


No.N-11026/1/2010/BSUP/JNNURM-Vol.XVII
Government of India
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
JNNURM Directorate

Room No.201, G Wing, Nirman Bhawan
New Delhi, Dated 29th October, 2010

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of the minutes of the 92nd meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee of Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 12th October, 2010 under the Chairpersonship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction projects under Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM).

2. The Appraisal agencies (i.e HUDCO, BMTPC) are requested to convey the decisions of the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee to all the State implementing agencies/nodal agencies for BSUP and IHSDP to take appropriate follow up action as per the minutes of the meeting
3. A copy of the minutes is forwarded to the Secretaries in- charge of BSUP and IHSDP in States/UTs with a request to take further follow up action.


(Avanish Kumar Mishra)
Deputy Director (D & MIS)
Tel.:- 23061519
23061303

Encl: Minutes of the meeting

To

Members of the CSMC as follows:

1. The Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, New Delhi.
3. The Principal Adviser (HUD), Planning Commission, Yojana Bhavan, New Delhi.
4. The Secretary, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
5. The Secretary, Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
6. The Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
7. The Secretary, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
8. The Joint Secretary and FA, Ministry of Urban Development and Ministry of HUPA, New Delhi.
9. The Chief Planner, Town and Country Planning Organization (TCPO), I.P. Estate, New Delhi.
10. The Adviser, CPHEEO, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi
11. The CMD, Housing and Urban Development Corporation Ltd., HUDCO Bhavan, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.

12. The Mission Director & Additional Secretary (JNNURM), Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation, New Delhi- Member-Secretary.

Copy to the Secretaries in charge of Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) and Integrated Housing & Slum Development Programme (IHSDP) in the States/UTs:-

The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Municipal Administration Department Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat Hyderabad – 500002	The Principal Secretary, Housing Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad -500002
The Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat, Hyderabad-500002.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Tourism, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat, Itanagar.
The Commissioner & Secretary, UD Department, Government of Assam, Dispur, Guwahati -781006.	The Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
The Additional Secretary & Director (BUDA), Urban Development department, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Bihar Sachivalaya, Patna-800015
The Secretary, Urban Administration & Development Department, Government of Chhattishgarh, Room No.316, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur -492001.	The Secretary (Housing) Government of Goa, Secretariat Annexe, EDC House, Panaji -403001
The Principal Secretary (UD) & Housing, Government of Gujarat, Block No 14, 9 th Floor, New Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar-382010	The Chief Executive Officer, Gujarat Urban Development Mission, GMFB Building, Sector-10A, Gandhinagar-382016
The Commissioner & Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Government of Haryana, SCO-20 Sec. 7C, Chandigarh- 160001.	The Secretary (UD), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002
The Secretary, Housing and UD Department, government of Jammu & Kashmir, New Secretariat, Srinagar	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002
The Director, Urban Local Bodies Government of Jammu & Kashmir, 151-A/D, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu.	The Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi-834004


The Secretary (Housing) Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004	The Principal Secretary (Housing) Government of Karnataka, Room No.213, 2 nd Floor, Vikas Sauda, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Road, Bangalore- 560001
The Principal Secretary of Government UD Department, Government of Karnataka, Room No.436, 4 th Floor, Vikas Sauda Dr, B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore 560001	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Kerala, Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram - 695001
The Principal Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram – 695001	The Secretary, Local Self Government, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram- 695001
The Executive Director, Kudumbashree, State Poverty Eradication Mission, Government of Kerala 2 nd Floor, TRIDA Building, Chalakuzhy Road, Medical College (PO), Thiruvananthapuram 695011.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Administration and Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal -462032
The Principal Secretary (Housing & Environment), Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Ballabh Bhavan, Bhopal-462032	The Commissioner, Urban Administration & Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Nagar Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar Bhopal- 462016
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Maharashtra, Room No. 425, 4 th floor Mantraalaya, Mumbai-400032	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.268, 2 nd Floor, Mantralay, Mumbai- 400032
Commissioner & Secretary, Urban Affairs & Housing, Government of Meghalaya, Main Secretariat Building Shillong-793001	The Secretary, Housing, UD & Municipal Administration, Government of Manipur. Chief Secretariat, Imphal-795001
The Commissioner & Secretary, Urban Development & Poverty Alleviation Department, Government of Mizoram, Civil Secretariat, Aizwal-796001	The Principal Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Nagaland, Kohima-797001
The Commissioner & Secretary, Works & Housing, Government of Nagaland Kohima-797001	The Principal Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Orissa, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar-751001
The Principal Secretary (LSG), Government of Punjab, Mini Secretariat Sector-9, Chandigarh -160001	The Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Punjab, Room No.419, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh- 160001

The Principal Secretary, UDH & LSG Department, government of Rajasthan Room No. 29, Main Building, Secretariat, Jaipur	The Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Rajasthan, Room No. 39, SSO Building, Government Secretariat, Jaipur 302005.
The Secretary, Department of UD & Housing, Government of Sikkim, NH 31A, Gangtok- 737101	The Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Secretariat, Chennai-600009
The Secretary, Municipal Administration & Water Supply Government of Tamil Nadu, 6 th Floor, Ezhilagam Annexe, Chepauk, Chennai- 600009	The Secretary (UD), Government of Tripura Civil Secretariat, Pt. Nehru Complex, Agartala-799001
The Principal Secretary (UD & MA) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Room No.825, 8 th floor, Babu Bhawan, Lucknow-226001	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Uttar Pradesh, 325 Babu Bhawan, Lucknow-226001
The Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Navchetna Kendra 10, Ashok Marg, Lucknow.	The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Uttarakhand, Uttarakhand Secretariat, 4 B, Subhash Road DEHRADUN-248001
The Project Director (JNNURM), Urban Development Directorate, Government of Uttarakhand, 43/6, Mata Mandir Marg, Dharampur, Dehradun-248001	
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of West Bengal, Nagarayan, DF-8, Sector-1, Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700064	The Secretary (UD & Housing), Chandigarh Administration, UT Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh-160001
The Secretary (Housing), Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605001	The Secretary, Local Administration Department, Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605001
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, 9 th Floor, C Wing, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi.	The Additional Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi-110002
The Commissioner & Secretary, (Relief & Rehabilitation), UT of Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Secretariat, Port Blair-7440101	The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Daman & Diu, Secretariat, Moti Daman-396220
The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Secretariat, Silvassa-396220	The Chief Town Planner, Town & Country Planning Department, UT Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, 2 nd Floor, Secretariat, Silvassa-396230

Copy to:

1. The Additional Secretary to Hon'ble Prime Minister (Kind attention Shri R. Gopalakrishnan), PMO, South Block, New Delhi.
2. PS to Hon'ble Minister (HUPA)
3. PSO to Secretary (HUPA)
4. Joint Secretary (H), Ministry of HUPA
5. The Joint Secretary (PP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Room No. 1125, 11th Floor, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, New Delhi.
6. The Joint Secretary (UT), Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi.
7. Director (UPA), Ministry of HUPA.
8. OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
9. Director (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
10. Director (RAY), Ministry of HUPA
11. Director (Administration), Ministry of HUPA
12. US(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
13. DD(JPC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
14. DD(Data & MIS), NBO Ministry of HUPA
15. DD(NRC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
16. AO (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
17. SO (IHSDP), Ministry of HUPA
18. Monitoring Cell (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
19. The CMD, NBCC, "NBCC Bhavan", Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
20. The CMD, HPL, Jangpura, New Delhi- 110014
21. The Executive Director, BMTPC, Core 5A, First Floor, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
22. The Director (Corporate Planning), HUDCO, "HUDCO Bhavan", Indian Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003.
23. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee, Uttarkhand -247667

Copy to:- Guard Folder on JNNURM


(Avanish Kumar Mishra)
Deputy Director (D & MIS)
Tel.:- 23061519
23061303

MINUTES OF THE 92th MEETING OF THE CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF THE SUB-MISSION ON BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (BSUP) UNDER JAWAHARLAL NEHRU NATIONAL URBAN RENEWAL MISSION (JNNURM)

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 12th October , 2010

The 92nd Meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee (CSMC) of the Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) was held under the Chairpersonship of Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation in New Delhi on 12th October 2010 at 3.00 PM in Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. The list of participants is at **Annexure- I**.

