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1. **Metro Rail Policy, 2017**

The Union Cabinet approved the Metro Rail Policy in August 2017. The following are some of the salient features of the 2017 policy:

- Recognition of the fast-growing need for improvements in the public transport system in a large number of cities
- Evaluation of various options of Mass Rapid Transit Systems (MRTS), along with a comparative analysis of alternate modes of transport to be a vital part
- Metro Rail system is often considered the most suitable urban transport system due to high capacity and speed, along with comfort
- Comprehensive Mobility Plan (CMP) a pre-requisite for planning metro rail systems in any city
- Integration of suburban systems with the proposed metro rail
- The Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) of 14% and above essential requirement for sanctioning of metro rail, since metro rail projects have significant economic and social benefits
- Feeder systems up to a catchment area of 5 km of each metro station, and last mile connectivity to be included in metro rail project proposals
- Increased focus on maximizing non-fare box revenue and revenue through commercial development at stations and allocated land
- Efforts to be made towards reducing costs of construction and operations, with the aim to standardize sub-systems and components
- Exploration of various PPP models and encouragement for all forms of PPP, whether for full provisioning or for unbundled components
- Appraisal by an independent agency as identified by the Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs (MoHUA).
2. **NEW APPRAISAL FRAMEWORK**

A new appraisal framework is required as per the Metro Rail Policy, 2017 for appraisal of the Metro Rail project proposals received for approval by the Central Government. This new appraisal framework is for internal use by the Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs (MoHUA) for appraisal of project proposals. The guidelines for approval of proposed metro rail projects by other departments of Government of India would prevail. The new appraisal framework is given in the following sections as also represented in Figure 1.

**Figure 1: Appraisal Framework for Projects under Metro Rail Policy, 2017**
3. PREPARATION OF PROJECT REPORTS

The Project Reports will be the basis of evaluation, sanction or refusal of a Metro Rail project proposal. The methodology and guidelines for preparation of the Project Reports are discussed in detail in the subsequent sections. As urban transport is a state subject, State Governments would prepare the following reports.

(a) Comprehensive Mobility Plan (CMP)
(b) Alternatives Analysis Report (AA)
(c) Detailed Project Report (DPR)

3.1. COMPREHENSIVE MOBILITY PLAN

A Comprehensive Mobility Plan (CMP) is a long-term vision for movement of people and goods for a city and provides a strategy and investment program to meet the vision. The methodology for the preparation of a CMP is given below:

3.2. METHODOLOGY FOR PREPARATION OF CMP

3.2.1. Stage I: Define objectives of Mobility Plan and delineate Planning Area and Horizon of Mobility Plan

Task 1: Define Objectives and Vision of the Mobility Plan

- Define Objectives and Vision of the Mobility Plan. These objectives would aim at addressing the following aspects:
  - Develop a long-term strategy for the desirable city mobility pattern that recognizes all modes of transport and avoids a piecemeal and reactive approach to existing problems and those expected to arise in future.
  - Improve and promote public transport, non-motorized vehicles (NMVs) and facilities for pedestrians as important transportation modes.
  - Promote integrated land use and transport planning.
  - Develop an urban transport strategy that is in line with the current National Urban Transport Policy (NUTP).
  - Ensure that the most appropriate, sustainable and cost-effective investments are made in the transport sector.

Task 2: Delineation of the Planning area and planning horizon

- Delineation of planning boundary for Mobility Plan based on existing Planning and Municipal area boundary and in discussions with relevant agencies. The CMP should be made for a horizon period of 30 years and should to be reviewed after every 5 years and revised, if required.

3.2.2. Stage II: Data Collection and Analysis of the existing Urban Transport Environment

Task 3: Review of City profile, delineation of Traffic Analysis Zones and review of Land Use pattern and Population density

- Data on existing land use and land use plans should be collected and presented after a detailed review of existing development plans, including the Master Plan and/or the City
Development Plan (CDP). In particular, new development areas that will affect transport demand in the planning area should be highlighted.

- The secondary data collected should be utilized in studying the past and existing growth pattern, land use plan of the city and its suburbs. The data to be used in projecting future growth patterns, land use patterns and possible growth directions.
- In case, there are data gaps or the survey data is more than 2 years old, fresh primary surveys to be conducted, if considered necessary.

**Task 4: Review of the Existing Transport Systems**

A review of existing transport infrastructure and facilities should be done for each transport mode, including walking, bicycle, cycle rickshaw, shared auto-rickshaw, public transport and any other prevailing modes. The review will include all types of facilities and amenities including pavement description, intersection treatments, lighting, parking space, parking cost and operation-related parameters.

**Task 5: Data Collection Approach - Methodology and Sources**

- Relevant data should be collected from secondary sources like published reports (CDP, CMP or CTTS), city authorities or primary surveys.
- The primary surveys to be carried out for the analysis of the existing urban transport systems are as follows:
  - Road Network Inventory Surveys (within city limits- All major arterials and important sub-arterials and local streets)
  - Classified Traffic Volume Count Surveys - 16 hours (Outer and Inner Cordon)
  - Speed and Delay Surveys – Peak hour and off peak hour
  - Pedestrian Count Surveys - 8 hours (peak hours)
  - Parking Surveys - 12 hours (peak hours)
  - Public Transport - Boarding and Alighting Survey (based on city travel characteristics)
  - NMT Opinion Surveys
  - Junction Turning Volume Counts - 12 hours

**Task 6: Study of Existing Travel Behavior**

Two important considerations that should be taken into account while collecting data on travel patterns are; the collected data should be representative and cover the travel behavior of all individuals within a household; and the data to be segregated by social group and trip purpose. The household surveys should be designed to assess different social groups effectively and to represent people’s perceptions towards different modes of transport in terms of time, cost, comfort, safety and security. For understanding and analyzing the existing travel behavior and characteristics, the following additional primary surveys need to be conducted:

- Screen Line Classified Volume Count Surveys - 16 hours
- Household Interview Surveys (sample size should be between 1-2% depending on the size of the city)
- Road Side Interview Surveys - 8 hours (peak hours)

**Task 7: Review of Energy and Environment**
Quantifying energy consumption for transport is important for estimating the CO\textsubscript{2} and local air pollution emissions from transport-related activities. In order to create a complete picture, both top-down and bottom-up approaches for estimating energy consumptions will be adopted.

**Task 8: Analysis and Indicators**

The impact of the projects in terms of service level benchmarks should be evaluated. Service level performance benchmarks identified as per the Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs (MoHUA) guidelines are for the following areas of intervention:

- Public transport facilities
- Pedestrian infrastructure facilities
- Non-Motorized Transport (NMT) facilities
- Level of usage of Intelligent Transport System (ITS) facilities
- Travel speed (Motorized and Mass Transit) along major corridors
- Availability of parking spaces
- Road safety
- Pollution levels
- Integrated land use transport system
- Financial sustainability of public transport

As part of the study, the impact of the projects proposed should be evaluated in terms of improvement in the Service Level Benchmark (SLB) of each indicator and overall improvement in SLB.

**3.2.3. Stage III: Development of Business as Usual (BAU) Scenario**

**Task 9: Framework for Scenarios**

BAU Scenario represents the future based on the continuation of past trends, and is used as a counterfactual reference or benchmark for assessing policy interventions. In terms of passenger transport, the BAU scenario predicts the increased car ownership and a higher demand for motorization. In terms of technologies, the scenario foresees continued reliance on fossil fuel cars, with improved efficiency and a greater share of electric and hybrid cars.

**Task 10: Socio-economic Projections**

City’s future economic transitions depends on the current economic transitions taking place across the country. Demographic projections, Employment projections and Industrial growth projections will be done using the model and other parameters.

**Task 11: Land Use Transitions**

The land use type should be disaggregated into residential, commercial, retail, recreational, industrial, educational, religious, and other categories. Land use projections and allocations for the horizon years should be done in three steps. The first step includes the projection of socio demographics and the per capita space requirements for each activity in the city. The second step involves the allotment of activities based on connectivity and distances, as well as the availability of space. The third step includes the scope of the land use transition.

**Task 12: Transport Demand Analysis**
Demand for passenger transport should be estimated using a four-step model. The four-step model is based on an understanding of existing travel behavior obtained from the household survey, and provisioning existing transport infrastructure and service quality. The transport model to be developed must be a peak-hour model and not a daily model. After set up for the base year, the transport traffic flows on different road links should be compared with the actual traffic volume counts observed at various locations observed across the city.

**Task 13: Technology Transitions**

An understanding of vehicles, fuels and CO₂ emissions from electricity use in transportation system is essential to understanding the implications of travel demand on CO₂ emissions and air quality.

**Task 14: Model Framework**

The framework for sustainable urban mobility should utilize the four strategic levers: Urban form, Non-Motorized Transport (NMT), Public Transport and Technology. The framework should study the impacts of alternative strategies using key indicators for mobility, safety, and local environment, as well as more aggregate indicators like CO₂ and energy use.

