Parlakhemundi HSDP Scheme for the Orissa	IHSDP Scheme for the town of Nabarangpur, Orissa
	,Orissa	Nabarangpur, Orissa
 		o o

25/82

Jage 3 of 6

60th CSC meeting , dated : 24.02.2009 (Supplementry Agenda-Brief)

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC) UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME(IHSDP)

4 \$ \(\sigma\)	55 TC
 Beneficiary list has been furnished by the agency. Biometric Identification of Beneficiaries needs to be carried out. Livelihood survey has been carried out. 262 new DUs are to be constructedin 6nos of slums pockets with the built up area of 25.10 Sq. Mt in Single storey structure with all the basic infrastructure facilities. Agency has submitted proper lay out plan. All the estimates ,rates quoted are based on PWD, SOR-2008. Per DU cost is Rs.1,01,000/- Beneficiary Contribution is Rs.10,000/- The duration of Project is 12 months. 	 1.34 • SLCC approval has been obtained. • MOA has been signed. • Elected body is in existence. • The housing and infrastructure is 50%:50%. • Beneficiary list has been furnished by the
	1.34
	1.37
	2.68
	4.05
	Implementation of IHSDP projects for const. of 148 DUs at Malihabad,Dist.
	Mainabad, Uttar Pradesh
· ·	

60th CSC meeting , dated : 24.02.2009 (Supplementry Agenda-Brief)

CA E

Bage Lof 6

----- SOMMING OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING

COMMITTEE (CSC) UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME(IHSDP)

	·	-t				· ••••															
														-			70	٦ ا	82		
(Rs. in Crores)	Biometric Identification of Beneficiaries needs A be carried out	to be carried out. • Livelihood survey has been carried out.	• 148 new DUs are to be constructed in 6nos of	slums pockets with the built up area of	26.45,27.56.134 Dus in Ground Floor, * in	G+1, Ain G+2 structure Sq. Mt with all the	basic infrastructure facilities.	 Digester is proposed in this DPR. 	• All the estimates ,rates quoted are based on	PWD, SOR-2008, Lucknow.	• Per DU cost is Rs.1,27,200/-	• Beneficiary Contribution is Rs.12,720/-	• The duration of Project is 18 months.		1.04 • SLCC approval has been obtained.	 MOA has been signed. 	• Elected body is in existence.	• The housing and infrastructure is 50%:50%.	• Beneficiary list has been furnished by the	agency.	Biometric Identification of Beneficiaries needs
		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>								a de A			garage agency street in	!	90.						
															2.08				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
															3.14						
														-	Implementation of	IHSDP projects for	const. of 116 DUs at	Ghasiganj, Dist.	Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh		
								•							Sultanpur,	Uttar pradesh					

иĽ

4) 30 S

Page Sof 6

60th CSC meeting, dated: 24.02.2009 (Supplementry Agenda-Brief)

PRIET SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING

COMMITTEE (CSC) UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME(IHSDP)

Tage bal 6

60th CSC meeting , dated : 24.02.2009 (Supplementry Agenda-Brief)

Central Sanctioning Committee (CSC) SIXTIETH MEETING

(24.02.2009)

INTEGRATED Housing & SLUM DEVBELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)

Table Agenda

\cdot	UL	B, State	Project Title	Table Project Cost	Cen	tral	State Share	instal (50 Cer	st Ilment % of atral	No. of dwelling units proposed			
1	latni	Phase -II,	HSDP Scheme for the	3.4	10	2.26	1.14		1.13	132	12	01 to	
	Oriss	ia	Town of Jatni Phase - II,Orissa										
-					99	2.65	1.3	4	1.33	160	12	15to	'
3.	Bha II,Or	UIGKI HEST	IHSDP Scheme for the Town of Bhadrak Phase II,Orissa										
						4.04	2.0	03	2.02	236	12	30 t	
Ċ.	Mal a	kangiri,Oriss	IHSDP Scheme for the Town of Malkangiri ,Orissa	•	.07	4,04							
						15.65	5	79	7.8	5 934	12	47	
D	Su	barnapur,Ori a	IHSDP Scheme for the Town of Subarnapur Orissa	21	1.48	15.01							
			· , &		- 44	4.9	3 2	1,51	2.4	17 273	18	1 -	to 3
E	. BI	chhari, ugalsarai, UF	Implementation of IHSC project at Bichhari, Mugalsarai,Distt. Chandauli,Uttar Prades		7.44								
					16.95	11.3	20	6.75	5.	60 62	9 18		1 to 85
F	;. K	akori,Uttar radesh	Implementation of IHSI project for const. of 62 Dus at Kakori,Distt Lucknow,Uttar Prades	9	10.75								
			61115	YOR	5.66	3	.72	1.94	1	.86 22	28 1	- 1	6 to 100
	E.	Jaswant Nag I, Uttar Prade	Implementation of IHS esh project for const. of 22 Dus at Ramnagar, Dis Barabanki, Uttar Prade	tt	9.22								
			ttar Implementation of IH	SDP	2.59		.72	0.87		0.86	76		01 fc 114
	F.	Ramnagar,U Pradesh	project for const. of 9 at town area of Jasw Nagar II,Distt Etawah,Uttar Prades	nt								16	115 to
		`	Implementation of It	ISDP	3,4	5	2.28	1.17		1.14	144	15	129
	G.	Ajhuwa,Utta pradesh	project for const. of Dus at Ajhuwa,Distt,Kaush	144									
	1	1	l	1			CONTRACT NO	V 15 24 C	· * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	国委会社委员	29-20-38		

Central Sanctioning Committee (CSC)

SIXTIETH MEETING

(24.02.2009)

INTEGRATED Housing & SLUM DEVBELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)

Table Agenda-II

SI.	ULB, State	Project Title	Project	Central Share	State Share	Ist installment	No. of dwelling	Project duration	Page No.
No.		·	Cost	Share	Share	(50% of Central Share)	units proposed	(months)	
^	Indra Nagar,Uttar	Implementation IHSDP Project for at Indra Nagar, aligarh,UP	15.37	10.16	5.21	5.08	558	15	01 to 14
Commence of the Commence of th	Pradesh	angum				1.00	199	15	15 to
В.	Bhatawali,Uttar pradesh	Implementation IHSDP Project for at Bhatawali, Distt.	5.43	3.60	1.83	1.80			28
•		Moradabad,,UP			0.00	0.27	36	15	29 to
C.	Hasanpur,Uttar Pradesh	Implementation IHSDP Project for atHasanpur,Distt J.P.Nagar,UP	0.81	0.53	0.28	J.27			41
D	Joya, Uttar Pradesh	Implementation IHSDP Project for at Joya,Distt J.P.Nagar,UP	0.93	0.61	0.32	0.31	42	15	42 to 54
Ε.	Kosi- Kalan.Uttar Pradesh	Implementation of IHSDP project at Kosi-Kalan, Distt. Mathura, Uttar Pradesh	8.82	5.45	3.37	2.73	384	15	55 to
F.	Shivli,Uttar Pradesh	Implementation of IHSDP project for const. of 132Dus at Shivli, Distt. Kanpur,Uttar Pradesh	3.33	2.15	1.18	3 1.08	132	15	70 to 84
E.	Sikandra, Uttar Pradesh	Implementation of IHSDP project for const. of 204 Dus at Sikandra, Distt. Kanpur	5.20	3.42	1.8	6 1.7	1 204	18	85 to9
			39.9	7 25.9	2 .14:0	5 129	8 7 155	5	

Annexure IV to the minutes of 60th CSC (IHSDP)