2.1. The Mission Director and Additional Secretary (JNNURM) welcomed the Chairperson and Members of the CSMC. He informed the State Government representatives present to (i) submit proposals for availing uncommitted Central Share as early as possible, where such balance is available, so that the projects could be completed by the end of the Mission period, i.e. 2011-12, (ii) seek 2nd, 3rd and 4th installment duly submitting Utilisation Certificates and Third Party Inspection and Monitoring (TPIM) Reports with a statement of action taken or to be taken thereon by the SLNA. He requested all the States/UTs/ULBs/implementing agencies to focus on high quality implementation of all the housing projects meant for the poor and organize inspection of BSUP and IHSDP projects systematically through independent/professional agencies /quality assurance teams so as to ensure utmost quality in their execution. Such inspections should be systematized and not wait for the inspection by the State or Central TPIM agencies. This would save time and money in rectifying any deficiencies/defects that might go unnoticed due to lack of regular inspection at the appropriate time, but coming to notice only at the TPIM inspection stage. In this regard, he specifically requested the Appraisal and Monitoring agencies - HUDCO and BMTPC to conduct more field inspections of BSUP and IHSDP projects.

2.2 The Mission Director further requested the States to send proposals seeking ACA funds from 5%/1% JNNURM budget by sending proposals for Project Supervision, Quality Assurance and Community Mobilization. The detailed guidelines for the same, approved in the 75th CSMC Meeting held on 7.1.2010, were circulated to States/UTs and are available on the JNNURM website. The Mission Director (JNNURM) reiterated the important points emphasized by the Chairperson in the earlier meetings of CSMC/CSC for adherence/implementation by the States/UTs/ULBs (**Annexure-II**).

b
1/31

2.3 Additional Secretary (HUPA) stated that States/ULBs should make serious effort for (i) ensuring high quality in the projects executed with proper supervision, monitoring, quality assurance, third party inspection, and social audit mechanisms, (ii) implementing the 3 pro-poor reforms effectively with the issuance of appropriate legislative amendments/ regulations and seeing that the same are translated into practice, (iii) working out appropriate post-project sustenance measures for the upkeep and maintenance of assets created including common amenities with the close involvement of the community. He expressed that for encouraging private developers to earmark at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects for EWS/LIG category, the State Governments should provide adequate spatial and fiscal incentives to developers to reduce or eliminate the financial burden on them due to the cross-subsidisation requirements.

2.4 Referring to observations of Secretary (HUPA) during inspection of BSUP and IHSDP projects across the country, the Mission Director expressed serious concern on the delays in several cities/projects in the commencement of the approved civic infrastructure components. He reiterated Secretary (HUPA)'s observation for stopping the release of further installments of ACA in case the infrastructure components are not commenced within few months from the commencement of the housing component of the projects under BSUP/IHSDP. In this regard, the Chairperson stated that all State Secretaries/CEOs of SLNAs/Urban Local Bodies/ Implementing agencies must ensure that infrastructure components are planned and tendered well in advance so that once the structural frames for houses are over, components such as water supply lines, overhead tanks, sewerage lines, septic tanks, storm water drainage, roads, community centres etc. are started within a reasonable time. The Chairperson also advised that proportionate State/ULB share must be utilized and the strategy for meeting cost escalation should be clearly defined to fund the same at every stage before additional installments from the Centre are requested. He informed that if any quality defects are noticed, the State will be required to refund the Central share and complete the project from out of its own fund.

3. Regarding the new scheme of Rajiv Awas Yojana aiming to create 'Slum free India', Secretary (HUPA) stated that all the States should take proactive action for Slum Survey, Slum MIS, GIS Mapping of Slums, preparation of City Slum Profiles and Slum-free City and State Plans. They should particularly undertake capacity building activities at State level and cities, including the establishment of Slum-free City cells, positioning competent officers with reasonably long tenure along with technical support teams and launch Slum-free State/City Campaign. Necessary financial assistance under the Slum-free City Planning Scheme has already been released to some states. Other states should submit proposals for release of funds under the Scheme immediately. The Chairperson requested the State Governments to start, in addition to slum survey, MIS and GIS etc, the preparation of Part II of the Slum-free City/State Plan under Rajiv Awas Yojana so to address the basic reasons why slums arise and to prevent

2/31

the growth of slums in future with reforms in urban development, land use and rental housing policies and programmes that facilitate the provision of land and affordable housing to the urban poor. He further requested the States to make strategies to converge the basic services under 7-Point Charter with BSUP/RAY in municipalities.

4. OSD (JNNURM) and Director (NBO) requested the States/ULBs to put up a proper JNNURM logo on all the buildings constructed under BSUP and IHSDP. He asserted that the logo to be put up should be of JNNURM as designed by the Government of India and not BSUP or IHSDP. He reiterated the instructions of the Chairperson that in all functions relating to foundation stone-laying and inauguration of BSUP and IHSDP projects, the local MPs, MLAs, Mayors/Municipal Chairpersons and other dignitaries must be involved. Further, the Ministry must also be invited at appropriate levels in advance and be closely involved in the organisation of these functions.

5. For the CSMC Meeting, the following proposals were put up in the agenda:

(a) Proposal for 2nd instalment of ACA received from

- i. Mizoram (2 projects in Aizawl – Chite EWS Housing Project and Lawipu Housing Project)
- ii. Andhra Pradesh (1 project in Hyderabad Ph. I for construction of 1976 DUs at Hyderabad).

(b) Proposal for 3rd instalment of ACA received from

- i. Andhra Pradesh (1 BSUP project Phase VI for construction of 2814 houses and provision of Infrastructure in Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation).

Brief details of the agenda are at **Annexure-III**.

6. **Proposal for release of 2nd instalment of ACA received from**

Mizoram

6.1 The representative of the State of Mizoram made a presentation on the proposal seeking release of 2nd instalment of ACA for 2 BSUP projects in Aizawl (Chite EWS Housing Project and Lawipu Housing Project).

6.2 The Committee observed that no ULB is in place as on date. The Committee advised the State Government to prepare and forward proposals under Rajiv Awas Yojana.



3/31

6.3 The State representative informed the Committee that the election to Aizawl Municipal Council is to be held in November, 2010 and ULB will be formed by end of 2010.

6.4 The Committee approved the proposals seeking 2nd instalment of ACA for 2 BSUP projects in Aizawl (Chite EWS Housing Project and Lawipu Housing Project). The Details of the approval are at Annexure-IV (Col. A and B).

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of 2nd installment of ACA in accordance with the decision of the Committee; State to take action on the observations/directions of the Committee).

