REGISTERED POST/BY HAND

No.N-11026/1/2010/BSUP/JNNURM-Vol.X Government of India Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation

Room No.201, G Wing

Room No.201, G Wing New Delhi, Dated 2nd June, 2010

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of the minutes of the 85th meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee of Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 05th May, 2010 under the Chairpersonship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction projects under Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM).

- 2. The Appraisal agencies (i.e HUDCO, BMTPC) are requested to convey the decisions of the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee to all the State implementing agencies/nodal agencies for BSUP and IHSDP to take appropriate follow up action as per the minutes of the meeting
- 3. A copy of the minutes is forwarded to the Secretaries in-charge of BSUP and IHSDP in States/UTs with a request to take further follow up action.

Avanish Kumar Mishra) Deputy Director (D&MIS) Telephone 011-23061303

Encl: Minutes of the meeting

To

Members of the CSMC as follows:

- 1. The Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhayan, New Delhi.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, New Delhi.
- 3. The Principal Adviser (HUD), Planning Commission, Yojana Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 4. The Secretary, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
- 5. The Secretary, Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 6. The Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 7. The Secretary, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
- 8. The Joint Secretary and FA, Ministry of Urban Development and Ministry of HUPA, New Delhi.
- 9. The Chief Planner, Town and Country Planning Organization (TCPO), I.P. Estate, New Delhi.
- 10. The Adviser, CPHEEO, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi
- 11. The CMD, Housing and Urban Development Corporation Ltd., HUDCO Bhavan, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
- 12. The Additional Secretary (JNNURM)/ Mission Director, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation, New Delhi- Member-Secretary.

Copy to the Secretaries in charge of Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) and; Integrated Housing & Slum Development Programme (IHSDP) in the States/UTs:-

The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Municipal Administration Department Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat Hyderabad – 500002	The Principal Secretary, HousingDepartment, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad -500002
The Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat, Hyderabad-500002.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Tourism, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat, Itanagar.
The Commissioner & Secretary, UD Department, Government of Assam, Dispur, Guwahati -781006.	The Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
The Additional Secretary & Director (BUDA), Urban Development department, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Bihar Sachivalaya, Patna-800015
The Secretary, Urban Administration & Development Department, Government of Chhattishgarh, Room No.316, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur -492001.	The Secretary (Housing) Government of Goa, Secretariat Annexe, EDC House, Panaji -403001
The Principal Secretary (UD) & Housing, Government of Gujarat, Block No 14, 9th Floor, New Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar- 382010	The Chief Executive Officer, Gujarat Urban Development Mission, GMFB Building, Sector-10A, Gandhinagar- 382016
The Commissioner & Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Government of Haryana, SCO-20 Sec. 7C, Chandigarh-160001.	The Secretary (UD), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002
The Secretary, Housing and UD Department, government of Jammu & Kashmir, New Secretariat, Srinagar	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002
The Director, Urban Local Bodies Government of Jammu & Kashmir, 151-A/D, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu.	The Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi-834004

