31-csmc

REGISTERED POST/ BY HAND

No.N-11026/2/2008/BSUP/JNNURM –Vol. III Government of India Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation

Room No. 201, G Wing New Delhi, dated 6th March, 2008

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of the minutes of the 31st meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee of Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 13th February, 2008 under the Chairmanship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction projects under Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM).

2. The appraisal agencies (i.e. HUDCO, BMTPC) are requested to convey the decisions of the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee to all the State implementing agencies/nodal agencies for BSUP and HSDP to take appropriate follow up action as per the minutes of the meeting.

3. A copy of the minutes is forwarded to the Secretaries in-charge of BSUP and IHSDP in the States/UTs with a request to take further follow up action.

A A AND Jacque -

113

(M. Jáyachandran) Deputy Director (BSUP) Telephone 011-23061519

Encl: Minutes of the meeting

To

Members of the CSMC as follows:

- 1. The Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, New Delhi.
- 3. The Principal Adviser (HUD), Planning Commission, Yojana Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 4. The Secretary, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
- 5. The Secretary, Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 6. The Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 7. The Secretary, Department of Education, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 8. The Joint Secretary and FA, Ministry of Urban Development and Ministry of HUPA, New Delhi.
- 9. The Chief Planner, Town and Country Planning Organisation (TCPO), I.P. Estate, New Delhi.
- 10. The Adviser, CPHEEO, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 11. The CMD, Housing and Urban Development Corporation Ltd., HUDCO Bhavan, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
- 12. The Joint Secretary (JNNURM)/Mission Director, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation, New Delhi – Member-Secretary

Copy to the concerned officers in respect of projects considered in the meeting:-

1. Shri Sushil Kumar, Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, 10-Ashok Marg, Navchetna Kendra, Lucknow 2. Shri Hadadare, Chief Engineer, Maharashtra Housing and Area Development Authority (MHADA), Griha Nirman Bhavan, Bandra (East), Mumbai-400 051.

d is

r 1

F F

- 3. Shri Gulzar, N, Commissioner, Vijayawada Municipal Corporation, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh
- 4. Ms. Sumita Dawra, Managing Director, APUFIDC, O/o E-in-C, Public Health, A. C. Guards, Hyderabad
- 5. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Project Officer, SUDA, Government of Uttarakhand, 43/6, Mata Mandir Marg, Dehradun
- 6. Ms. Arti Kanwar, Commissioner, Rajkot Municipal Corporation, Rajkot, Gujarat
- 7. Shri Arun K. Panda, Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Bhubaneshwar Housing and Urban Development, Government of Orissa, Secretariat, Bhubaneshwar 751 001

Copy to the Secretaries in charge of Basic Services to the	e Urban Poor (BSUP) and
Integrated Housing & Slum Development Programme (IIIS	SDP) in the States /UTs.

The Commissioner & Secretary, UD Department, Government of Assam, Assam Secretarait, Dispur,The Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.The Additional Secretary & Director (BUDA), Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.New Secretary (Housing), Government of Bihar Sachivalaya Patna = 800 015The Secretary, School Education & UD/LSG, Government of Chhatishgarh, Room NO 254, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur.The Chief Executive Officer. Guiart Urban Development Mission	The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Municipal Administration Department Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat Hyderabad – 500 002 The Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Government of Andhara Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat, Hyderabad-500 002.	The Principal Secretary, Housing Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad = 500 002 The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Tourism, Government of Arunchal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat,
Vikash Bhawan, Patna.The Secretary, (Housing)The Secretary, School Education & UD/LSG, Government of Chhatishgarh, Room NO 254, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur.The Secretary (Housing) Government of Goa, EDC House, Panaji- 403 001The Principal Secretary(UD) & Housing, Government of Gujarat, Block No, 14, 9th Floor, New Sachivalaya,The Chief Executive Officer. Gardhinagar - 382 016.	The Commissioner & Secretary, UD Department, Government of Assam, Assam Secretarait, Dispur, Guwahati -781 006. The Additional Secretary & Director (BUDA), Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar,	The Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna The Secretary (Housing), Government of Bihar Sachivalaya
	Paina. The Secretary, School Education & UD/LSG, Government of Chhatishgarh, Room NO 254, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur. The Principal Secretary(UD) & Housing, Government of Gujarat, Block No, 14, 9th Floor, New Sachivalaya,	The Secretary(Housing) Government of Goa, Secretariat Annexe, EDC House, Panaji- 403 001 The Chief Executive Officer. Gujarat Urban Development Mission, GMFB Building, Sector-10A

2

The Commissioner & Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Government of Haryana, SCO-20 Sec.7C, Chandigarh – 160 001.	The Secretary (UD), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla – 171 002
The Secretary, Housing and UD Department, Government of Jammu & Kashmir, New Secretariat, Srinagar	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla – 171 002
The Director, Urban Local Bodies Government of Jammu & Kahsmir, 151-A/D, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu.	The Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi -834 004.
The Secretary (Housing) Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004	The Principal Secretary (Housing) Government of Karnataka, Room No.213, 2 nd Floor, Vikas Sauda Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore-560 001
The Principal Secretary to Government UD Department, Government of Karnatka Room No.436, 4 th Floor, Vikas Sauda Dr. B.R.Ambedkar Road Bangalore 560 001	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Kerala, Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram – 695 001
The Principal Secretary, Local Self Government Department Government of Kerala Thiruvananthapuram – 695 001	The Secretary , Local Self Government, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram-695 001
The Executive Director Kudumbashree State Poverty Eradication Mission Government of Kerala State Municipal House Vazhuthacaud Thiruvananthapuram	The Principal Secretary, Urban Administration and Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal - 462 032
The Principal Secretary (Housing & Environment), Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Ballabh Bhavan, Bhopal - 462 032	The Commissioner, Urban Administration & Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Nagar Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar Bhopal -462 016
	3

The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.425, 4 th floor Mantraalaya, Mumbai-400 032	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.268, 2 nd Floor, Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032
The Secretary, Housing, UD & Municipal Administration, Government of Manipur, Chief Secretariat, Imphal -795 001	The Commissioner & Secretary (LAD), Government of Mizoram, Civil Secretariat, Aizwal-796 001.
The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Nagaland, Kohima – 797 001	The Commissioner & Secretary, Works & Housing, Government of Nagaland Kohima – 797 001
The Principal Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Orissa, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar - 751 001	The Prinicipal Secretary(LSG) Government of Punjab Mini Secretariat Sector-9, Chandigarh 160 001
The Secretary (Housing & UD) Government of Punjab, Room No.419, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9 Chandigarh 160 001	The Principal Secretary, UDH & LSG Department, Government of Rajasthan Romm No. 29, Main Building, Secretariat, Jaipur
The Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Rajasthan, Room No.39, SSO Building, Government Secretariat, Jaipur 302 005.	The Secretary, Department of UD & Housing, Government of Sikkim, NH 31A, Gangtok – 737-101
The Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Secretariat, Chennai —600 009	The Secretary, Municipal Administration & Water Supply, Government of Tamil Nadu, 6 th Floor, Ezhilagam Annexe, Chepauk, Chennai– 600 009
The Secretary (UD), Government of Tripura Civil Secretariat, Pt. Nehru Complex, Agartala-799 001	The Principal Secretary (UD & MA) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Room No.825, 8 th floor, Bapu Bhawan, Lucknow 226 001
The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Uttar Pradesh, 325 Bapu Bhavan, Lucknow – 226 001	The Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Navchetna Kendra, 10, Ashok Marg, Lucknow.

