

REGISTERED POST/BY HAND


No.N-11026/1/2010/BSUP/JNNURM-Vol.XIII
Government of India
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation

Room No.201, G Wing, Nirman Bhawan
New Delhi, Dated 24th August, 2010

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of the minutes of the 88th meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee of Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 05th August, 2010 under the Chairpersonship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction projects under Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM).

2. The Appraisal agencies (i.e HUDCO, BMTPC) are requested to convey the decisions of the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee to all the State implementing agencies/nodal agencies for BSUP and IHSDP to take appropriate follow up action as per the minutes of the meeting.
3. A copy of the minutes is forwarded to the Secretaries in-charge of BSUP and IHSDP in States/UTs with a request to take further follow up action.



(G. Srinivasa Rao)

Under Secretary (JNNURM)

Tel.:- 23061519

Encl: Minutes of the meeting

To

Members of the CSMC as follows:

1. The Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, New Delhi.
3. The Principal Adviser (HUD), Planning Commission, Yojana Bhavan, New Delhi.
4. The Secretary, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
5. The Secretary, Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
6. The Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
7. The Secretary, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
8. The Joint Secretary and FA, Ministry of Urban Development and Ministry of HUPA, New Delhi.
9. The Chief Planner, Town and Country Planning Organization (TCPO), I.P. Estate, New Delhi.
10. The Adviser, CPHEEO, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi
11. The CMD, Housing and Urban Development Corporation Ltd., HUDCO Bhavan, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
12. The Mission Director & Additional Secretary (JNNURM), Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation, New Delhi- Member-Secretary.

Copy to the Secretaries in charge of Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) and Integrated Housing & Slum Development Programme (IHSDP) in the States/UTs:-

The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Municipal Administration Department Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat Hyderabad - 500002	The Principal Secretary, Housing Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad -500002
The Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat, Hyderabad-500002.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Tourism, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat, Itanagar.
The Commissioner & Secretary, UD Department, Government of Assam, Dispur, Guwahati -781006.	The Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
The Additional Secretary & Director (BUDA), Urban Development department, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Bihar Sachivalaya, Patna-800015
The Secretary, Urban Administration & Development Department, Government of Chhattishgarh, Room No.316, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur -492001.	The Secretary (Housing) Government of Goa, Secretariat Annex; EDC-House, Panaji -403001
The Principal Secretary (UD) & Housing, Government of Gujarat, Block No 14, 9 th Floor, New Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar-382010	The Chief Executive Officer, Gujarat Urban Development Mission, GMFB Building, Sector-10A, Gandhinagar-382016
The Commissioner & Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Government of Haryana, SCO-20 Sec. 7C, Chandigarh- 160001.	The Secretary (UD), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002
The Secretary, Housing and UD Department, government of Jammu & Kashmir, New Secretariat, Srinagar	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002
The Director, Urban Local Bodies Government of Jammu & Kashmir, 151-A/D, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu.	The Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi-834004


The Secretary (Housing) Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004	The Principal Secretary (Housing) Government of Karnataka, Room No.213, 2 nd Floor, Vikas Sauda, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Road, Bangalore- 560001
The Principal Secretary of Government UD Department, Government of Karnataka, Room No.436, 4 th Floor, Vikas Sauda Dr, B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore 560001	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Kerala, Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram - 695001
The Principal Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram - 695001	The Secretary, Local Self Government, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram- 695001
The Executive Director, Kudumbashree, State Poverty Eradication Mission, Government of Kerala 2 nd Floor, TRIDA Building, Chalakuzhy Road, Medical College (PO), Thiruvananthapuram 695011.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Administration and Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal -462032
The Principal Secretary (Housing & Environment), Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Ballabh Bhavan, Bhopal-462032	The Commissioner, Urban Administration & Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Nagar Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar, Bhopal- 462016
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Maharashtra, Room.No. 425, 4 th floor Mantraalaya, Mumbai-400032	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.268, 2 nd Floor, Mantralay, Mumbai- 400032
Commissioner & Secretary, Urban Affairs & Housing, Government of Meghalaya, Main Secretariat Building Shillong-793001	The Secretary, Housing, UD & Municipal Administration, Government of Manipur. Chief Secretariat, Imphal-795001
The Commissioner & Secretary, Urban Development & Poverty Alleviation Department, Government of Mizoram, Civil Secretariat, Aizwal-796001	The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Nagaland, Kohima-797001
The Commissioner & Secretary, Works & Housing, Government of Nagaland Kohima-797001	The Principal Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Orissa, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar-751001
The Principal Secretary (LSG), Government of Punjab, Mini Secretariat Sector-9, Chandigarh -160001	The Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Punjab, Room No.419, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh- 160001

The Principal Secretary, UDH & LSG Department, government of Rajasthan Room No. 29, Main Building, Secretariat, Jaipur	The Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Rajasthan, Room No. 39, SSO Building, Government Secretariat, Jaipur 302005.
The Secretary, Department of UD & Housing, Government of Sikkim, NH 31A, Gangtok- 737101	The Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Secretariat, Chennai-600009
The Secretary, Municipal Administration & Water Supply Government of Tamil Nadu, 6 th Floor, Ezhilagam Annexe, Chepauk, Chennai- 600009	The Secretary (UD), Government of Tripura Civil Secretariat, Pt. Nehru Complex, Agartala-799001
The Principal Secretary (UD & MA) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Room No.825, 8 th floor, Bapu Bhawan, Lucknow-226001	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Uttar Pradesh, 325 Bapu Bhawan, Lucknow-226001
The Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Navchetna Kendra 10, Ashok Marg, Lucknow.	The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Uttarakhand, Uttarakhand Secretariat, 4 B, Subhash Road DEHRADUN-248001
The Project Director (JNNURM), Urban Development Directorate, Government of Uttarakhand, 43/6, Mata Mandir Marg, Dharampur, Dehradun-248001	
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of West Bengal, Nagarayan, DF-8, Sector-1, Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700064	The Secretary (UD & Housing), Chandigarh Administration, UT Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh-160001
The Secretary (Housing), Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605001	The Secretary, Local Administration Department, Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605001
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, 9 th Floor, C Wing, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi.	The Additional Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi-110002
The Commissioner & Secretary, (Relief & Rehabilitation), UT of Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Secretariat, Port Blair-7440101	The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Daman & Diu, Secretariat, Moti Daman-396220
The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Secretariat, Silvassa-396220	The Chief Town Planner, Town & Country Planning Department, UT Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, 2 nd Floor, Secretariat, Silvassa-396230

Copy to:

1. The Additional Secretary to Hon'ble Prime Minister (Kind attention Shri R. Gopalakrishnan), PMO, South Block, New Delhi.
2. PS to Hon'ble Minister (HUPA)
3. PSO to Secretary (HUPA)
4. Joint Secretary (H), Ministry of HUPA
5. The Joint Secretary (PP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Room No. 1125, 11th Floor, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, New Delhi.
6. The Joint Secretary (UT), Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi.
7. Director (UPA), Ministry of HUPA.
8. OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
9. Director (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
10. Director (RAY), Ministry of HUPA
11. Director (Administration), Ministry of HUPA
12. US(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
13. DD(JPC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
14. DD(Data & MIS), NBO Ministry of HUPA
15. DD(NRC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
16. AO (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
17. SO (IHSDP), Ministry of HUPA
18. Monitoring Cell (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
19. The CMD, NBCC, "NBCC Bhavan", Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
20. The CMD, HPL, Jangpura, New Delhi- 110014
21. The Executive Director, BMTPC, Core 5A, First Floor, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
22. The Director (Corporate Planning), HUDCO, "HUDCO Bhavan", Indian Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003.
23. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee, Uttarkhand -247667

Copy to:- Guard Folder on JNNURM


(G. Srinivasa Rao)
Under Secretary (JNNURM).
Tel.:- 23061519

**MINUTES OF THE 88th MEETING OF THE CENTRAL
SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF
THE SUB-MISSION ON BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN
POOR (BSUP) UNDER JAWAHARLAL NEHRU NATIONAL
URBAN RENEWAL MISSION (JNNURM)**

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 05th August, 2010


The 88th Meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee (CSMC) of the Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) was held under the Chairpersonship of Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation in New Delhi on 05^h August, 2010 at 3 PM in Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. The list of participants is at **Annexure- I.**

2.1. The Mission Director and Additional Secretary (JNNURM) welcomed the Chairperson and Members of the CSMC. He requested all the States/UTs/ULBs/implementing agencies to focus on quality implementation of all the housing projects for the poor and organize inspection of BSUP and IHSDP- projects systematically through independent/professional agencies/quality assurance teams for ensuring utmost quality in their execution. Such inspection should not wait for the inspection by the State or Central TPIM agencies. This would save time and money in rectifying any deficiencies/defects that might go unnoticed on account of lack of regular inspection at the appropriate time, but came to notice only at TPIM inspection stage.