134.23	136.85	268.46	405.31	Total Project Cost (A+B+C)			
					T		
0.00		0.00	15.01	2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4%		costing.	
0.00	15.01		15.01	1. A&OE @4%	excluded from the project C	centage charges, which has been excluded from the project	
134.23	106.83		375.29	Total (A+B)	igency has proposed 12.5%	Note: In addition to the above, agency has proposed 12.5%	Z
75.03			187.57	Sub Total (B)	Total 127,200		
0.95	0.48		2.38	10. Common Areas Like Staircase	12,720	Beneficiaries share	£
1.60			4.00	9. Rain water Harvesting		ULB share	<u>(</u> 3
5.06	2.53		12.66	8. Rickshaw Stand	34,480	State grant	2)
2.61			6.52	7. Community Park	80,000	Central share	=
12.51	6.26	25.02	31.28	6. Sewerage System	lel-2) (Rs.)	Per DU Finance (Model-2)	
3. 20			7.99	5. Water Supply System	te Share 136.86	Total State Share	
1.88	0.94		4.70	4. Strom Water Drain	30.02	Other charges	4)
13.90			34.75	3. Roads	18.77	Beneficiaries share	ن ن
17.20			43.01	2. Lively HoodCentre (1 No)	0.00	ULB share	19
16.11	8.06		40.28	1. Community Centre (1 No)	88.07 B	State grant	5
59.20	69.32		187.72	Sub Total (A)	(Rs. in	Details of State Share	
5 9.20	69.32	118.40	187.72	Regularized In-situ - Construction of 148 Dwelling units (40 Dus @ Rs.1,27,200/- and 108 Dus @ Rs,1,26,700/- per DU) having built-up area 26.45, 27.56 sq mt, Ground floor, G+1 & G+2, consists of 1 Living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 Kitchen, Separate Bath & WC. Pattas/ land papers are available in the name of the beneficiaries. Agency has stated that Tenurial rights are available in the name of Wife or Husband and wife jointly.	Α	STATEMENT-I	
				Implementation of IHSDP projects for const. of 148 DUs with infrastructure facilities at Malihabad, Dist. Lucknow.	Malihabad	Uttar Pradesh	
(8)	Э	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	3
50 % of Central Share	State Share	Total Project Central Share State Share Cost	Total Project (Cost	IHSDP Project Name / Components	city/ District	Name of the State	Ž ș
	Rs. in lakh)	(Rs. in		777000000000000000000000000000000000000			2



Si. Name of the State	Name of the city/ District	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Cost			Central Share
		(4)	(5)	(9)	6	(8)
(1) (2)	(3)	TIJEND movients for const. of 273 DUs				
Uttar Pradesh	Mugalsarai	Implementation of the Dry Projects of with infrastructure facilities at Bichhari, Mugalsarai, Dist.				
		Chandauli	346 55	218.40	128.15	109.20
	Y					
		up area 26.45 sq mt, Ground floor, G+1 & G+2, consists of 1				
STATEMENT-III		Living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 American of Pattas, Jand papers are available in the name of mentioning the name of				
		Agency has stated that I emural rights are available in the Wife or Husband and wife jointly.				
-		(A) [A) T. J. J.	346.55	218.40	128.15	109.20
	(Re. in lakh)			27.15	6.79	13.58
-		B 1. Community Centre (1 Nos)	41.92		8.38	
_	0.00	2. Lively HoodCentre (1Nos)	77.85	5 62.28	15.57	
	34.66	3. Roads	40.50	32.40		
	55.15	4. Strom Water Drain	30.01			
4) Other charges Total State Share		5. Water Supply System	101.84		2	4
n- Til Ginne (Model-2)	(Rs.)	6. Sewerage System	3.14	4 2.51	1 0.63	
fer bo Luance	80,000	7. Community Park	6.46	5.17		
\neg	34,930	8. Rickshaw Stand	2.02	1.62		
1		9. Rain water Harvesting	5.15	15 4.12		
$\neg \top$	12,770	10. Common Areas	3	33 274.26		
4) Denendance snare Total				38 492.66	1	24
The above agency has proposed	ency has proposed		27.58	58 0.00		
Note: In addition to discussing the same excluded from the	n excluded from the	ပ	27.58	58 0.00		0.00
12.5% centage charges, where the		2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4%		55.15	- 55.15	
		O+8+V G - G - G		53 492.66	66 251.87	37 246.33

S (3)

	50 % of Central Share	(8)		251.60	251.60	16.11	17.20	42.96	23.68	46.95	146.23	1.30	9.11	2.53	0.80	1.68	308.54	560.14		0.00	-	560.14
akh)	State Share	ω		294.52	294.52	8.06	8.60	21.48	11.84	23.47	73.11	0.65	4.55	1.27	0.40			448.80		62.76		574.32
(Rs. in lakh)		(9)		503.20	503.20	32.22	34.41	85.91	47.35	93.90	292.46	2.61	18.22				617.09	1,120.29	00:0	00.0		1,120.29
	Total Project Central Share Cost	(S)		797.72	797.72	40.28	43.01	107.39	59.19	117.37	365.57	3.26	77.22	6.33	2.00	4.19	771.36	1,569.08	62.76	62.76	125.53	1,694.61
	IHSDP Project Name / Components	(4)	Implementation of IHSDP projects for const. of 629 DUs with infrastructure facilities at Kakori, Dist. Lucknow.	A Regularized In-situ - Construction of 629 Dwelling units (156 Dus @ Rs.1,27,200/- and 473 Dus @ Rs.1,26,700/- per DU) having built-up area 26.45, 27.56 sq mt, Ground floor, G+1 & G+2, consists of 1 Living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 Kitchen, Separate Bath & WC. Pattas/ land papers are available in the name of the beneficiaties. Agency has stated that Tenurial rights are available in the name of Wife or Husband and wife jointly.	Sub Total (A)	B 1. Community Centre (1 No)	2. Lively HoodCentre (1 No)	3. Roads	4. Strom Water & KC Drain	5. Water Supply System	6. Sewerage System	7. Community Park	8. Street Lighting	9. Rickshaw Stand	10. Rain water Harvesting	11. Common Areas Like Staircase	Sub Total (B)	Total (A+B)	C 1. A&OE @4%	2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4%	Sub Total (C)	Total Project Cost (A+B+C)
	Name of the city/ District	(3)	Kakori	<	(Rs. in lakh)	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	0.00	77.67	125.53	574.32	(Rs.)	80,000	34,480		12,720		v has proposed	excluded from the		٠	<u>- 11, </u>	,, <u>T.,</u>
	St. Name of the State	(i) (ii)	Uttar Pradesh	STATEMENT-IV	Details of State Share	1) Crate grant	2) III B chare	7		T	Per DU Finance (Model-2)	1) Central share	1	7	7	\top	Niche . In addition to the above agency has proposed	10 5% centrale charge which has been excluded from the	14.3/3 cellege charges, which has been	·8		

多人な

50 % of Central Share	(8)		38.40	38.40	8.52	4.41	1.78	2.40	2.26	5.59	13.55	1.32	6.20	1.64	47.67	86.07	0.00	00.00	7	86.07
lakh) State Share	(ش)		43.90	43.90	4.26	2.20	0.89	1.20	1.13	2.79	6.78	99.0	3.10	0.82	23.84	67.73	09.6	09.6	19.19	86.92
	(9)		76.80	76.80	17.03	8.82	3.56	4.81	4.52	11.18	27.10	2.63	12.41	3.29	95.34	172.14	00.0	00.00		172.14
Total Project Central Share Cost	(5)		120.70	120.70	21.29	11.02	4.45	6.01	5.65	13.97	33.88	3.29	15.51	4.11	119.18	239.88	09.6	9.60	01.61	259.07
IHSDP Project Name / Components	(4)	Implementation of IHSDP project for construction of 96 DUs at RAM NAGAR, Distt. BARABANKI, UP	A Relocation - Construction of 96 Dwelling units @ Rs.125,726/-per DU having built-up area 25.34 sq mt, G+2, consists of 1 Living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 Kitchen, Separate Bath & WC. Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units, the same would be allotted in the name of the female member or in joint name with selling/transferring restriction.	Sub Total (A)	B 1. Water Supply	2. Sewerage	3. Storm Water Drains	4. Roads & Pathways	5. Informal shops (No1)	6. Street lighting	7. Livelihood Centre(No1)	8. Boundary Wall of site	9. Common areas like staircase & cornidor	10. Dev. of green areas & soil test	Sub Total (B)	Total (A+B)	C 1. A&OE @4%	2. DPI	Sub Total (C)	Total Project Cost (A+B+C)
Name of the city/ District	(3)	Ram Nagar		(Rs. in lakh)	L	0.00	12.07	19.19	86.92	(Rs.)	80,000	33,154		12,572		v has proposed	excluded from the			
Name of the State	(2)	Uttar Pradesh	STATEMENT-V	Details of State Share	State	1	T-	Т	Total State Share	Per DU Finance	Central share		7		T	Note: In addition to the above, avency has proposed	12.5% centage charges, which has been excluded from the	project costing.		
Si.	£	νή		\int_{-}	=	N	<u></u>	Ŧ			=	(5)	<u> </u>	₹	L		12	!		1_

15 (B)