Andhra Pradesh

6.5 The representative of the Andhra Pradesh Government made a presentation seeking release of 2nd installment of ACA for 1 BSUP project at Hyderabad (Ph-I).

6.6 The Committee approved the proposal seeking 2nd installment of ACA for 1 project in Hyderabad (Ph-I). Details of the approval are at Annexure -IV (Col. C).

(Action : JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of 2nd installment of ACA).


7. Proposal for release of 3rd installment of ACA received from

Andhra Pradesh

7.1 The representative of the Andhra Pradesh Government made a presentation seeking release of 3rd installment of ACA for 1 BSUP project at Hyderabad (Ph-VI).

7.2 The Committee observed that the TPIM reports alongwith SLNA comments have not been submitted. The Committee also took a serious view in respect of non submission of information regarding identification of beneficiaries etc. The Committee further advised the State Government to use services of other TPIM agency appointed for IHSDP project in addition to M/s Shrikhande Consultants and send TPIM reports alongwith SLNA comments.

7.3 The State Government representative assured that the TPIM reports will be submitted at the earliest.

 4/31

7.4 The Committee approved the proposals seeking 3rd installment of ACA for 1 project in Hyderabad (Ph. VI) and recommend release on receipt of TPIM report with SLNA's comments. Details of the approval are at Annexure-V.

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of 3rd installment of ACA for 1 project in Greater Hyderabad (Phase VI) on receipt of TPIM report with SLNA's comments; State to take action on the observations/directions of the Committee at para 7.2)

8. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

S
5/31

ANNEXURE-I

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE 92nd MEETING OF CENTRAL
SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF BSUP HELD
UNDER THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SECRETARY (HUPA) ON 12.10.2010

1. Ms. Kiran Dhingra, Secretary, in Chair
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
2. Dr. P.K. Mohanty, Add. Secretary & Mission Director (JnNURM), MoHUPA
3. Shri D.S. Negi, OSD (JnNURM & RAY), MoHUPA
4. Shri Vivek Nangia, Director (JnNURM), MoHUPA
5. Ms Deepti Gaur Mukerjee, Director (RAY), MoHUPA, New Delhi
6. Shri J S Sharma, Asstt. Dir (PFI), Min of Finance, New Delhi
7. Shri V K Gupta, Dy. FA, MoHUPA
8. Ms Abha Agarwal, MoUD, New Delhi
9. Shri Sunil Kansal, MoH&FW, New Delhi
10. Shri Lalthuamliaha, Dy. Secretary, UD&PA, Govt. of Mizoram
11. Shri P Lalchhuanga, Director, UD&PA, Govt. of Mizoram
12. Shri M S Raghavendra, Urban Consultant, APUFIDC, Hyderabad, A.P
13. Dr P Pandurangarao, Engineer-in-chief, GHMC, A.P
14. Shri S Seshwaraiah, Chief Engineer, AP State Housing Corp Ltd, A.P
15. Shri Umraw Singh, Dy. Director, MoHUPA
16. Ms Philomena Raphael, AO (JNNURM), MoHUPA
17. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, SO (JPC), MoHUPA, New Delhi
18. Shri C N Jha, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi
19. Shri Pankaj Gupta, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi

b 6/31


**IMPORTANT POINTS REGARDING FORMULATION,
EXECUTION & MONITORING OF BSUP AND IHSDP
PROJECTS**

CDPs and Slum Development Plans

- The first generation CDPs have not addressed the requirements of housing and infrastructure in slums/low-income settlements adequately. States/UTs should revisit their CDPs, identify all the slums in their cities and towns – both notified and non-notified, develop database, and assess the needs for both *in situ* and relocation projects. As far as possible, priority should be accorded to *in situ* development of slums, keeping the livelihood needs of the urban poor in view. Time-bound Slum Development Plans need to be prepared for all cities and towns to pursue the agenda of Slum-free Cities/Towns in view of the mandatory reform regarding the provision of entitlements and amenities to the urban poor in accordance with the 7-Point Charter of JNNURM.

Slum & Socio-economic Surveys

- Survey of slums and potential beneficiaries for coverage under BSUP and IHSDP projects is a must for the meaningful formulation of DPRs. All efforts should be made for the proper conduct of detailed slum surveys and household socio-economic surveys to identify the projects/facilities to be included under DPRs. The SLNAs concerned would be responsible for the conduct of various surveys under the guidance of the State Secretary concerned. OSD (JNNURM) will coordinate the conduct of surveys in BSUP cities/towns and other cities and towns covered / proposed to be covered under IHSDP. He will communicate necessary guidelines / formats for the conduct of Slum, Household and Livelihoods Surveys in States/UTs. Training programmes as required for effective survey works should be organised by SLNAs, coordinated by OSD (JNNURM).
- Surveys would facilitate the assessment of the felt-needs of slum-dwellers/urban poor, especially for housing and physical and social infrastructure including schools, health care centres and other social /community facilities like community halls, common facility centres etc. Such surveys should cover health, education and livelihood profiles of the urban poor. The survey findings must be utilized for designing good BSUP/IHSDP projects, taking into account important aspects such as dependency load in the existing schools, capacity in hospitals for in- and out-patients, need for multi-purpose community centres including livelihood centres, informal sector markets, etc. depending

 7/31

on the occupational profiles of the beneficiaries and the likely numbers.

- In case there is time constraint, *regular slum survey and household socio-economic survey* can be preceded by *rapid slum and socio-economic surveys* for the purposes of assessing the state of basic amenities in slums and the felt-needs of beneficiaries, duly considering their broad social, economic, educational and skill profiles, main and subsidiary occupations etc. so that appropriate physical and social infrastructure facilities can be proposed in the DPRs.
- Each DPR should be accompanied by a list of beneficiaries based on the socio-economic survey. Efforts should be made to develop slums inhabited predominantly by SCs, STs and other weaker sections living in sub-human conditions. States/ULBs should ensure that houses under BSUP and IHSDP are provided to the needy and the properly targeted sections. The list of beneficiaries should be notified and placed in the website of the ULB/JNNURM.
- States/UTs should go in for issues of bio-metric identity cards to beneficiaries based on the socio-economic survey and computerization of data/records. This is to ensure that they do not sell the dwelling units and squat elsewhere. Further, the States/ULBs may impose conditions that the houses constructed under JNNURM cannot be transferred over a specified period or that the same would be on a long-term lease. The possibility of sale/alienation/misuse of housing units constructed under BSUP and IHSDP should be prevented.

Consultation with Beneficiaries

- Consultation with beneficiaries is a must before deciding on preparation of DPRs. Willingness of the beneficiaries should be taken for any rehabilitation/relocation projects proposed and also for payment of beneficiary contribution.
- Affordability of the urban poor should be kept foremost in view while working out beneficiary contribution. Any contribution amount beyond their financial capacity may lead to the imposition of undue burden on them. Therefore, special care needs to be taken while deciding upfront beneficiary contribution or EMI payment. States/UTs may arrange loans under Differential Rate of Interest scheme for beneficiaries to enable them to meet their share. Overall construction cost of the housing unit may also be kept at a minimum.

Mandatory Clearances/Approvals

- The State Level Nodal Agency/implementing bodies should ensure that the necessary clearances/approvals such as environmental clearance, Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) regulation clearance, land use clearance, etc. are in place before the DPRs are posed to the State Level Steering Committee/State Level Coordination Committee, as the case may be, for consideration. The CEO of the SLNA and State

8/31

Secretary concerned should place the necessary technical clearances/approvals under various laws, including Environmental, Town Planning and Municipal laws, etc. and certificate that the estimates contained in the DPRs are authenticated/certified by the technically competent authorities under the State PWD Core/rules before the SLSC/SLCC.