**3.2.4. Stage IV: Development of Sustainable Urban Transport Scenarios**

**Task 15: Framework for Scenarios**

Review of Green House Gas Emission indicators for the BAU scenario as well as sustainable scenarios should be done, however, technological transitions for various scenarios should also be discussed in detail.

**Task 16: Strategies for Sustainable Urban Transport Scenario**

Various scenarios should be developed describing the plans and policies aimed at limiting private vehicle ownership and use. The scenarios also assume an increase in motorized transport to some extent, which is inevitable given the low level of vehicle use on a per capita basis. Therefore, emphasis should be placed on improving technology in terms of efficiency and emissions.

**Task 17: Transport Demand Analysis of Alternative Strategies for Sustainable Urban Transport**

Strategies on Urban Structure, Non-Motorized Transport infrastructure, Public Transport, Improving Public Transport, NMT and Urban structure, Technology options, Regulatory and financial measures should aim to improve transport infrastructure and increase the cost of using personal motorized vehicles. The transport model to be developed must be a peak-hour model and not a daily model.

**Task 18: Technology Transitions under a Low Carbon Scenario**

In the low carbon scenario, the fuel mix is expected to diversify further from BAU scenario towards bio-fuels, electricity and natural gas. With advanced technologies, vehicle efficiency will also improve, and thus the overall demand for fuels will be lower in the low carbon scenario.
Task 19: CO₂ Emissions and Air Quality

The model framework is same as the BAU scenario for estimating CO₂ Emissions and Air Quality. The indicators for the sustainable urban transport scenario are similar to those estimated for the base year.

3.2.5. Stage V: Development of Urban Mobility Plan

Task 20: Integrated Land Use and Urban Mobility Plan

Integrating land use with the urban mobility plan would entail a two-way interaction between the two plans. High density residential areas intertwined with high-density employment areas, along with increased travel costs and an efficient public transport system would encourage people to use NMT for shorter trips and public transport for longer ones, thus encouraging low-carbon mobility. To summarize, the land use plan should locate activities in a manner that encourages low-carbon mobility and the urban mobility plan, in turn, should facilitate access to activities.

Task 21: Formulation of Public Transport Improvement Plan

CMP details the Public Transport Improvement Plans into a number of sections, including service improvements for buses, trams and para-transit, appropriate MRT options and development plans, trunk and feeder network systems and intermodal facility plans. Formulating a public transport improvement plan in small sized Indian city can involve several challenges. These range from assessing transport demand to service provision and its alignment with land use.

Task 22: Preparation of Road Network Development Plan and NMT Facility Improvement Plan

A set of specific projects and policy measures would need be identified that the city authorities need to implement as part of the Mobility Plan. These projects and policy measures could be categorized as follows:

- Road network development Plan
- NMT facilities

Task 23: Preparation of Mobility Management Measures

In CMP, traffic management plans cover parking plans, traffic control measures, intermodal facilities, demand management measures, traffic safety plan and ITS. Mobility management measures suggested in the CMP should enable use of public transit and NMT modes. Additional measures should be added to increase the cost and discourage the use of motorized travel, including the taxation of cars and fuel, land use planning that encourages shorter travel distances and traffic management by reallocating space on the roads.

Task 24: Preparation of Regulatory and Institutional Measures
Effective development of urban land use and transport systems often requires regulatory and institutional changes. Such requirements should be worked out in detail and documented in the CMP. These measures can be developed region-wide/city wide or be project specific.

The regulatory and institutional plan should include the following:

Regulatory measures in relation to:
- Bus service improvement (concession, privatization, and lease contract);
- Traffic safety improvement (traffic regulation, mandatory road user education, enforcement systems);
- Introduction of Transport Demand Management (TDM) measures;
- Vehicle emissions (focus on non-fuel based vehicles and compressed natural gas/CNG vehicles);
- Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs).

Institutional measures in relation to:
- Coordination mechanism to integrate public transport operation and to integrate fares;
- Establishment of Unified Metropolitan Transport Authorities (UMTA); if not in place earlier
- Establishment of SPVs for the implementation of proposed projects; and other changes necessary to promote PPPs.

Task 25: Development of Fiscal Measures

Fiscal measures should be considered to achieve a balanced modal split, and to secure the budget necessary to implement urban transport projects. As fiscal measures usually correspond to institutional and regulatory measures, the following aspects may have to be examined in the CMP document:
- Fare policy for public transportation, and parking;
- Subsidy policy for public transport operators;
- Taxation on private vehicles and public transport vehicles; and
- Potential for road congestion charging.

Task 26: Mobility Improvement Measures and NUTP Objectives

The land use and transport measures proposed in the CMP should improve mobility in the metropolitan area and cover the critical issues addressed in the NUTP. A table can be prepared summarizing the relationship between the NUTP objectives and the measures proposed in the CMP, together with a classification of the measures according to their implementation time frame (short, medium and long term).

3.2.6. Stage VI: Implementation Plan

Task 27: Preparation of Implementation Programs

The necessary interventions for public transport improvement plans, road development plans, NMT facility improvement plans and mobility management measures are listed next into a set of actionable projects to be implemented in the city and prioritized into the following categories
- Short term (next 2-5 years)
- Medium term (5-10 years)
- Long term (more than 10 years)
All the projects should be presented to the city stakeholders and the suggested implementing agencies identified for each project.

**Task 28: Identification and Prioritization of Projects**

- Short-term measures are aimed at improving the safety and accessibility of pedestrians, cyclists and public transport users through area level traffic circulation plans and measures like implementing traffic signals.
- Medium-term measures typically involve corridor-level projects like implementing cycle tracks and mass-transit corridors, city level initiatives like public transport fleet improvement and efficient scheduling, developing area level cycle networks and Public Bicycle Sharing (PBS) schemes, parking policy development and implementation in the city. They are primarily aimed at restricting the decrease in the city’s public transport and non-motorized transport mode shares.
- Long-term measures include implementing the overall vision of the CMP. These project ideas are presented to the stakeholders in order to get their feedback on both the projects and their prioritization. The final list of identified projects should include the implementation framework, cost estimates and the likely funding options.

**Task 29: Funding of Projects**

The various project-funding options would be assessed, identifying the projects amenable to PPP and those that can be implemented based on the government sources of funding from the city, State Government and Central Government schemes. Alternative and innovative sources of funding should be identified to reduce the investment by various Government agencies.

**Task 30: Monitoring of CMP Implementation**

CMP is the basis for approving projects, plans and various regulatory measures within the city related to transport and it is therefore important to monitor and measure the impact of interventions. Agencies responsible for implementation of the projects and monitoring the progress of implementation of urban transport projects should be identified.

**Task 31: Stakeholders Consultation**

Stakeholders’ consultation should be done after each major stage of the CMP such as the draft stage to ascertain their feedback and comments on the proposals and projects for improving urban transport.

The methodology flow chart for preparation of CMP is given in Figure 2:
Figure 2: Methodology flow chart for preparation of CMP

**Stage I: Defining the scope of the CMP**

**Task I: Define Objectives & Vision of the Mobility Plan**
- The objectives would aim at addressing following aspects:
  - A long-term strategy for the desirable city mobility pattern.
  - Improve and promote public transport, NMVs and facilities for pedestrians.
  - Promote integrated land use and transport planning.
  - Develop an urban transport strategy that is in line with National Urban Transport Policy (NUTP).
  - Ensure that most appropriate, sustainable, and cost-effective investments are made in the transport sector.

**Task II: Delineation of Planning area and the Planning horizon**
- Task 1-1: Mobilization
- Task 1-2: Reconnaissance of State
- Task 1-3: Delineation of Planning Area
- Task 1-4: Defining Immediate, short, medium and long term planning horizons.

**Task III: Finalization of Work plan**
- A detailed work plan chart to be prepared
  - Identifying specific project tasks as they interrelate to one another
  - The work plan would serve as a valuable management tool in continually monitoring levels of completion.