Name of the State	Name of the city/ District	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Total Project Central Share Cost	State Share	50 % of Central Share
(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	6	(8)
Uttar Pradesh	Jaswant Nagar	Implementation of IHSDP project for construction of 228 DUs at town area of JASWANT NAGAR II, Distt. ETAWAH, UP				
STATEMENT-VI		A Relocation - Construction of 228 Dwelling units @ Rs.125,888/-per DU having built-up area 25.34 sq mt, G+2, consists of 1 Living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 Kitchen, Separate Bath & WC. Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units, the same would be allotted in the name of the female member or in joint name with selling/transferring restriction.	287.02	182.40	104.62	91.20
Details of State Share	(Rs. in lakh)	Sub Total (A)	3 287.02	182.40	104.62	91.20
State grant	123,39	B 1. Water Supply	32.66	26.13	6.53	13.06
ULB share	0.00		17.32	13.86	3.46	6.93
Beneficiaries share	28.70	3. Storm Water Drains	6.51		1.30	2.60
Other charges	41.95		8.89	7.11	1.78	3.56
Total State Share	are 194.04	5. Informal shops (No.1)	5.63	4.50	1.13	2.25
Per DU Finance	(Rs.)		24.58	19.66	4.92	9.83
Central share	80,000		46.24		9.25	18.50
State grant	33,299		36.32	29.06	7.26	14.53
ULB share			43.73	34.98	8.75	17.49
Beneficiaries share	12,589		15.46	5 12.37	3.09	6.18
	Total 125,888	-	3) 237.34	189.87	47.47	94.94
Note: In addition to the above, agency has proposed	zency has proposed	Total (A+B)	3) 524.36	372.27	152.09	186.14
12.5% centage charges, which has been excluded from the	sen excluded from the	C 1. A&OE @4%	20.97	7 0.00	20.97	0.00
project costing.	. •		20.97	7 0.00	20.97	0.00
•		Sub Total (C)	C) 41.95		41.95	
		CTRT () and one in the Hard	PE 272	70 075	10 101	186 14

25 (B)

St. Name of the State	Name of the city/ District	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Total Project Central Share State Share Cost	State Sbare	50 % of Central Share
60	(6)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)
Uttar Pradesh	Ajhuwa	Implementation of IHSDP project for construction of 144 DUs at Town of AJHUWA, Distt. KAUSHAMBI, UP				
STATEMENT-VII		A Relocation - Construction of 144 Dwelling units @ Rs.123,887/-per DU having built-up area 25.34 sq mt, G+2, consists of 1 Living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 Kitchen, Separate Bath & WC. Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units, the same would be allotted in the name of the female member or in joint name with selling/transferring restriction.	178.40	115.20	63.20	57.60
			178 40	115.20	63.20	57.60
Details of State Share	(Rs. in lakh)				4.99	86.6
1) State grant	73.62	m	13.19		2.64	5.28
2) ULB share	0.00	2. Sewerage	4.96	3.97		1.98
3) Beneficiaries share	17.84		7.96	6 6.37	7 1.59	
4) Other charges			5.47	7. 4.38	1.09	
Total State Share		5. Informal snops	7.11	1 5.69	9 1.42	
Per DU Finance	(Ks.)		26.30	21.04	4 5.26	10.52
1) Central share	80,000		12.11	9.69	9 2.42	
2) State grant	31,500	8. Community Centure	4.00	3.20	0.80	
3) ULB share			28.81	31 23.05	5.76	
4) Beneficiaries share			6.47	47 5.18		
	Total 123,887	Sub Total (B)	B) 141.32	32 113.06	6 28.26	
Note: In addition to the above, agency has proposed	gency has proposed			72 228.26	91.46	17
12.5% centage charges, which has been excluded from the	een excluded from th	7670 EC 0 1 0		79 0.00		
project costing.	ക്ക	ر	12.	12.79 0.	0.00	0.00
		2. Dry pre charges of 120 (677)		25.58	- 25.58	
			245 20	96 866	117.04	114.13

25 OH

Court Fradesh Aligath HiSDP Scheme for the Index Nagat, Aligath, U.P. (4) (6) (7) (8)	is &	Name of the State	Name of the city/ District	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Total Project Central Share Cost	(Rs. in lakh) hare State Share	50 % of Central
HisDP Scheme for the Indra Nagari, U.P. (9) (7) (8)	L	(2)	(3)	(#)				Share
Institute	12		Aligarh		(6)	9	(2)	(8)
Investing to the construction of 558 Dwelling units @ Rs.127,500/- per DU) having built-up area 25.10 sq mt, Ground floor, consists of 1 Living Room, 1 Edithen, Separate Bath & WC. Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units, the same would be allotted in the name of the female member or in joint name with selling/ transferring restriction. Sub Total (A)			0					
the same would be allotted in the name of the female member or in joint name with selling/ transferring restriction. B 1. Sewerage system Sub Total (A) 711.45 446.40 265.05 22 2. Park 3. Storm Water Drain 176.38 141.10 35.28 4. Roads 5. Bio gas Digester 38.71 30.97 7.74 6. Street Light 19.74 15.79 3.95 7. Site development work 8. Waste Bins 8. Water Recharging Sub Total (B) 711.43 569.14 142.29 9. Water Recharging C 1. A&OE @4% 56.92 C 1. A&OE @4% 56.92 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% 5ub Total (C) 113.83 7. Total Project Cost (A+B+C) 1,536.71 1,015.54 521.17 50		STATEMENT-VIII		A In-situ - Construction of 558 Dwelling units @ Rs.127,500/- per DU) having built-up area 25.10 sq mt, Ground floor, consists of 1 Living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 Kitchen, Separate Bath & WC Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units			265.05	223.20
B Sub Total (A) 711.45 446.40 265.05 22 2. Park 0.02 72.02 18.00 1.33 1.34				the same would be allotted in the name of the female member of in joint name with selling/ transferring restriction.				
B 1. Sewerage system Jul. 45 446.40 265.05 22 2. Park 3. Storm Water Drain 6.66 5.33 1.33 1.33 4. Roads 3. Storm Water Drain 176.38 141.10 35.28 1.48 1.48 5. Bio gas Digester 38.71 36.74 293.94 7.74 1.74 1.579 1.50 6. Street Light 7. Site development work 3.00 2.40 0.60 1.50 8. Waste Bins 7. Site development work 3.00 2.40 0.60 1.50 9. Water Recharging Sub Total (B) 711.43 569.14 142.29 28 9. Water Recharging Sub Total (A+B) 1,422.88 1,015.54 407.34 56 C 1. A&OE @4% Sub Total (C) 56.92 0.00 56.92 20 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (C) 113.83 - 113.83 - 113.83 3. Storm (A+B+C) 1,536.71 1,015.54 521.17 50.177	4_1	Details of State Share	(Rs. in lakh)	(A) 1-2- T 41-2				
2. Park 72.02 72.02 18.00 3. Storm Water Drain 6.66 5.33 1.33 4. Roads 367.42 293.94 73.48 1 5. Bio gas Digester 38.71 30.97 7.74 1 6. Street Light 19.74 15.79 3.95 7. Site development work 3.00 2.40 0.60 8. Waste Bins 7.50 6.00 1.50 9. Water Recharging 2.00 1.60 0.40 9. Water Recharging Sub Total (A+B) 1,422.88 1,015.54 407.34 56 C 1. A&OE @4% 56.92 0.00 56.92 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (C) 113.83 - 113.83 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% 56.92 0.00 56.92 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% 56.92 0.00 56.92 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% 521.17 50	Š	ate grant	336.19	1. Sewerage system		4	265.05	223.20
3. Storm Water Drain 6.66 5.33 1.33 4. Roads 176.38 141.10 35.28 5. Bio gas Digester 367.42 293.94 73.48 14 6. Street Light 19.74 15.79 7.74 15 7. Site development work 3.00 2.40 0.60 1.50 8. Waste Bins 7. Site development work 0.00 1.50 1.50 9. Water Recharging 2.00 1.60 0.40 1.50 9. Water Recharging Sub Total (A+B) 711.43 569.14 407.34 56 C 1. A&OE @4% 56.92 0.00 56.92 56.92 56.92 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (C) 113.83 - 113.83 - 113.83 - 113.83 A considered Cost (A+B+C) 1,536.71 1,015.54 521.17 50	\supset	LB share	0.00	2. Park	90.02		18.00	36.01
4. Roads 1/6.38 141.10 35.28 5. Bio gas Digester 367.42 293.94 73.48 1 6. Street Light 19.74 15.79 3.95 7.74 7. Site development work 3.00 2.40 0.60 0.60 8. Waste Bins 7.50 6.00 1.50 0.60 9. Water Recharging 2.00 1.60 0.40 9. Water Recharging Sub Total (A+B) 711.43 569.14 142.29 2 9. Water Recharging Sub Total (A+B) 1,422.88 1,015.54 407.34 5 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (C) 113.83 - 113.83 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (C) 1,536.71 1,015.54 521.17 5	m	meficiaries share	71.15	3. Storm Water Drain	6.66		1.33	2.66
5. Bio gas Digester 3.0742 29.594 73.48 1 6. Street Light 3.097 7.74 3.95 7. Site development work 3.00 2.40 0.60 8. Waste Bins 7.50 6.00 1.50 9. Water Recharging 2.00 1.60 0.40 9. Water Recharging 2.00 1.60 0.40 1. A&OE @4% 56.91 1,422.88 1,015.54 407.34 5 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% 56.92 0.00 56.92 56.92 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (C) 113.83 - 113.83 - 113.83 Total Project Cost (A+B+C) 1,536.71 1,015.54 521.17 5	Ó			4. Roads	1/6.38		35.28	70.55
6. Street Light 7. Site development work 8. Waste Bins 9. Water Recharging C. 1. A&OE @4% C. 1. A&OE @4% C. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (A+B) Sub Total (C) 1. Solution Sub Total (C) Sub Tota	\bot	Total State Share		5. Bio gas Digester	38.71	7	73.48	146.97
7. Site development work 3.00 2.40 0.60 8. Waste Bins 7.50 6.00 1.50 9. Water Recharging 2.00 1.60 0.40 9. Water Recharging 2.00 1.60 0.40 1. A&OE @4% 711.43 569.14 142.29 28 2 DPR pre charges & IEC @4% 56.92 0.00 56.92 2 DPR pre charges & IEC @4% 56.92 0.00 56.92 Annual Project Cost (A+B+C) 113.83 - 113.83 - 113.83	10	ret DU Finance	(Rs.)	6. Street Light	19.74		3 95	13.48
0. Waste Dins 7.50 6.00 1.50 9. Water Recharging 2.00 1.60 0.40 Sub Total (A+B) 711.43 569.14 142.29 28 C 1. A&CB @4% Total (A+B) 1,422.88 1,015.54 407.34 56 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (C) 56.92 56.92 A charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (C) 113.83 - 113.83 Total Project Cost (A+B+C) 1,536.71 1,015.54 521.17 50	S	ite grant	34 750	7. Site development work	3.00		09:0	1.20
C 1. A&OE @4% C 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% C 3. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% C 4. A&OE @4% C 5. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% C 7. A&OE @4% C 7. A&OE @4% C 6. 1. A&OE @4% C 7. A&OE @4 C 7. A&OE @4 C 7. A&OE @4 C 7. A&OE @4 C 7. A	巨	B share	SC to	0. Waste Diffs	7.50		1.50	3.00
C 1. A&OE @4% C 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (A+B) Sub Total (C) Sub Total (C) Total Project Cost (A+B+C) Total Project Cost (A+B+C) Sub Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	m	neficiaries share	12.750	ACCLIAGING	2.00		0.40	0.80
C 1.422.88 1,015.54 407.34 56 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total Project Cost (A+B+C) 113.83 1,015.54 407.34 56 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (C) 113.83 - 113.83	L			Sub Total (B)	711.43	569.14	142.29	284.57
2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% 2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4% Sub Total (C) 113.83 Total Project Cost (A+B+C) 1,536.71 1,015.54 521.17 50	18	: In addition to the above agence	DOC, 124	, y 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,422.88	1,015.54	407.34	507.77
2. Deta pre charges & LEU (444%) 56.92 0.00 56.92 Sub Total (C) 113.83 - 113.83 521.17	×	entage charges, which has been e	y nas proposed	ממקר ל	56.95	00:00	56.92	0.00
Sub Total (C) 113.83 - 113.83 Total Project Cost (A+B+C) 1,536.71 1,015.54 521.17		project costing.	שהותה הסווו חוב	pre charges & IEC (@4%		00:00	56.92	0.00
1,536.71 1,015.54 521.17		0		Sub Total (C)			113.83	
				I otal Project Cost (A+B+C)		1,015.54	521.17	507.77