- The layouts proposed for housing colonies under BSUP and IHSDP, showing various land uses and facilities proposed must conform to the prevalent Town Planning Rules/norms, as applicable to low income housing/informal settlements. Copies of layouts and housing designs must invariably accompany DPRs when the same are sent to Appraising Agencies.

Housing & Infrastructure Components

- The Ministry of Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation has circulated Model DPR document to all States/UTs. The same is also placed in the Ministry's web site for JNNURM. A 'whole slum' approach will need to be adopted covering provision of land tenure, affordable housing and basic services. The whole slum proposed for development/redevelopment/relocation should be de-notified after the BSUP or IHSDP project is implemented. However, considering the difficulties in practice and special needs of the urban poor at some locations, clusters having more than 15 housing units can also be considered. Under the whole slum approach, it must be ensured that pucca houses left out of housing programme should be provided with individual toilet facilities with a view to achieving total sanitation.
- The housing component should generally be at least 50% of the total project cost with a view to achieving the targets fixed under the Mission and also giving primacy to the provision of shelter to the urban poor except in cases where housing units have already been constructed/are being constructed under VAMBAY or other EWS scheme of Central or State Governments.
- Adequate provision should be made for water supply, sewerage, drainage, solid and liquid waste disposal in the colonies proposed for development under BSUP or IHSDP. Individual water and sewer connection should be provided. Digester technology, where immediate connections to city sewer systems are not feasible, could be adopted in the place of dual-pits/septic tanks, wherever feasible.

Education, Health & Other Facilities

- Proposals for additional schools or additional rooms in existing schools must be part of the DPRs. The capacity of the existing schools to absorb the children from colonies being developed under BSUP and IHSDP needs to be carefully studied. The estimate of school-going children (including those from the new colonies) and demand for

B

9/31

classrooms in terms of prevailing norms, capacity in existing schools and the additional capacity required should be worked out.

- Similar exercise should be done for providing health care facilities – hospitals, health centres, maternity centres etc.
- Action needs to be taken to ensure that other community infrastructure facilities like electrical sub-stations, transformers, water supply reservoirs, parks, playgrounds, bus stops, local markets, post offices, etc., are also made available to the poor.
- Detailed estimates of community facility requirements as per planning norms, availability and gaps to be addressed have to be prepared at the initial stage of project preparation itself.

Colony Layouts & Housing Designs

- The colony layout plan should be socially cohesive and should facilitate social interaction amongst the dwellers. Efforts should be made for providing at 30% open areas along with 15% organised green area in the layouts.
- Adequate space must be provided in the layouts for community facilities including social and livelihoods infrastructure. The layout plan must include designated space to take care of convergent services such as health, education and recreation, informal sector markets, livelihood centres, pens for animals (if permitted and required) etc. in accordance with the specific needs of each of the slum pockets and their beneficiaries.
- The houses proposed should have two rooms, balcony, kitchen and separate bathroom and latrine, individual water connection and sewer connection. Aspects such as storage space for keeping things in rooms/kitchen, location of kitchen, location of toilet and bathroom in the houses to facilitate privacy, independent access from both rooms to toilet and bathroom, leaving a small space for fitting exhaust fan in kitchen and toilet, balcony for drying clothes etc., are some of the nuances that can be thoughtfully incorporated in the design of the houses for the poor.
- In the case of more than G+3 structures, provisions for ramp/lifts may be made to ensure that the old, disabled and children are not put to inconvenience.
- While priority should be given to accommodate physically challenged beneficiaries in the colonies, suitable barrier-free environments need to be provided for them in the proposed projects.
- The Ministry of HUPA, with the help of HUDCO and BMTPC, has developed good designs of houses, colonies, ramps and various types of social infrastructure facilities which could be appropriately used while formulating project proposals. A Toolkit has also been published in this regard. The same may be referred to.

B

10/31

- The State Level Nodal Agency/ULBs/other implementing agencies may adopt innovative designs for layouts and houses for the poor, multi-purpose community centres, informal sector markets, animal pens, etc. not only in BSUP and IHSDP projects but also in their own programmes taken up by Housing Corporations/Boards etc. duly considering the models presented by HUDCO and BMTPC in the Toolkit published by the Ministry.

Developing Green Habitats

- States/UTs should take action to develop green habitats for the urban poor duly providing as many green belts, parks, avenue plantations, etc. as possible. Road-side plantations with tree guards and block plantations in the colonies taken up under BSUP and IHSDP should be given priority by the ULBs/Departments dealing with Parks, Plantations and Urban Forests.
- Tall seedlings, say 4-5 years old may be procured and planted in BSUP and IHSDP colonies so that they get established quickly without the need for careful nurture and maintenance.

Connectivity to City Infrastructure

- The infrastructure networks being developed under BSUP and IHSDP should invariably be integrated or planned to be integrated with trunk-line city infrastructure facilities, either already existing or being developed under UIG / UIDSSMT or State Government programmes in accordance with CDPs.
- The States / ULBs should ensure proper coordination amongst various agencies engaged in the implementation of JNNURM (UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP) and other schemes to make sure that slums and low-income communities are linked to city-wide infrastructure systems. The project appraisal teams for UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP must ensure such type of linkages.
- Infrastructure facilities provided to slum-dwellers/urban poor in the BSUP and IHSDP colonies should not be inferior to those in the surrounding areas.

O&M - Maintenance of Assets

- Proper maintenance of assets and upkeep of cleanliness and hygiene in the housing complexes / colonies developed under BSUP and IHSDP should be given utmost importance. States/UTs must develop viable and sustainable mechanisms for the maintenance of the houses and common infrastructure facilities created under BSUP and IHSDP through suitable mechanisms such as colony welfare associations, local body-residents partnerships, institutional arrangements of collection of monthly maintenance charges etc.
- Wherever informal sector markets are taken up as a part of social infrastructure facilities in colonies, their operation on a time-sharing

9 11/31

basis by inhabitants or their associations can be considered by the ULB concerned for enabling wider coverage of beneficiaries, without allotting space to any one person permanently.

Prime Minister's New 15-Point Programme for Welfare of Minorities

- An important objective of the new programme is to ensure that the benefits of various government schemes for the underprivileged reach the disadvantaged sections of the minority communities. In this regard, care should be taken to take up clusters of minority beneficiaries to the extent possible. Wherever feasible, efforts should be made to allocate up to 15% of targets under BSUP and IHSDP for the minorities.