**Stage II: Data Collection and Analysis of the Existing Urban Transport Environment**

**Task 2-1: Review of Regional Profile**
**Task 2-2: Delineation of Traffic Analysis Zones and Review of Land Use Pattern & Population Density**

**Task 2-4: Study of Existing Travel Behavior**
- Primary Surveys such as Screen Line Classified Volume Count Surveys, Household Interview Surveys, Road Side Interview Survey and Public Transport Passenger Counts
- Analysis and Estimation of Travel Characteristics

**Task 2-5: Review of Energy and Environment**
**Task 2-6: Analysis and Indicators**

**Task 2-3: Review of the Existing Transport Systems**
- Review of Road Infrastructure
- Assessment of Transit Infrastructure
- Review of IPT Infrastructure
- Review of Freight Infrastructure
- Primary Surveys such as Road Network Inventory, Classified Turning Volume Count Survey, Speed and Delay Survey, Pedestrian Count Survey, Parking Survey Bus/Ferry Boarding and Alighting Survey and Vehicle Operators Survey

**Stage III: Development of Business as Usual (BAU) scenario**

**Task 3-1: Framework for Scenarios**
**Task 3-2: Socio-economic Projections**
**Task 3-3: Land Use Transitions**
**Task 3-4: Transport Demand Analysis**
**Task 3-5: Technology Transitions**
**Task 3-6: Model Framework**
**Task 3-7: Analysis and Indicators**

**Stage IV: Development of Sustainable Urban Transport Scenarios (SUTS)**

**Task 4-1: Framework for Scenarios**
**Task 4-2: Strategies for SUTS**
**Task 4-3: Transport Demand Analysis of Alternative Strategies for SUTS**
**Task 4-4: Technology Transitions under a Low Carbon Scenario**
**Task 4-5: CO2 Emissions and Air Quality**
**Task 4-6: Analysis and Indicators**
The Table of Contents for preparation of CMP is given in the Annexure I.
3.3. ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS REPORT

3.3.1. Introduction
Alternatives Analysis (AA) is about finding the best alternative to solve transport related problems mainly in a particular corridor or sometimes in a sub-area. Based on inputs from the CMP, evaluate all the feasible alternatives across all modes of transportation and recommend the most feasible transport system(s) for the city that would alleviate the traffic and transportation problems of the city.

3.3.2. Objectives of Alternatives Analysis
The key objective of conducting an Alternative Analysis is mainly to:
- Ensure that reasonable transportation alternatives are considered
- Evaluate all impacts due to the project
- Consider opinion of Stakeholders
- Select the locally preferred alternative

3.4. METHODOLOGY FOR ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS REPORT
The Methodology for preparation of Alternatives Analysis Report is given below:

3.4.1. Stage I: Develop Screening Criteria for the identified Alternative Options
Task 1: Develop screening criteria to identify the most reasonable and feasible alternatives based on the options suggested in CMP
The screening criteria may include the broad criteria of
- **Mobility Effects**: These criteria relate to travel demand forecasting and facility capacity, presence/absence of different modes, access, connectivity and circulation.
- **Conceptual Engineering effect**: These criteria relate to developing all civil aspects of the system
- **Financial and Economic Effects**: To identify and quantify the benefits and costs associated with the project to help in identification of the optimum solution along with the economic viability in terms of its likely investment return potential.
- **Environmental and Social Effects**: Screening criteria assessing environmental impacts related to land-use and natural environment like water, air etc. The social impact of the alternatives is evaluated to see potential social costs and benefits.
- **Cost Effectiveness and Affordability**: The capital and annual costs associated with each of the alternatives would be evaluated. It also assesses the cost-effectiveness and affordability of the alternatives.
- **Other Factors**: How each of the alternatives comply with the local policies and priorities are assessed.

Task 2: Qualitative Evaluation of Screening Criteria
First-level screening criteria will be developed to quickly and efficiently identify the alternatives considering all available modes of transportation that most warrant
further consideration and evaluation, which will include preliminary qualitative evaluations to narrow the number of alternatives.

**Task 3 : Quantitative Evaluation of Screening Criteria**

With the first screening of alternatives considering all available modes of transportation completed, the second level of evaluation involves quantitative screening, wherein various parameters will be screened based on quantitative assessment.

### 3.4.2. Stage II : Evaluation parameters of various Alternatives

#### Task 4: Mobility Effect

**Travel Demand Forecasting:** The primary purpose of this task is to assess the most current version of the City/regional travel demand model (from CMP) for base year data, with available future year networks and land use data, and model documentation. While preparing the travel demand analysis, following tasks need to be completed:

- a. Identify available transport system, right of way of roads in city and along corridor
- b. Prepare road and transit networks for each alternative and a no-project scenario (without project).
- c. Summarize the travel demand results for existing and all future year alternatives, including corridor and region-wide travel demand, peak period volumes and congestion levels, and person trips by mode for the corridor and the region.
- d. Analyze the differences among the alternatives to provide information to Environmental Assessment (in Task 6).
- e. Opportunity for intermodal integration at various levels
- f. Similar analysis to be conducted for the future horizon year to assess how conditions would change over time.

#### Task 5: Conceptual Engineering Effect

Further to refine the range of alternatives to a sufficient level of detail to compare the relative differences between alternatives, conceptual engineering report must be prepared for all feasible alternatives, including those specified in the Comprehensive Mobility Plan (CMP) and any other viable/practical “alternative” (or combination of features that are not identified in the CMP).

(a) Geotechnical

- Prepare preliminary foundation reports, soil investigations, water data and other information that are necessary to allow preliminary evaluation of alternatives.
- Develop other information concerning adjacent structures impacted by the project, water treatment considerations and information concerning wetlands.
- Perform investigations and analysis necessary to assess aspects of soil and foundations behaviors based on the suitability of each alternative system

(b) Civil Structures

- Provide preliminary design of bridges, retaining structures and other permanent or temporary structures associated with alternatives selected for evaluation.
- Develop sufficient detail concerning the structures to allow preparation of preliminary cost estimates.
- Identify the road space to be occupied by civil structure and the project permanently/temporarily.

(c) Station Planning (Bus Stations/Rail Stations etc.)
- Provide preliminary design including geometrics, structural design, shoring and architectural design. Identify the road space to be occupied by station (either underground or elevated) and the project permanently/temporarily.

(d) Utilities
- Identify the existing utility available and how many will be required to be shifted.

(e) Right-of-ways
- Research and report on the status of current right-of-ways and other properties potentially affected by the project.
- Prepare estimates of the valuation of any property to be permanently acquired or needed for temporary construction easements, as also how the project will the social effect for the city.

(f) Other Planning Parameters like impacts on parking, inter-modal connectivity, etc.

**Task 6: Environmental Effect: Environmental Assessment**

The purpose of the preliminary environmental analysis is to identify environmentally sensitive areas early on, so that these areas can be avoided if possible during design. The preliminary environmental analysis will also assist in determining the level of additional environmental documentation that will be required in subsequent project phases. A screening-level analysis or environmental scan will be conducted to determine the potential environmental impacts of each alternative identified.

**Task 7: Social Effect: Social Assessment**

- Preliminary screening of the social impacts for each alternative including Social Impact Mitigation including R&R impacts. A detailed assessment would be done at the DPR stage. Stakeholders Consultations to be carried out at important stages.

**Task 8: Cost Effectiveness and Affordability**

- Project cost estimates: Provide preliminary cost estimates based upon conceptual engineering completed for alternatives selected for evaluation. Detail items of work, estimates of quantities and costs shall be included at DPR stage.
- Provide estimates of costs for all project elements including right-of-ways, easements, relocations, environmental mitigation, protection of facilities and any other elements affecting project cost.

**Task 9: Financial and Economic Effect**

- Prepare a preliminary project financial plan, which outlines a realistic strategy for implementing the project alternatives.
- Public and private funding options should be considered in developing the plan.
- To identify and quantify the benefits and costs associated with the project to help in identification of the optimum solution along with the economic viability in terms of its likely investment return potential.
  The plan should also identify any appropriate phasing of corridor segments, and include
a financial strategy for implementation of phased independent segments with the goal of providing a complete project corridor.

3.4.3. Stage III: Alternatives Evaluation

The objective is to conduct an evaluation that would lead to the identification of those alternatives that is most likely to be implemented. The goal is to conduct an evaluation that would lead to the identification of those alternatives that are most likely to:

a. Meet the purpose and need identified for the project.
b. Concurrently avoid or minimize environmental and community impacts.
c. Allow for effective and feasible project phasing and constructability.
d. Provide a cost-effective transportation investment.
e. The evaluation of alternatives should include a No-Build Alternative (without project).

A Draft Alternatives Report describing reasonable and feasible alternative(s) that are recommended should include the analysis supporting the recommendation. The scoring can be done for each of the alternatives which shall be the basis for comparing alternatives. The option with highest score may be considered for further preparation of DPR.

3.4.4. Stage IV: Implementation Options for the most viable Alternative

The implementation options should be identified for best suitable alternative. If metro system is identified as the most viable alternative, then implementation options needs to be explored for those projects seeking Central Financial Assistance (CFA) as mentioned in the Metro Rail Policy, 2017. The various options for CFA for these metro projects are as below:

I. Public Private Partnership (PPP): Central Government financing to be governed by the Viability Gap Funding (VGF) Scheme of Government of India or any other Guidelines issued by Government of India from time to time.

II. Grant by the Central Government: Central Government will consider providing a grant upto 10% of project cost excluding items as mentioned in the Metro Policy 2017, which do not seek project funding as per the VGF Scheme of GoI or under the Equity Sharing Model.