E CE

(1) (2) (3) 9. Uttar Pradesh Bhatawali	city/ District	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Cost		-	Share
(2) Uttar Pradesh		(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)
Uttar Pradesh		(1)				
		IHSDP Scheme for the Bhatawah, Moradabad, District Moradabad, U.P.				
		-6 100 Durallian units @ Rs.1.26.368/- per	251.47	159.20	92.27	09.6/
	4	Insitu - Construction of 139 Dwenning Line & Consists of 1 DU having built-up area 25.16 sq mt, ground floor, consists of 1 insite Room 1 Red Room, 1 Kitchen, Separate Bath & WC.	⊷ (زُ			
STATEMENT-IX		Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units, the same would be allotted in the name of the female member or	13, 01			
		ne same wowe.				
			251 77	159.20	92.27	09.67
+	(Re in lakh)	Sub Total (A)			7.34	14.68
Details of State Share	п	1. Sewerage system	00.00		1.16	2.33
		2. Park	20.0	1		16.95
2) ULB share	25.15	3 Storm Water Drain	7,00			
3) Beneficiaries share	40.23	4. Water Supply	70.07			
4) Other charges		5. Roads	5		1.41	1 2.81
Total State Share		6. Bio gas Digester	10		3.95	5 7.90
-		7. Street Light	+	2.10	0.53	3 1.05
_		8. Site development work	1		0.30	
\neg		9. Waste Bins	2	2.00 1.60	50 0.40	
	12.636	10. Water Recharging	2	42 201.13	13 50.28	
4) Beneficianes share	126.368	Λ			33 142.56	18
Total Post Pro	pasodo	10121			0.00 20.12	
Note: In addition to the above, agency mas proposed		C 1. A&OE @4%	7			12 0.00
12.5% centage charges, which mas been 12.5% centage charges, which costing		2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4%		40.23	- 40.23	
Arrana malari		T. 1. 1. 1.		543.12 360.33	.33 182.79	71.081

25/27 Carre

					(Rs. in lakh)	ı lakh)	
iš	Name of the State	Name of the	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project	Total Project Central Share	State Share	50 % of
ż		city/ District		Cost			Central
	,						Share
€	(2)	(3)	(φ)	(2)	(9)	(<i>a</i>)	(8)
≘	Uttar Pradesh	Hasanpur	IHSDP Scheme for the Hasanpur town at Distt. J. P. nagar,				
			O.F.				
		¥	A Relocation - Construction of 36 Dwelling units @ Rs.1,22,945/-	44.26	28.80	15.46	14.40
			per DU having built-up area 26.80 sq mt, G+2, consists of 1				
			Living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 Kitchen, Separate Bath & WC				
	STATEMENT-X		Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units,				•
			the same would be allotted in the name of the female member or				-,
	-		in joint name with selling/ transferring restriction.				
	Details of State Share	(Rs. in lakh)	Sub Total (A)	44.26	28.80	15.46	14.40
=	State grant	17.09	B 1. Sewerage system	3.29	2.63	99.0	1.32
2	T	0.00	2. Water Supply	4.15	3.32	0.83	1.66
6	Г	4.43	3. Park	2.04	4 1.63	0.41	0.82
Ŧ	T	5.97	4. Water recharging	1.50	0 1.20	0:30	09.0
<u> </u>	Total State Share	e 27.49	5. Storm Water Drain	2.58	8 2.06	0.52	1.03
	Per DU Finance	(Rs.)	6. Roads	2.14	4 1.71	0.43	0.86
=	Central share	80,000	7. Bio gas Digester	1.75	5 1.40	0.35	0.70
2	ı	30,651	8. Street Light with Transformer	2.62	2 2.10	0.52	1.05
m	ULB share		9. Site development work	0.45	5 0.36	0.09	0.18
4		12,294	10. Waste Bins	1.00		0.20	0.40
_	Totai	122,945	11. Stair Case & Corridor	8.79	7.03	1.76	3.52
<u> </u>	Note: In addition to the above, agency has proposed	cy has proposed	Sub Total (B)	30.31	1 24.25	90'9	12.12
12.	12.5% centage charges, which has been excluded from the	excluded from the	Total (A+B)	74.57	7 53.05	21.52	26.52
	project costing.	1	C 11. A&OE @4%	2.98	00.00	2.98	0.00
			2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4%	2.98	00.0	2.98	00.0
			Sub Total (C)	5.97		- 5.97	•
1_			Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	3) 80.54	53.05	5 27.49	26.52
ل							