2.2 The Mission Director (JNNURM) reiterated the important points emphasized by the Chairperson in the earlier meetings of CSMC/CSC for adherence/implementation by the States/UTs/ULBs (**Annexure-II**).

3.1 In her opening remarks, Secretary (HUPA) and Chairperson, CSMC stated that States/ULBs should take more serious efforts in not only executing projects sanctioned with the highest quality but also implementing the 3 pro-poor reforms by the issue of appropriate legal amendments/regulations and ensuring that the same are translated into practice. Secretary (HUPA) informed regarding (i) the Town Planning Scheme (TPS) in Gujarat under the Gujarat Town Planning Act under which 10% land is reserved for Socially and Economically Weaker Sections (SEWS) and (ii) the

1/87



new enactment of the State of Madhya Pradesh reserving 25% of developed land or commensurate housing for EWS/LIG segments in all housing colonies. She requested other States to take action to have similar enactments. Secretary (HUPA) was informed by the Mission Director that the State of Uttar Pradesh has issued a Government Order to make BSUP Fund non-lapsable. The Chairperson suggested that the State should enact a new law or issue a regulation under existing laws as GO can be modified at any point of time. She also suggested that all States should take effective steps to complete the projects sanctioned with most quality.

3.2 Regarding the proposed Rajiv Awas Yojana, Secretary (HUPA) said that all the States should take proactive action for slum survey, Slum MIS, GIS mapping of slums and preparation of Slum-free City Plans. They should also undertake capacity building at State level and cities for launching a Slum-free State/City Campaign. Necessary financial assistance for the same has already been released to some states. Other states should submit proposals for release of funds under Slum free City Planning Scheme immediately.

3.3 Regarding the implementation of the Scheme of Affordable Housing in Partnership, Secretary (HUPA) & Chairperson, CSC informed that the main purpose of the scheme is to promote inclusive development of housing on a Central-State-Local and Public-Private partnership basis and that the EWS and LIG segments have access to affordable homes. She informed that the Central Subsidy sanctioned for Affordable Housing in Partnership (AHP) projects should lead to a reduction in the cost of EWS and LIG houses. If the same does not take place, funding support from GoI, which is on reimbursement basis, cannot be taken for granted. Secretary (HUPA) desired that the appraising agencies should undertake a thorough review of the projects approved by the CSMC and before any release of funds under the scheme on reimbursement basis is undertaken, strict adherence of the projects to the stipulations in the scheme guidelines should be ensured.

4. OSD (JNNURM) and Director (NBO) requested the States/ULBs to put up a proper JNNURM logo on all the buildings constructed under BSUP and IHSDP. He reiterated the instructions of the Chairperson that in all functions relating to foundation stone-laying and inauguration of BSUP and IHSDP projects, the local MPs, MLAs, Mayors/Municipal Chairpersons and other dignitaries must be involved. Further, the Ministry must also be invited at appropriate levels and be closely involved in the organisation of these functions.

2/37-



5. For the CSMC Meeting, the following proposals were put up in the agenda:

(a) Proposal for 2nd instalment of ACA received from

i. Uttarakhand (3 projects – 2 projects in Dehradun; 1 project in Haridwar)

(b) Proposal for DPR preparation charges received from

i. Tamil Nadu (2 IHSDP schemes at Tiruppur and Namkkal)

(c) Proposal for additional infrastructure Component in BSUP Scheme received from

i. Uttar Pradesh (Lucknow)

(d) Proposal for approval of new project under Affordable Housing in Partnership:

i. Rajasthan (1 project in Jaipur)

Brief details of the agenda are at Annexure-III.

6. Proposal for 2nd instalment of ACA

Uttarakhand (3 projects – 2 projects in Dehradun; 1 project in Haridwar)

6.1 The representative of the State of Uttarakhand made a presentation on the proposal seeking release of 2nd instalment of ACA for three projects (2 projects in Dehradun; 1 project in Haridwar).

6.2 The Committee observed the following:

- There was a short release of Rs. 5.96 lacs State share in the Ram Mandir Kusth Ashram Project in Dehradun.
- Though, during 2009-10 the State Government earmarked 40% budget for basic services, the actual utilisation was only 15%. Further, no figures are given for 2010-11.

3/3.7

- With regard to implementation of 7 Point Charter, the benchmark for sanitation is slipping.
- With regard to earmarking of 20 to 25 per cent of land in public and private colonies, the State Government has passed only Government order and not brought out a legislation.

6.3 The representative of the State informed that the un-utilised funds under internal earmarking for BSUP will be carried forward to the next financial year. He also informed that the necessary orders have been issued to all the ULBs for earmarking of municipal budget for basic services. He assured that with regard to earmarking of at least of 20-25% developed land in all housing projects for EWS/LIG category, steps for converting the Government order into legislation would be taken up soon and that the matter has been taken up with private developers also. As regards the implementation of the three pro-poor reforms in Haridwar, the representative of the State informed that the household survey for the implementation of 7 Point Charter has started and would be completed in three months time.

6.4 The Committee approved the proposals for release of 2nd instalment of ACA for the projects at (i) Shanti Kushth Ashram (Malin Basti) Dehradun (ii) Ram Mandir Kushth Ashram (Malin Basti), Dehradun (iii) Pandeywala, Haridwar. However, in respect of Ram Mandir Kushth Ashram project the 2nd installment will be released after the State releases its share in full.

Details of the approval are at Annexure-IV (Col. A, B, and C).

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of 2nd installment of ACA for Shanti Kushth Ashram (Malin Basti) Dehradun and Pandeywala, Haridwar. In respect of Ram Mandir Kushth Ashram project the 2nd installment will be released after the State releases its State share in full; State to take action on the observation/decision of the Committee)

7. Proposal for DPR preparation charges received from

Tamil Nadu (1 IHSDP project each at Tiruppur & Namakkal)

4/3

7.1 OSD (JNNURM & RAY) made a presentation on the proposal seeking reimbursement of DPR preparation charges amounting to Rs. 1,94,995/- in respect of 2 IHSDP schemes at Tiruppur and Namakkal (approved in 13th & 31st CSC meeting respectively).

7.2 The Committee approved the proposal after ascertaining the view of the appraising agency. Details of the approval are at Annexure-V. The approved amount will be recommended to the Ministry of Finance for release to the State Government which would ensure that the work done/payment is in accordance with the stipulated guidelines.

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of DPR preparation charges)

8. Proposal for additional infrastructure Component in BSUP Scheme received from

Uttar Pradesh

8.1 The representative of the State made a presentation on the proposal seeking central assistance in BSUP scheme in Lucknow (Gram Para, Kharika, Hewat Mau & Semra Gauri), which was approved in 8th CSMC meeting held on dated 29th December 2006. The request of the State for consideration of supplementary project for additional infrastructure works was earlier deliberated in 87th CSMC meeting held on 14.7.2010 and the Committee directed the State Government to submit the supplementary proposal to the appraisal agency for placing in the CSMC subject to availability of ACA.

8.2 The Committee observed the following:

- The technical reports have not been signed by the Competent Authority. Administrative and Technical check-list and summary of project (sanctioned and new proposal) indicating physical and financial parameters have not been signed by the SLNA/Implementing Agency.
- In the Layout Plans the infrastructure facilities/services proposed have not been indicated and also not signed by the Competent Authority.