The Secretary (UD & Housing), Government of Uttarakhand, Uttarakhand Secretariat, 4 B, Subhash Road DEHRADUN	The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of West Bengal, Writers Building, Kolkata-700001
The Secretary (UD & Housing), Chandigarh Administration, UT Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh-160 001	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605 001
The Secretary, Local Administration Department Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605 001	The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of NCT' of Delhi, 9 th Floor, C Wing, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi.
The Additional Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi-110 002	The Commissioner & Secretary, (Relief & Rehabilitation), UT of Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Secretariat, Port Blair –744 101
The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Daman & Diu, Secretariat, Moti Daman-396 220	The Secretary (Housing & UD), U'I' of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Secretariat, Silvassa-396 220

Copy to:

- 1. The Joint Secretary to Hon'ble Prime Minister (Kind attention Shri R. Gopalakrishnan), PMO, South Block, New Delhi.
- 2. PS to Hon'ble Minister (HUPA)
- 3. Sr. PPS to Secretary (HUPA)
- 4. Joint Secretary (II), Ministry of HUPA
- 5. Director (UPA), Ministry of HUPA
- 6. OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
- 7. Director (Administration), Ministry of HUPA
- 8. DS(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 9. US(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 10. DD(JPC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
- 11. DD(Data & MIS), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
- 12. SO (IHSDP), Ministry of HUPA
- 13. The CMD, NBCC, "NBCC Bhavan", Lodhi Road , New Delhi-110 003
- 14. The CMD, HPL, Jangpura, New Delhi-110014
- 15. The Executive Director, BMTPC, Core 5 A, First Floor, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003
- 16. The Director (Corporate Planning), HUDCO, "HUDCO Bhavan", India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110 003.
- 17. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110 016
- 18. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, Uttarkhand - 247 667

(M. Javachandran)

Deputy Director (BSUP)

Copy to:- Guard folder on JNNURM

MINUTES OF THE 31st MEETING OF THE CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF SUB-MISSION ON BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (BSUP) UNDER JAWAHARLAL NEHRU NATIONAL URBAN RENEWAL MISSION (JNNURM)

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 13th February, 2008

The 31st meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee (CSMC) of Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) was held under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation in New Delhi on 13th February, 2008. List of participants is at **Annexure – I**.

2. Joint Secretary (JNNURM) welcomed the participants. He said before the end of the current financial year there can be 2 more meetings. He informed the Committee that workshops on housing design/layout are being organized in the next few days. New designs can be adopted even in old approved projects wherever feasible.

3.1 Chairman, CSMC and Secretary (HUPA) emphasised the need to provide clean environment and vector free houses to the Urban Poor. It is necessary to integrate provisions of Health, Education and Social Security with Housing for Poor to enable them to lead an improved life. To create a sense of belongingness, the slums should be named in consultation with the intended beneficiaries. Provision of a low cost enclosure around a slum pocket being covered under BSUP/IHSDP can be considered by a State/ULB in the interest of safety and a sense of local identity, if the cost is not prohibitive.

3.2. Chairman, CSMC also reiterated that proposals for release of 2nd instalment should be based on the actual progress on the ground. He requested all States to come up with proposals in the prescribed format for second and subsequent instalments for already sanctioned projects with appropriate utilisation certificates in the prescribed formats. **Progress on sanctioned projects should be accorded Top Priority.** Central share along with State share should be released to ULBs within 2-3 days. Any delay on this account

1/30 MP

would result in delay in the completion of projects which would put poor beneficiaries in undue hardships.

3.3. Chairman drew focused attention to the commitment made by the Ministry of HUPA in the review meeting taken by the Hon'ble Prime Minister on 15.6.2007. Accordingly, the mid-term targets were communicated to the States vide DO. Letter No.N-11027/42/2007-BSUP/JNNURM dated 8th August, 2007 from Secretary (HUPA) to Chief Secretaries. Secretary (HUPA) called upon the State Government representatives to take all steps to fulfill the mid-term targets.

3.4. Chairman further pointed out that special attention should be paid for implementation of the three reforms stipulated under JNNURM that are critical to the urban poor: (i) internal earmarking within local body budgets for basic services to the urban poor; (ii) provision of basic services including the 7-point charter in accordance with agreed timelines; (iii) earmarking at least 20-25% of developed land in all housing projects (both public and private agencies) for EWS/LIG category with a system of cross subsidization.

3.5. Chairman, CSMC also drew attention of the States/UT's towards the Prime Minister's New 15-Point Programme for the Welfare of the Minorities. An important objective of the new programme is to ensure that the benefits of various government schemes for the underprivileged reach the disadvantaged sections of the minority communities. In this regard, care should be taken to take up clusters of minority beneficiaries to the extent possible. Wherever possible, upto 15% of targets and outlays under BSUP and IHSDP should be earmarked for minorities.

3.6. Chairman reiterated the need for conducting socio-economic surveys of potential beneficiaries including preparation of bio-metric cards. Such surveys should emphasise health, educational and livelihood profiles. Such a survey would assist in designing good BSUP/IHSDP projects by taking into account important aspects such as dependency load in the existing schools, hospitals, need for multi-purpose community centres including livelihood centre/informal sector market, etc. *He pointed out that HUDCO and BMTPC have developed good designs of houses and various types of social infrastructure which could be appropriately used while formulating project proposals.*



4. Chairman also drew attention of the members of the CSC and States' representatives to the following issues, raised in previous meetings, directly related to improving the quality of life of the urban poor:-

- In case of time constraint, a regular socio-economic survey can be preceded by a rapid survey for identifying beneficiaries, their main and subsidiary occupations, their educational profile and felt needs so as to design appropriate social infrastructure for each project. Willingness of the beneficiaries should also be taken for any rehabilitation/relocation projects.
- Affordability of the urban poor should be kept in view while working out Beneficiary contribution. Overall construction cost of the housing unit should be kept at a minimum. It was suggested that generally the construction cost of a dwelling unit may not exceed about Rs.2.50 lakhs with 25% extra for hill states. The housing component should generally be at least 50% of the total project cost with a view to giving primacy to provision of shelter to the urban poor except where housing units have already been constructed/are being constructed under VAMBAY or other EWS scheme of Central or State Governments. Further, considering the difficulties and special needs of the urban poor at some locations, clusters having 15 housing units can also be considered.
- Each project should be accompanied by a list of beneficiaries based on a socio-economic survey and ULBs should go for bio-metric cards, wherever feasible, to avoid the possibility of sale/misuse of housing units allotted to properly targeted beneficiaries.
- Housing for the poor does not mean poor quality housing. Utmost emphasis must be given to quality of houses for the poor. A vector-free atmosphere and healthy living environment should be ensured in the housing projects under BSUP/IHSDP.
- The layout plan must be socially cohesive and facilitate social interaction. Efforts may be made for providing at least 30% open spaces with 15% green area in the lay-outs and adequate social and livelihoods infrastructure.
- Adequate space must be provided for informal sector markets, livelihood activities, pen for animals (if permitted and required), space to take care of convergent services such as health, education and recreation conforming to the specific needs of each of the slum pockets and their beneficiaries.
- The houses proposed should have two rooms, balcony, kitchen and separate bathroom and latrine, individual water connection and sewer

connection. Aspects such as storage space for keeping things in rooms/kitchen, location of kitchen, location of toilet and bathroom in the houses to facilitate privacy, independent access from both rooms to toilet and bathroom, leaving a small space for fitting exhaust fan in kitchen and toilet, balcony for drying clothes etc., are some of the nuances that can be thoughtfully incorporated in the design of the houses for the poor.

- The State authorities/ULBs may adopt some of the innovative designs and layouts of houses, multi-purpose community centres, informal sector markets and animal pen etc. prepared and compiled by HUDCO and BMTPC.
- The State authorities in consultation with appraisal agencies should ensure that necessary clearances such as environmental clearance, Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) regulation clearance, land use clearance, etc., are obtained. They should also ensure that necessary technical approvals are secured from the competent agencies as per State PWD Code.
- Since these projects are required to be generally completed in 12 to 15 months, it is generally expected that any escalation of the project cost is borne by the State Government/ULB concerned.
- A low cost boundary of barbed wire or low-height brick structure may be considered for construction around the project site (slum location) to give a sense of belonging to the inhabitants of a slum, if the cost is reasonable. The State Government/ULB is to take a final view on this as per the local conditions.
- Wherever informal sector markets are taken up as a part of social infrastructure, their operation on a time-sharing basis by inhabitants for enabling wider coverage of the beneficiaries can be considered by the ULB concerned.
- Adequate provision should be made for solid and liquid waste disposal and digester technology can be adopted in place of dual-pits/septic tanks wherever feasible.
- Road-side plantations with tree guards are advisable.