57

Name o	Name of the State	Name of the city/ District		IHSDP Project Name / Components	Fotal Project Cost	Total Project Central Share Cost	State Share	Surve or Central Share
	6	(3)	+	(4)	(5)	(9)	6)	(8)
Uttar Pradesh		Joya	F	IHSDP Scheme for the Joya town at Distt. J. P. nagar, U.P.				
			A Rek	Relocation - Construction of 42 Dwelling units @ Rs.1,22,945/-	51.64	33.60	18.04	16.80
				per DU having built-up area 26.80 sq mt, G+2, consists of 1 Living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 Kitchen, Separate Bath & WC.				
STATE	STATEMENT-XI		Ag	Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units, the same would be allotted in the name of the female member or				
			<u> </u>	in joint name with selling/ transferring restriction.				
		,		Sub Total (A)	51.64	4 33.60	18.04	16.80
Details c	Details of State Share	(Rs. in lakh)			3.19	9 2.55	0.64	1.28
State grant		19.76	Ø	1. Sewerage system	2.94			1.18
2) ULB share		0.00		2. Water Supply	79.6	2.38	0.59	1.19
3) Beneficiaries share	hare	5.16		3. Park	1 50		0.30	09:0
4) Other charges		6.88		4. Water recharging	1.02		0.20	0.41
	Total State Share	31.80		5. Storm Water Drain	77.6		2 0.55	1.11
Per I	Per DU Finance			6. Roads	73.7			
1) Central share		80,000		7. Bio gas Digester	5.78		1.16	2.31
1		30,651		8. Street Light with Transformer	03.0		012	0.24
Т			- 6	9. Site development work	Silv			
Т	hare	12,294		10. Waste Bins				
7	Total	122.945		11. Stair Case & Corridor				1
				Sub Total (B)	34.39			
Note: In addition	Note: In addition to the above, agency has proposed	ncy nas proposed	1	Total (A+B)) 86.03		7	8
5% centage cha	12.5% centage charges, which has been excluded notify the	ו פאכווממפת חסווו חזר	į	\sightarrow \alpha \text{\tint}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\exitingt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\exiting{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\exiting{\text{\tin}\\ \ti}\\\ \tinth}\\ \tint\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tin\text{\text{\text{\tin}\tint{\text{\texi}\text{\t	3.	3.44 0.0	0.00	
	project costing.			1. ACCLE (#4.70)	3.	3.44 0.0	0.00 3.44	0.00
			<u>구</u>	Sub Total (C)		6.88	- 6.88	8
_			1	(A+B+C) to Control (A+B+C)			61.11 31.80	30.56

S. C. C.

ľ					(Rs. in lakh)		
żż	Name of the State	Name of the	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project	Total Project Central Share	State Share	50 % of
		, married (4)					Share
€	(2)	(9)	(4)	(5)	(9)	6	(8)
12	Uttar Pradesh	Kosi-Kalan	Implementation of IHSDP projects for const. of 384 DUs with infrastructure facilities at Kosi-Kalan, Distt. Mathura, UP				
	STATEMENT-XII	₹ .	A Relocation - Construction of 384 Dwelling units @ Rs.134,577/- per DU) having built-up area 27.66 sq mt, G+2, consists of 1 Living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 Kitchen, Separate Bath & WC. Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units, the same would be allotted in the name of the female member of in joint name with selling/transferring restriction.	7- 516.78 11 3.	307.20	209.58	153.60
_	Details of State Share	(Rs. in lakh)	Sub Total (A)	x) 516.78	307.20	209.58	153.60
=) State grant	186.51	B 1. Sewerage system	12.58	10.07	2.52	5.03
ন	() ULB share	00:0	2. Water Supply	56.69	55.96	13.99	27.98
ଳ		84.48	3. Park	12.00	09.6	2.40	4.80
4	4) Other charges	65.31	4. Community Centre	22.50	18.00	4.50	9.00
	Total State Share	a 336.30	5. Storm Water Drain	10.32	8.26	2.06	4.13
L	Per DU Finance	(Rs.)	6. Roads	31.81		96.3	12.72
-	1) Central share	80,000	7. Bio gas Digester	16.29	13.03	3.26	6.52
61	2) State grant	32,577	8. Street Light	25.51	1 20.41	5.10	10.20
<u>س</u>	3) ULB share		9. Site development work	1.88		1.88	0.00
4	4) Beneficiaries share	22,000	10. Waste Bins	3.00	0 2.40	09:0	1.20
	Total	134,577	11. Stair Case & Corridor	93.72	2 74.98	18.74	37.49
L	Note: In addition to the above, agency has proposed	cy has proposed	Sub Total (B)	B) 299.55	5 238.14	61.41	119.07
12	12.5% centage charges, which has been excluded from the	excluded from the	Total (A+B)	B) 816.33	3 545.34	270.99	272.67
	project costing.	L	C 1. A&OE @4%	32.65	5 0.00		00:00
			2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4%	32.65	5 0.00	32.65	0.00
			Sub Total (C)	C) 65.31			
			Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	C) 881.64	4 545.34	336.30	272.67

25 E

rs g	Name of the State	Name of the city/ District	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Projec Cost	Total Project Central Share Cost	share State Share	50 % of Central Share
Ξ	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	۵	(8)
13.	Uttar Pradesh	Shivli	Implementation of IHSDP project for construction of 132 DUs at Town area SHIVLI, Distt. KANPUR DEHAT, UP				
	STATEMENT-XIII		A Relocation - Construction of 132 Dwelling units @ Rs.1,30,107/-per DU having built-up area 25.34 sq mt, G+2, consists of 2 rooms with independent access to toilet, kitchen, separate WC & bath room and balcony. Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units, the same would be allotted in the name of the female member or in joint name with selling/transferring restriction.	//- 171.74 2 & & ter in	105.60	66.14	52.80
	Details of State Share	(Rs. in lakb)	Sub Total (A)	(A) 171.74	74 105.60	0 66.14	52.80
F	State grant	76.31	B 1. Water Supply	36.67	67 29.34	4 7.33	14.67
10	ULB share	0.00	2. Sewer	23.52	52 18.82	2 4.70	9.41
ଳ	Beneficiaries share	17.17	3. Storm Water Drains	4.	4.12 3.30	0 0.82	1.65
Ŧ	Other charges	24.68		6.	6.41 5.13	3 1.28	
	Total State Share	118.16	5. Informal shops	5.			
	Per DU Finance	(Rs.)	6. Street lighting	21.40			
F	Central share	80,000	7. Common areas like staircase & corridor	25.89			
2	State grant	37,097	8. Dev. of green areas & soil test	13.	13.19 10.55		
<u></u>	1						
4	Beneficiaries share	13,010	Total (A+B)	+B) 308.44	.44 214.96	6 93.48	107.48
	Total	130,107	C 1. A&OE @4%	12.	12.34 0.00		0.00
	Note: In addition to the above, agency has proposed	cy has proposed	2. DPR pre charges & IEC @4%	12	12.34 0.00		0.00
12.5	12.5% centage charges, which has been excluded from the	excluded from the			24.68	- 24.68	
		.	Total Project (A+R+C)	4C) 333.12	77 214 96	118 16	107.48

46/22

Annexure IV to the minutes of 60th CSC (IHSDP)