5/37

8.3 The representative of the State informed that the above requirements would be complied immediately. The Committee observed that the ACA allocation under BSUP for Uttar Pradesh is already exhausted. The State representative stated that ACA allocation earmarked for IHSDP may be diverted to BSUP. However, Additional Secretary and Mission Director (JNNURM) observed that since the fund requirement for this proposal is small, the same could be sanctioned from the 5% BSUP funds for A&OE set apart already.

8.4 The Committee while reviewing the progress made on the implementation of reforms in the State of U.P. observed that the release of further installments of ACA be linked to the progress of implementation of three pro-poor reforms.

8.5 The Committee approved the proposal for additional infrastructure Component in BSUP Scheme in Lucknow (Gram Para, Kharika, Hewat Mau & Semra Gauri), subject to the conditions mentioned in para 8.2. Details of the approval are at Annexure-VI.

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of ACA from the 5% BSUP A&OE funds pooled at central level after receipt of formal communication fulfilling the requirements mentioned at para 8.2 ; State to take action on the observation/ decision of the Committee)


9. Proposal for approval of new project under Affordable Housing in Partnership:

Rajasthan

9.1 The representative of State of Rajasthan made a presentation on one project on Affordable Housing in Partnership at Kalwar Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

9.2 The Committee noted that the benefit of subsidy to EWS/LIG categories under the current proposal is very small and the burden of loan on these beneficiaries is fairly substantial. Since the revised guidelines for the Affordable Housing in Partnership scheme

6/33



proposed to be dovetailed with the newly announced Rajiv Awas Yojana (RAY), enhancing the financial limit are under consideration in the Ministry, the Committee advised the State Government to submit the proposal once the Guidelines of RAY are finalized and circulated. The proposal was deferred accordingly.

10. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.


7/37



**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE 88th MEETING OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING &
MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF BSUP HELD UNDER THE
CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SECRETARY (HUPA) ON 05.08.2010**

1. Ms. Kiran Dhingra, Secretary, in Chair
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
2. Dr. P.K. Mohanty, Add. Secretary & Mission Director (JNNURM), Min. of HUPA
3. Shri P.K. Srivastava, Joint Secretary & Mission Director, Min. of Urban Development
4. Shri D.S. Negi, OSD (JNNURM&RAY), Min. of HUPA
5. Shri Vivek Nangia, Director (JNNURM), Min. of HUPA
6. Shri L.P. Sonkar, Sr. Advisor (HUD), Planning Commission
7. Shri Naresh K Dhiran, Town Planner, TCPO, Min. of Urban Development
8. Shri M Sankaranarayan, Dy. Advisor (PHE), CPHEEV, Min. of Urban Development
9. Shri A S Parmar, Astd. Director, Department of Expenditure, Min. of Finance
10. Dr R Venkateswaran, Secretary LSG, Govt. of Rajasthan
11. Shri S D Thanvi, Chief General Manager, AVL, Jaipur, Rajasthan
12. Shri Sanjay Gupta, AVL, Rajasthan
13. Shri M D Mathur, Municipal Commissioner, Shri Ganga Nagar, Rajasthan
14. Shri S D Swami, E.O, M.B Anupgarh, Rajasthan
15. Shri P. K Bansal, J.E.N, M.B Anupgarh, Rajasthan
16. Shri Suresh Chandra Maheshwari, E.O, M.B Berengar, Rajasthan
17. Shri G L Garg, E.O, M.B, Nimbahera, Rajasthan
18. Shri S. L. Amelta, J.E.N, M.B, Nimbahera, Rajasthan
19. Shri Prabhulal Bhabar, JE, MB BSW, Rajasthan
20. Shri Pradeep Kumar Garg, AEN, Nagar Palika Chittorgarh, Rajasthan
21. Shri Jaideep Dullar, Consultant, Rajasthan
22. Ms. Megha Agarwal, Consultant, Rajasthan
23. Ms. Alka, Consultant, Rajasthan
24. Shri Rajiv Pandey, UD Directorate, Dehradun, Uttarakhand
25. Shri Ravi Pandey, Nagar Nigam, Dehradun
26. Shri Rabindra Agarwal, UD dept., Ranchi, Govt. of Jharkhand
27. Shri Ganga Ram, General Manager, GRDA, Ranchi, Jharkhand
28. Shri Chintamani, Director, SUDA, U.P
29. Shri R K Mishra, UP Housing & Development Board, Lucknow, U.P
30. Ms. Usha P. Mahavir, Deputy Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi
31. Ms. Radha Roy, Deputy Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi
32. Shri N Chandrasekhar, HUDCO, Jaipur, Rajasthan
33. Shri Praveen K. K., HUDCO, Jaipur, Rajasthan
34. Shri D L Srivastava, Regional Chief, HUDCO, J&K
35. Shri Ashwani Bhagat, EDPA, HUDCO, J&K
36. Shri Pankaj Gupta, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi
37. Shri C N Jha, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi
38. Shri Umraw Singh, Dy. Director, Min. of HUPA
39. Ms. Philomena Raphael, AO (JNNURM), Min. of HUPA
40. Mr. G. Srinivasa Rao, Under Secretary (JNNURM), Min. of HUPA

8/37



**IMPORTANT POINTS REGARDING FORMULATION,
EXECUTION & MONITORING OF BSUP AND IHSDP
PROJECTS**

CDPs and Slum Development Plans

- The first generation CDPs have not addressed the requirements of housing and infrastructure in slums/low-income settlements adequately. States/UTs should revisit their CDPs, identify all the slums in their cities and towns – both notified and non-notified, develop database, and assess the needs for both *in situ* and relocation projects. As far as possible, priority should be accorded to *in situ* development of slums, keeping the livelihood needs of the urban poor in view. Time-bound Slum Development Plans need to be prepared for all cities and towns to pursue the agenda of Slum-free Cities/Towns in view of the mandatory reform regarding the provision of entitlements and amenities to the urban poor in accordance with the 7-Point Charter of JNNURM.

Slum & Socio-economic Surveys

- Survey of slums and potential beneficiaries for coverage under BSUP and IHSDP projects is a must for the meaningful formulation of DPRs. All efforts should be made for the proper conduct of detailed slum surveys and household socio-economic surveys to identify the projects/facilities to be included under DPRs. The SLNAs concerned would be responsible for the conduct of various surveys under the guidance of the State Secretary concerned. OSD (JNNURM) will coordinate the conduct of surveys in BSUP cities/towns and other cities and towns covered / proposed to be covered under IHSDP. He will communicate necessary guidelines / formats for the conduct of Slum, Household and Livelihoods Surveys in States/UTs. Training programmes as required for effective survey works should be organised by SLNAs, coordinated by OSD (JNNURM).
- Surveys would facilitate the assessment of the felt-needs of slum-dwellers/urban poor, especially for housing and physical and social infrastructure including schools, health care centres and other social /community facilities like community halls, common facility centres etc. Such surveys should cover health, education and livelihood profiles of the urban poor. The survey findings must be utilized for designing good BSUP/IHSDP projects, taking into account important aspects such as dependency load in the existing schools, capacity in hospitals for in- and out-patients, need for multi-purpose

9/37

community centres including livelihood centres, informal sector markets, etc. depending on the occupational profiles of the beneficiaries and the likely numbers.

- In case there is time constraint, *regular slum survey and household socio-economic survey* can be preceded by *rapid slum and socio-economic surveys* for the purposes of assessing the state of basic amenities in slums and the felt-needs of beneficiaries, duly considering their broad social, economic, educational and skill profiles, main and subsidiary occupations etc. so that appropriate physical and social infrastructure facilities can be proposed in the DPRs.
- Each DPR should be accompanied by a list of beneficiaries based on the socio-economic survey. Efforts should be made to develop slums inhabited predominantly by SCs, STs and other weaker sections living in sub-human conditions. States/ULBs should ensure that houses under BSUP and IHSDP are provided to the needy and the properly targeted sections. The list of beneficiaries should be notified and placed in the website of the ULB/JNNURM.
- States/UTs should go in for issues of bio-metric identity cards to beneficiaries based on the socio-economic survey and computerization of data/records. This is to ensure that they do not sell the dwelling units and squat elsewhere. Further, the States/ULBs may impose conditions that the houses constructed under JNNURM cannot be transferred over a specified period or that the same would be on a long-term lease. The possibility of sale/alienation/misuse of housing units constructed under BSUP and IHSDP should be prevented.