5. Chairman, CSMC and Secretary (HUPA) further said that the responsibility of the technical specifications (State PWD Code) and their approval by the competent authority lies with the ULBs/State Level Nodal Agency. The appraisal agencies must ensure that technical specifications are duly approved by the competent authority.

6. For the Meeting, 10 new projects and 2 proposals for release of 2nd and 3rd instalments were put up in the agenda:-

Name of the State	Number of Projects			
Uttar Pradesh				
Maharashtra				
Andhra Pradesh	2			
Uttarakhand				
Gujarat				
Orissa				
Total	10			
Gujarat	Proposal for release of 3 rd			
	instalment for one project in Ahmedabad			
Andhra Pradesh	Proposal for release of 2 ^{ed}			
	instalment for one project in			
	Vijayawada			

Brief details of the agenda are at Annexure-II. The Chairman, CSMC requested representatives of the State Governments to give an account on the following:

- (i) Brief details of physical and financial progress of already approved projects including the actual availability of Central share, State share, ULB share, beneficiary contribution, loan component; tentative schedule for seeking 2nd instalment of Central share, number of houses actually constructed, stage of progress of housing and infrastructure, etc.
- Basic details of the present proposals such as total project cost and its break up into housing and infrastructure components (percentages); break-up of cost of housing and infrastructure under Central Share, State share, ULB share, Beneficiary contribution, loan and parastatals.
- (iii) Design of colony layout and house layout proposed.

7.1 Representative of the State Government of Andhra Pradesh made a presentation on 2 projects, one each for Hyderabad and Vijayawada.

7.2 The Chairman, CSMC complemented Hyderabad for conducting a good Socio-economic survey depicting desegregated data of livelihood for each slum. The Committee observed that elected body is not in place. The Committee desired that project cost be reduced due to limited balance left in 7-year allocation for the State. The Committee also noted that service tax is an ineligible component under Project cost and is required to be excluded. The Committee advised to examine the possibility of:-

- Providing informal sector market near outer roads with water and lighting facility; and
- Improving design of Community Center/ Livelihood Centre to make it more functional

As advised by the Committee, State/ULB has agreed to make suitable modifications. The cost of the project has been revised to Rs. 120.33 crore. The Agency has also given an undertaking that elections to local body are scheduled to be held within 6 months. Abstract of the approved project is at Statement-I of Annexure-III

7.2 The Committee observed that project for Vijayawada is mainly for repair of the old houses. In this regard, the State authorities were advised to

- Certify that the Houses would have the same look to those which are built with State' own resources/ schemes;
- Certify that the houses after repair would have reasonably good service life; and
- Carry out Quality Control Tests for the project.

The State Government agreed to the suggestions and Abstract of the approved project is at Statement- II of Annexure-III

8. The Committee then considered the project from Puri, Orissa. The Committee advised the State Government to resolve the dispute with the ULB regarding Land within a month. The Committee also advised to examine the possibility of improving the design of Community Centre;

As advised by the Committee, State/ULB made suitable modifications. The Agency has removed land earmarked as open space in Kumutipatna from the



project site. Abstract of the approved project is at Statement-III of Annexure-III.

9. The representative of the State Government of Gujarat made a presentation on the project from Rajkot. The Committee noted that the infrastructure component of the project is too low and advised the State to have a re-look into the components of infrastructure with a view to provide all basic amenities to the slum-dwellers. The duration of the project is also to be restricted to 15 months. The Committee further advised to examine the possibility of:-

• Increasing social infrastructure and providing livelihood centre/informal sector market in accordance with felt needs of the urban poor as brought out by the socio-economic survey;

The State Government/ULB informed that infrastructure components have been estimated using recent SOR and specifications are compatible with city level infrastructures. Social infrastructure components have also been increased as advised by the Committee. Abstract of the approved project is at Statement IV of Annexure-III. First instalment of Central share will be released on receipt of substantive approval of SLSC for the project.

10.1. One project for Haridwar, which was deferred in the 30th CSMC meeting, was presented by the representative of the State Government. The Committee suggested that a detailed socio-economic survey may be conducted and based on the survey bio-metric details of the beneficiaries should be prepared within one month. SLSC approval for the project needs to be obtained. The Committee advised to examine the possibility of improving the design of community centre and livelihood centers.

10.2. The Committee noted that for the purpose of ACA, the cost of housing unit would be taken as Rs. 2.5 lakh. The State Government was advised to reduce the area of the house to 25sq metre in this regard. The State Government agreed to bear the additional cost in case it is not possible to reduce the area.

As advised by the Committee, the State Government/ULB has made suitable modifications and carpet area has been reduced by 0.75 sqm to

bring the cost of DU to Rs. 2, 49,937. Abstract of the approved project is at Statement V of Annexure-III. First instalment of Central share will be released on receipt of substantive approval of SLSC for the project.

11. The representative of the State Government of Maharashtra made a presentation project from Thane. The Committee suggested that a detailed socio-economic survey may be conducted and bio-metric details of the beneficiaries should be submitted within one month. The duration of the project is also to be restricted to 18 months. The Committee noted that the land use for Kausa plot is not residential and the ULB was requested to convert the same within a month. The Agency was also asked to confirm the possession of the said plot with in a month. The Committee further advised to examine the possibility of providing Community Centre near main roads. The Committee noted that for the purpose of ΛCA , the cost of housing unit would be taken as Rs. 2.5 lacs.

The State/ULB has agreed to implement the suggestions of the Committee and abstract of the approved project is at Statement VI of Annexure-III.

11.1. The representative of the State Government of Uttar Pradesh made a presentation on 4 projects, one from city of Agra and three from the city of Varanasi.

11.2. As regards project proposal from city of Agra, the Committee suggested that a detailed socio-economic survey may be conducted and based on the survey bio-metric details of the beneficiaries should be prepared within one month. The duration of the project is also to be restricted to 15 months. The Committee asked the ULB to furnish documentary evidence in respect of ownership of land to the Appraising Agency. The Committee also advised to examine the possibility of:-

- Revising the layout for informal sector market ; and
- Rework the number and cost of digesters if required.

As advised by the Committee, State/ULB has agreed to make suitable modifications and has proposed single digester in place of 3 digesters proposed originally. Abstract of the approved project is at Statement VII of



Annexure-III. First instalment of Central share will be released on receipt of substantive approval of SLSC for the project.

11.3. As regards project proposals from the city of Varanasi, the Committee asked the State/ULB to clarify the status of land ownership and to ensure that the land ownership lies with the ULB on which common basic amenities are proposed. All three projects from Varanasi were deferred with this observation.

13. The Committee then considered the proposal for release of third instalment of Central share for one project from city of Ahmedabad. The total utilization in this project is more than 50% of the project cost and excess utilization has been met by the concerned ULB out of its own internal resources. As such, the project is eligible for the third instalment which was approved. The Committee also approved the proposal for releasing the 2nd instalment for a project in Vijayawada for which more than 70% of the 1st instalment (Central and State/ULB share) has been utilised. **Details of the approved proposal are at statement-I & II of Annexure-IV.**

14. Concluding the meeting, the Chairman of CSMC and Secretary (HUPA) once again urged the States/UTs/ULBs/parastatals (e.g., Development Authority)/implementing agencies/appraisal agencies to adhere to guidelines/undertake measures for smooth implementation of the projects to benefit the urban poor.

15. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.



LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE 31st MEETING OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) HELD UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SECRETARY (HUPA) ON 13.2.2008.