III. Equity Sharing Model: Central Government will provide financial support to Metro Rail projects upto 20%of the project cost excluding items as per the Metro Policy 2017.

PPP models should be explored for implementation as per the Metro Rail Policy, 2017. Private participation either for complete provisioning of metro rail project or for some unbundled components will form an essential requirement for all metro rail project proposals seeking Central Financial Assistance. The PPP model options as per the Metro Rail Policy, 2017 that could be taken up for implementation are:

- Construction of new Metro Rail systems through DBFOTs (Design-Build-Finance-Operate-Transfer);
- Award of Concessions for operational services which could include supply of rolling stock;
- Award of Concessions for maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure.

Further, Private Participation in Operation and Maintenance also to be explored for
implementation. It is also important to define the exact nature of private participation as per the Metro Rail Policy, 2017. The indicative models of O&M mentioned in the Policy are:

- Cost + Fee Contract
- Gross Cost Contract
- Net Cost Contract

Thus, based on the above available alternatives, the State Government needs to decide the Metro Project Implementation options

(a) Whether the project should be implemented on a PPP framework eligible under the VGF Guidelines of Government of India; or
(b) Whether the project should be implemented on a PPP framework with some component of the project being implemented on PPP model; or
(c) Whether the project should be implemented on an Equity Sharing Model with some form of PPP for any component of the project, wherever feasible.

The Methodology flowchart for preparation of Alternatives Analysis Report is given in Figure 3.
Figure 3: Methodology flow chart for preparation of Alternatives Analysis Report

Alternatives Analysis Methodology
Stage I: Develop Screening Criteria for the identified alternative options

Task I: Determining the screening criteria
The screening criteria may include the broad criteria of
- Mobility Effects
- Engineering effect
- Financial & Economic Effects
- Environmental Effects
- Social
- Cost Effectiveness & Affordability
- Construction Effects
- Other Factors

Task II: Qualitative Evaluation of the screening Criteria
- Qualitatively identification of alternatives
- Narrowing the number of options
- Formulation of the results

Task II: Quantitative Evaluation of the screening Criteria
- First level screening result to be considered for quantitative evaluation
- Second level quantitative Analysis
- Formulation of results

Stage II: Evaluating alternatives based on various parameters

Task I: Mobility Effect
Travel Demand Forecasting
- Identify available transport system,
- Right of way of road in city & along corridor
- Estimate the differences in land use development
- Summarize the travel demand results for existing and alternatives

Task II: Conceptual Engineering Effect
Conceptual Engineering Effect
- Geotechnical
- Civil Structures
- Station Planning, Utilities
- Rights-of-Way, Impacts on parking, inter-modal connectivity

Task III: Other Effects
Environmental Effect
Social Effect
Cost Effectiveness and Affordability
Financial and Economic Effect

Stage III: Rating of Alternatives through Performance Measures

- Scaling of Performance measures
- Rating the Scale in numeric values
- Evaluation of the highest scoring alternatives

Stage IV: Implementation Options of the most viable Alternative

- Identification of the most viable alternative
- Exploration of options of Central Assistance for Metro Rail Projects
- Exploration of PPP models for implementation
- Exploration of Private Participation Models for O&M

The Table of Contents for Alternatives Analysis Report is given in Annexure II.
3.5. DETAILED PROJECT REPORT

The DPR along with the checklist for compliance with Metro Rail Policy 2017, as given in Annexure V needs to be submitted to the Central Government for approval. The DPR should be prepared in conformity to the Standards and Specifications for Metro Rail projects that have been issued/ being issued by MoHUA from time to time.

3.6. METHODOLOGY FOR PREPARATION OF DETAILED PROJECT REPORT

The Methodology for preparation of DPR is given below:

3.6.1. Assessment of existing city profile with existing transport characteristics

Task 1: A brief overview of the city in terms of its growth, economy, spatial structure and trends, perspectives on the future growth. Overview of study areas and existing plans with land use distribution, review of zoning Regulations, employment distribution by Traffic Zones, land use plan proposals should be done.

Task 2: Brief review of previous transport studies like City Master Plan, Comprehensive Mobility Plan and proposed Metro Rail plan and other urban transport proposals. A brief showing interconnection among City Master Plan, Comprehensive Mobility Plan and proposed Metro Rail plan should be given.

3.6.2. Travel characteristics and demand estimates

Task 3: Describes the components of urban transport system in terms of status, trends and gaps based on primary survey data, present travel patterns and forecast for the future travel demand.

Task 4: Based on primary survey data and various traffic and transportation studies undertaken for the city, the present travel patterns and forecast for future travel demand should be done.

Task 5: Travel demand analysis, model framework, model calibration, summary of travel demand patterns and ridership assessment for horizon year.

3.6.3. System and Technology Selection

Task 6: Identification of suitable transit technology and the system specification to be adopted for the corridor including the rationale for choosing a particular technology as per the prescribed specification as issued by MoHUA from time to time. The technology chosen should not be a proprietary technology of any vendor.

3.6.4. Corridor alignment description

Task 7: Alignment description of approved alignment, with detail about site conditions specifying road geometrics, utilities available along the corridor

Task 8: Detailed analysis of corridor options with grade selection for construction. Design norms for track geometry, fixed structure clearance, geotechnical details with new innovative techniques to be used for implementation in civil works, track system etc.
Task 9: Identification of existing services/utilities, if any

Task 10: Detailed estimation regarding land requirement for the corridor, depots, stations, parking, multi modal stations etc. with land ownership

3.6.5. Station Planning
Task 11: Station planning with preparation of general layouts based on type of station and site specific conditions focusing on:
- Station Area planning for non-motorized vehicles and pedestrians’ facilities, multi modal integration with existing modes, feeder service planning.
- Accessibility for differently abled persons including specifying parking at stations for private and para transit facilities.
- Platform widths based on Station loadings and the minimum width to be provided.

3.6.6. Intermodal Integration
Task 12: Prepare an Intermodal Integration Plan focusing on how the Metro Rail will integrate with the existing transportation systems/proposed transit system and introduction of a feeder system, integrated with the proposed Metro Rail project for improving last mile connectivity. This will include not only preparation of an operational plan for feeder system but also infrastructure that need to be upgraded/ improved or introduced for improving the intermodal integration with other modes of public transport to improve the viability of the project. Recommendations for institutional integration, physical integration, fare integration, operational integration and technology integration would also need to be elaborated in the report.

3.6.7. Train Operation Plan
Task 13: System operation approach, station yard planning, trains operation plan including system frequency, timetabling, rolling stock requirement, stabiling details.

3.6.8. Signaling and Telecommunication
Task 14: Identification of Signaling and System control, Operation Control Centre (OCC), maintenance requirement, technology selection and choice of automation
Task 15: Identification of Telecommunication System, System Traffic Control, maintenance and emergency communication, Passenger Information System (PIS)

3.6.9. Fare Collection System
Task 16: Detailing the specifications for Automatic fare collection system, Ticketing and pass system, Fare System integrated with other transport Systems including integration of fares of all available modes with the Metro system planned as per the guidelines issued by MoHUA (such as National Common Mobility Card).

3.6.10. Rolling Stock
Task 17: Technology selection, identification of rolling stock adopted as per Guidelines laid by MoHUA. Rationale for deviations, if any in choice of rolling stock parameters from the prescribed specifications and standards prevailing and Rolling stock requirement for various horizon years should be specified.
3.6.11. Power Supply and Traction System
Task 18: Choice of electric traction system. Projected power demand, Source of power supply, Traction and Auxiliary Supply and supervisory control and data acquisition system. No. of tractions and their locations.

3.6.12. Ventilation and Air Conditioning System
Task 19: Need for Ventilation and Air Conditioning, design parameters and design concepts for VAC System with details on tunnel ventilation, station ventilation and air conditioning of ancillary spaces including specifications for control and monitoring facilities.

3.6.13. Depots
Task 20: Identification of Depot locations. Approach to maintenance of depot facilities and workshop along with detailed designs and layout plans.

Task 21: Existing scenario, with analysis on water quality, noise level, land environment, biological environment etc.

Task 22: Environmental norms and Regulations, Detailed Environment Impact Assessment (EIA), Environment Management Plan (EMP), formation of an Environmental Management System (EMS) and costs estimates for Environment Impact mitigation measures.

Task 23: Detailed Social Impact Assessment (SIA) including R&R assessment, Resettlement Impacts, Resettlement Assistance Plan (RAP) and Monitoring and Evaluation Framework.