Consultation with Beneficiaries.

- Consultation with beneficiaries is a must before deciding on preparation of DPRs. Willingness of the beneficiaries should be taken for any rehabilitation/relocation projects proposed and also for payment of beneficiary contribution.
- Affordability of the urban poor should be kept foremost in view while working out beneficiary contribution. Any contribution amount beyond their financial capacity may lead to the imposition of undue burden on them. Therefore, special care needs to be taken while deciding upfront beneficiary contribution or EMI payment. States/UTs may arrange loans under Differential Rate of Interest scheme for beneficiaries to enable them to meet their share. Overall construction cost of the housing unit may also be kept at a minimum.

Mandatory Clearances/Approvals

10/3/7



- The State Level Nodal Agency/implementing bodies should ensure that the necessary clearances/approvals such as environmental clearance, Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) regulation clearance, land use clearance, etc. are in place before the DPRs are posed to the State Level Steering Committee/State Level Coordination Committee, as the case may be, for consideration. The CEO of the SLNA and State Secretary concerned should place the necessary technical clearances/approvals under various laws, including Environmental, Town Planning and Municipal laws, etc. and certificate that the estimates contained in the DPRs are authenticated/certified by the technically competent authorities under the State PWD Core/rules before the SLSC/SLCC.
- The layouts proposed for housing colonies under BSUP and IHSDP, showing various land uses and facilities proposed must conform to the prevalent Town Planning Rules/norms, as applicable to low income housing/informal settlements. Copies of layouts and housing designs must invariably accompany DPRs when the same are sent to Appraising Agencies.

Housing & Infrastructure Components

- The Ministry of Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation has circulated Model DPR document to all States/UTs. The same is also placed in the Ministry's web site for JNNURM. A 'whole slum' approach will need to be adopted covering provision of land tenure, affordable housing and basic services. The whole slum proposed for development/redevelopment/relocation should be de-notified after the BSUP or IHSDP project is implemented. However, considering the difficulties in practice and special needs of the urban poor at some locations, clusters having more than 15 housing units can also be considered. Under the whole slum approach, it must be ensured that pucca houses left out of housing programme should be provided with individual toilet facilities with a view to achieving total sanitation.
- The housing component should generally be at least 50% of the total project cost with a view to achieving the targets fixed under the Mission and also giving primacy to the provision of shelter to the urban poor except in cases where housing units have already been constructed/are being constructed under VAMBAY or other EWS scheme of Central or State Governments.
- Adequate provision should be made for water supply, sewerage, drainage, solid and liquid waste disposal in the colonies proposed for development under BSUP or IHSDP. Individual water and sewer connection should be provided. Digester technology, where

11/37



immediate connections to city sewer systems are not feasible, could be adopted in the place of dual-pits/septic tanks, wherever feasible.


Education, Health & Other Facilities

- Proposals for additional schools or additional rooms in existing schools must be part of the DPRs. The capacity of the existing schools to absorb the children from colonies being developed under BSUP and IHS DP needs to be carefully studied. The estimate of school-going children (including those from the new colonies) and demand for classrooms in terms of prevailing norms, capacity in existing schools and the additional capacity required should be worked out.
- Similar exercise should be done for providing health care facilities – hospitals, health centres, maternity centres etc.
- Action needs to be taken to ensure that other community infrastructure facilities like electrical sub-stations, transformers, water supply reservoirs, parks, playgrounds, bus stops, local markets, post offices, etc., are also made available to the poor.
- Detailed estimates of community facility requirements as per planning norms, availability and gaps to be addressed have to be prepared at the initial stage of project preparation itself.

Colony Layouts & Housing Designs

- The colony layout plan should be socially cohesive and should facilitate social interaction amongst the dwellers. Efforts should be made for providing at 30% open areas along with 15% organised green area in the layouts.
- Adequate space must be provided in the layouts for community facilities including social and livelihoods infrastructure. The layout plan must include designated space to take care of convergent services such as health, education and recreation, informal sector markets, livelihood centres, pens for animals (if permitted and required) etc. in accordance with the specific needs of each of the slum pockets and their beneficiaries.
- The houses proposed should have two rooms, balcony, kitchen and separate bathroom and latrine, individual water connection and sewer connection. Aspects such as storage space for keeping things in rooms/kitchen, location of kitchen, location of toilet and bathroom in the houses to facilitate privacy, independent access from both rooms to toilet and bathroom, leaving a small space for fitting exhaust fan in kitchen and toilet, balcony for drying clothes etc., are some of the nuances that can be thoughtfully incorporated in the design of the houses for the poor.

12/37



- In the case of more than G+3 structures, provisions for ramp/lifts may be made to ensure that the old, disabled and children are not put to inconvenience.
- While priority should be given to accommodate physically challenged beneficiaries in the colonies, suitable barrier-free environments need to be provided for them in the proposed projects.
- The Ministry of HUPA, with the help of HUDCO and BMTPC, has developed good designs of houses, colonies, ramps and various types of social infrastructure facilities which could be appropriately used while formulating project proposals. A Toolkit has also been published in this regard. The same may be referred to.
- The State Level Nodal Agency/ULBs/other implementing agencies may adopt innovative designs for layouts and houses for the poor, multi-purpose community centres, informal sector markets, animal pens, etc. not only in BSUP and IHSDP projects but also in their own programmes taken up by Housing Corporations/Boards etc. duly considering the models presented by HUDCO and BMTPC in the Toolkit published by the Ministry.

Developing Green Habitats

- States/UTs should take action to develop green habitats for the urban poor duly providing as many green belts, parks, avenue plantations, etc. as possible. Road-side plantations with tree guards and block plantations in the colonies taken up under BSUP and IHSDP should be given priority by the ULBs/Departments dealing with Parks, Plantations and Urban Forests.
- Tall seedlings, say 4-5 years old may be procured and planted in BSUP and IHSDP colonies so that they get established quickly without the need for careful nurture and maintenance.

Connectivity to City Infrastructure

- The infrastructure networks being developed under BSUP and IHSDP should invariably be integrated or planned to be integrated with trunk-line city infrastructure facilities, either already existing or being developed under UIG / UIDSSMT or State Government programmes in accordance with CDPs.
- The States / ULBs should ensure proper coordination amongst various agencies engaged in the implementation of JNNURM (UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP) and other schemes to make sure that slums and low-income communities are linked to city-wide infrastructure systems. The project appraisal teams for UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP must ensure such type of linkages.

13/37

- Infrastructure facilities provided to slum-dwellers/urban poor in the BSUP and IHSDP colonies should not be inferior to those in the surrounding areas.

O&M - Maintenance of Assets

- Proper maintenance of assets and upkeep of cleanliness and hygiene in the housing complexes / colonies developed under BSUP and IHSDP should be given utmost importance. States/UTs must develop viable and sustainable mechanisms for the maintenance of the houses and common infrastructure facilities created under BSUP and IHSDP through suitable mechanisms such as colony welfare associations, local body-residents partnerships, institutional arrangements of collection of monthly maintenance charges etc.
- Wherever informal sector markets are taken up as a part of social infrastructure facilities in colonies, their operation on a time-sharing basis by inhabitants or their associations can be considered by the ULB concerned for enabling wider coverage of beneficiaries, without allotting space to any one person permanently.

Prime Minister's New 15-Point Programme for Welfare of Minorities

- An important objective of the new programme is to ensure that the benefits of various government schemes for the underprivileged reach the disadvantaged sections of the minority communities. In this regard, care should be taken to take up clusters of minority beneficiaries to the extent possible. Wherever feasible, efforts should be made to allocate up to 15% of targets under BSUP and IHSDP for the minorities.