- Dr. Harjit S. Anand, Secretary Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
- Dr. P.K. Mohanty, Joint Secretary and Mission Director, Ministry of HUPA
- Shri D.S. Negi, OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 4. Shri V.K. Gupta, Deputy Financial Adviser, Ministry of Urban Development
- 5. Shri Vivek Nangia, Deputy Secretary (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 6. Shri N. Venugopalan, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development
- 7. Shri Deena Nath, Deputy Director, Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance
- 8. Shri Rajiv Sinha, Additional Director, Ministry of Environment & Forests, New Delhi.
- 9. Shri Umraw Singh, Deputy Director, Ministry of HUPA
- 10. Shri Mayank Agrawal, Joint Director, National AIDS Control Organisation.
- 11. Shri Gulab Singh Sonawane, President, Municipal Council, Dondaicha Municipal Council, District Dhule, Maharashtra.
- 12. Mr. R.B. Deshmukh, Municipal Councilor, Dondaicha Municipal Council, Maharashtra.
- 13. Dr. Shailesh Kumar Agrawal, Executive Director, BMTPC, New Delhi
- 14. Shri I.J.S. Sidhu, Chief (PM&T), BMTPC, New Delhi.
- 15. Shri S.K. Tripathi, Director (Corporate Planning), HUDCO, New Delhi.
- 16. Ms. Usha P.Mahavir, Deputy Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi.
- 17. Shri C.N. Jha, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi
- 18. Ms. Radha Roy, Assistant Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi.
- 19. Ms. Arti Kanwar, Commissioner, Rajkot Municipal Corporation, Rajkot, Gujarat
- 20. Shri M.S. Swami Naidu, Deputy Executive Engineer, Vijayawada Municipal Corporation, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh.
- Shri Vijay Amadkat, City Engineer & Nodal Officer (JNNURM), Rajkot Municipal Corporation, Rajkot.
- 22. Shri R.D. Padmakumar, DC(P), HUDCO, New Delhi
- Shri Hadadare, Chief Engineer, Maharshtra Housing and Area Development Authority (MHADA), Griha Nirman Bhavan, Bandra (East), Mumbai-400 051.
- 24. Shri B.L. Bhiwapurkar, Executive Engineer, Thane Municipal Corporation, Thane
- 25. Shri Subhash Patil, Architect, Thane Municipal Corporation, Thane, Maharashtra
- 26. Shri T.T. Balasamy, Commissioner, Tiruchirappalli Municipality, Tamil Nadu
- 27. Shri R.B. Wagh, Chief Officer, Dondaicha Municipal Council, Maharashtra
- 28. Shri Anil O. Patil, Executive Engineer, Thane Municipal Corporation, Thane, Maharashtra
- 29. Shri A.K. Mohapatra, Regional Chief, HUDCO, Bhubaneshwar
- 30. Shri Ashok Patel, Assistant Chief (P), HUDCO, Bhubaneshwar
- 31. Shri Sushil Kumar, Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, 10-Ashok Marg, Navchetna Kendra, Lucknow
- 32. Shri A.K. Singh, Programme Officer, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, 10-Ashok Marg, Navchetna Kendra, Lucknow
- 33. Shri P.N. Singh Yadav, Technical Incharge, SUDA, Lucknow
- 34. Shri C.K. Chaturvedi, Assistant Project Officer, SUDA, Lucknow
- 35. Shri T. Binod Kumar Shroff, ME, Puri Municipality, Puri, Orissa



..... in Chair

- 36. Shri Muralidhar Barik, Executive Officer, Puri Municipality, Puri, Orissa.
- 37. Shri S.K. Das, Executive Engineer, Project Cell, H&UD Department, Government of Orissa, Bhubaneshwar
- 38. Shri G.Uma Maheswaran, DyEE (H), JNNURM, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad
- 39. Shri P. Subhas Reddy, SPAO (Projects), HUDCO, Hyderabad
- 40. Dr. P. Pandu Ranga Rao, Engineer-in-chief, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad
- 41. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Project Officer, SUDA, Government of Uttarakhand, 43/6, Mata Mandir Marg, Dehradun
- 42. Shri Frank Amrit, MIPS, BSUP, JNNURM Uttarakhand, Dehradun
- 43. Shri Gulzar. N, Commissioner, Vijayawada Municipal Corporation, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh
- 44. Shri C.M. Gohil, Deputy CEO, Gujarat Urban Development Mission, GMFB Building, Sector 10-A, Gandhi Nagar, Gujarat
- 45. Shri Nand Kumar Jantre, Municipal Commissioner, Thane Municipal Corporation, Thane, Maharashtra
- 46. Shri K.D. Lalla, City Engineer, Thane Municipal Corporation, Thane, Maharashtra
- 47. Shri Arun K. Panda, Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Bhubaneshwar Housing and Urban Development, Government of Orissa, Secretariat, Bhubaneshwar 751 001
- 48. Shri Lokendra Deo, Project Manager, C&DS, U.P. Jal Nigam, 25-1, Dwarika Puri, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh
- 49. Shri Arunoday Tyagi, JE, District Urban Development Agency, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh
- 50. Ms. Anju Singh, Project Officer, District Urban Development Agency, Rajiveev Bhawan, Mathura

	P	SI. No.	
	Agra, Uttar Pradesh	Name of Mission Cities, State/UT	UEF SUMMAR
	Integrated Development of Kanshiram Taj Nagari Phase-II, Agra Littar Pradesh	Project Title	BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CO COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER
	14.75	Project Cost	FOR CC
	6.76	Central Share	O G BASIC
		Share	RATION TO C SERVICES TO
~		Ist/ Insta me (25% Cen Sha	
	 Elected body is in place. SLSC approved has not been given. Drawing and Cost Estimates of the proposed schemes has not signed/ approved by the competent authority. The land is owned by the State Govt. but no documentary evidence has been submitted. List of Beneficiaries has not been submitted. 608 DU under Relocation project (G+3) has been proposed. Carpet area is 25.23 sq. mtr. with two rooms. Kirchen, WC, bathroom and common area. Housing and Infrastructure ratio is 59.37: 40.63. The project duration is 01 year. 		THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

ANNEXURE-TT

6.56 3.12 3.44 0.78 Current proposed and MoA signed. annpur 5.52 approval is yet to be brainshod. 5.52 approval is yet to be brainshod. Basti, 5.54 3.12 3.44 0.78 Filled Got/ is in place. Basti, 5.55 approval is yet to be brainshod. 5.56 approval is yet to be brainshod. Basti, 5.54 1.68 1.68 5.56 approval is yet to be brainshod. Basti, 1.68 1.68 1.68 1.68 approval is yet to be approved and MoA signed. the State Gount is place. appropriate Gount is yet to be approved is yet to be approval is yet to be appro		Page 2 of T						
 6.56 3.12 3.44 0.78 - CDP approved and MoA signed. Basti, asi Basti, asi The State Govil is ready to be transfed. State Share except 10% be furnished. The State Govil is ready to be transfed. State Share except 10% be transfed. The percentage of Housing Intrastructure is also proposed. Authenicated copy of sanctioned layout plans, building design, bill of quantity with SOR certificate are yet to be transfed. The Schedule of Rates adopted is UP PWD 200s with no provision ci cost escalation/ contingency. The project duration is 01 year. 	18 To 28	 CDP approved and MoA's Elected bocy is in place. SLSC approval is yet furnished. The State Govt, is ready to 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	354 54	In-situ project for the development of Jayprakash	Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh	0
	1 t 8	 CDP approved and MoA's Elected body is in place. SLSC approval is yet furnished. The State Govt is ready to be furnished. The State Govt is ready to be furnished. 242 DU Single storey, Re structure with floor area mtr. and up gradation a Community infrastructure proposed. Land tenure is with the oct Infrastructure is 57:43 of to Authenticated copy of st layout plans, building des quantity with SOR certifiended. The Schedule of Rates a UP PWD 2006 with no pr cost escalation/ continge The project duration is 01. 		3. 17 2	6.5 6	In-situ development of Rupanpur malin Basti, Varanasi	Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh	
DE AGENDA FOR CON_DERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIO_ING & MONITIORING IE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II) (Rs. in Crores)	s) .	AL SANCTIO IRBAN POOR	HO	DERATIC SIC SERVI		AGENDA (CS&MC)	BRIEF SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE	

a dina an

1.