3.6.15. Disaster Management and Security Measures

3.6.16. Cost Estimation
Task 25: Detailed project cost estimates
- Capital cost estimates including taxes and duties
- Innovations proposed to reduce the cost of system
- Estimation of Operations and Maintenance Cost and the assumptions made thereof

3.6.17. Transit Oriented Development Plan
Task 26: The potential for Transit Oriented Development along the metro corridors based on the guidelines issued by MoHUA to be developed including densification of corridor by increasing FSI and land value capture as per the guidelines issued by MoHUA. Guiding list of lands/areas amenable for change in near future e.g. vacant land, low rise development relocation etc., use type.
3.6.18. Financial Analysis and Non Fare Box Revenue Assessment

Task 27: Estimations and inputs for the corridor, estimation for O & M, overheads, phasing of construction and lease of Built up Area (BUA), Operational viability of the project.

Task 28: Means of finance, revenue from different sources, fare box revenue, non-fare box revenue, like advertisement, taxes and property development etc, possible ways of funding the project using different approaches. Alternative means of funding the project using different approaches like PPP, BOT, DBFOT, DFBOT, Developer Finance Model etc. and need to identify the proposed funding /implementation model in line with the Metro Policy 2017.

Task 29: Financial Returns: FIRR with 30 year time horizon, Sensitivity analysis should be done based on scenario building with variation in ridership estimates scenarios, costs estimates and Time overrun. Alternative scenarios based on the different options for funding /implementation of the project should be evaluated. A project should be able to meet its financial requirement for cost recovery and under a set of plausible assumptions be able to self-finance its activities. The State Governments will have to ensure the financial sustainability of the project through financial assistance.

3.6.19. Economic Analysis

Task 30: The Economic analysis should include economic cost and benefit analysis of the project and estimation of the EIRR for a period of 30 years as per the methodology for economic cost and benefit analysis as given in Annexure IV.

3.6.20. Implementation Plan

Task 31: Project implementation structure, if proposed to be implemented under various alternatives such as public or PPP model, role, responsibility and involvement (including financial stake) of the city government along with other government agencies in metro rail project, needs to be elaborated in the report.

3.6.21. Institutional Arrangement and Stakeholders Consultation

Task 32: Legal and Institutional Framework for implementation of the project based on the identified implementation plan should be included in the report. Stakeholders’ consultation should be held at each major stage of the project such as the Corridor Alignment Report and the Draft DPR stage.

The Table of Contents for preparation of DPR is given in Annexure III.
4. ANNEXURES

4.1. ANNEXURE I: TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR COMPREHENSIVE MOBILITY PLANS

The following are the Table of Contents for Comprehensive Mobility Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Chapters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1. Define sustainable mobility principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2. Impact of regional/national framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3. National Urban Transport Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.4. Delineation of Planning Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5. Define objectives and vision of Mobility Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.6. Review availability of resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7. Stakeholder’s identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8. Approach and Methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Review of City Profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.1. Review of existing Transport system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2. Transport demand surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.3. Review of existing land use pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.4. Analysis of existing Traffic/Transport conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5. Traffic volume count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.6. Road network Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.7. Modal share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.8. Speed and delays surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.9. Parking surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.10. Non-motorized transport surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.11. Future land use developments plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.12. Review of Energy and Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.13. Analysis and Indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Transport Demand Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.1. Development of Business as Usual (BAU) scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.2. Development of Sustainable Urban Transport Scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Framework for scenarios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Strategies and plans for Sustainable Urban Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Transport Demand analysis of Alternative strategies for Sustainable Urban Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Technology transitions under a Low carbon scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o CO₂ emissions and Air quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Analysis and Indicators (Comparison with benchmarks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.3. Conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Development of Comprehensive Mobility Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.1. Integrated land use and Urban mobility plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2. Formulation of Public Transport Improvement plan
4.3. Preparation of Road Network Development Plan
4.4. Preparation of NMT (Non-Motorised Transport) Facility Improvement Plan
4.5. “Inter-modality” -Integrated development of all modes including non-motorised transport
4.6. Freight Movement Plan
4.7. Plans for Intelligent Transport System
4.8. Traffic management measures
4.9. Action plan and budget plan
4.10. Monitoring and evaluation plan
4.11. Inform and engage stakeholders including citizens
4.12. Development of Fiscal measures
4.13. Mobility improvement measures and NUTP Objectives
4.14. Impact of the proposed measures on Service Level Benchmark

5. **Implementation Plan**
   5.1 Preparation of implementation programs
   5.2 Prioritization of sub-projects
   5.3 Funding of projects
   5.4 Monitoring of CMP
   5.5 Stakeholders Consultation
### 4.2. ANNEXURE II: TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Chapters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Executive Summary</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td><strong>Need of Study</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Guidelines for Alternatives Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Overview of Study Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Regional Goals and Objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Project Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Need for Proposed Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><strong>Study Area and Existing Conditions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Study Area Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Existing Roadway Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Existing Transit Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Other Transportation Corridors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Existing Land Use and Zoning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td><strong>Conceptual Transportation Alternatives as per CMP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Planning Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Description of Alternatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td><strong>Screening Criteria for the identified Alternative Options</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Screening Parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Evaluation Parameters of various Alternatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.1</td>
<td>Mobility Effect with Travel Demand Forecasting for each alternative mode considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2</td>
<td>Conceptual Engineering effect with details Geotechnical investigation, Civil Structures, Station Planning (Bus Stations/Rail Stations etc.), Utilities, Rights-of-Way, Other Planning Parameters like impacts on parking, inter-modal connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.3</td>
<td>Financial and Economic Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.4</td>
<td>Environmental Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.5</td>
<td>Social Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.6</td>
<td>Cost Effectiveness and Affordability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.7</td>
<td>Other Factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td><strong>Screening and Alternatives Evaluation based on grading for each mode</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Evaluating based on scoring criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Screening Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Alternatives Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td><strong>Implementation Options for viable Alternative</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Implementation Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Pros and cons of each Option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Most suitable option for Implementation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Conclusion: The Path Forward

7.1 Findings
7.2 Recommendations
7.3 Next Steps and Way Forward
4.3. ANNEXURE III: TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR PREPARATION OF DETAILED PROJECT REPORT (DPR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>A Profile of the City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A brief overview of the city in terms of its growth, economy, spatial structure and trends are analysed and perspectives on the future growth are presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1. General/historical background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2. Location, climate, physical setting, regional linkages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3. Demographic and socio economic profile: population growth, density, migration patterns, spatial patterns of growth, projections for next 20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.4. Urban Land Use Structure / Activity Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planning study areas and existing plans, existing land use distribution, review of zoning regulations (zoning and FSI pattern and its appropriateness), employment distribution by Traffic Zones, activity locations (Business areas, University, Hospitals, Transport Terminals), land use plan proposals (Master Plan and CDP strategy), road network pattern (Add compliance checklist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Existing Transportation System in the City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Describe the components of urban transport system in terms of status, trends and gaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.1. Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2. Vehicular growth and composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.3. Road network characteristics, Network inventory including length, width, Bridges, RoBs, flyovers, network pattern, missing links etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.4. Major transport nodes e.g. Railway, Station, ISBT, Airport and Traffic handled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5. Pedestrian and NMV facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.6. Traffic Management Including parking management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.7. Traffic Characteristics, Volume, traffic composition, speed and delays, pedestrian and NMV movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.8. Traffic safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.9. Intermediate Public Transit (IPT) System: Composition, status and role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.10. Public Transportation System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type, status and trends in terms size, service, routing, fare, patronage, financial performance, institutional framework, responsible agency and Act, constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.11. Past proposals from CMP/CTTS/Transport Master Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Based on Transport Master Plan/CMP, it should focus on moving people and not vehicles. It should integrate land use with transport plan including mass transit systems connectivity to all new/ future satellite townships/emerging activity centres (SEZ’s), main network and feeder network including pedestrian and NMVs, phasing of implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.12. A brief showing interconnections among city Master Plans/Development plans, Comprehensive Mobility Plan and proposed Metro Rail Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.13. Issues and Prospects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Travel Characteristics and Demand Estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Based on primary survey data, present travel patterns and forecast the future travel demand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1. Details of various traffic and transportation studies undertaken for the city. Study area, Zoning, Land use surveys, Transportation surveys: Classified volume counts, road side interviews, OD Surveys, willingness to pay/use Surveys, Traffic surveys, Speed-Delay surveys, Parking surveys.

3.2. Socio-Economic Characteristics
- Age wise distribution of Population, Activity status (Work, Education), Income distribution, Vehicle ownership.
- Travel characteristics, trip rate, trip purpose, mode choice, trip length, monthly expenditure on travel, spatial pattern of passenger movement, mobility patterns and needs of women, old aged, physically challenged.