Appraisal Check Lists

- Responsibility for the technical specifications in DPRs (adherence to State PWD Code and Government Orders) and their endorsement/approval by the competent authority lies with the ULB/implementing authority/State Level Nodal Agency. The appraisal agencies must also ensure that the technical specifications are duly certified by the technically competent authorities as per State Government Public Works Code/Government Orders. Authenticated estimates (rates and bills of quantities) duly signed by appropriate authorities must accompany the DPRs/Appraisal Reports.
- The SLNAs/Appraisal Agencies should specially devote attention to the following aspects:
 - Land availability for housing the poor – verification of ownership;
 - Land tenure status – patta, temporary patta, occupancy right etc.;
 - Availability of State share, ULB share, Beneficiary contribution as per Guidelines of BSUP/IHSDP;
 - Willingness of beneficiaries to pay for their contribution.
 - Housing design – two rooms, kitchen, balcony, individual toilet, individual water connection - refer to the Design Manual circulated by the Ministry;
 - Adherence to town planning norms – Layout plans/designs should conform to town planning regulations applicable and be duly signed by competent municipal authority/SLNA officer;
 - Provision of adequate open space in layouts – for green belts, parks, playgrounds, avenue plantations, roads etc.;
 - Authentication by competent engineering authority as per State Government PWD Code/Order duly signed by engineers of appropriate level and SLNA Chief Executive Officer;

(Signature)

12/31

- Identification of eligible beneficiaries - process for issue of biometric identity cards must be completed within one month after sanction of projects, in general;
- Rapid/detailed socio-economic survey of beneficiaries - details to be provided;
- Proper identification of needs of community infrastructure
 - Provision of required civic infrastructure including social infrastructure such as community hall, livelihood centre, information sector market, animal pen, etc. Estimation of requirements must be based on judicious norms.
 - Connectivity of slum infrastructure facilities with city-wide trunk infrastructure networks – water supply, sewerage, storm drainage, roads etc. – ensuring connectivity of local infrastructure being taken up under BSUP/IHSDP with facilities being created under UIG/UIDSSMT.
- Availability/provision of basic amenities like post offices, bus stops, transport services, local shopping complexes, electricity transformers, sub-stations, water supply overhead tanks/ground level service reservoirs etc.
- SLSC/SLCC approval, project-wise (not in a block) with all details clearly indicating estimates, plans, availability of State share and agreement to meet cost escalation.

Time & Cost Over-runs

- The BSUP and IHSDP projects are generally required to be completed in 12 to 15 months. In the case of Special Category States/UTs and projects requiring special considerations, 3 to 6 months additional period could be provided. It is expected that any escalation in the project cost would be borne by the State Government/ULB concerned.
- For reducing escalation in the cost of projects, the following options could be exercised by the implementing agencies:-
 - i) Purchasing materials (cement, steel, sanitary pipes, electrical items) in bulk, wherever considered prudent and feasible with a view to reducing cost;
 - ii) Encouraging labour contribution from the beneficiaries under the supervision of qualified technical personnel;
 - iii) Bifurcating tendering (between housing component and infrastructure component) with a view to reducing the possibility of time and cost overruns; and
 - iv) Creating/using a revolving “Basic Services for Urban Poor (BSUP) Fund” earmarked out of the municipal budget and supplemented by other innovative measures like cross-subsidization, State Government support etc. for meeting cost escalation.

Q

Setting up of PMU/PIUs

- The States/UTs should submit proposals to OSD (JNNURM) who will get the same appraised and bring up before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. Transparent methods should be adopted in the selection and appointment of professionals in PMUs and PIUs. Such appointments should not be permanent in nature but only in terms of short-term engagements. No appointment should be seen as a place for parking the dead-wood. States/UTs must take all care to ensure that the PMU/PIUs have competent personnel.
- Each appointment to PMU/PIU should be based on prescribed terms of reference and the deliverables should be measured. Various activities, tasks and outcomes have to be clearly spelt out in the TORs. States/ULBs should exercise utmost caution in making such appointments on a contract basis. They should try and ensure minimum expenditure by selecting / appointing professionals at an appropriate remuneration rather than immediately opting for the maximum amount indicated by the Centre. However, the calibre of such professionals should be of a reasonably high level. If need be, qualified persons from Central/State Government/ULBs could be taken in PMU/PIU on deputation. The personnel with PMUs/ PIUs should work in tandem/collaboration with the State Level Nodal Agency / ULBs. These personnel should also assist in the implementation of other programmes like SJSRY.

Fees for Preparation of DPRs

- The States/UTs should submit proposals for reimbursement of fees to the concerned Appraisal Agency which had appraised the projects. The Appraisal Agency has a crucial role in examining the claim with particular reference to the various stages of improvement and modifications that were brought out in the DPRs before they were finally approved by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. The Appraisal Agency should submit report to the Ministry for releasing Central Assistance for reimbursement of charges towards the cost of preparation of DPRs (both in the case of DPRs prepared by in-house personnel as well as by consultants). These will be considered by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. After approval, recommendation will be sent to the Ministry of Finance/Ministry of Home Affairs for releasing Central Assistance out of the ACA allocation for the particular State/UT in the case of projects prepared by consultants.
- The Central Assistance for DPRs prepared through in-house personnel of the States would be released from out of the 1% JNNURM fund in the Budget of Ministry of HUPA as decided in the Central Sanctioning



14/31

& Monitoring Committee /Central Sanctioning Committee Meetings earlier. While sending proposals for reimbursement of project preparation charges, the appraising agency must ensure that two copies of DPRs (duly revised based on decisions of CSMC/CSC) are provided to OSD (JNNURM) for record. The Appraising Agency should also keep two copies of DPRs with it in safe custody so as to be able to meet requests for information under the RTI Act, 2005.

Community Development Network (CDN)

- The States / UTs should prioritise and get necessary approval from SLSC/SLCC to the proposals concerning Community Development Network (CDN) so as to seek support from the Community Participation Fund. Such proposals received in the Ministry of HUPA will be appraised by a team working under the GOI-UNDP Project on National Strategy for Urban Poor coordinated by the National Project Coordinator/Deputy Secretary (JNNURM). The reports will then be placed before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee for consideration.
- Community Development Networks involving Neighbourhood Groups, Neighbourhood Committees and Community Development Societies should be promoted so that the dynamics of the CDN lead to fraternity in the neighbourhoods and issues of alienation of all sorts could be eliminated. CDN should work towards better inter- and intra- relationships in colonies to get over the dividing forces. This will strengthen a feeling of solidarity among the residents.

Quality in Execution of Projects

- Housing for the poor does not mean poor quality housing. Utmost emphasis must be accorded to the quality execution of houses and infrastructure facilities for the poor. High quality construction, functional units, vector-free atmosphere and healthy living environment should be ensured in the housing projects under BSUP/IHSDP. States/UTs should establish both internal and external quality assurance mechanisms in the case of all BSUP and IHSDP projects.
- State Secretaries in charge of BSUP and IHSDP should ensure that all the projects approved are inspected by independent high level teams from time to time to ensure quality in execution and timely completion of projects through removal of all hurdles
- Beneficiary committees must be constituted to supervise construction of houses. States/UTs should take steps for conducting social audit of projects under BSUP and IHSDP similar to NREGA.

Third Party Inspection and Monitoring (TPIM)

- TPIM should be instituted to bring in transparency and quality in the implementation of all BSUP and IHSDP projects. The Ministry will be providing necessary financial and technical assistance to the States /

6

15/31

UTs for TPIM. A Toolkit has been prepared and communicated to the States /UTs.

- Those States/UTs who have not been able to establish TPIMA for BSUP and IHSDP projects may use the services of independent review and monitoring agencies engaged for UIG, UIDSSMT or other programmes.
- Before final instalment is released under BSUP and IHSDP projects, TPIM or quality inspection report until such time a TPIMA is engaged, will be required from the concerned States/UTs unless the CSMC/CSC is of the opinion that the release need not be stopped for the present in the interest of completing houses for the urban poor, who have contributed their share.