Appraisal Check Lists

- Responsibility for the technical specifications in DPRs (adherence to State PWD Code and Government Orders) and their endorsement/approval by the competent authority lies with the ULB/implementing authority/State Level Nodal Agency. The appraisal agencies must also ensure that the technical specifications are duly certified by the technically competent authorities as per State Government Public Works Code/Government Orders. Authenticated estimates (rates and bills of quantities) duly signed by appropriate authorities must accompany the DPRs/Appraisal Reports.
- The SLNAs/Appraisal Agencies should specially devote attention to the following aspects:
 - Land availability for housing the poor – verification of ownership;

14/37

- Land tenure status – patta, temporary patta, occupancy right etc.;
- Availability of State share, ULB share, Beneficiary contribution as per Guidelines of BSUP/IHSDP;
- Willingness of beneficiaries to pay for their contribution.
- Housing design – two rooms, kitchen, balcony, individual toilet, individual water connection - refer to the Design Manual circulated by the Ministry;
- Adherence to town planning norms – Layout plans/designs should conform to town planning regulations applicable and be duly signed by competent municipal authority/SLNA officer;
- Provision of adequate open space in layouts – for green belts, parks, playgrounds, avenue plantations, roads etc.;
- Authentication by competent engineering authority as per State Government PWD Code/Order duly signed by engineers of appropriate level and SLNA Chief Executive Officer;
- Identification of eligible beneficiaries - process for issue of biometric identity cards must be completed within one month after sanction of projects, in general;
- Rapid/detailed socio-economic survey of beneficiaries – details to be provided;
- Proper identification of needs of community infrastructure
 - Provision of required civic infrastructure including social infrastructure such as community hall, livelihood centre, information sector market, animal pen, etc. Estimation of requirements must be based on judicious norms.
 - Connectivity of slum infrastructure facilities with city-wide trunk infrastructure networks – water supply, sewerage, storm drainage, roads etc. – ensuring connectivity of local infrastructure being taken up under BSUP/IHSDP with facilities being created under UIG/UIDSSMT.
- Availability/provision of basic amenities like post offices, bus stops, transport services, local shopping complexes, electricity transformers, sub-stations, water supply overhead tanks/ground level service reservoirs etc.
- SLSC/SLCC approval, project-wise (not in a block) with all details clearly indicating estimates, plans, availability of State share and agreement to meet cost escalation.

15/37

Time & Cost Over-runs

- The BSUP and IHSDP projects are generally required to be completed in 12 to 15 months. In the case of Special Category States/UTs and projects requiring special considerations, 3 to 6 months additional period could be provided. It is expected that any escalation in the project cost would be borne by the State Government/ULB concerned.
- For reducing escalation in the cost of projects, the following options could be exercised by the implementing agencies:-
 - i) Purchasing materials (cement, steel, sanitary pipes, electrical items) in bulk, wherever considered prudent and feasible with a view to reducing cost;
 - ii) Encouraging labour contribution from the beneficiaries under the supervision of qualified technical personnel;
 - iii) Bifurcating tendering (between housing component and infrastructure component) with a view to reducing the possibility of time and cost overruns; and
 - iv) Creating/using a revolving "Basic Services for Urban Poor (BSUP) Fund" earmarked out of the municipal budget and supplemented by other innovative measures like cross-subsidization, State Government support etc. for meeting cost escalation.

Setting up of PMU/PIUs

- The States/UTs should submit proposals to OSD (JNNURM) who will get the same appraised and bring up before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. Transparent methods should be adopted in the selection and appointment of professionals in PMUs and PIUs. Such appointments should not be permanent in nature but only in terms of short-term engagements. No appointment should be seen as a place for parking the dead-wood. States/UTs must take all care to ensure that the PMU/PIUs have competent personnel.
- Each appointment to PMU/PIU should be based on prescribed terms of reference and the deliverables should be measured. Various activities, tasks and outcomes have to be clearly spelt out in the TORs. States/ULBs should exercise utmost caution in making such appointments on a contract basis. They should try and ensure minimum expenditure by selecting / appointing professionals at an appropriate remuneration rather than immediately opting for the maximum amount indicated by the Centre. However, the calibre of such professionals should be of a reasonably high level. If need be, qualified persons from Central/State Government/ULBs could be

16/37



taken in PMU/PIU on deputation. The personnel with PMUs/ PIUs should work in tandem/collaboration with the State Level Nodal Agency / ULBs. These personnel should also assist in the implementation of other programmes like SJSRY.


Fees for Preparation of DPRs

- The States/UTs should submit proposals for reimbursement of fees to the concerned Appraisal Agency which had appraised the projects. The Appraisal Agency has a crucial role in examining the claim with particular reference to the various stages of improvement and modifications that were brought out in the DPRs before they were finally approved by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. The Appraisal Agency should submit report to the Ministry for releasing Central Assistance for reimbursement of charges towards the cost of preparation of DPRs (both in the case of DPRs prepared by in-house personnel as well as by consultants). These will be considered by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. After approval, recommendation will be sent to the Ministry of Finance/Ministry of Home Affairs for releasing Central Assistance out of the ACA allocation for the particular State/UT in the case of projects prepared by consultants.
- The Central Assistance for DPRs prepared through in-house personnel of the States would be released from out of the 1% JNNURM fund in the Budget of Ministry of HUPA as decided in the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee /Central Sanctioning Committee Meetings earlier. While sending proposals for reimbursement of project preparation charges, the appraising agency must ensure that two copies of DPRs (duly revised based on decisions of CSMC/CSC) are provided to OSD (JNNURM) for record. The Appraising Agency should also keep two copies of DPRs with it in safe custody so as to be able to meet requests for information under the RTI Act, 2005.

Community Development Network (CDN)

- The States / UTs should prioritise and get necessary approval from SLSC/SLCC to the proposals concerning Community Development Network (CDN) so as to seek support from the Community Participation Fund. Such proposals received in the Ministry of HUPA will be appraised by a team working under the GOI-UNDP Project on National Strategy for Urban Poor coordinated by the National Project Coordinator/Deputy Secretary (JNNURM). The reports will then be placed before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee for consideration.

17/37



- Community Development Networks involving Neighbourhood Groups, Neighbourhood Committees and Community Development Societies should be promoted so that the dynamics of the CDN lead to fraternity in the neighbourhoods and issues of alienation of all sorts could be eliminated. CDN should work towards better inter- and intra- relationships in colonies to get over the dividing forces. This will strengthen a feeling of solidarity among the residents.

Quality in Execution of Projects

- Housing for the poor does not mean poor quality housing. Utmost emphasis must be accorded to the quality execution of houses and infrastructure facilities for the poor. High quality construction, functional units, vector-free atmosphere and healthy living environment should be ensured in the housing projects under BSUP/IHSDP. States/UTs should establish both internal and external quality assurance mechanisms in the case of all BSUP and IHSDP projects.
- State Secretaries in charge of BSUP and IHSDP should ensure that all the projects approved are inspected by independent high level teams from time to time to ensure quality in execution and timely completion of projects through removal of all hurdles
- Beneficiary committees must be constituted to supervise construction of houses. States/UTs should take steps for conducting social audit of projects under BSUP and IHSDP similar to NREGA.

Third Party Inspection and Monitoring (TPIM)

- TPIM should be instituted to bring in transparency and quality in the implementation of all BSUP and IHSDP projects. The Ministry will be providing necessary financial and technical assistance to the States / UTs for TPIM. A Toolkit has been prepared and communicated to the States /UTs.
- Those States/UTs who have not been able to establish TPIMA for BSUP and IHSDP projects may use the services of independent review and monitoring agencies engaged for UIG, UIDSSMT or other programmes.
- Before final instalment is released under BSUP and IHSDP projects, TPIM or quality inspection report until such time a TPIMA is engaged, will be required from the concerned States/UTs unless the CSMC/CSC is of the opinion that the release need not be stopped for the present in the interest of completing houses for the urban poor, who have contributed their share.

Capacity Building Activities

18/37



- In the year 2006-07, the Ministry of HUPA had released fund to the State Governments for capacity building activities including research and training towards implementation of BSUP and IHSDP projects. Unless the States/UTs submit utilisation certificates for the funds released earlier, further releases of Central Assistance would be held up, as utilisation certificates have to be furnished within 12 months from the date of closure of the financial year to which financial sanction pertains.
- Capacity building is one of the biggest constraints in the execution of projects and reforms under JNNURM. The States/UTs may take full advantage of the IEC facilities under JNNURM, the National Programme on Capacity Building for Urban Poverty Alleviation and the programme of National Network of Resource Centres (NNRCs).