Cost Central Share Cost State Share Central Share Share Central Share Share Share Share Share 50 % of Central Share Share Share Share Share Share (5) (6) (7) (8) 265.42 163.20 102.22 8 265.42 163.20 102.22 8 265.42 163.20 102.22 8 265.42 163.20 102.22 8 265.42 163.20 102.22 8 265.42 163.20 102.22 8 265.42 163.20 102.22 8 34.86 27.89 6.97 3.95 19.74 15.79 3.95 1.07 5.35 4.28 1.07 1.58 5.42 4.34 1.08 2.98 14.91 11.93 2.98 1.07 36.32 29.06 7.26 8.00 40.02 32.02 8.00 7.26 20.05 19.56 0.00 19.56 19.56 0.00 19.	1/1/1	186.04)5 342.02) 528.05	Total Project Cost (A+B+C)			
Name of the State Name of the city District Control Name Components Cost					Sut	<u>~</u>	project costr	
Name of the State Name of the city District Cost				19.5		Deen excluded from the	2.5% centage charges, which has	ــر
Name of the State Name of the Cost C					1 A SOE MAN	agency mas proposed	Note: In addition to the above,	
Name of the State Name of the city / District District District Control Share Shar	1	1			Total (A+B	Accept has proposed	NT T JULY - A Alexandre	T
Name of the State Name of the Cost C					Sub		Derre Devaries Strate	Т
Name of the State Name of the city / District Conty / District						13.010		Т
Name of the State Name of the IHSDP Project Name / Components Cont Share Cont	7			fo.c.	9. Common areas like staircase & corridor		_1	Т
Name of the State Name of the city District Cost	16.			40.0	8. Community centre	37,097		
Name of the State Name of the city District Cost Cos	14.			3637	7. Livelihood Centre	80,000		
Name of the State Name of the city District District	18.			46.2	o. Street ugnung	(KS.)	Per DU Finance	
Name of the State Name of the city District Cost	5.9			14.9	3. Infonita stops		Total State S	Γ-
Name of the State Name of the city/ District City/ District City/ District City/ District City/ District City/ District Cost	2.1			5.42	T. Access of those		Other charges	T <u>.</u>
Name of the State Name of the city District	3.1			7.92	4 Roads & Parhways	1010	<u>L</u>	٦,
Name of the State Name of the city/ District Conty District Conty District Conty District Conty District Conty District Contral Share State Share Contral S	2.1			5.35	3 Srorm Water Drains	26 54	1_	Ţ
Name of the State Name of the State Components Components Cost Central Share Cent	2			19.74	2. Sewerage	0.00	_L	Τ,
Name of the State Name of the Central Share of the city/ District (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (5) (6) (7) (8) Uttar Pradesh Sikandra Dus at Town area SIKANDRA, Distr. KANPUR DEHAT, Dus at Town area SIKANDRA, Distr. KANPUR DEHAT, per Du having bulli-up area 25.34 sq mt, G+2, consists of 2 rooms with independent access to tollet, kitchen, separate WC & bath room and balcony. Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units, the same would be allotted in the name of the female member or in joint name with selling/ transferring restriction. Sub Total (A) 265.42 163.20 102.22 102.2	7.0			34.80		~~	CT TO	T
Name of the State city/ District Central Share	13.0			265.42	1.	(Rs. in lakh)	Details of State Share	T
Name of the State Name of the State Name of the city District City District	816							
Name of the State Name of the city/ District District Central Share Control Share Central Share C					transferring restriction.			
Name of the State Name of the State City/ District (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (5) (5) (6) (7) (8) (8) UP (9) A Relocation - Construction of 204 beath rooms with independent access to toilet, kitchen, separate WC & bath room and balcony. Agency has indicated that after construction of the dwelling units, the same would be allotted in		•			the name of the female member or in joint name with selling/			
Name of the State city/ District construction of 204 Cost Central Share Ce					construction of the dwelling units, the same would be allotted in		SIMIEMENTAL	
Name of the State Name of the city/ District (2) (3) (3) Implementation of IHSDP Project Name / Components (4) (5) (5) (6) (7) (8) Uttar Pradesh Relocation - Construction of 204 per DU having built-up area 25.34 sq mt, G+2, consists of 2 rooms with independent access to toilet, kitchen, separate WC & rooms with independent access to toilet, kitchen, separate WC & rooms with independent access to toilet, kitchen, separate WC & rooms with independent access to toilet, kitchen, separate WC &				-	bath room and balcony. Agency has indicated that aller		WIN TENENT VIV	
Name of the State Name of the State Name of the State City/ District (2) (3) Implementation of IHSDP Project Name / Components (4) Uttar Pradesh Name of the State (5) (6) (7) (8) Per DU having built-up area 25.34 sq mt, G+2, consists of 2 per DU having built-up area 25.34 sq mt, G+2, consists of 2 Per DU having built-up area 25.34 sq mt, G+2, consists of 2					rooms with independent access to touch, and the separate in the			
Name of the State Name of the State Name of the City/ District City/ District (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) Uttar Pradesh Name of the State Share Central Share Share Central Share Share Central Share Central Share Share Central Share Central Share Share Share Central Share Central Share Central Share Share Central Share Share Share Central Share Share Central Share Share Central Share Share Share Central Share					per DO maynig ourself man to toilet bitchen sengrate W/C &			
Name of the State Name of the State Name of the City/ District (ity/ District) (ity/ Di					har DII having huilt-up area 25.34 sq mt. G+2, consists of 2			
Name of the State Name of the State Name of the Central Share of the city/ District (Central Share State Share Central Share Central Share Central Share Central Share Share Central Share Central Share Share Central Share Share Central Share Central Share Share Centra	81.60	102.22	163.20	265.42	Relocation - Construction of 204 Dwelling units @ Rs.1,30,107/-	A		
Name of the State Name of the city/ District IHSDP Project Name / Components Total Project Central Share State Share					UP			
Name of the State Name of the city/ District IHSDP Project Name / Components Total Project Central Share State Share					DUs at Town area SIKANDRA, Distr. KAINFUR DEFIAL,			
Name of the State					Implementation of IHSDP project for construction of 204	Sikandra	Uttar Pradesh	7
Name of the State Name of the city/ District IHSDP Project Name / Components Total Project Central Share State Share	(6)	S	©	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	3
Name of the State Name of the city/ District IHSDP Project Name / Components Total Project Central Share State Share Cost	9					-		
Name of the State Name of the IHSDP Project Name / Components Total Project Central Share State Share	Share	·				city/ District	-	Ž,
The state Charte Chare	Central	State State	Central Share	Lotal Project		Name of the	Name of the State	Z.
	₹0 % of	Chare Chare	200					



Annexure IV to the minutes of 60th CSC (IHSDP)

Γ					Γ		£	<u>3</u>	2)	ت			3)	2)	ij								ij	3		Z i	2
						Total	Beneficiaries share	ULB share	State grant	Central share	Per DU Finance	Total State Share	Beneficiaries share	ULB share	State grant	Details of State Share			STATEMENT-XV				Uttar Pradesh	(2)		Name of the State	Ninma of the State
						130395.00	14395.00	0.00	36000.00	80000.00	(Rs.)	819.30	83.78	NIL	735.52	(Rs. in lacs)							Hastinapur	(3)		city/ District	Minama no oha
Total for Uttar Pradesh (15projects)	Project Cost (A+B+C)	C Sub Total (C)	Escalation	B A & OE Cost + Project Preparation @ 4.5% of the Project Cost	Add 12.5% Centage Charge	Sub Total (B)	9. Kiosk	8. Community Building	7. Landscaping	6. Dust Bins	5. Septic tanks	4. Drains	3. Roads	2. Electrification	1. Water supply	A Sub Total (A)		lease basis for 99 years and the title of the Dwelling Units shall be in joint name of husband and wife of the beneficiary family.	benificiaries. In other wards, Dwelling units are to be allotted on	kitchen, bath and W.C. In ward no. 1, land belongs to the	new construction. Each unit comprises of two rooms with a	Institute Construction of 582 houses @ Re 130305/ per DIT for	Detailed Project Report under IHSDP for In-situ slum	(4)		IHSDF Froject Name / Components	
10234.57	1909,53	369.85	108.10	69.29	192.46	780.78	5.55	72.49	87.22	0.60	58.26	143.84	324.01	11.80	77.01	758.90	758.900							(5)		Otal Project	
9	1090.23			0.00	0.00	624.63	4.44	5			46.61	1	2		61.61	465.60	465.60						***************************************	9		Lotal Project Central Share	14 .50.4
3690.22	819.30		108.10) 69.29	192.46	156.16	1.11	,	17.44				,		15.40	2	293.30							9		State Share	
32	545.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	312.31						57.54			30.80		232.80						· production of the second	(8)	Share	50 % of	



(Rs. in lakh)