3.3. Travel demand analysis: model framework, model calibration, summary of travel demand patterns.

3.4. Future travel demand scenarios.

3.5. Ridership assessment for horizon year.

4. System and Technology Selection

4.1. Technology.

4.2. System specification to be adopted for the corridor.

5. Civil Engineering, Alignment details

5.1. Alignment description of approved alignment, availability of road space.

5.2. Analysis of corridor options to be Elevated, Underground or At Grade.

5.3. Design norms-Track geometry, Fixed structure clearance, Geo-technical details with new innovative techniques to be used for implementation in civil works, track system etc.

5.4. Geometric design of Corridor including plan/profile.

5.5. Identification of existing services/utilities, if any.

5.6. Land requirement for the corridor, depots, stations, parking, multi-modal stations etc.

5.7. Ownership details of the land required for the corridor.

6. Station Planning

6.1. Station planning-elevated/underground based on site specific.

6.2. Station area planning for Non-Motorized Vehicle and pedestrians facilities.

6.3. Accessibility for differently-abled.

6.4. Parking on stations for public bike sharing, commensurate parking lots for cycles and personal vehicles, as well as adequate arrangement for receiving and dispatch of feeder buses at all metro stations and for IPT system.

7. Intermodal Integration

7.1. Inter modal integration with existing modes.

7.2. Feeder service planning from stations, fleet requirement, route planning,
7.3. Physical infrastructure requirement for integration with other modes
7.4. Recommendations for Institutional integration, Physical integration, Fare integration, Operational integration and Technology integration

8. **Train Operations Plan**
8.1. System operation approach, Station yard planning, Train operations plan
8.2. System frequency, Time-tabling
8.3. Rolling Stock requirement, stabling details

9. **Signaling and Telecommunication**
9.1. Signaling and System Control, Planning for Operation Control Centre (OCC) with System communication system, Electronic interlocking in stations and Depots, Maintenance requirement for maintaining and running an efficient system, Technology selection and choice of automation
9.2. Telecommunication, System shall cater to the needs of System traffic control, features to supplement signaling system, maintenance and emergency communication, passenger information system, etc.

10. **Fare Collection System**
10.1. Ticketing and access control
10.2. Automatic fare collection system options available, Ticketing and Pass System
10.3. Fare System integrated with other Transport System

11. **Rolling Stock**
11.1. Referring to system adopted, type of rolling stock adopted as per guidelines issued by MoHUA from time to time
11.2. Rationale for deviation, if any in choice of rolling stock parameters from the prescribed specifications and standards prevailing
11.3. Rolling stock requirement for various horizon years for identified system

12. **Power Supply and Traction**
12.1. Choice of electric traction system
12.2. Power supply, total projected power demand
12.3. Source of power supply
12.4. Traction Power Supply and traction overhead equipment, if applicable
12.5. Auxiliary power supply network
12.6. Supervisory control and data acquisition system

13. **Ventilation and Air Conditioning System for Rail based System**
13.1. Alignment Analysis, need for Ventilation and Air Conditioning
13.2. Internal design conditions in Underground stations, if provided
13.3. Design parameters and design concepts for VAC System
13.4. Station ventilation and Air Conditioning of ancillary spaces
13.5. Tunnel ventilation system, in case the same is provided
13.6. Control and monitoring facilities

14. Depots
14.1. Depot location and number, approach to maintenance
14.2. Design of depot facilities and workshop with layout plans

15. Environment and Social Impact Assessment
15.1. Existing scenario, water quality, noise level, land environment, biological environment, socio economic survey, archeological sites, if any
15.2. Environmental norms and Regulations
15.3. Detailed Environment Impact Assessment, discussing Impacts due to project location, project design, project construction, project operation, depot etc.
15.4. Positive & Negative Environmental Impacts
15.5. Environment Management Plans
15.7. Summary of Costs
15.8. Social Impact Assessment (SIA), potential resettlement impacts, baseline socio economic study, eligibility and entitlements, institutional framework, public consultation, resettlement assistance plan and cost, monitoring and evaluation

16. Disaster Management & Security Measures
16.1. Disaster Management, Disaster Management imperatives
16.2. Need for Disaster Management
16.3. Type of Disasters in MRTS
16.4. Objectives of Disaster Management Plan, Systems to cater for disasters
16.5. Preparedness of staff for disasters, preparedness for Disaster Management, Authorities to be coordinated with in case of disaster, Command & Control at the National, State & District Level
16.6. Security measures, essentials of security management, Security system design parameters, Door frame metal detectors, X-ray scanning etc.
16.7. Security systems recommended for MRTS

17. Detailed Project Cost Estimates
17.1. Capital cost estimate of complete system with details of civil engineering works, permanent way, utility diversions, environmental protection, miscellaneous other works, rehabilitation and resettlement, traction and power supply, signaling and telecommunication works, rolling stock, general charges and contingencies
17.2. Innovations proposed to reduce the unit cost of Civil works, Track system, Rolling stock etc.
17.3. Costing of entire project and for each of the phases
17.4. Summary of Capital Cost
17.5. Estimations of Operations and Maintenance Cost

18. Transit Oriented Development Plan
18.1. Assessment of development Potential
List Land/Buildings amenable for change in near future e.g. vacant land, low rise development relocation etc., use type, densification of corridor by increasing FSI, land value capture as per the guidelines issued by MoHUA

19. Financial Analysis and Non Fare Box Revenue Assessment
19.1. Estimations and inputs for the corridor, phasing of construction and lease of BUA
19.2. Estimations for operations and maintenance cost, overheads, compare the proposed costs with existing domestic and international benchmarks (including manpower/km), and measures to be taken for improvement in operations and maintenance cost, Innovations to ensure profitability at O&M level
19.3. Operational viability
19.4. Means of finance
Revenue From Different Sources
- Fare box revenue
- Non fare box revenue, like advertisement, taxes and property development etc.
19.5. Financial Returns, FIRR for 30 years time horizon
19.6. Alternative sources for Means of Finance, exploring all possible ways of funding the project using different approaches Like PPP, BOT, DFBOT, DBFOT, Developer Finance Model Etc. and proposed funding model/implementation model.
19.7. Sensitivity Analysis
  i. Expected Ridership
  ii. Costs
  iii. Time overrun

20. Economic Analysis
20.1. Approach and Methodology for Economic Analysis
20.2. Estimation of Economic Project cost of MRTS
20.3. Economic Benefits of MRTS
20.4. EIRR for 30 Years
20.5. Outcome on Economic viability

21. Implementation Plan
21.1. Project Implementation Plan
21.2. Project implementation structure if implemented on PPP model

21.3. Legal and institutional Framework for implementing the project

21.4. Role, responsibility and involvement (including financial stake) the city government shall have in the Metro Rail project
4.4. ANNEXURE IV: FRAMEWORK FOR ECONOMIC COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

As per global practice, urban transport projects including urban rail, are considered and seen as public projects, with the objective to deliver public good. Therefore, it is imperative that the appraisal of metro rail project proposals should entail economic cost and benefit analysis. Metro rail projects provide larger economic and social benefits to the society in terms of reduction in cost and time of travel, substantial reduction in per capita pollution emissions resulting in reduction in chronic diseases, reduction in road accidents, bringing down noise pollution etc. Enhancing mobility catalyses the economic development and improves the quality of life in a city. Hence, while appraising such project proposals, the economic viability must be considered. As per the Metro Rail Policy 2017, the Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) for any metro rail project proposal should be 14% and above for consideration of its approval.

4.4.1. Basis adopted for Economic Cost Benefit Analysis

For the purpose of appraisal of a metro rail project proposal, the Economic Cost Benefit Analysis should be done based on the various parameters such as project’s economic benefits, economic costs, sensitivity analysis, and distribution analysis to achieve economic rationale and economic viability at every stage of the project life cycle:

4.4.2. Economic analysis framework

The pictorial representation of framework for Economic analysis is provided in Figure 4.

**Figure 4: Framework for Economic Analysis**

- **Metro Rail Project**
  - **Lifecycle Economic Costs**
    - Conversion of Financial Cost to Economic Cost by excluding taxes, subsidies, interest payments, etc. and considering only actual prices:
      1. Project Cost
      2. O&M Cost
      3. Capital Replacement Cost
  - **Lifecycle Economic Benefits**
    - Benefits derived by comparing user benefits in with project and without project scenarios:
      1. Travel Time Savings (VOT)
      2. Savings in vehicle Operating Costs (VOC)
      3. Savings from reduction in accidents
      4. Savings from Pollution Reduction
      5. Savings from reduced road stress

- **Economic Analysis Parameters:**
  1. Economic Internal Rate of Return
  2. Economic Net Present value

- **Sensitivity Analysis**
4.4.3. Steps for economic analysis

The steps in economic analysis are as described below.

Step 1: Define Project Horizon

Project horizon comprises of the construction and operation period of the metro rail project. During the project horizon, the cost and benefits associated with project should be estimated. The horizon period for the purpose of economic analysis should be taken as 30 years.