City/Town Poverty Reduction Strategy

- The city of Rajkot (Gujarat) has brought out a City Poverty Reduction Strategy Report. Other cities/towns may bring out similar reports. They may prepare comprehensive Slum Development Plans with a view to pursuing the goal of Slum-free City. The toolkit prepared by the National Strategy for the Urban Poor project may be referred to.
- States/UTs must develop agenda for Slum-free Cities and Towns and prepare and implement time-bound action plans with specified milestones for progress.

Key Reforms - Core to the Urban Poor

- Special attention should be paid for the implementation of the three key reforms stipulated under JNNURM that are critical to the urban poor: (i) internal earmarking within local body budgets for basic services to the urban poor; (ii) provision of basic services including the implementation of 7-Point Charter in accordance with agreed timelines; (iii) earmarking at least 20-25% of developed land in all housing projects (both public and private agencies) for EWS/LIG category with a system of cross subsidization. States/UTs must develop broad state level policy frameworks to facilitate the implementation of these reforms in all cities and towns.
- The issues of land availability for housing the urban poor and providing them security of land tenure are important issues to be addressed by States/UTs/ULBs if the goals of JNNURM are to be attained. States/UTs need to develop a policy framework including tangible reforms in master planning paradigm and process urgently.

19/37




Convergent Delivery of Social Services

- It is necessary to integrate provisions of health, education and social security with land tenure, housing and other amenities for the urban poor to enable them to lead a decent quality of life. Urban Local Bodies and State Governments have a critical role to play to ensure the proper convergence of facilities under the already available schemes for education, health, social security, etc. implemented through different Departments/Agencies. The DPRs should list out the deficiencies in terms of access to school, primary health centre, provision of social welfare and other facilities so that timely remedial measures can be taken up in accordance with the socio-economic survey. Provision of adequate infrastructure by way of school/additional class rooms and health care centres should be taken at the formulation of the DPR itself. A mere statement that adequate number of schools/health centres is available in the vicinity of the proposed housing colony would not be sufficient. The State/ULB/implementing agencies concerned should certify that such facilities available in the vicinity are also accessible to the slum dwellers. Similarly proper convergence of schemes in the realm of social security such as old age pension, widow pension, disability pensions, health insurance, maternity benefit scheme, etc. should be ensured to benefit the urban poor selected under JNNURM and other programmes.
- The States/UTs must take all steps to ensure the convergence of BSUP and IHSDP with other ongoing schemes such as UIG, UIDSSMT, Sarva Siksha Abhiyan, Health Mission, Aam Aadmi Bima Yojana, Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana, National Social Assistance Programme, Prime Minister's Employment Generation Programmes, SJSRY etc. Shelter and basic amenities to the urban poor may not suffice them to move above the poverty line. In particular, State Governments/ULBs should make effort to dovetail the implementation of SJSRY with JNNURM. This step would provide the urban poor with access to livelihoods and enable them to overcome poverty. Programmes for skill development, self-employment, community mobilization, development and empowerment are necessary to facilitate sustained improvements in the living conditions of the urban poor and develop 'inclusive' cities.

Projects for *in situ* Development

- States /UTs should come up with adequate number of projects for in-situ development with good lay-outs and type designs. The emphasis should be to provide a better and supportive atmosphere for living and working. The in-situ development projects should not

20/37


end up with creation of another cluster of unplanned houses without access to water, sanitation and social infrastructure. Suitable planning and infrastructure provision norms must be adopted. The quality of infrastructure provided to housing colonies under BSUP and IHSDP projects should not be inferior to those available for surrounding areas.

Handholding in Relocation

- In the case of relocation projects, the process of shifting to a new environment with inadequate facilities, near-loss of contact with close relatives and being far off from work places can be very traumatic. The States/UTs should engage social counsellors and Community Development Department personnel/Community Organisers in ULBs to work closely with the beneficiaries and ensure that the process of transition to the new multi-storeyed housing complex/environment/ location is as smooth as possible.
- Time-bound programmes must be implemented to provide all basic amenities to the urban poor in the relocation colonies, including city transport services and local market complexes.

Sense of Belongingness

- To create a sense of belongingness, the slums may be named in consultation with the intended beneficiaries. Provision of a low cost enclosure around open spaces in the slum pocket being covered under BSUP/IHSDP could be considered by States/ULBs, if the cost is not prohibitive.
- Beneficiaries must be closely involved in the planning, identification, implementation, monitoring, review and social audit of JNNURM projects.

IEC Activities – Awareness Building

- In a people-centric programme like BSUP and IHSDP under JNNURM, there is a need to generate awareness amongst both the targeted so that they are able to receive what is intended for them by the Government. Awareness needs to be generated amongst the non-targeted sections so as to improve urban policy and highlight how the concerns of the urban poor are very relevant to them. Any awareness campaign should have a national tone, tenor, appeal and recall value, backed by consistent and coherent slogans and themes. The States/ULBs could bring out advertisements in vernacular languages with local adaptation of the templates prepared by the Ministry of HUPA. States/ULBs should ensure that the local adaptation does not deviate from the letter and spirit of the national templates and the

21/37



messages being conveyed are only about the programme and related policy advocacy. They should also ensure that all such media campaigns are in accordance with the relevant rules and regulations applicable. Cost of such campaigns, in accordance with Government approved rates, would be reimbursed to the States/ULBs under the IEC component of JNNURM subject to limits fixed by CSMC/CSC. Reimbursement will be made if prior approval of the Mission Directorate/CSMC/CSC in the Ministry of HUPA was obtained before launching such campaign. Proposals for reimbursement of such expenditure will be submitted through HUDCO, which will put up the same to the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee for its consideration and approval of reimbursement through Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance or Ministry of Home Affairs, as the case may be.

Progress Reports on Implementation

- The States/ULBs should send Quarterly Progress Reports/ Monthly Progress Reports on projects as well as reforms as per prescribed formats, without fail to enable the Ministry to report to the Prime Minister's Office in time. Further, one page abstract on the status of implementation of projects and reforms must be presented before posing the details of project proposals in the meetings of Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee.
- State/UT Secretaries in charge of BSUP and IHSDP should take monthly review of the implementation of projects and reforms under BSUP and IHSDP. Copies of the minutes of such review meetings should be sent to the Mission Directorate in MoHUPA.

Focus on Urban Policy

- There is an urgent need for States/UTs to focus on broader urban policy and urban management reforms to address not only the backlog and current urban issues but also the challenges of future urban growth, say in the next 20-25 years, so that the conditions that led to urban decay are prevented well in time. We should not be in a situation where we are perpetually chasing slum upgradation; States/UTs should plan proactively in anticipation of the future patterns of urban growth due to the factors of rural-urban migration, urban-urban migration, reclassification and natural increase. Without the implementation of urban planning and local government reforms and capacity building for effective urban management, JNNURM would remain a mere infrastructure upgradation programme, and

22/37



none of the policy changes for vibrant, productive, sustainable and inclusive cities that JNNURM hopes to drive would materialize.