į		Name of the	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Central Share		State Share	50 % of
ń Ś	Name of the state	city/ District		Cost		<u></u>	Share
			(1)	(3)	(9)	6	(8)
8	(2)	(3)	(4)	2		;	
٤	2000	Balasore	IHSDP Scheme for the Town of Balasore, Orissa.				
٤]	_	*		444.75	309.60	135.15	154.80
			Der DU				
			rooms, kitchen, separate WC & bath room with independent				
	LIEN MALLS STATE OF		access from both the rooms and verandah. Title of Land belongs				
	STATEMEN 1-AVI		to beneficiary/ State Govt./ ULB, and it will be ensured before				
			implementation that female member of the family is the owner/co				
	-		owner of the property.				
		1111	Sub Total (A)	444.75	309.60	135.15	
L	Details of State Share			52.87	42.30	10.57	21.15
=) State grant			118.02	94.42	23.60	47.21
6	ULB share	97.12	2. Sewerage	49 50	39.60	06.6	19.80
1"	T	38.70	3. Concrete Road	40.70		3.74	7 49
Τ,	Т	83.21	4. Street Light	18.72			,
	4) Uthers charges etc.		5 Drainage	35.69	2		
	10tal State Share	1	6 Calibra Rie	5.29	4.23		
L	Per DU Finance	(RS.)	o. Gardage Line	3.63	2.90	0.73	1.45
Ľ	1) Central share	80,000	/, Jogging Track	4.04	3.23	0.81	1.62
Ľ,	2) State grant	11,939	8. Barbed Fencing	71 31		14.26	28.52
Γ	Т	11,492	9. Community Centre	517			OF Y
_1	7	11 492	10. Livelihood centre	16.01	1		
ا	4) Beneficianes snare		11 Green Fencing of Dark	1.47			
	I OTAI		10. Arresting Disabilities	7.87	7 6.30		
			12. INVITUO I PARTICULAR	1.30	1.04	0.26	
L			13. Fille Ocaling Sappains	1.60	00:0	1.60	0.00
L			14. Park piay Equipments	B) 387.32	308.58	78.74	4 154.29
ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			Total (A+B)		7 618.18	8 213.90	90.608
L			783 @ acces :	41.60	00:0	0 41.60	0.00
<u> </u>				41.60	00.0	0 41.60	00.0
			2. A & OE @ 5%			83.21	00.0
ا			TRITY OF THE RESERVE		618.18	8 297.11	309.09
			Lotal Project Cost (ATD 10)				
7							

25/87

Annexure IV to the minutes of 60th CSC (IHSDP)

ſ	T	T	T	1	\exists	1	_	£	3)	2)	تا	Τ	Τ	1		ωJ.	12)	ت	<u> </u>		17	Ξ		Z
							Total	Beneficiaries share	ULB share	State grant	Central share	Per DU Finance	Lotal State Share	Otters charges etc.	Orlanda Strate	Beneficiation share	ULB share	State grant	Details of State Share	STATEMENT-XVII	Orissa	(2)		Maine of the State
							_	10.000	_	30,000	80,000	(Rs.)	1038.10		02:021	120.00	127 (0	498.28	(Rs. in lakh)		Berhampur	(3)	city/ District	Name of the
Lotal Project Cost (A+B+C)	Sub Total (C)	4. 4 & 0 年 億 5%		Cotal (A+B)	Sub Lotal (B)	First Scartiff Supplies	11 First hearing Complian	10. Avenue Plantation	9. Fencino	8. Community Centre	7. Jogging Track	6. Garbage Bin	5. Drainage	4. Street Light	5. Concrete Koad	2. Sewerage	3 6	B 1. Water Supply	Sub Total (A)	A Insitu - Construction of 1202 new Dwelling units @Rs.120,000/per DU having built-up area 25 sqm, single storied includes 2 rooms, kitchen, separate WC & bath room with independent access from both the rooms and verandah. Title of Land belongs to beneficiary/ State Govt./ ULB, and it will be ensured before implementation that female member of the family is the owner/coowner of the property.	IHSDP Scheme for the Town of Berhampur, Orissa.	(4)		IHSDP Project Name / Components
3101.19	281.93	140.96	140.96	2819.26	1376.86	0.36	4.80	9.86	270.86	20 070	27 47	5.95	379.66	11.55	355.30	219.79	91.20	27.27	1442 40	1442.40		(5)	Cost	Total Project
2063.09	0.00		0.00	200					210.69				3				//.81			961.60	(6	,	Total Project Central Share State Share
1038 10		140.96		756.17				1.97					75 02				19.45	1		480.80	3	9		State Share
102			0.00	1031.54	550.74	0.14	1.92	3.94	10					4 62			38.90	4		480.80	(3)	(8)	Central	50 % of



(Rs. in lakh)

	-	At the state of	IHSDP Project Name / Compoura				Central
Si. Name of the State		city/ District		<u> </u>			Share
			(4)	(9)	(9)	0	(8)
(2)		<u> </u>					
30,70	Pa	Parlakhemundi	IHSDP Scheme for the Town of Fariakhemunta, Oussa				100 001
10 Onss4			A Trein: Construction of 307 new Dwelling units @ Rs.120,000/-	368.40	245.60	122.80	122.80
STATEMENT-XVIII	KVIII		access from both the rooms and verandah. Title of Land belongs to beneficiary/ State Govt./ ULB, and it will be ensured before to beneficiary/ State Govt./ OLB, and it will be ensured before to beneficiary/				
			implementation that remain more and the property.				
			Sub Total (A)	368.40	245.60	12	+-1
Details of State Share	Share	(Rs. in lakh)		27.59	22.07		
1		62.28	B 1. Drainage	89.51	71.61	17.90	
1		92.98	2. Concrete Road	63.26	50.61	12.65	2
		30.70	3. Sewerage	4 68		0.94	1.87
-+		68.42	4. Additional toilets for Existing Dus	SO 02	7	10.20	20.39
4) Others charges cit.	Total State Share	254.38		4.20	3.36	0.84	
TOTAL CARROLL	400	(Rs.)	6. Electrification	16.24	4 12.99	3.25	
-		80,000		23.28	18.62	2 4.66	
\neg		10,000		26.04	20.83	3 5.21	
Т		20,000		1.37	1.10	0.27	
		10,000		1.44	1.15	5 0.29	0.58
4) Beneficiaries snare	Total			4 38			0.88
			1	2.82	32 2.26	0.56	
			13. Solid Waste Pits	3.	79 252.63	63.16	
			Suo lotal		10 498.23	23 185.96	96 249.12
			10tal (A+A)			0.00	21 0.00
			C 1. Preparation of DPR, etc. @ 5%	34.21		0.00	21 0.00
		1				0.00	68.42 0.00
					4	23 254.38	38 249.12
_			Total Project Cost (A+D+C)				

52 | 52 | SE

Beneficiaries share State grant Others charges etc. State grant Orissa Beneficiaries share ULB share Central share ULB share Details of State Share STATEMENT-XIX Name of the State Per DU Finance 3 **Total State Share** Total Nabarangpur Name of the city/ District (Rs. in lakh) 3 101,000 10,000 80,000 10,000 153.68 1,000 50.53 26.20 26.69 (Rs.) 50.26 C 1. Preparation of DPR, etc. @ 5% Insitu - Construction of 262 new Dwelling units @ Rs.1,01,000/ 2. A & OE @ 5% 6. Community Centre 4. Water Supply 1. Drainage Concrete Road Rs.3000/owner of the property per DU having built-up area 25.10 sqm, single storied includes 2 12. Solid Waste Pits 11. Avenue Plantation 10. Barbed Fencing Upgradation of 270 Individual Toilets for existing Dus @ implementation that female member of the family is the owner/co to beneficiary/ State Govt./ ULB, and it will be ensured before access from both the rooms and verandah. Title of Land belongs rooms, kitchen, separate WC & bath room with independent IHSDP Scheme for the Town of Nabarangpur Orissa . Sewerage Steet Lighting Infomal Market Livelyhood centre ogging Tracks IHSDP Project Name / Components \mathfrak{E} Total Project Cost (A+B+C) Sub Total (C) Sub Total (B) Sub Total (A) Total (A+B) Total Project | Central Share | State Share ভ 505.29 232.57 264.62 32.00 23.84 27.60 12.73 49.19 36.68 2.12 2.53 5.42 8.47 8.10 9 402.14 186.06 209.60 10.18 25.60 19.07 22.08 39.35 29.34 18.22 1.70 2.02 4.34 6.78 6.48 3 103.15 25.26 25.26 50.53 46.51 56.64 55.02 2.55 0.51 0.42 1.08 5.52 4.77 4.55 6.40 1.69 9.84 7.34 1.84 1.62 Central 50 % of Share **⊛** 201.07 104.80 108.04 93.03 11.04 14.67 12.80 19.68 0.00 5.09 0.85 9.54 3.39 2.17 9.11 1.01 3.24

 $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$

3

Z S

to the minutes of 60th CSC (IHSDP)