M. 13/30

Ĵ Ç Ō BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIONING Pradesh Uttar Varanası, COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II) Basti, Varansi Nagar Malin In-situ development of Maheshpur Varanasi rnalin Basti, 3.02 1.44 1.58 0.36 survey incluciing lively hood yet to cost. Details of Socio-economic peneficiary share towards housing including livey hood yet to be be furnished. otal structure with floor area 27.65 sq. furnished. Details of Socio-economic survey mtr. and as part of up gradation 135 DU. Single storey, RCC frame Land tenure is with the occupants. Community infrastructure is also 03 no. kitchens and 02 nos. toilet. The proposed. Infrastructure is 58:42 of total cost. . SLSC quantity with SOR certificate are The Schedule of Rates adopted is layout plans, building design, bill of Authenficated copy of sanctioned UP PWD. 2006DSR 2002 with no. yet to be furnished. provision or Elected body is in place. CDP approved and MoA signed The project cluration is 01 year. contingency. furnished. The State Govt, is ready to bear the state perceintage approval ;hcre except 10% cost S. ç yet escalation/ (Rs. in Crores) Housing: Page 3 of ō ŵ

٩, , **4** , 7

4

ł

14/30

BRIEF SUMMART OF AGENDA FOR CONCUERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING с С С ¢ ج ح F

,

"

alla, 3.86 3.09 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 2.52 approved for a status of a sta	has been	 List of Berjeticianes submitted. 					Haridwar,		
d 3.86 3.09 0.77 d 3.85 4 3.09 5 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	existence.	 Elected local body is in e 	<u>.</u>				Pandeywala,		
 3.86 3.09 0.77 0.77 CDP approved and MoA signed. Details of Socio-economic survey including lively hood yet to be furnished. Details of Socio-economic survey including lively hood yet to be furnished. 124 DU. Single slorey, RCC frame structure with floor orea 27.65 sq. mtr Community infrastructure is also proposed. Inter percentage of Housing: Infrastructure is with the occupants. Inter percentage of Housing: Infrastructure is S6:44 of total cost. Authenitated copy of sanctioned quantity with SOR certificate are yet to be furnished. The Schedulte of Rates adopted is up PWD 2005 with no provision of cost escalation/ contingency. 	S:						Project	Uttrakhand	
 be furnished. betails of Socio-economic survey including lively hood yet to be furnished. 124 DU. Single storey, RCC frame structure with floor orea 27.65 sq. mit. Community infrastructure is disp proposed. Infrastructure is with the occupants. The percentage of Housing: Infrastructure is solutioned in avoit plans, building design, bill of quantity with SOR certificate are yet to be furnished. The Schedule of Rates adopted is UP PWD 2005 with no provision of cost escalation/ contingency. 	A signed.	 CDP approved and MoA 	0.77	0.77	3.09	3.86	Detailed	Haridwar,	•
	Year.	 Ine_project duration is 01 	•		1			-	
	ency.	cost escalation/ conting							
	provision a	UP PWD 2005 with no p					-		
		 The Schedule of Rates 							
<u></u>		yet to be furnished.							
	tificate ar	quantity with SOR cert							
2019 Mary 1979 - 1977 - 1977 - 1977 - 1977 - 1977 - 1977 - 1977 - 1977 - 1977 - 1977 - 1977 - 1977 - 1977 - 19	osign, bill d	ayout plans, building de				·	- - -		
<u> </u>	sanctione	 Authenticated copy of a 				•••			
<u> </u>	otal cost.	Infrastructure is 56:44 of it				• •	• • • •		
<u> </u>	Housing								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ocupants.	 _and tenure is with the or 				•	·····		
······································		diso proposed							
··· ······	astructure	intr Community intra							
;	a 27.65 sc	structure with floor orec							
×	RCC from	 124 DU. Single slorey, R 				÷			
·		turnished.							
		including lively hood y					,		
	mic surve	 Details of Socio-econor 					÷		
		be furnished.	· ··						
	ood yet t	survey including lively hood yet to				-			
cost. Details: of Socio-economic 29	-economi	cost. Details of Socio							
beneficiary share towards housing	ds housin	beneficiary share towar							
total state share except 10%	icep: 105	state share	-				_		

Puge 4 of 7

02 JS

. .

<u>ה.</u> Hyderabad, Pradesh Andhra COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II) **BSUP** project of 27999 construction ្ម Houses and Hyderabad. GHMC area facilities in provision of infrastructura 762.73 349.69 413.04 87.42 . bath. Carpet areas is 25.76 Sqm with 2 stories Structure 96 DUs have been proposed as G+3 analysis of rates where necessary Estimates have been worked out as room, kitchein and separate WC. Infrastructure is 62.80: 37.20 and Uttarakhand Peyjal sansadhani addressed. % Nirman nigam (2006) upgraded per PWD Ciricle Rates with suitable existence as on date. with 5 % incredse -o infrastructure is 72:28 The percentage ration of Housing banance amount i.e. Rs. "his project may be restricted up to allocation is Rs. 85.58 Crore only Elected local body minutes of SLSC has not enclosed. SLSC has approved the project bu CDP approved and MoA signed 27999 DU in G+3 structure (In-silucrore. The Balance of 7 Years budge 11,371 and relocation-16628 point The project duration is not given. .charter has does no (Rs. in Crores) 85.58 been 47 60

16 30

Puge 2 of i

¢ Ç ¢

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING

SIDERATION TO CENTRAL SHNCTI BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POD • Carpet area room, kitch bach. • Land is in State Govt. • State Govt. • To point chard in the DPR. • Beneficiary II • State Govt. • The project d • Fee for • DPR und • PPR und		Special Agenda-	Propose release Installm Vijayaw Circle-l		<u></u>	BRIEF SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE
CENTRAL SHNCTI O THE URBAN POID • Carpet area room, kitch bath. • Land is in State Govt • The DPR. • Statutory ap scheme is ye The cost e worked ou 2007-08. • The project d Vijayawada DPR unde DPR unde			Proposal for release of 2nd Installment of Vijayawada Circle-1			ASIC
	~ 0		3.	 Statutory approval for proposed scheme is yet to be obtained. The cost estimates have been worked out on the basis of SOR - 2007-08. The project duration is one year. 	 Land is in possession of CHMC/ State Govt; 7 point charter has been addressed in the DPR. 	ON TO CENTRAL SHNCTL JING & MONITIORING CES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II) Carpet area is 25.04 sq. mtr. Carpet area is 25.04 sq. mtr. room, kitchen and separate WC, bath.

1.4 Tel Million and the second and the second second

.

.

E | - 4

				 S	COMMIT
	ه معین میں د	an a	Total	 Special Agenda-ll	IEE (CS&MO
		n an	794.50 365.80		COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)
			428.70	 	ERVICES T
		,∎er aður	91.44	 	O THE UF
0 20	. "	1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 -		Presentation on on NACO	REAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)
		- 200 M (00 M (0) M (00 M (0) M (00 M (0) M (00 M (0) M (00 M (00 M (00 M (0) M (00 M (0) M (00		HIV I	(Sub-Missio /R:
		The set of		by NAC	ion-II) (Rs. in Crores)

12
- Ch
- ÷
1.1
÷.,
. ~
1.1
144
1.1
12
1.55
• **
i kontra a tag data i sere 1000
12
11
2.5
(12 ⁻)
<u>.</u>
12
1.1
E -
1 Sec
· 2.5
1.1
- 10- L
÷
and the state of the second
1.1
6

	алан албан албан албан албан 2007 година сайта сайт 	Ľ,	No.
,		Orissa	Name of Mission Cities, State/UT
		tor the town of Puri, Orissa	Project Title
		9. 2 8	Project Cost
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6.75	Central Share
		2.53	State Share
		1.69	lst/2nd Install - ment (25% of Central Shicre)
	 An approval of layout and building plan has to be obtained from the competent authority. The land ownership is with Beneficiary. List of Beneficiaries will be displayed in the website. 295 new DUs for 7 slums have been proposed. Carpet area is 25.00 sq. mir. with two rooms, Kitchen, Separate bathroom and WC. Housing and Infrastructure ratio is 59.00; 41.00. The project duration is one year. 	 CDP opproved and MoA signed. Elected bocy is in place. SLSC approved is awaited. The project has not been forwarded by the Nodal Acency. 	Brief Summary (Rs. in Crores)
	10		Page No

BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY-AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

1au ŧ • - • • •

1.9

10.00.00

in process

Ç ω ς BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY-NGENDAFOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING COMMITTEE (CS&WC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II) Vijayawada Pradesh Andhra of the specific transmission is specificated with the second state of the **Repairs** to Dwelling Units constructed VAMBAY in under Ajit Singh P Nagar Vijayawada, 7.74 3.87 3.87 0.97 . CDP approved and MoA signed. 20/30 100 Elected loca body is in existence. SLSC has approved the project. allocation is Rs. 85.58 Crore only projects from AP State may be The proposed proposal is only for restricted up to banance amount under VAMBAY. As such does not repair of the houses construted i.e. Rs. 85.53 crore. The Balance of 7 Years budget addressed the 7 point charter. Total 3373 DUs for repair work has Each Du covers an area of 25.00 been proposed. and Verancah. sq. mtr. with 1 room, kitchen, toilet Land allotted to beneficiaries on free Municipal Beneficiary list has been submitted. Statutory approval for proposed hold basis. worked out on the basis of APSC-R The cost scheme is yet to be obtained. 2007. The project duration is one year. belong Corporation and estimates have been ð Page 2 of 4 Vijayawada 5 to 1√

حيرنا

.