Capacity Building Activities

- In the year 2006-07, the Ministry of HUPA had released fund to the State Governments for capacity building activities including research and training towards implementation of BSUP and IHSDP projects. Unless the States/UTs submit utilisation certificates for the funds released earlier, further releases of Central Assistance would be held up, as utilisation certificates have to be furnished within 12 months from the date of closure of the financial year to which financial sanction pertains.
- Capacity building is one of the biggest constraints in the execution of projects and reforms under JNNURM. The States/UTs may take full advantage of the IEC facilities under JNNURM, the National Programme on Capacity Building for Urban Poverty Alleviation and the programme of National Network of Resource Centres (NNRCs).

City/Town Poverty Reduction Strategy

- The city of Rajkot (Gujarat) has brought out a City Poverty Reduction Strategy Report. Other cities/towns may bring out similar reports. They may prepare comprehensive Slum Development Plans with a view to pursuing the goal of Slum-free City. The toolkit prepared by the National Strategy for the Urban Poor project may be referred to.
- States/UTs must develop agenda for Slum-free Cities and Towns and prepare and implement time-bound action plans with specified milestones for progress.

Key Reforms - Core to the Urban Poor

- Special attention should be paid for the implementation of the three key reforms stipulated under JNNURM that are critical to the urban poor: (i) internal earmarking within local body budgets for basic services to the urban poor; (ii) provision of basic services including the implementation of 7-Point Charter in accordance with agreed timelines; (iii) earmarking at least 20-25% of developed land in all housing projects (both public and private agencies) for EWS/LIG category with a system of cross subsidization. States/UTs must



16/31

develop broad state level policy frameworks to facilitate the implementation of these reforms in all cities and towns.

- The issues of land availability for housing the urban poor and providing them security of land tenure are important issues to be addressed by States/UTs/ULBs if the goals of JNNURM are to be attained. States/UTs need to develop a policy framework including tangible reforms in master planning paradigm and process urgently.

Convergent Delivery of Social Services

- It is necessary to integrate provisions of health, education and social security with land tenure, housing and other amenities for the urban poor to enable them to lead a descent quality of life. Urban Local Bodies and State Governments have a critical role to play to ensure the proper convergence of facilities under the already available schemes for education, health, social security, etc. implemented through different Departments/Agencies. The DPRs should list out the deficiencies in terms of access to school, primary health centre, provision of social welfare and other facilities so that timely remedial measures can be taken up in accordance with the socio-economic survey. Provision of adequate infrastructure by way of school/additional class rooms and health care centres should be taken at the formulation of the DPR itself. A mere statement that adequate number of schools/health centres is available in the vicinity of the proposed housing colony would not be sufficient. The State/ULB/implementing agencies concerned should certify that such facilities available in the vicinity are also accessible to the slum dwellers. Similarly proper convergence of schemes in the realm of social security such as old age pension, widow pension, disability pensions, health insurance, maternity benefit scheme, etc. should be ensured to benefit the urban poor selected under JNNURM and other programmes.
- The States/UTs must take all steps to ensure the convergence of BSUP and IHSDP with other ongoing schemes such as UIG, UIDSSMT, Sarva Siksha Abhiyan, Health Mission, Aam Aadmi Bima Yojana, Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana, National Social Assistance Programme, Prime Minister's Employment Generation Programmes, SJSRY etc. Shelter and basic amenities to the urban poor may not suffice them to move above the poverty line. In particular, State Governments/ULBs should make effort to dovetail the implementation of SJSRY with JNNURM. This step would provide the urban poor with access to livelihoods and enable them to overcome poverty. Programmes for skill development, self-employment, community mobilization, development and empowerment are necessary to facilitate sustained improvements in the living conditions of the urban poor and develop 'inclusive' cities.

Projects for *in situ* Development

- States /UTs should come up with adequate number of projects for in-situ development with good lay-outs and type designs. The emphasis



17/31

should be to provide a better and supportive atmosphere for living and working. The in-situ development projects should not end up with creation of another cluster of unplanned houses without access to water, sanitation and social infrastructure. Suitable planning and infrastructure provision norms must be adopted. The quality of infrastructure provided to housing colonies under BSUP and IHSDP projects should not be inferior to those available for surrounding areas.

Handholding in Relocation

- In the case of relocation projects, the process of shifting to a new environment with inadequate facilities, near-loss of contact with close relatives and being far off from work places can be very traumatic. The States/UTs should engage social counsellors and Community Development Department personnel/Community Organisers in ULBs to work closely with the beneficiaries and ensure that the process of transition to the new multi-storeyed housing complex/environment/location is as smooth as possible.
- Time-bound programmes must be implemented to provide all basic amenities to the urban poor in the relocation colonies, including city transport services and local market complexes.

Sense of Belongingness

- To create a sense of belongingness, the slums may be named in consultation with the intended beneficiaries. Provision of a low cost enclosure around open spaces in the slum pocket being covered under BSUP/IHSDP could be considered by States/ULBs, if the cost is not prohibitive.
- Beneficiaries must be closely involved in the planning, identification, implementation, monitoring, review and social audit of JNNURM projects.

IEC Activities – Awareness Building

- In a people-centric programme like BSUP and IHSDP under JNNURM, there is a need to generate awareness amongst both the targeted so that they are able to receive what is intended for them by the Government. Awareness needs to be generated amongst the non-targeted sections so as to improve urban policy and highlight how the concerns of the urban poor are very relevant to them. Any awareness campaign should have a national tone, tenor, appeal and recall value, backed by consistent and coherent slogans and themes. The States/ULBs could bring out advertisements in vernacular languages with local adaptation of the templates prepared by the Ministry of HUPA. States/ULBs should ensure that the local adaptation does not deviate from the letter and spirit of the national templates and the messages being conveyed are only about the programme and related policy advocacy. They should also ensure that all such media

R

18/31

campaigns are in accordance with the relevant rules and regulations applicable. Cost of such campaigns, in accordance with Government approved rates, would be reimbursed to the States/ULBs under the IEC component of JNNURM subject to limits fixed by CSMC/CSC. Reimbursement will be made if prior approval of the Mission Directorate/CSMC/CSC in the Ministry of HUPA was obtained before launching such campaign. Proposals for reimbursement of such expenditure will be submitted through HUDCO, which will put up the same to the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee for its consideration and approval of reimbursement through Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance or Ministry of Home Affairs, as the case may be.

Progress Reports on Implementation

- The States/ULBs should send Quarterly Progress Reports/ Monthly Progress Reports on projects as well as reforms as per prescribed formats, without fail to enable the Ministry to report to the Prime Minister's Office in time. Further, one page abstract on the status of implementation of projects and reforms must be presented before posing the details of project proposals in the meetings of Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee.
- State/UT Secretaries in charge of BSUP and IHSDP should take monthly review of the implementation of projects and reforms under BSUP and IHSDP. Copies of the minutes of such review meetings should be sent to the Mission Directorate in MoHUPA.

Focus on Urban Policy

- There is an urgent need for States/UTs to focus on broader urban policy and urban management reforms to address not only the backlog and current urban issues but also the challenges of future urban growth, say in the next 20-25 years, so that the conditions that led to urban decay are prevented well in time. We should not be in a situation where we are perpetually chasing slum upgradation; States/UTs should plan proactively in anticipation of the future patterns of urban growth due to the factors of rural-urban migration, urban-urban migration, reclassification and natural increase. Without the implementation of urban planning and local government reforms and capacity building for effective urban management, JNNURM would remain a mere infrastructure upgradation programme, and none of the policy changes for vibrant, productive, sustainable and inclusive cities that JNNURM hopes to drive would materialize.