Step 2: Develop Alternative Scenarios

This involves development of alternative project scenarios or base case to which comparison with the project case that is undertaken is to be made. For example, economic cost and benefits of undertaking metro project ("With Project") is to be compared with the base case i.e. "Without Project" or Do Nothing scenario or any other alternative project in order to arrive at incremental costs and benefits.

Step 3: Determine Economic Cost of the Project

The steps involved in determining the Economic Cost of the project are specified in Table 1.

Table 1: Steps for estimating Economic Cost of Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Determination of the Economic Cost of the Project</th>
<th>The economic costs of the capital works and annual operation and maintenance costs are calculated from the financial cost estimates based on:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Price contingencies/price escalations are excluded but physical contingencies are included because they represent real consumption of resources;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Import duties and taxes are excluded because they represent transfer payments. For this the shadow exchange rate factor is used;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) The existence of unemployment and under-employment for unskilled workers within the Indian economy means that the opportunity costs if unskilled labour can be considered to be lower than its wage rate;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) The market wage rate for skilled labour and the acquisition cost of land are considered to represent opportunity costs, as both factors are in demand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sunk costs –these are the costs which are already committed or irretrievably made. It does not have any prospective cost benefit analysis. Thus, it is excluded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Interest payment, principal payment and interest during construction period are excluded – these are the financial costs and are hence not included as part of economic costs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The conversion factors to be used for economic analysis are given in Table 6.

Table 2: Steps for Estimating Lifecycle Cost of the Project

| Determination of Lifecycle Cost | Develop lifecycle cost during the analysis period converting the Financial Cost of the following to Economic Cost  
|                               | 1. Capital Cost  
|                               | 2. Maintenance Cost  
|                               | 3. Capital Replacement Cost  
|                               | Only real prices shall be considered in determining the economic costs. Thus any price escalations should be removed using the conversion factors. |


The two parameters\(^1\) of economic analysis are:

- Economic Net Present Value (ENPV)— ENPV is the sum of differences between the discounted benefits and cost flows and is calculated using the following formula:

\[
\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{(B_t - C_t)}{(1 + r)^t}
\]

- Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR)— EIRR is the discount rate at which the ENPV becomes zero. It is represented by the following formula

\[
\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{B_t}{(1 + r)^t} = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{C_t}{(1 + r)^t} = 0
\]

Where,

B\(_t\) – Benefits at time ‘t’

C\(_t\) – Costs at time ‘t’

r – Economic Internal Rate of Return

n - Number of years

Step 4: Estimation of Project Benefits

Year wise project benefits should be estimated during the project operation period. The “With project” scenario should be compared with the option of “Without project scenario” to determine the incremental economic benefits.

Quantifiable Economic Benefits accrue to Society

The following quantifiable benefits are accrued to the society owing to implementation of the metro rail project.

1. Travel Time Savings
2. Savings in Vehicle Operating Cost
3. Savings from Accident Reduction
4. Savings from Pollution Reduction
5. Savings due to Reduced Road stress

\(^1\) Source – ADB Report, 2016 for the above formulae
The components and the steps to be undertaken to estimate the above benefits are discussed herein.

1. **Travel Time Savings (VOT Savings)**

Metro rail projects significantly contribute to modal shift owing to higher speeds and comfort to passengers. This leads to travel time savings for passengers travelling on metro rail system due to the following:

- Travel Time Savings due to higher speed of metro rail project as compared to do nothing or alternative scenario.
- Congestion reduction due to modal shift leads to fewer vehicles on roads. This also contributes to time savings of passengers travelling on other modes.

The formula for calculation of travel time savings is presented below.

\[
\text{Passenger Time Savings} = \text{Time Savings of Modal Shift passenger} + \text{Time savings of passenger travelling on other mode.}
\]

\[
\text{Time Savings of Modal Shift Passengers} = (\text{Time spent by Modal shift Passengers on Metro Rail Project} - \text{Time spent by Modal Shift diverted passenger on alternate transport mode in do nothing/alternative scenario}) \times \text{Value of Passenger time.}
\]

\[
\text{Time Savings of Passengers travelling on other modes} = (\text{Time spent by Passengers travelling on other mode in With Project Scenario} - \text{Time spent by passengers travelling on other mode in do nothing/alternative scenario}) \times \text{Value of Passenger time.}
\]

The steps involved in calculation of travel time savings are presented below.

**Steps 1: Estimation of Time Savings in terms of passenger hours**

- i) Mode wise Passenger trips are estimated based on traffic demand studies during project horizon period.
- ii) Mode wise modal shift passengers’ trips are also estimated based on traffic demand studies.
- iii) Calculate the time of travel for each vehicle type including modal shift of passengers along the project corridor in each direction both for ‘without’ and ‘with’ project scenarios.
- iv) By working out the difference, the time savings for each transport mode (i.e. bus, two-wheeler, four- wheeler etc.) from daily to annual is estimated.

**Step 2: Estimation of Value of Time**

- i) Passengers trips are divided into working and nonworking trips based on traffic demand studies/ surveys
- ii) The value of time for working trips is valued in relation to either the specific wage of the workers involved if such information is available or national per capita income/regional per capita income where the project is implemented. These are converted into hourly wages/per capita income.
- iii) The value of time for non-working trips is calculated based on assumption where adult passenger non-working time at 30% of household income per capita and a child’s non-working time at 15% of household income per capita.
iv) Using the unit rates, estimate the annual time savings for the project operation period.

2. Savings in Vehicle Operating Cost (VOC)

Savings in Vehicle Operating Cost arise owing to following:

- Absence of vehicles of modal shift passengers.
- Smoother operations of passenger trips of other mode vehicles owing to congestion reduction.

VOC is a function of speed, road roughness, carriageway, width/capacity, rise and fall per unit. VOCs is calculated from the sum of distance related (i.e. fuel, tyre, maintenance, labour, oil consumption cost) and time related VOCs (i.e. opportunity cost of capital, depreciation cost).

The first step is to calculate the value of vehicle operating cost per km for different vehicle types. The VOC for future years is to be calculated by multiplying it with the annual CPI or WPI, which may be taken as flat 5% annually.

The VOC unit cost can be calculated using the equations and guidelines given in the “Manual on Economic Evaluation of Highway Projects in India, 2009” by the Indian Road Congress (IRC). These national level guidelines provide a reliable source for estimating the VOCs. The VOC has to be adjusted for different cities according to traffic, road conditions, fuel cost in the state as recommended in the manual. The VOC is based on the following parameters as per the manual:

- Cost of Fuel Consumption
- Spare parts Costs
- Maintenance labour
- Tyre Life
- Engine oil/Other oil/Grease
- Speed considerations
- Utilization
- Fixed cost
- Depreciation of vehicle costs

The VOC savings are calculated by multiplying the unit VOC cost with the number of vehicle trips and with the average lead distance for the particular vehicle category.

\[
\text{VOC savings} = \text{VOC [Rs. /km]} \times \text{Average Lead [km]}^2 \times \text{no. of vehicle trips}^2
\]

The VOC savings will be calculated for the vehicle types (2-wheelers, 3-wheelers, cars, bus, any other prevalent mode) and then added. The difference of cost in “with” and “without” project can be taken in to calculate savings in Vehicle Operating Cost.

3. Accident Reduction Benefits

The reduction in traffic volumes on roads due to modal shift to metro rail project is expected to reduce the accidents on the project corridor owing to following:

---

2 Inputs from Comprehensive Mobility Plan
• Lower number of vehicles on roads due to reduction of vehicles of modal shift passengers.
• Lower accidents from vehicles due to decongested roads / other modes.

Further reduction in accidents will also lead to savings from damages to vehicle and savings towards medical, insurance expense, administrative expense on police and the intangible psychosomatic cost of pain to personal involved in the accidents. This also leads to savings because of reduction of productivity to the economy by the personnel involved in the accident.

The steps involved in estimating the accidents benefits are specified below.

**Step 1: Projection of accidents in with and without project scenario**

i) Collection of past accident data along the project corridor, for the development of a reasonably accurate accident prediction model.

ii) Developing a reasonably accurate accident prediction model is relevant for the project under consideration based on past data as specified above (i). This model could allow an examination of the relationships between traffic volume, vehicle speed, design standards, terrain, no motorized traffic, and accidents. Based on this relationship, probability of accidents in without project scenario can also be estimated.

iii) The accidents will have varying degrees of severity and the projections must be disaggregated to reflect this. A common distinction is between fatalities, serious injury, slight injury, and damage only where no injuries are involved.

iv) A prediction model may not be detailed enough to distinguish between these and if it is not then past trends on the respective share of accidents in the various categories can be applied.