Č C

÷

<u>,</u>

¢

¢

Ċ Ĉ

¢

¢

¢

¢

30 	Authenticated copy of sonctioned 30							
То	62.54: 37.46.		·:		-			
18	Housing or d. Infrastructure ratio is	. <u>.</u>	· ·· ·					
	like Balcony, Cupboard.		•		_		-	
	bathroom, WC, and other facilities j							
	two rooms, Kitchen, , Separate ;							
	Build up area is 25,00 sq. mtr. with !	. •						
	been proposed.							
	with Ramp, staircase, lift etc have					Manalastina		
	822 DUs. (G+7 and G+8) provided	•				Maharashtra		
	SLSC has approved the project.	•				Mumbai	Maharashtra	
	Elected body is in place.	•				Thane	Mumbai,	1
	CDP approved and MoA signed.	4.04 •	18.29	16.14	34.43	BSUP at	Thane,	$\hat{\mathbf{O}}$
	(Rs. in Crores)							
-11)	MONITIORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE UREAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)	AVICES TO	ASIC SEI	UNDER B	S&PAC)	OMMITTEE (C	ONITIORING (M
1	BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENT RAY-A, ENDAFOR CONSIDERATION TO C. (TRAL SANCTIONING &	NSIDERE	FOR CO	TEND.		OF SUPPLEME	THE BUILDING THE	
							オクス とうみつ たくがくしゃさ がんしん オイシス かせためいま	

Authenticated copy of sanctioned

layout plans, building design blc

The Beneficiaries list has to be

have to be submitted.

submitted.

The Estimates are based on DSR-

2007-08 at Thane Region.

The project duration is 2 years, which may be reduced to 18

to 18

months.

-1

Ç ¢

Ċ.

Ĉ

.

¢

1

Ċ

Ċ (* Ċ

Ľ

đ

ć

Ç

đ

đ

21/30

Puge 3 of 4

The use of cost effective materials

submittec.)

Checklists .

have

not

nebo

Scheme

housing

0

Rajkot, Gujarat

DPR for

64.54

31.19

33.35

7.80

CDP appreived and MoA signed...

indicated;

The administrative and Techanica

SESC approval status Elected body is in place.

5

.:) 0

Flood

Relocation of

affected slum

dwellers **BSUP**

al ange op a sti ster aven aven etal he

22/30 mm

Page 4 of 4

m Proposal for release of 3rd Installment f ofnew 3432 Construction DUs0 at Economical different weaker Section plots of Housing purposed reserved different town Schemes at planning **EWS Housing** Rajkot, Gujarat areas of Peripheral (8000 units) in AUDA Ahmedabad Total 1 6.00 57.95 58.04 14.50 11:91 • 3432 DUs, with RCC frame structure considered, has been proposed. Carpet area is 25.97 sq. mtr. with survey are given. No details of socio-economic bathroom, WC, and Balcony. two room; Kitchen, , layout plans, building design, bill of Authenticcted copy of sanctioned quantity vith SOR certificate cire The The Estimates are based on RMC release of 3nd Installment of EW SOR-2007.1 yet to be furnished. indicated. The duration of months is recommended for project. Periphercl Ahmedalaad Housing project (8000 areas duration units) Separate of AUDA S F)Of inis 5 31 37 38

e e e ¢ Ċ ¢ Ċ C

BRIEF SUMMERY OF SUPPLEMENT ARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CHNTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

technology

has

not

been

4 4

the 31st CSMC /BSI /P/	the 31s	minutes of	Ē	đ
Annexure-III				

					Total	4) Beneficiaries Contribution	2) ULD Share	2) HT D 1	2) State share	1) Central Share	Per DU Finance	Total State Share	3) Beneficiaries Share	2) ULB contribution	1) State grant		Detaile of State Share	STATEMENT-I		1. Andhra Pradesh		(1) (2)	SI. No. Name of the State		
					189700.00	30000.00	26910.00	00.04.67.5	270.00	0.1020.00	(D.)	6016 72	1365.00	2245.03	2406.69	(KS in lacs)				Hyderabad		(4)	Name of the City		
Project Cost (A+B)		12A.OHHHOH Areas COS	12 Control of the second s		10 Journa Track	9.Livelihood centre	8. Parks	7 Agartwadi Centres	6.Community Centre	3.1/Jectrification	+. Water supply	A Winter Coll		2. Roals	1.Site Levelling	A Sub Total (A)	riouse allotment will be given in the name of the wife or joint name.	1892 relocation) (@ Rs.189,700/- per DU) G-3 with 2 rooms, kitchen, seperate WC & bath and balcony having a carpet area of 25.04 sq mt and builtup area is 29.43 sqm. Agency has certified that	Construction of 4550 Dwelling units in 28 slums (2658 insitu and	BSUP project for Construction of 4550 Houses and provision of Infrastructural facilities in GHMC area, Hyderabad by Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation (GHMC), Hyderabad.		Dour ribjectivaine / Components			
12033.44	3402.09	1583.40	10.75	18.72	36.1U	01.02	41 40	86 46	284.00	155.56	696.33	142.47	301.90	-13.00	COLLOO	8631.35	8631.35				(5)	Cost	Total Project		
6016.72	1701.05	791.70	5.38	9.36	CO'61	20.70	07.00	20 21	142.00	77.78	348.17	71.24	150.95	21.50	00.010	4315 68	4315.68				(6)	Share	Central	Rs.	to the min
6016.72	1701.05	791.70	5.38	9.36	c0.61	20.70	01 00 102-04	12 02	142.00	77.78	348.17	71.24	150.95	21.50	4010.00	4315 60	4315.68				3	State Share		Rs. in lakh	utes of the 31;
1504.18	425.26	197.93	1.34	2.34	4.76	5.18	18.01	10.04	35 50	19.45	87.04	17.81	37.74	5.38	1078.92	100000	1078 92				(8)	Share State Share Central Share	25 % of		to the minutes of the 31st CSMC (BSUP)

23/30 (1)

ţ

to the minutes of	
to the minutes of the 31st CSMC (BSUP)	Annexure-III

1600.91	6403.63	6403.63	12807.25	Total for Andhra Pradesh (2 projects)		
	16.000	16.980	7/5.81	.30 Project Total (A+B)	Rs.22,941.30	Total
06 73	202 01	10/01	3	Sub Total(B)	NS.0,002.J7	Beneficiary share = NIL
	1	1711	Z	2	D- (00) 1	3) ULB Share
Z				.26 Cost Livelihood Centre for Informal sector	Rs.4,588.26	2) State Share
Nil	Z :			-	Rs.11,470.65	1) Central share
NI	Z					Per DU Repair Cost
2				90 Lakh Boundary wall	386.90	Total
S				.00 Lake Common Services	0.00	3) Beneficiary Contribution(only for DUs)
N	N)	Z	Z	Land	2.32.3	2)UUB
NI NI	Zi	Z	N	Lakh	222 14	TH D
TN1	NI	25	2	76 Lakh Roads	154.76	1) State Grant
		N	Z	Drainage		Details of State Share
	2	Z	N			
1 NI	2	Z	N.	Water supply		- t
×8				B Infrastructure:-		STATEMENT-II
96.73	386.91	386.91	773.81	Housing repair cost for 3373 houses (@ Rs.22,941.30 per dwelling unit A -average)		
				Detail Project Report for Repairs to dwel the VAMBAY in Ajit Singh Nagar, Vijay	Vijayawada	2. Andhra Pradesh
(0)		(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)
Central Share	hare	Share St	Cost	BSUP Project Name / Components	Name of the City	SI. Name of the State N
25 % of		Central	Total Project			
	lakh	Rs. in lakh				
		to the transmere				