19/31

ANNEXURE - III

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO URBAN POOR (SUB-MISSION-II)

Sl. No	City/ State	Project Title	Total Cost	Central Share	State Share	2 nd Installment	Brief Summary	Page No.
A.	Aizawal/ Mizoram	Proposal for Sanction of 2nd Installment- Detailed Project Report at Lawipu Housing Project, Aizawl of Mizoram under BSUP				4.6277	<p style="text-align: right;">(Rs. in Crores)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The project had been approved in 33rd CSMC meeting held on 07.03.2008 ➤ The total project cost is Rs.20,5675cr. with the Central Share is Rs 18,5108 Cr. ➤ Total amount for 1st Installment of Central Share of Rs. 4,6277 Cr. have already been released. ➤ The 2nd Installment recommended for release is Rs. 4,6277cr. ➤ The Utilisation of Centre Share and state share are 100%. ➤ 208 DUs have been sanctioned for construction, tender floated, work order issued & Work started in all the sanctioned houses. 40% DUs are completed 25-50% ➤ Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban poor is earmarked. ➤ Basic Services to Urban Poor are provided as a whole in the city. ➤ Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects : provision will be made in all Govt. Housing projects through amendments in the 	01 to 02

92nd CS&MC meeting, dated : 12.10.2010 (Agenda Brief)

Page 4 of 5

20/31

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE
(CSMC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO URBAN POOR (SUB-MISSION-II)**

(Rs. in Crores)

						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ building bye law which is under process. ➤ Beneficiaries identification has been done. ➤ Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under progress. ➤ No. quality report with SLNA's comments. ➤ TPIMA has not been instituted. ➤ Data have not been uploaded on IPOMS. 		
B.	Aizawal/ Mizoram	Proposal for Sanction of 2nd Installment-Detailed Project Report for BSUPChite EWS Housing Project, Aizawl/Mizoram				2.6004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The project had been approved in 23rd CSMC meeting held on 13.11.2007 ➤ The total project cost is Rs.13,7635 cr. with the Central Share is Rs 10,4015 Cr. ➤ Total amount for 1st Installment of Central Share of Rs. 2,6004 Cr. have already been released. ➤ The 2nd Installment recommended for release is Rs. 2,6004 cr. ➤ The Utilisation of Centre Share and State Share are 100%. ➤ 200 DUs have been sanctioned for construction, tender floated, work order issued & Work started in all the sanctioned houses. 40% DUs are completed 25-50% 	03

92nd ESCMC meeting, dated : 12.10.2010 (Agenda Brief)

Page 2 of 5

21/31

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE
(CSMC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO URBAN POOR (SUB-MISSION-II)**

(Rs. in Crores)

						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban poor is earmarked. ➤ Basic Services to Urban Poor are provided as a whole in the city. ➤ Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects : provision will be made in all Govt. Housing projects through amendments in the building bye law which is under process. ➤ Beneficiaries Identification has been done. ➤ Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under progress. ➤ No. quality report with SLNA's comments. ➤ TPIMA has not been instituted. ➤ Data have not been uploaded on IPOMS. 	
C.	Hyderabad/ Andhra Pradesh	Proposal for Sanction of 2nd Installment-BSUP Scheme for Hyderabad, Phase-I for construction of 1976 DUs at Hyderabad, Andhra	8.6646	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The project had been approved in 47th CSMC meeting held on 29.12.2008 ➤ The total project cost is Rs 69.3169 cr. with the Central Share is Rs 34.6584 Cr. ➤ Total amount for 1st Installment of Central Share of Rs. 8.6646 Cr. have already been released. ➤ The 2nd Installment recommended for release is 			

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE
(CSMC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO URBAN POOR (SUB-MISSION-II)**

(Rs. in Crores)

Pradesh				<p>Rs. 8.6646 cr.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The Utilisation of Centre Share and State Share are 74.50%. ➤ 1976 DUs have been sanctioned for construction, tender floated & work order issued in all the sanctioned houses. Work started in 1050 DUs.984 DUs are completed more than 50% while 66 DUs are completed 25-50%. ➤ Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban poor is earmarked. ➤ Basic Services to Urban Poor is under progress. ➤ Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects : as per G. Ms no.287 &288 MA layout proposals, 5% of land for WWS housing anf earmarking, 10% land for LIG& 10% of land for MIG housing. In G.O. Ms. No.526 dated 31.07.2008, revised orders were issued for reservation of land ➤ Beneficiaries identification has been done. ➤ Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under progress. ➤ No. TPIM reports with SLNA's comments. 	04 to 07
---------	--	--	--	---	----------------

2

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE
(CSMC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO URBAN POOR (SUB-MISSION-II)

(Rs. in Crores)

							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> > PMU/PIUs established and functional. > TPIMA has been instituted. > Up to date data uploaded on IPOMS up to August 2010 (Overall Physical progress as per IPOMs is 33.37%) 	
Total					15.8927			



24/31

6

City/State : Aizawl/Mizoram

Project Title : Detailed Project Report at "Lawlpu Housing Project, Aizawl of Mizoram under BSUP".

Financial Assessment:

(₹ Lakhs)

1	CSMC Approval	33 rd CSMC dated 07.03.2008				
2	Total Project Cost	2056.75				
3	Central Share	1851.08				
4	State Share	205.68				
		Due	Released	Utilized	%age Utilized vis-a-vis	
					Due	Released
5	Central Share	462.77	462.77	462.77	100%	100%
6	State Share	51.42	51.42	51.42	100%	100%
7	TOTAL:-	514.19	514.19	514.19	100%	100%
8	Amount Sought (₹)	462.77 Lakh				
9	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (₹)	462.77 Lakh				

Physical Progress:

Sl.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	Yes	Yes
2	Tender Floated	Yes	Yes
3	Work Order Issued	Yes	Yes
4	Work Started	Yes	Yes
5	Upto 25% Completed	---	----
6	25-50% Completed	40%	35%
7	More than 50% completed	---	----
8	Fully Completed	---	----
9	Occupied	---	----

Reform Status:

1	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor (b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	₹ 20 Lakh earmarked & utilised in the year 2009-2010
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	Basic services are being provided as a whole in the city
3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	Provision will be made in all Govt. Housing projects through Amendments in the Building Bye Law which is under process

*ULB is not in existence in Aizawl

CSMC Conditions:

General conditions

Other Aspects:

i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes
ii.	Whether list of beneficiaries published on the state website :-	To be published in the near future
iii.	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	Under Process
iv.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	No
v.	Quality:-	No quality report with SLNA's comments
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	No
vii.	Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPOMS :-	No

-22-

B

25/31

H2

City/State : Aizawl/Mizoram

Project Title : Detailed Project Report for BSUP - Chite EWS Housing Project.