The Secretary (Housing) Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004	The Principal Secretary (Housing) Government of Karnataka, Room No.213, 2 nd Floor, Vikas Sauda, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Road, Bangalore-560001
The Principal Secretary of Government UD Department, Government of Karnataka, Room No.436, 4 th Floor, Vikas Sauda Dr, B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore 560001	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Kerala, Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram - 695001
No go	, h
The Principal Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram - 695001	The Secretary, Local Self Government, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram- 695001
The Executive Director, Kudumbashree, State Poverty Eradication Mission, Government of Kerala 2 nd Floor, TRIDA Building, Chalakuzhy Road, Medical College (PO), Thiruvananthapuram 695011.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Administration and Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal -462032
The Principal Secretary (Housing & Environment), Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Ballabh Bhavan, Bhopal-462032	The Commissioner, Urban Administration & Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Nagar Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar Bhopal-462016
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Maharashtra, Room No. 425, 4 th floor Mantraalaya, Mumbai-400032	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.268, 2 nd Floor, Mantralay, Mumbai-400032
Commissioner & Secretary, Urban Affairs & Housing, Government of Meghalaya, Main Secretariat Building Shillong-793001	The Secretary, Housing, UD & Municipal Administration, Government of Manipur. Chief Secretariat, Imphal-795001
The Commissioner & Secretary, Urban Development & Poverty Alleviation Department, Government of Mizoram, Civil Secretariat, Aizwal-796001	The Principal Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Nagaland, Kohima-797001
The Commissioner & Secretary, Works & Housing, Government of Nagaland Kohima-797001	The Principal Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Orissa, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar-751001
The Principal Secretary (LSG), Government of Punjab, Mini Secretariat Sector-9, Chandigarh -160001	The Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Punjab, Room No.419, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh-160001
The Commissioner & Secretary, Urban Development & Poverty Alleviation Department, Government of Mizoram, Civil Secretariat, Aizwal-796001 The Commissioner & Secretary, Works & Housing, Government of Nagaland Kohima- 797001 The Principal Secretary (LSG), Government of Punjab, Mini Secretariat Sector-9,	The Principal Secretary Urban Developmen Department, Government of Nagaland, Kohima-797001 The Principal Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Orissa, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar-751001 The Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Punjab, Room No.419, Min

The Principal Secretary, UDH & LSG Department, government of Rajasthan Room No. 29, Main Building, Secretariat, Jaipur	The Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Rajasthan, Room No. 39, SSO Building, Government Secretariat, Jaipur 302005.
The Secretary, Department of UD & Housing, Government of Sikkim, NH 31A, Gangtok-737101	The Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Secretariat, Chennai-600009
The Secretary, Municipal Administration & Water Supply Government of Tamil Nadu, 6 th Floor, Ezhilagam Annexe, Chepauk, Chennai- 600009	The Secretary (UD), Government of Tripura Civil Secretariat, Pt. Nehru Complex, Agartala-799001
The Principal Secretary (UD & MA) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Room No.825, 8th floor, Bapu Bhawan, Lucknow-226001	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Uttar Pradesh, 325 Bapu Bhavan, Lucknow-226001
The Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Navchetna Kendra 10, Ashok Marg, Lucknow.	The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Uttarakhand, Uttarakhand Secretariat, 4 B, Subhash Road DEHRADUN-248001
The Project Director (JNNURM), Urban Development Directorate, Government of Uttarakhand, 43/6, Mata Mandir Marg, Dharampur, Dehradun-248001	
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of West Bengal, Nagarayan, DF-8, Sector-1, Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700064	The Secretary (UD & Housing), Chandigarh Administration, UT Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh-160001
The Secretary (Housing), Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605001	The Secretary, Local Administration Department, Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605001
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, 9 th Floor, C Wing, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi.	The Additional Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi-110002
The Commissioner & Secretary, (Relief & Rehabilitation), UT of Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Secretariat, Port Blair-7440101	The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Daman & Diu, Secretariat, Moti Daman-396220

*

:

The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Dadra
& Nagar Haveli, Secretariat, Silvassa-396220

The Chief Town Planner, Town & Country Planning Department, UT Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, 2nd Floor, Secretariat, Silvasa-396230

Copy to:

- 1. The Additional Secretary to Hon'ble Prime Minister (Kind attention Shri R. Gopalakrishnan), PMO, South Block, New Delhi.
- 2. PS to Hon'ble Minister (HUPA)
- 3. PSO to Secretary (HUPA)
- 4. Joint Secretary (H), Ministry of HUPA
- 5. The Joint Secretary (PP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Room No. 1125, 11th Floor, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, New Delhi.
- The Joint Secretary (UT), Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, North Block, New Delhi.
- 7. Director (UPA), Ministry of HUPA.
- 8. OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
- 9. Director (Administration), Ministry of HUPA
- 10. Director (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 11. US(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 12. DD(JPC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
- 13. DD(Data & MIS), NBO Ministry of HUPA
- 14. DD(NRC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
- 15. AO(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 16. SO (IHSDP), Ministry of HUPA
- 17. Monitoring Cell (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
- 18. The CMD, NBCC, "NBCC Bhavan", Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
- 19. The CMD, HPL Jangpura, New Delhi- 110014
- The Executive Director, BMTPC, Core 5A, First Floor, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
- 21. The Director (Corporate Planning), HUDCO, "HUDCO Bhavan", Indian Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003.
- 22. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee, Uttarkhand -247667

Copy to:- Guard Folder on JNNURM

Acquish Urishal
(Avanish Kumar Mishra)
Deputy Director (D&MIS)

MINUTES OF THE 85th MEETING OF THE CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF THE SUB-MISSION ON BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (BSUP) UNDER JAWAHARLAL NEHRU NATIONAL URBAN RENEWAL MISSION (JNNURM)

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 5th May, 2010

The 85th Meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee (CSMC) of the Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) was held under the Chairpersonship of Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation in New Delhi on 5th May, 2010 at 3 PM in Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. The list of participants is at Annexure—I.

- 2.1. Mission Director and Additional Secretary (JNNURM) welcomed the Chairperson and Members of the CSMC. He requested all the States/UTs/ULBs/implementing agencies to organize thorough inspection of BSUP and IHSDP projects and ensure utmost quality in their execution. Such inspection should not wait for the inspection by TPIM agencies. This would save time and money in rectifying any deficiencies/defects that went unnoticed on account of lack of regular inspection, but came to notice only at TPIM inspection stage.
- 2.2. Referring to the 3 key pro-poor reforms under JNNRUM, Mission Director & Additional Secretary informed that the State of Madhya Pradesh has enacted law to reserve 25% of developed land in colonies for EWS/LIG segments. He requested other States to take action to have similar enactments. It was informed that the State of Uttar Pradesh has issued a Government Order to make BSUP Fund non-lapsable (the State has been requested to issue a regulation as GO can be modified at any point of time). The Mission Director requested other States also to take necessary action, through regulations. He also reiterated the important points emphasized

by the Chairperson in the earlier meetings of CSMC/CSC for adherence/implementation by the States/UTs/ULBs (Annexure-II).

3. Referring to the Order issued by the Government of Uttar Pradesh for making BSUP fund non-lapsable, Secretary (HUPA) said that the State should prescribe for proper maintenance of BSUP fund account and the monitoring of earmarking in both revenue and capital budget for the poor. She said the fund could also be utilised for maintenance of the houses and common infrastructure facilities along with contribution from the occupants of houses. Secretary (HUPA) requested all State Secretaries to take action for issue of regulations for earmarking of municipal budget for the urban poor, constitution of non-lapsable BSUP Fund and reservation of land for the poor in housing colonies – public and private. She also called upon them to ensure that TPIM inspections are carried out and corrective actions are taken and reported on such reports. Further, States/UTs should initiate the process of social audit of BSUP and IHSDP projects.

(Action: State Governments/ULBs)

- 4. For the CSMC Meeting, the following proposals were put up in the agenda:
 - (a) Proposals for approval of new projects under Affordable Housing in Partnership:
 - i. Uttar Pradesh (4 projects in Lucknow)
 - (b) Proposals for 2nd instalment of ACA received from:
 - i. Uttar Pradesh (7 projects 2 projects in Kanpur; 4 projects in Varanasi; 1 in Lucknow)
 - ii. West Bengal (1 project in Kolkata (Khardah)
 - (c) Proposal for 3rd instalment of ACA received from
 - i. West Bengal (2 projects in Kolkata)
 - (d) Proposal for TPIM received from
 - i. Haryana

Brief details of the agenda are at Annexure-III.