23/37



ANNEXURE - III
BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING
COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

Sl. No	Mission City, State	Project Title	Total Cost	Central Share	State Share	2nd Installment	Brief Summary	Page No.
A.	Dehradun / Uttarakhand	Proposal for Sanction of 2nd Installment - BSUP DPR for Shanti Kusth Ashram (Malin Basti) Dehradun, State of Uttarakhand				0.2744	<p align="center"><i>(Rs. in Crores)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The project had been approved in 52nd CSMC meeting held on 30.01.2009. ➤ The total project cost is Rs.1.3720 cr. with the Central Share is Rs 1.0976Cr. ➤ Total amount for 1st Installment of Central Share of Rs.0.2744 Cr. have already been released. ➤ The 2nd Installment recommended for release is Rs. 0.2744 cr. ➤ The Utilisation of Centre Share and state share is 97%. ➤ 28 DUs have been sanctioned for construction, tender floated and work order issued in all the sanctioned houses. Work started in 18 DUs. More than 50 % work completed in 18 Dus ➤ Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban poor- Target year of completion is 2011-12.. ➤ Funds for Basic Services to Urban Poor has been constituted. ➤ Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects -No housing Schemes have been taken up by the development authorities as yet. In future if any housing Scheme is launched then provision 	01 to 02

88th CS&MC meeting, dated : 05.08.2010 (Agenda Brief)

24/32


BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

(Rs. in Crores)

						<p>will be made for earmarking of developed land for EWS & LG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Beneficiaries identification has been done. ➤ Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under progress. ➤ TPIMA proposal submitted for approval of CSMC. ➤ Quality reported good as per TPIMA's observations with SLNA Comments. ➤ PMU/PIUs established and functional. ➤ Up-to-date data uploaded on IPOMS till April,2010 	
<p>B.</p> <p>Dehradun / Uttarakhand</p>	<p>Proposal for Sanction of 2nd Installment- BSUP DPR for Ram Mandir Kuth Ashram(Malin Basti),Dehradun, State of Uttarakand</p>				<p align="center">0.2795</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The project had been approved in 52nd CSMC meeting held on 30.01.2009. ➤ The total project cost is Rs.1.6364 cr. with the Central Share is Rs 1.1180Cr. ➤ Total amount for 1st Installment of Central Share of Rs.0.2795 Cr. have already been released. ➤ The 2nd Installment recommended for release is Rs. 0.2795 cr. ➤ The Utilisation of Centre Share and state share is 100%. ➤ 27 DUs have been sanctioned for construction , tender floated and work order issued & Work started in all the sanctioned houses. More than 50 % work completed in 	<p align="center">03 to 04</p>

88th CS&MC meeting, dated : 05.08.2010 (Agenda Brief)

25/37



**BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING
COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)**

(Rs. in Crores)

						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ ZDUs and 25-50% completed in 6 DUs and 14 DUs are fully completed. ➤ Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects – No housing Schemes have been taken up by the development authorities as yet. In future if any housing Scheme is launched then provision will be made for earmarking of developed land for EWS & LG. ➤ Beneficiaries identification has been done. ➤ Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under progress. ➤ TPIMA proposal submitted for approval of CSMC. ➤ PMU/PIUs established and functional. ➤ Up-to-date data uploaded on IPOMS till April, 2010 	
C.	Haridwar Uttarakhand	Proposal for Sanction of 2nd Installment- Detailed Project Report on "Pandeywala, Haridwar, State of Uttarakhand			0.7240	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The project had been approved in 31st CSMC meeting held on 13.02.2008. ➤ The total project cost is Rs.3.6199 cr. with the Central Share is Rs.2.8959Cr. ➤ Total amount for 1st Installment of Central Share of Rs.0.7240 Cr. have already been released. ➤ The 2nd Installment recommended for release is Rs. 0.7240 cr. ➤ The Utilisation of Centre Share is 100% and state share is 	05 to 06

88th CS&MC meeting, dated : 05.08.2010 (Agenda Brief)

[Signature]

26/37


BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

(Rs. in Crores)

D.	Tiruppur & Namakkal/ Tamil Nadu	Proposal for Sanction of DPR Charges in respect of 2 IHSDP Schemes of Tamil	0.0195	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 97%. ➤ Due to cost escalation project cost of 4.35 Cr was approved by the State Govt. & State has borne the additional cost. ➤ Physical progress not reported in terms of no. of DUs & no. of amenities in progress. ➤ Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects –No housing Schemes have been taken up by the development authorities as yet. In future if any housing Scheme is launched then provision will be made for earmarking of developed land for EWS & LG. ➤ Beneficiaries identification has been done. ➤ Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under progress. ➤ TPIMA proposal submitted for approval of CSMC. ➤ PMU/PIUs established and functional. ➤ Up-to-date data uploaded on IPOMS. 	07
----	---------------------------------	---	--------	---	----

88th CS&MC meeting, dated : 05.08.2010 (Agenda Brief)

For

 27/37

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

(Rs. in Crores)

	Nadu, namely Tiruppur & Namakkal					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The Project has already been approved in 8th CSMC meeting. ➤ SLCC approval has been obtained. ➤ Elected local body is in existence. ➤ Socio –Economic Survey has been conducted. ➤ Agency has indicated that 1488 houses are under progress, out of which roof is laid in 1188 houses with certain finishing works. ➤ The land is in the name of the Nagar Panchayat and handed over to DUDA. ➤ UPPWD SOR 2009 Lucknow Circle is adopted. ➤ Agency's request for consideration of supplementary project for additional infrastructure works based on current SOR was discussed and deliberated in 87th CSMC meeting held on 14.07.2010. Accordingly, the committee directed the state to submit the supplementary proposal for consideration of the CSMC. ➤ Administrative & technical Checklist and summary of project (sanctioned & New proposal) indicating Physical and financial parameters have not been signed by the SLNA / Implementing agency. 	
E.	Lucknow/ Uttar Pradesh	11.67	5.40	6.27	1.35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Proposal for Additional Infrastructure Component in BSUP Scheme of Lucknow (Gram Para, Kharika, Hewat Mau & Semra Gauri) Uttar Pradesh 	08 to 24

88th CS&MC meeting, dated : 05.08.2010 (Agenda Brief)

N 28/37

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

(Rs. in Crores)

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out. ➤ Agency has informed that the area/beneficiaries covered under the scheme had not been benefited previously in any Central/ State Government Scheme. ➤ The duration of project is 15 months. 	
Total		11.67	5.40	6.27	2.6474	

29/37

88th CS&MC meeting, dated : 05.08.2010 (Agenda Brief)



City/State : Dehradun/Uttarakhand

Project Title: BSUP DPR for Shanti Kusth Ashram (Malin Basti), Dehradun, State of Uttarakhand

Financial Assessment:

(₹ Lakhs)

1	CSMC Approval	52 nd CSMC/30.01.2009			
2	Total Project Cost	137.20			
3	Central Share	109.76			
4	State Share	27.44			
		Due	Released	Utilized	%age Utilized vis-a-vis Due Released
5	Central Share	27.44	27.44	33.17	96.71% 96.71%
6	State Share	6.86	6.86		
7	TOTAL:-	34.30	34.30	33.17	96.71% 96.71%
8	Amount Sought (₹)	102.90 Lakh			
9	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (₹)	27.44 Lakh			

Physical Progress:

Sl.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	28	7
2	Tender Floated	28	7
3	Work Order Issued	28	7
4	Work Started	18	2
5	Upto 25% Completed	0	1
6	25-50% Completed	0	0
7	More than 50% completed	18	0
8	Fully Completed	0	1
9	Occupied	0	---

Reform Status:

1	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor (b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	Details as reported by the state is at annexure-I
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	
3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	

CSMC Conditions:

No Conditions

Other Aspects:

i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes
ii.	Whether list of beneficiaries published on the state website :-	Under Process
iii.	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	Under Process
iv.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	Proposal submitted for approval of CSMC
v.	Quality:-	Laboratory test report for C.C. Cubes, Bricks & Coarse Sand has been submitted without SLNA's comments.
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes
vii.	Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPoMS :-	April 2010

30/37

City/State : Dehradun/Uttarakhand

Project Title: BSUP DPR for Ram Mandir Kusth Ashram (Malin Basti), Dehradun, State of Uttarakhand

Financial Assessment:

(₹ Lakhs)

1	CSMC Approval	52 nd CSMC/30.01.2009			
2	Total Project Cost	163.64			
3	Central Share	111.80			
4	State Share	51.84			
		Due	Released	Utilized	%age Utilized vis-a-vis Due Released
5	Central Share	27.95	27.95	34.86	85.21% 99.74%
6	State Share	12.96	7.00		
7	TOTAL:-	40.91	34.95	34.86	85.21% 99.74%
8	Amount Sought (₹)	128.69 Lakh			
9	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (₹)	27.95 Lakh			

○ Short release of ₹ 5.96 Lakh in matching state share release

Physical Progress:

Sl.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	27	9
2	Tender Floated	27	9
3	Work Order Issued	27	9
4	Work Started	27	6
5	Upto 25% Completed	0	3
6	25-50% Completed	6	3
7	More than 50% completed	7	0
8	Fully Completed	14	0
9	Occupied	0	---

Reform Status:

1	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor (b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	Details as reported by the state is at annexure-I
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	
3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	

CSMC Conditions:

No Conditions

Other Aspects:

i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes
ii.	Whether list of beneficiaries published on the state website :-	Under Process
iii.	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	Under Process
iv.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	Proposal submitted for approval of CSMC
v.	Quality:-	Laboratory test report for C.C. Cubes, Bricks & Coarse Sand has been submitted without SLNA's comments.
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes
vii.	Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPoMS :-	April 2010

31/37

City/State : Haridwar/Uttarakhand

Project Title: Detail Project Report for "Pandeywala, Haridwar, State of Uttarakhand, BSUP".