**Step 2: Estimation of unit cost of accidents.**

An accident cost can be classified in following three categories and calculation steps for each category is specified in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Classification of Accident Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost Category</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Costs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cost Category | Details | Calculation Steps
--- | --- | ---
**Indirect Costs** | Loss of future earnings for the individuals affected which can be considered as loss to economy. | Indirect costs are normally estimated as earnings foregone over the period the individual cannot work due to the accident; with fatalities, this is lifetime earnings. Normally for simplicity, earnings foregone will be based on national average wages.

As per the study undertaken by MoHUA (Toolkit on Finance and Financial Analysis, 2013) the costs of accidents (at 2004 prices) under different heads are provided in the Table 4. The cost of accidents for future years is to be calculated by multiplying it with the annual CPI or WPI, which may be taken as flat 5% annually.

**Table 4: Cost of Accidents (at 2004 prices)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particular</th>
<th>Accident Cost (Rs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of fatal accident (person killed)</td>
<td>4,37,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of major accident (person Injured)</td>
<td>64256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of damage to Two wheelers</td>
<td>2286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of damage to Car</td>
<td>9763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of damage to buses in road accidents</td>
<td>32818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Toolkit on Finance and Financial Analysis, 2013 by MoHUA*

### 4. Pollution Reduction Benefits

Metro rail projects significantly contribute to pollution reduction and are thus a pre requisite for sustainable development. The metro rail projects lead to modal shift and hence fewer vehicles on road. This leads to reduction in the use of fuel. Thus, absence of Green House Gas emission (GHG) from the vehicles of modal shift passengers’ and lower emission due to decongested roads contributes in reduction in GHG emissions in the region.

The major environmental savings come from the reduction in air pollution. Due to the modal shift from the existing modes of transport to metro rail, the air pollutants released are significantly reduced.

According to the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), the emission factors vary with vehicle type and age of vehicle. Table 5 provides the emission factors for pollutants commonly emitted by vehicles, along with the treatment cost per ton of the respective pollutants.

**Table 5: Volume of pollutants emitted (gram per km) for different modes**
The first step is to calculate the daily vehicle kilometers saved by passengers’ due to a shift to metro. This is to be derived from the number of vehicle trips and the average lead distance of that vehicle category obtained from CMP or other relevant studies for the specific city.

Vehicle Km saved = [No. of Trips shift to Metro from other mode] x [Average Lead of the mode]

The second step is to calculate the emission volume of each of the pollutants released every year. This will be a summation of the all the vehicle types emitting that pollutant. Daily vehicle kilometer saved could be obtained from relevant data in CMP or other relevant studies done earlier for the specific city.

Total Volume of Pollutant= [Volume of Pollutant released per km] x [Daily vehicle km saved]

The final step is to calculate the savings by calculating the Annual Treatment cost. This is to be calculated separately for different types of pollutants and then summed to get the final cost.

Annual Treatment Cost = [Volume of pollutant] x [Treatment cost/ton]

5. Reduced Road Infrastructure Costs

This benefit arises due to a reduced need for road maintenance owing to reduced traffic on account of modal shift. The savings will accrue due to two reasons:

i) Maintenance of the existing road infrastructure – As the traffic congestion on the roads will be lesser due to a modal shift to the metro rail, the wear and tear of the road will reduce.

ii) Upgrading existing road infrastructure – To solve the congestion problem, an alternative could be to expand the existing roads to accommodate for traffic. Due to the construction of metro rail, this cost will now be saved.

The road infrastructure development cost per km can be calculated using the city specific data regarding the cost of road construction. If such data is unavailable, then the state or national level data may be used, after adjusting them for local prices.

4.4.4. Distribution Analysis
A qualitative distribution analysis should be done amongst the various cities within a state to ensure equitable distribution.

4.4.5. Economic Conversion Factors

When the financial values are converted into economic values, they need to be adjusted for taxes, subsidies, inefficient land or wage markets, and other transfer payments, before performing the economic analysis. The conversion factors for different categories to be used are given in Table 6.

Conversion Factor = Economic Price/Financial Price

Table 6: Conversion Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Economic Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Capital Cost</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Operating Cost</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Time cost savings</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vehicle Operating cost savings</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Emission saving cost</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Accident reduction saving</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Infrastructure Maintenance cost savings</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.6. Sensitivity Analysis

The range of sensitivity can be in the range of 5% to 15% of the critical factors such as

(a) Cost overruns due to delay or other factors

(b) Increase in Maintenance Cost

(c) Reduction in Ridership

(d) Reduction in benefits

(e) Combination of reduction in benefits and increase in cost.

Step 5: Outputs of Economic Analysis

The outputs of economic analysis are as given below.

i) Estimated Economic Cost and Benefits stream

ii) Estimated EIRR and ENPV
4.5. ANNEXURE V: CHECK LIST

A checklist has been made, which is to be attached by the State Governments/Project Proponents along with the proposal and the DPR being submitted to the central government for the sanction of the Metro Rail projects. The Checklist needs to be submitted to the MoHUA along with the DPR. The State Governments/Project Proponents simply have to write Yes/No against items in the checklist as given in Table 7.

Table 7: Check List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>CMP/ Master Plan</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Does the city have a Master Plan for the horizon year?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Does the city have a Comprehensive Urban Mobility Plan/Integrated Mobility Plan (IMP); and have the recommendations of the same been incorporated in the City Master Plan/Development Plan?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Has the Comprehensive Urban Mobility Plan been notified as per the State Town and Country Planning Act, if not, will it be notified in next six months?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Alternatives Analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Has the Alternatives Analysis Report been prepared as per the framework issued by MoHUA and with justifications for the construction of a Metro Rail?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Detailed Project Report</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>As part of the DPR, has Techno Economic Feasibility of the Metro System been examined or not?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Does the proposal include Economic cost and benefit analysis?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Does the proposal contain the status report on prevailing pre-metro urban transport infrastructure in the city?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Is the DPR prepared strictly in accordance with the standards and specifications of Metro rail system issued by MoHUA from time to time?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Public Transport System</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Does the city have an existing Public Transport System? (please tick)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) Upto 50 buses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) 50 to 100 buses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) 100 to 200 buses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) More than 200 buses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Does the proposal include a report on how the Metro Rail will integrate with the existing transportation systems/proposed transit system?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Does the proposal include a status report on the existing status and financial viability or socio-economic benefits of an existing Metro Rail System, if any in the city and the support being extended by the State Government to improve its financial viability?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Does the proposal contain a report on making a feeder system integrated with the proposed Metro Rail project?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Does the report ensure last mile connectivity/NMT infrastructure?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Transit Oriented Development (TOD) and Non Fare Box Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Does the proposal contain distinct proposal for development of commercial property at and around stations to supplement fare-box revenue?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.No.</td>
<td>Items</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Are the recommendations of the Transit Oriented Development Plan incorporated in the Master Plan?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Has TOD plan and Value capture financing framework been prepared as per guidelines issued by MoHUA?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Does the proposal include expanding utility capacity to densify areas around metro station as per notified TOD policy?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Does the proposal include measures that will be taken for maximization of non-fare box non-property revenue?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Does the proposal contain a detailed Environmental and Social Impact Analysis?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Economic Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Does the proposal contain measures for optimization of O&amp;M costs?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Implementation Framework</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Does the proposal contain an Economic Analysis of the project along with the calculated values of EIRR and ENPV as per approved framework of MoHUA?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Does the proposal include the exploration of PPP models for implementing the project? Does proposal contain implementation of at least one component of Metro Rail Project through PPP?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Does the proposal include the exploration of PPP models for Operations and/or maintenance of the project?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Does the project clearly bring out key performance indicators and robust monitoring mechanism?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Is the methodology devised for integrating fares of all available modes with Metro system planned (including National Common Mobility Card)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Does the proposal contain an MOU in between various service providers to provide seamless integration between the various modes?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Does the proposal include any monitoring mechanism to monitor the project during construction &amp; implementation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Role of State Government and UMTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>In case the project is for a metropolitan region, is there an MOU between the participating states?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Is there an involvement of municipal corporation/city development authority in implementing and/or operating the project?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Has State Government committed in maintaining the financial viability of metro line?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Has the State Government committed for providing &amp; financing security provision for Metro System?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Has the State Government firmed up funding of the project, with exploration of various methods?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Has the State Government committed financial support to the project including O&amp;M to ensure financial sustainability during the project life cycle including the operations stage?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>Has the State Government set up or firmed up the plan for setting up of UMTA for the city?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>Is the UMTA notified?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>If UMTA is not notified, is there a commitment for notifying it within a year?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.No.</td>
<td>Items</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>city government in the Metro Rail project, both during construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and the operations phase.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Has the State Government committed for enabling policy &amp; regulatory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>framework required for enhancing non fare box revenue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Has the State Government devised any option to enable metro rail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>implementing agencies to issue corporate bonds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The list contains information that needs to be attached. The Project Proponents simply have to write Yes/No against items in the checklist.