Financial Assessment:

(₹ Lakhs)

1	CSMC Approval	23 rd CSMC dated 13.11.2007			
2	Total Project Cost	1376.35			
3	Central Share	1040.15			
4	State Share	336.20			
		Due	Released	Utilized	%age Utilized vis-a-vis Due Released
5	Central Share	260.04	260.04	260.04	100% 100%
6	State Share	84.05	84.05	84.05	100% 100%
7	TOTAL:-	344.09	344.09	344.09	100% 100%
8	Amount Sought (₹)	260.04 Lakh			
9	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (₹)	260.04 Lakh			

Physical Progress:

Sl.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	Yes	Yes
2	Tender Floated	Yes	Yes
3	Work Order Issued	Yes	Yes
4	Work Started	Yes	Yes
5	Upto 25% Completed	---	---
6	25-50% Completed	40%	35%
7	More than 50% completed	---	---
8	Fully Completed	---	---
9	Occupied	---	---

Reform Status:

1	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor	₹ 20 Lakh earmarked & utilised in the year 2009-2010
	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	Basic services are being provided as a whole in the city
3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	Provision will be made in all Govt. Housing projects through Amendments in the Building Bye Law which is under process

*ULB is not in existence in Aizawl

CSMC Conditions:

General conditions

Other Aspects:

i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes
ii.	Whether list of beneficiaries published on the state website :-	To be published in the near future
iii.	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	Under Process
iv.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	No
v.	Quality:-	No quality report with SLNA's comments
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	No
vii.	Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPoMS :-	No

City/State : Hyderabad/Andhra Pradesh

BSUP 2nd Instalment

Project Title: BSUP Scheme for Hyderabad, Ph-I for the construction of 1976 DUs

Financial Assessment:

(₹ Lakhs)

1	CSMC Approval	47 th CSMC/29.12.2008				
2	Total Project Cost	6931.69				
3	Central Share	3465.84				
4	State Share	3465.84				
		Due	Released	Utilized	%age Utilized vis-a-vis	
					Due	Released
5	Central Share	866.46	866.46	645.51	74.50%	74.50%
6	State Share	866.46	866.46	645.51	74.50%	74.50%
7	TOTAL:-	1732.92	1732.92	1291.02	74.50%	74.50%
8	Amount Sought (₹)	866.46 Lakh				
9	Recommended release as 2 nd Instalment (₹)	866.46 Lakh				

Physical Progress:

Sl.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	1976	Not reported
2	Tender Floated	1976	
3	Work Order Issued	1976	
4	Work Started	1050	
5	Upto 25% Completed	---	
6	25-50% Completed	66	
7	More than 50% completed	984	
8	Fully Completed	Nil	
9	Occupied	Nil	

*Work Started for 1050 DUs out of total Sanctioned 1976 DUs

Reform Status:

1	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor (b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor	Details as submitted by the State is at Annexure-I
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	
3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	

CSMC Conditions:

No Conditions

Other Aspects:

i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Not reported
ii.	Whether list of beneficiaries published on the state website :-	Not reported
iii.	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	Not reported
iv.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	Yes
v.	Quality:-	No TPIM reports with SLNA's comments
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes
vii.	Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPoMS :-	Up to August 2010 (Overall Physical progress as per IPoMS - 33.37%)

27/31

17

Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee (CSMC)
NINETH SECOND MEETING
(12.10.2010)
BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR(BSUP)

Table Agenda

Sl. No.	ULB, State	Project Approved in	Project Title	Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st & 2nd install. (50% of Central Share) already released.	No. of dwelling units proposed	3rd Instt. recommended for release	Page No.
A.	Hyderabad /Andhra Pradesh	52nd CSMC meeting / held on 30.01.09	BSUP Project Phase-VI for const.of 2814 houses and Provision of Infrastr.facilitie s in GHMC area, Hyderabad, Andhra	97.95	47.97	47.97	23.99	2814	11.99	1 to 3

9/ 28/31

City/State : Hyderabad/Andhra Pradesh

Project Title: BSUP project Phase-VI for Construction of 2814 Houses and provision of Infrastructural facilities in GHMC area, Hyderabad

Financial Assessment:

(₹ Lakhs)

1	CSMC Approval	52 nd CSMC/30.01.2009 2 nd instl. - 81 st CSMC/05.03.2010				
2	Total Project Cost	9594.70				
3	Central Share	4797.35				
4	State Share (Excluding Beneficiary share)	4797.35				
		Due	Released	Utilized	%age Utilized vis-a-vis	
					Due	Released
5	Central Share (1 st + 2 nd instal.)	2398.68	2398.68	1961.88	81.79%	81.79%
6	State Share (1 st + 2 nd instal.)	2398.68	2398.68	1961.88	81.79%	81.79%
7	TOTAL:-	4797.35	4797.36	3923.76	81.79%	81.79%
8	Amount Sought (₹)	1199.34	Lakh			
9	Recommended release as 3 rd Instalment (₹)	1199.34	Lakh			

Physical Progress:

Sl.	Progress Parameter	Housing (Nos)	Amenities (Nos)
1	Sanctioned	2814	Not reported ✓
2	Tender Floated	2814	
3	Work Order Issued	2814	
4	Work Started	2814	
5	Upto 25% Completed	356	
6	25-50% Completed	1488	
7	More than 50% completed	790	
8	Fully Completed	180	
9	Occupied	----	

Reform Status:

1	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor	Details at Annexure-I
	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	
3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	

CSMC Conditions:

General Conditions

Other Aspects:

i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Not reported
ii.	Whether list of beneficiaries published on the state website :-	Not reported
iii.	Whether Biometric Identification of beneficiaries completed :-	Not reported
iv.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	Yes
v.	Quality:-	ULB certify that "Quality of work is being monitored by the engineering wing of the ULB. Internal quality checks have been carried out by competent technical authority to assure quality".
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes
vii.	Whether up-to-date data uploaded on IPoMS :-	Up to September 2010 (Overall physical progress as per IPoMS :- 56.17%)

=02=

②

29/31

28

		Name of the State	Name of the City	Name of the Project	Total Project Cost	Total Central share Approved	Total State Share Approved	1 st Instalment of Central Share Released	Amount of Central Share utilized	% of Utilisation	Amount of State Share released	Amount of State Share utilized	% of Utilisation	Amount recommended for release as 2 nd instalment of ACA	% of amount recommended to the total Central share approved
A	MIZORAM	Aizawl	Aizawl	Detailed Project Report for BSUP - Chite EWS Housing Project.	1376.35	1040.15	336.20	260.04	260.04	100%	84.05	84.05	100%	260.04	25%
					Aizawl	Detailed Project Report at "Lawipu Housing Project, Aizawl of Mizoram under BSUP".	2056.75	1851.08	205.68	462.77	462.77	100%	51.42	51.42	100%
TOTAL FOR MIZORAM (2 PROJECTS)							2891.23		722.81					722.81	
C	ANDHRA PRADESH	Hyderabad	Hyderabad	BSUP Scheme for Hyderabad, Ph-I for the construction of 1976 DUs	6931.69	3465.84	3465.84	866.46	645.51	74%	866.46	645.51	74%	866.46	25%
					TOTAL FOR ANDHRA PRADESH (1 PROJECT)					3465.84		866.46			
GRAND TOTAL					6357.07			1589.27						1589.27	

(Signature)

30/31

ANNEXURE-V
to the minutes of 92nd CSMC (BSUP) dated 12-10-2010

Name of the State	Name of the City	Name of the Project	Total Project Cost	Total Central share Approved	Total State Share Approved	Central Share released so far			Amount of Central Share utilized	% of Utilisation	Amount of State Share released	Amount of State Share utilized	% of utilisation	Amount recomen ded for release as 3 rd instalment of ACA	% of amount recomen ded to the total Central share approved
						1 st Instalment	2 nd Instalment	Total							
ANDHRA PRADESH	Hyderabad	BSUP project Phase-VI for Construction of 2814 Houses and provision of Infrastructural facilities in GHMC area, Hyderabad	9594.70	4797.35	4797.35	1199.34	1199.34	2398.68	1961.88	82%	2398.68	1961.88	82%	1199.34	25%
TOTAL FOR ANDHRA PRADESH (1 PROJECT)														1199.34	

3/31