Annexure IV



£ 8 £		name or the city/ District	IHSDP Project Name / Components	7	al Project Cost	Lotal Project Central Share Cost	State Share	Share
	(2)	(3)	(4)		(3)	(9)	(£)	(8)
		Jatni	IHSDP Scheme for the Town of Jatni Phase - II, Orissa	rissa				
	STATEMENT-XX		A Insitu - Construction of 132 new Dwelling units @ Rs.120,000/-per DU having built-up area 25.10 sqm, single storied includes 2 rooms, kitchen, separate WC & bath room with independent access from both the rooms and verandah. Title of Land belongs to beneficiary/ State Govt./ ULB, and it will be ensured before implementation that female member of the family is the owner/co owner of the property.	120,000/- includes 2 dependent ad belongs rred before owner/co	158.40	105.60	52.80	52.80
	Details of State Share	(Ps. in lakh)		Sub Total (A)	158.40	105.60	52.80	52.80
\top	Charte property	4_	7 B 1. Drainage		19.12	15.30	3.82	7.65
76	State grant 111 R chare	15.07			25.26	20.21	5.05	10.10
┰	Reneficiones chare	13.20			25.31	20.25	5.06	10.12
Т	Others charges etc	30.91	1 4. Water Supply		27.87		5.57	11.15
_	Total State Share				0.42	0.34		0.17
	Per DU Finance				46.75	3		18.70
F	Central share	80,000			2.51			1.00
1	State orant	30,000			1.62			
	III B share				0.60			
7	Beneficiaries share	10,000			1.23	0.98		
7	Total				0.02	0.02	0.00	
				Sub Total (B)	150.71	120.57	30.14	
			To	Total (A+B)	309.11	1 226.17	7 82.94	1
			C 1. Preparation of DPR, etc. (a) 5%		15.46	5 0.00	0 15.46	
			2. A & OE @ 5%		15.46	0.00	0 15.46	
				Sub Total (C)	30.91			
			Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	t (A+B+C)	340.02	2 226.17	7 113.85	113.08

23 8

Annexure IV to the minutes of 60th CSC (IHSDP)

П	\neg	7	Ţ		7	_	7	æ.	<u>3</u>)	2)	ت			4)	3)	2)	=	7			21	3	No.
							Total			State grant	Central share	Per DU Finance	Total State Share	Others charges etc.	Beneficiaries share	1	State grant	Details of State Share		STATEMENT-XXI	Orissa	(2)	Name of the State
							1 120,000	10,000	20,000	10,000	80,000	(Rs.)	134.52	36.31	16.27	48.83	33.11	(Rs. in lakh)			Bhadrak	(3)	Name of the city/ District
Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	Sub Total (C)	2. A & OE @ 5%	C 1. Preparation of DPR, etc. @ 5%	Total (A+B)	Sub Total (B)	12. Informal Market	11. Livelihood Centre	10. Avenue Plantation & Fruit bearing Saplings	9. Barbed Fencing	8. Community Centre	7. Jogging Track	6. Garbage Bin	5. Drainage	4. Street Light	3. Concrete Road	2. Sewerage	B 1. Water Supply	Sub Total (A)	Upgradation of 6 Individual toilet for existing dwellers with Septic Tank & Soak pit @ Rs.45000/-	A Insitu - Construction of 160 new Dwelling units @ Rs.120,000/-per DU having built-up area 26.68 sqm, single storied includes 2 rooms, kitchen, separate WC & bath room with independent access from both the rooms and verandah. Title of Land belongs to beneficiary/ State Govt./ ULB, and it will be ensured before implementation that female member of the family is the owner/co owner of the property.		(4)	IHSDP Project Name / Components
399.37		18.15	18.15	3		16.11	15.24	1.42	1.44	16.08	1.05	1.98	22.22	1.21	34.48	28.48	28.65	194.70	2.70	192.00		(5)	Total Project Central Share Cost
7 264.85		5 0.00		26										0.97						128.00		(6)	(Rs, ir Central Share
5 134.52		0 18.15			9 33.67				0.29				8 4.44			5.70		9	0.54	64.00		σ	(Rs. in lakh) hare State Share
132.42	0.00	0.00		13		6.44			0.58			0.79	8.89					65.08	1.08	64.00		(8)	50 % of Central Share



Name of the State	Name of the city/ District	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Central Share Cost	entral Share	State Share	50 % of Central Share
	6	(4)	(5)	(9)	6	(8)
(3)	<u></u>					
Orissa	Malkangiri	IHSDP Scheme for the Town of Malkangiri, Onssa.				
		A Lanie. Constanction of 236 new Dwelling units @ Rs.1,20,000/-	283.20	188.80	94.40	94.40
			- 			· · · · ·
		per DO naving built-up area 23 squary organism of the community independent			***********	, u **
		rooms, killinging, separate we warrandah. Title of Land belongs				
STATEMENT-XXII		to hereficiary State Goot, ULB, and it will be ensured before				
		implementation that female member of the family is the owner/co				
		owner of the property.				
00000	(P. 12, 12, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14	Sub Total (A)	283.20	188.80	94.40	94.40
Details of State Share	(NS. III IANII)	n 4 vv	40.21	32.17	8.04	16.08
State grant	69.76	9	43.63	34.90	8.73	17.45
ULB share	26.89		49 55		16.6	19.82
Beneficiaries share	23.60		1 00		0.22	0.44
Others charges etc.	55.21		02.07			19.92
Total State Share	2		47.77			
Par DII Finance		6. Garbage Bin	7.57		0.0	
	80.000		4.00			,
Central share	30,000		74.20	Ϋ́	1	
State grant	200,000		2.54	2.03	0.51	
ULB share			1.26	1.01	0.25	0.50
Beneficiaries share			0.04	0.03	0.01	0.02
Total	tal 120,000	11. Fruit Dearing Sapping	268.88	215.10	53.78	107.55
-		Total (A+R)		403.90	148.18	201.95
		/03 W CERCY		00.0	27.60	0.00
		C 11. Preparation of DPR, etc. (# 370	03.50		09 22	00.0
		2. A & OE @ 5%				
		Sub Total (C)				
		CHR+N tac Desired Lead 1	207 20	403.90	203.39	107T07

23 / 25

						(Rs. in lakh)	akh)	•
is 2	Name of the State	Name of the	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total	Project Ce	Total Project Central Share	State Share	50 % of
		city/ District			Cost			Central Share
Đ	(2)	(3)	(4)		(5)	9)	6	(8)
23	Orissa	Subarnapur	IHSDP Scheme for the Town of Subarnapur Orissa	_				
	STATEMENT-XXIII		A Insitu - Construction of 934 new Dwelling units @ Rs.120,000/-per DU having built-up area 25.10 sqm, single storied includes 2 rooms, kitchen, separate WC & bath room with independent access from both the rooms and verandah. Title of Land belongs to beneficiary/ State Govt./ ULB, and it will be ensured before implementation that female member of the family is the owner/co owner of the property.	300/- des 2 adent longs lefore et/co	1120.80	747.20	373.60	373.60
	Details of State Share	(Rs. in lakh)	Sub Total (A)	1 (A)	1120.80	747.20	373.60	373.60
(2)		_	B 1. Drainage		264.21	211.37	52.84	105.68
7) ULB share	102.77	2. Concrete Road		249.95	199.96	49.99	86.66
)) Beneficiaries share	93.40	3. Sewerage		168.17	134.54	33.63	67.27
4	Others charges etc.		4. Water Supply		95.08	76.06	19.02	38.03
	Total State Share	e 793.99	5. Street Lighting		2.93	2.34	0.59	1.17
	Per DU Finance	(Rs.)	6. Commuity Centre-Cum- Lively Hood Centre		178.06	142.45	35.61	71.22
		80,000	7. Jogging Tracks		11.20	96'8	2.24	4.48
7	2) State grant	30,000	8. Barbed Fencing		7.11	5.69	1.42	2.84
~) (ULB share		9. Avenue Plantation		45.53	36.42	9.11	18.21
4	4) Beneficiaries share	10,000	10. Solid Waste Pits		5.30	4.24	1.06	2.12
Ш	Total	120,000	11. Fruit Bearing Saplings		0.15	0.12	0.03	90.0
			Sub Total (B)	al (B)	1027.69	822.15	205.54	411.08
			Total (A+B)	(A+B)	2148.49	1569.35	579.14	784.68
			C 1. Preparation of DPR, etc. @ 5%		107.42	00.0	107.42	00:0
			2. A & OE @ 5%		107.42	00.0	107.42	0.00
			Sub Total (C)	121 (C)	214.85	00.0	214.85	0.00
Ш			Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	B+C)	2363.34	1569.35	793.99	784.68
			Total for Orissa (8 Projects)		9034.91	6045.90	2989.01	3022.95
			Grand Total (2 States/23 projects)			-		
		<u> </u>	Uttar Pradesh (15 projects)	1(10234.57	6544.35	3690.22	3272.17
			Orissa (8 projects)		9034.91	6045.90	2989.01	3022.95
			Grand Total (2 States/23 projects)	19	19269.48	12590.25	6679.22	6295.12
i								

Note: First instalment of ACA for all the projects in Uttar Pradesh will be released on receipt of SLCC approval.

26 | 56 mg