Financial Assessment:

(₹ Lakhs)

1	CSMC Approval	31 st CSMC/13.02.2008				
2	Total Project Cost	361.99				
3	Central Share	289.59				
4	State Share	72.40				
		Due	Released	Utilized	%age Utilized vis-a-vis	
					Due	Released
5	Central Share	72.40	72.40	72.40	100.00%	100.00%
6	State Share	18.10	91.01	88.46	488.72%	97.20%
7	TOTAL:-	90.50	163.41	160.86	177.75%	98.44%
8	Amount Sought (₹)	72.40 Lakh				
9	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (₹)	72.40 Lakh				

*Due to cost escalation revised project cost of ₹ 434.90 Lakh was approved by the State Government & the State has borne the additional cost of ₹ 72.91 Lakh.

Physical Progress:

Sl.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	23.08.2008	23.08.2008
2	Tender Floated	14.02.2009	14.02.2009
3	Work Order Issued	25.07.2009	25.07.2009
4	Work Started	27.07.2009	27.07.2009
5	Upto 25% Completed	March 2010	March 2010
6	25-50% Completed	June 2010	June 2010
7	More than 50% completed	July 2010	July 2010
8	Fully Completed	Jan 2011	Jan 2011
9	Occupied	NIL	---

*Physical progress not reported in terms of no. of DUs & nos. of amenities in progress

Reform Status:

1	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor (b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	Details as reported by the state is at annexure-I
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	
3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	

CSMC Conditions:

Socio Economic survey may be conducted and based on the surey bio-metric details of the beneficiaries should be prepared within 1 month	Survey is proposed from July 2010
---	-----------------------------------

Other Aspects:

i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes
ii.	Whether list of beneficiaries publised on the state website :-	Yes
iii.	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	Socio Economic Survey conducted for identification of beneficiaries
iv.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	Under Process
v.	Quality:-	Laboratory test report for C.S. of C.C. Cubes & bricks, F.M. of Sand & Grading of Grit has been submitted without SLNA's comments.
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes
vii.	Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPoMS :-	Yes

32/37

BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER AFFORDABLE HOUSING

(Rs. In Crores)

Sl. No	State/ULB	Project Title	Total project cost approved	Central Share approved	State Share/ Beneficiaries approved	1 st /2 nd Installment	Brief Summary	Page No.
A.	Jaipur/ Rajasthan	Affordable Housing Project at Kalwar Road Jaipur, Rajasthan	25.59	0.96	24.63	25.59	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ SLSC approval status not indicated. ➤ Elected Local Body is in existence. ➤ The housing component of this Scheme has already been approved by CSC in the 51st meeting. ➤ Percentage of Housing and Infrastructure ratio is 85:15. ➤ The project is proposed to be developed by Private Agency M/s Bhairav Township Private Ltd on private land in partnership with Awas Vikas Ltd. ➤ The agency has adopted Rajasthan PWD BSR 2008-09 Jaipur Circle for cost estimation. ➤ Separate WC and bath has been proposed in the DUs. ➤ Beneficiaries list has not been furnished as the same is proposed to be firmed up through State Guidelines. ➤ No. of Unit 672 (288 EWS,176Lig,64-MIG-A,144MIG-B are proposed for construction. ➤ Sale price is approved by State Govt. ➤ The sale price is higher than the construction 	01 to 16

88th CS MC meeting, dated : 05.08.2010 (Agenda - Brief)



33/37

BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER AFFORDABLE HOUSING

(Rs. In Crores)

						cost (including internal and campus area infrastructure cost)for EWS, UG & MIG categories of houses. > The duration is 24 Months.	
	Total	25.59	0.96	24.63	25.59		

34/37

A

												(Rs. in Lakhs)		
	Name of the State	Name of the City	Name of the Project	Total Project Cost	Total Central share Approved	Total State Share Approved (Excluding other expenses)	1 st Installment of Central Share Released	Amount of Central Share utilized	% of Utilisation	Amount of State Share released	Amount of State Share utilized	% of Utilisation	Amount recommend ed for release as 2 nd installment of ACA	% of amount recommend ed to the total Central share approved
A	UTTARAKHAND													
		Dehradun	BSUP DPR for Shanti Kusth Ashram (Malin Basti), Dehradun, State of Uttarakhand	137.20	109.76	27.44	27.44	26.54	97%	6.86	6.63	97%	27.44	25%
B		Dehradun	BSUP DPR for Ram Mandir Kusth Ashram (Malin Basti), Dehradun, State of Uttarakhand	163.64	111.80	51.84	27.96	27.88	100%	7.00	6.98	100%	27.95	25%
				Recommendation for release of 2nd installment for this project will be made only after receiving written communication from State that it has released full matching State share i.e. short release amount of Rs. 5.96 Lakh w.r.t. 1st installment of ACA.										
C		Haridwar	Detail Project Report for "Pandeywala, Haridwar, State of Uttarakhand, BSUP".	361.99	289.59	72.40	72.40	72.40	100%	91.01	88.46	97%	72.40	25%
TOTAL FOR UTTARAKHAND (3 PROJECTS)					511.15		127.80						127.79	

35/37

REIMBURSEMENT OF DPRs PREPARATION CHARGES FOR IHSDP PROJECTS IN TAMILNADU.

Sl. No.	HUDCO Scheme No	IHSDP Project/Town Name	Approved in CSC meeting		Project Cost (Rs. in lakh)	Central Share (Rs. in lakh)	Actual expenditure incurred on DPR preparation (Rs.)	Amount already released by Ministry vide letter dt. 28.3.207	Balance amount claimed for reimbursement (Rs.)
			Number	Date					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
1.	50304	Tiruppur	13 th CSC	27.04.07	2067.54	1582.99	1,49,175.00	0.00	1,49,175.00
2.	50539	Namakkal	31 st CSC	13.02.08	592.92	345.50	45,820.00	0.00	45,820.00
Total amount for reimbursement									194,995.00

36/37

[Signature]

Sl. No.	Name of the State	Name of the city	BSUP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	(Rs in lacs)	25 % of Central Share
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	Uttar Pradesh	Lucknow	Additional Infrastructure component in BSUP scheme of Lucknow (approved in the 8th CSMC)					
	STATEMENT-I							
	Details of State Share							
	(Rs in lacs)							
1)	State grant	540.38		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2)	ULB contribution	0.00		128.85	64.43	64.43	16.11	16.11
3)	Beneficiaries Share	0.00		64.42	32.21	32.21	8.05	8.05
4)	Others charges	86.46		429.57	214.79	214.79	53.70	53.70
	Total State Share	626.84		117.85	58.93	58.93	14.73	14.73
	Per DU Finance							
1)	Central Share			109.37	54.69	54.69	13.67	13.67
2)	State share			75.01	37.51	37.51	9.38	9.38
3)	ULB share			155.68	77.84	77.84	19.46	19.46
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution			1080.75	540.38	540.38	135.09	135.09
	Total			1080.75	540.38	540.38	135.09	135.09
			Sub Total (A)					
			Sub Total (B)					
			Project Cost (A+B)					
			Sub Total (C)					
			Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	1167.21	540.38	626.84	135.09	135.09

Note : In addition to the above, agency has proposed 12.5% centage charges, which has been excluded from the project costing.

37/37

[Handwritten Signature]