24/30

0.88 11.12 5.66 1.19	28.30 5.95	2. Compound Wai 10. Tree Plantation	0.00
0.88 11.12 5.66	28.30	2. Compound Wail	
0.88 11.12 3.19			17000.00
0.88	15.95	8. Jogging track	136000.00
0.88	55.62	7. Multipurpose Community Facility Centres	(Rs.)
	4.40	6. Garbage bins	253.03
4 05	20.26	5. Street lighting & Transformers	84.34
22.61	113.03	4. Roads and Pavements	168.69
1	54.63	3. Storm water drainage	50.15
3 69 0.92 0.92	4.61	2. Sewerage	34.19
7.22 1.81 1.81	9.03	1. Water supply	84.35
401.20 100.30 100.30	501.50	A Sub Total (A)	(Ks in lacs)
401.20 100.30 100.30	501.50	Construction of 295 Dwelling units, insitu @ Rs.1,70,000/- per DU, single/double storied with 2 multipurpose rooms, Kitchen, separate bath & WC having a carpet area of 25.00 sq mt. Title of land is with beneficiaries. Before implementation it will be ensured that either the property title is in the name of temale member of the family or at leasn female family member is the co-owner of the holding / property.	
		BSUP Scheme for the town of Puri, Orissa.	-
	(5)	(3)	(3)
Share State Share Central Share	Cost	BSUP Project Name / Components	Name of the City
Central 25 % of	Total Project (; ;
Rs. in lakh			

3. Orissa

No. No. E

Name of the State

0

25/30 @

In a state

6.03

4) Beneficiaries Contribution

Total

170000.00 17000.00

Ø

Parks

0

Total Project Cost (A+B+C) Total for Orissa (1 project)

Sub Total (C)

42.17 84.34 927.78

84.34 42.17 42.17

0.00 0.00 0.00

927.78

674.75 674.75

253.03253.03

168.69 168.69

10 K - 11

2. A&OE (5%)

Preparation of IDPR, IEC etc (5%)

Project cost (A+B)

843.44 341.94

674.75 273.55 24.13

168.69

168.69 68.39

68.39 6.03 1.19

30.16

42.17

0.00 0.00 0.00

Sub Total (B)

3)ULB share

હ

State grant

Central Share Per DU Finance 3) Beneficiaries Share 2) CLB contribution 1) State grant

Details of State Share

STATEMENT-III

DPR prep., IEC & A&OE etc.

Total

Total State Share

the minutes of the 31st CSMC (BSUP)	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	Anne
(GIISH)	Annexure-III

SI.

Name of the State

Ð

4. Gujarat

STATEMENT-IV

					1	+
27.38	119.87	109.51	229.38	Sub Total(B)	Re 34 951 47	
11.00	47.39	47.39	94.78	viii)Compound Wall	Rs.17,475.74	
2.51		9.22	18.44	vii)Parks and Playgorund	Rs.34,951.47	
1.40		5.61	11.21	vi)Plantation	Rs.87,378.68	
000	10.36	0.00	10.36			
3.47	13.86	13.86	27.73	_	Rs.3,331.45 Lakh	
0.70	2.78	2.78	5.56	kh iii)Street Lights	Rs.1,188.35 Lakh	
0.44	25.74	25.74	51.49	dh ii)Sewerage	Rs.697.55 Lakh	
1.23		4.90	9.81	ah jWater Supply	Rs.1,445.55 Lakh	
				B Infrastructure:-		
742.72	2970.88	2970.88	5941.75	<ul> <li>EWS Housing Units (3400 Nos @ Rs.1,74,757/ per unit).Relocation (at 12 locations),G+3; carpet area 25.97 sq.mt with 2 rooms,kitchen,toilet,bath and balcony.The DUs to be alloted on lease basis for 30 years initially and the title of the DU in the name of wife.</li> <li>A or joint name of husband and wife.</li> </ul>		
				Detail Project Report for "DPR for relocation of flood affected slum dwellers BSUP housing scheme (Construction of new 3400 DUs) at different Economical Weaker Section Housing purposed reserved plots of different Town Planning Schemes at Rajkot ".	Rajkot	:
(6)		0	(5)	(4)	(3)	
25 % of Central Share	Central Share State Share	Central Share	Total Project Cost	BSUP Project Name / Components	Name of the City	Name
	Rs. in lakh	Rs. i				
minutes of the 31st CSMC (BSUP)	ites of the 31st	to the minu				

1) charges) 2) ULB

State Share( includes contingency

Details of State Share

3) Beneficiary Contribution (only for DUs)

Total

3) ULB Share

2) State Grant 1) Central share

Per DU finance

4) form of EMI for a period of 10 years)

Total

Rs.174,757.35 C Social Infrastructure-Community Center, RCH, Livelihood center etc.

D Contingency (3%) Total Cost(A+B+C)

Project Total (A+B+C+D)

188.28 6464.27 6275.99 104.86

3132.81

188.<u>28</u> 3331.45 3143.17 52.43

783.20

0.00

783.20 13.11

0.00

3132.81 52.43 Beneficiary contribution (Upfront -Rs 15,000 in four equal monthly

26/30 m

5	
the mi	
nutes	
of th	
c 31st	
to the minutes of the 31st CSMC (BSI	Anne
ISH) :	Annexure-

72.40	72.40	289.59	361.99	Project Total (A+B+C)		
16.18	16.18	64.73	80.92	Sub Total(C)		
6.13	6.13	24.52	30.66	v) Staircase ,Passage and Parapet		
0.92	0.92	3.67	4.59	iv) Landscaping		
98.1	1.86	7.43	9.29	iii)Rainwater harvesting		
2.02	2.02	6078	10.11	ii)Boundar- Wall/ Gates		
5.25	5.25	21.02	26.27	i)Multi-purpose Community Center/ Livelihood centre	tal Rs.249,937.00	Total
				C Other Civic Amenitics	nt Rs.24,993.70	Beneficiary contribution(EMI of about 4) Rs.210/- for a period of 10 years)
8.23	8.23	32.91	41.13	Sub Total(B)	Rs.24,993.70	2) State Grant
0.49	0.49	1.97	2.46	v)Street Lighting	Rs.199,949.60	1) Central share
4 41	4.41	17.64	22.05	iv)Road & Pavements with integrated Storm water Drains		Per DU finance
1.16	1.16	4.62	.5.78	h iii)Sewerage System	Total Rs.72.40 Lakh	
1),24	0.24	96'D	1.21)	h ii)Water Supply	(s) Rs.23.99 Lakh	2) Beneficiary Contribution (only for DUs)
1.93	1.93	2 <i>01</i>	9.65	h i)Land developmen:	Rs.48.40 Lakh	1) State Grant
				B Infrastructure:-	are	Details of State Share
47.99	4799	191.95	239.94	EWS Housing Units (96 Nos@Rs.2,49,937/per unit).Relocation, G+3;carpet area 25.00 sq.m. with 2 rooms,kitchen,toilet,bath and balcony. The DUs to be alloued on patta basis in the name of female A member or joint name of wife & husband of beneficiary family		STATEMENT-V
				Detail Project Report for " Pandeywala, Haridwar, State of Uttarakhand, BSUP ".	Haridwar	5. Uttrakhand
		6	(5)	(4)	()	(1) (2)
25 % of Central Share	entral Share State Share	Central Share	Total Project Cost	BSUP Project Name / Components	Name of the City	St. No. Name of the State
	Rs. in lakh	Rs. i				
Annexure-III to the minutes of the 31st CSMC (BSUP)	ites of the 31s	to the minu		7		

27/30

28/30 Mi

. 7	4) I	3) U	2) ?	1) (		. ,	4) 1	3) [	2) 5	1)	1		1	<u> </u>	3) F	2) U	1) S					7	(1)	No.		
Total	Beneficiaries Contribution	ULB share	State share	Central Share	Per DU Finance (Type 2)	Total	Beneficiaries Contribution	ULB share	State share	Central Share	Per DU Finance (Type 1)	Total	DPR prep.	Total State Share	Beneficiaries Share	ULB contribution	State grant		Details of State Share		STATEMENT-VI	Maharashtra	(2)	Name of the State		
261701.00	27500.00	34201.00	75000.00	125000.00	(Rs.)	262324.00	27500.00	34824.00	75000.00	125000.00	(Rs.)	1877.55	82.33	1795.22	226.05	617.82	951.35		(Rs in lacs)			Greater Mumbai (Thane)	(3)	Name of the City		
	c			в		<u> </u>		L		L							I		A							
Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	Sub Total (C)	DPR Preparation @ 2.45%)	Project cost (A+B)	Sub Total (B)	13. Community spaces within the building	12. Multipurpose hall	11. Vertical extension of nallah & nallah covering slab	10. Parks & open spaces	9. Land Development cum filling	8. Compound wall cum Retaining wall	7. Street Lighting	6. Roads	5. Storm Water Drainage	4. Water supply	3. Sewerage	2. Lift Machinery	ramp etc.	1. Common areas like staircase, lift well, lobby, common passage,	Sub Total (A)	Construction of 527 Dwelling units, insitu redevelopment @ Rs.2,61,701/- per DU, G+7/G+8 storied with 1 living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 Kitchen, separate Toilet & Bath, balcony & cupboard. The carpet area is 25.00 sq mt. Houses will be allotted to individual beneficiaries in the name of female / Joint name of the household.	Construction of 295 Dwelling units, insitu redevelopment @ Rs.2,62,324/- per DU, G+7/G+8 storied with 1 living Room, 1 Bed Room, 1 Kitchen, separate Toilet & Bath, balcony & cupboard. The carpet area is 25.00 sq mt. Houses will be allotted to individual beneficiaries in the name of female / Joint name of the household.	Implementation of Basic Services for Urban Poor Scheme (BSUP) at Thane, Dist. Thane, Maharashtra	(4)	BSUP Project Name / Components		1
3442.75	82.33	82.33	3360.42	1207.40	45.16	15.00	9.58	14.73	14.45	38.05	204.78	113.06	10.45	23.09	37.46	132.00	549.59		2153.02	1379.16	773.86		(5)	Total Project Cost		
1565.20	0.00	0.00	1565.20	537.70	22.58	7.50	4.79	7.37	7.23	19.03	102.39	56.53	5.23	11.55	18.73	0.00	274.80		1027.50	658.75	368.75		(6)	Central Share	Rs. i	to the minu
1877.55	82.33	82.33	1795.22	669.70	22.58	7.50	4.79	7.37	7.23	19.03	102.39	56.53	5.23	11.55	18.73	132.00	274.80		1125.52	720.41	405.11		9	State Share	Rs. in lakh	ites of the 31s
391.30	0.00	0.00	391.30	134.43	5.65	1.88		1.84	1.81	4.76	25.60	14.13	1.31	2.89	4.68	0.00	68.70		256.88	164.69	92.19		(8)	25 % of Central Share		Annexure-111 to the minutes of the 31st CSMC (BSUP)

29/30

First instalment of Central share for the project in Rajkot, Haridwar and Agra will be released on receipt of substantive approval of SLSC.

 $(\mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{2}) \in \mathbf{U}$ 

289.59         72.40           1565.20         1877.55           678.28         800.95	678			_
).59 5.20		1479.22	Uttar Pradesh (1 project)	
).59	1565.20	3442.75	Maharashtra (1 Project)	
		361.99	Uttarakhand (1 project)	
2.81	3132.81	6464.27	Gujarat (1 project)	
674.75		927.78	Orissa (1 project)	
3.63		12807.25	Andhra Pradesh (2 projects)	
			Grand Total for All States	
678.28		1479.22	Project Total (A+B+C)	
0.00		109.57	Sub Total (C)	
0.00		41.09	Continentgency (0, 3 " of the construction cos: only	
0.00		41.09	Information Education and Commancation (d) 3% of construction cost	
0.00		27.39	C Cost of technical & consulting service @ 2 ^w of construction cost	
240.52		494.13		
0.00		5.69	Rs.14,976.00 xw/Kiosk - 8 nos for police posts,milk booth,post office grocery shop,etc.	Rs
0.85		1.70		
0.00		7.41	xii)Site leveling & Demolition of Existing Structure	heneficing contribution at the time of
18.01		296.02	xi)Common Areas of Houses	3) Beneficiary contribution (upfront Ks.7,4887)
80.9		12.16	Rs.57,024.00 x)Rain Water Harvesting	2) State Share
5.04		10.07		1) Central share
19.15		38.30		Fer DU linance
7.32		14.64	Rs.800.95 Lakh vii)Informal Livelihood Activity & Multipurpose area and animal pen	Total
1.28		2.56	Rs.91.05 Lakh vi}l1orticulture & Landscaping	2) Beneficiary Contribution (only for DUs)
21.05		42.09	Rs.709.89 Lakh v)External Flectrification (incl. Power Transformer)	State Grant(includes contingency & W C 1) charges)
10.7.		14.02	iv)Roads and Pavements	
08 C		4.77	iii)Drains	Details of State Share
18.27		36.53	ii)SW Pipe Lines/including Digester 30 cum capacity)	
4.09		8.17	i/Water supple	
			B Infrastructure:-	
437.76		875.52	EWSHousingUnits(608Nos@Rs.1,44,000/perunit).Rehabilitation,G+3;carpetarea25.23sq.m.with2rooms,kitchen,toilet,bathandbalcony.The DUsto be alloted onAownershipbasis and the title of the DU in fentale name.	STATEMENT-VII
			Agra Detail Project Report for "Integrated Development of "Kanshiram Taj Nagari Phase-II".	6. Uttar Pradesh
-	3	(5)		(1) (2)
Central Share State Share		Total Project Cost	Name of the City BSUP Project Name / Components	Name of the State
Rs. in lakh				

the grant of the second state of the

•

•

ł

	Andhra Pradesh	Gujatat		State	]
ļ	Vijayawada	Ahmedabad		Name of Mission city	
Total         4948.82         5245.72         1237.2         0.00         1237.21         1228.54         99%         2191.61         2207.08         101%         46.26           ' For Ahmedabad 2nd instalment of Central Share of Rs. 1190.95 lakh has been recommended as approved in the 28th meeting of CSMC held on 09.01.2008	Detailed Design and Estimations for Basic Services to Urban Poor in 2 Circle-I Area	l Iouses for the urban poor (EWS) at various locations in peripheral areas of Ahmedabad, 1 phase-I, Gujarat		SI. Name of No. Projects	
<b>4948.82</b> Ind instalmen	185.02	4763.80		Total Central State Shared Share approve Approv d ed	
5245.72 t of Central :	196.12	5049.60		State Share Approv ed	
1237.2 Share of Rs.	46.26	1190.95 *	1st 2nd instalm instal ent ment	Central sh	
0.00		*	1	are so fai	
1237.21	46.26	1190.95	Total	Amoun of Central Share Central share so far released Utilised	
1228.54	37.59	1190.95		H	
99% das approv	81%	100%		Amou of Sta share (inclu % of g UL) utilisat share ion releas	Rs. in lakh
2191.61	47.90	2143.71		red)	akh
2207.08	44.43	2143.71		% of Amount utilisati utilised on	
101%	93%	100%		% of utilisati on	
<b>46.26</b>	46.26		2nd 3rd instalme instalm nt ent	Amount recommended for release of 2nd/3rd instalment of Central Share	
1190.95 8		1190.95			
25.00%	25.00%	25.00%		% of amount recommen ded to the total Central Share approved	

30/30

Annexure-IV to the minutes of 31st CSMC

۰. ا[‡]

•

.

.

1**1** (1997)

i.

о <u>то п</u>о тор