2/59

5. Proposals for approval of new projects under Affordable Housing in Partnership:

Uttar Pradesh (4 projects in Lucknow)

- 5.1. Representative of the appraisal agency (HUDCO) made a presentation on four proposals seeking Central assistance under Affordable Housing in Partnership (AHP) under JNNURM.
- 5.2. The Committee observed the following:-
 - This is amongst the first ever proposals from any State seeking Central
 assistance under the Affordable Housing in Partnership scheme under
 JNNURM, and appreciated the efforts taken by the State in this
 regard;
 - Project proposal is yet to be recommended by SLNA;
 - Approval of SLSC has to be furnished for the proposals;
 - Detailed cost estimates and building and layout plans need to be authenticated by competent authority as per State PWD Code/Town Planning Department/State Government Rules and Regulations, etc;
 - The sale price of the dwelling unit is yet to be approved by the State Government; and
 - Out of the 4 projects, three projects are offering EWS and LIG
 houses. One project (titled "Affordable Housing in Partnership at
 Devpur Para) has only EWS category houses and there is no possibility
 of cross-subsidization. The State should ensure that the agency
 (Lucknow Development Authority, Lucknow) is selling the dwelling
 units to EWS & LIG category only at affordable cost.
- 5.3. The appraisal agency (HUDCO) informed that the proposals have been appraised as per the current Affordable Housing in Partnership Guidelines. However, as the infrastructure costs are small compared to the figure of Rs.50000 X No. of affordable housing units, the subsidy cligible is very limited. It was requested that the proposals may be approved subject to

additional allocation of ACA being made available following the changes in the parameters of the scheme for which the Ministry has taken up the matter of revision of existing guidelines.

- 5.4. After deliberations, the Committee approved the proposal, subject to the following:-
 - The proposal duly approved by SLSC should be forwarded by the SLNA;
 - ii. The State should approve the sale price of the dwelling unit keeping in mind the affordability of the EWS/LIG. The sale price should have an upper ceiling in terms of rupees per square metre of carpet area;
 - iii. The State Government should arrange for loans to the beneficiaries (EWS/LIG) under Interest Subsidy Scheme for Housing the Urban Poor (ISHUP), which provides 5% interest subsidy on loans upto Rs.1 lakh; and
 - iv. The State should ensure that the title to the unit is given as far as possible in the name of women
 - v. The Sanction of ACA for the projects may undergo change depending on revision of the scheme guidelines being proposed.
 - vi. The Appraising Agency will furnish a certificate that the parameters of the AHP scheme have been adhered to.

Details of the approval are at Annexure-IV (Statement-I to IV). Release of Central assistance would be regulated in accordance with the guidelines, i.e., on reimbursement basis (@ 25%, 50%, 25% respectively). The release is further subject to fulfilling conditions as at para 5 (i) to (vi). The last instalment would be released after ascertaining the completion of construction and selection of beneficiaries.



(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of ACA in accordance with the decision of the Committee; State to take action on the observation/decision of the Committee)

6. Proposals for 2nd instalment of ACA received from:

Uttar Pradesh

Ñ

- 6.1. The proposals from the State of Uttar Pradesh seeking 2nd instalment of ACA for 7 projects (2 projects in Kanpur; 4 in Varanasi and 1 in Lucknow) were presented by the representative of the State.
- 6.2. The Committee observed the following:
 - The State should first release the shortfall in its share in all the projects;
 - No TPIM/independent quality test reports with comments of SLNA on the same has been received for 2 projects in Kanpur;
 - Information regarding identification of beneficiaries, putting beneficiaries list on website, bio-metric identification, etc., have not been furnished; and
 - Detailed status of 3 pro-poor reforms has not been submitted for Lucknow.
- 6.3. The representative of the State made a presentation on the progress of reforms. He informed that the State has issued an order to make BSUP fund non-lapsable. He said shortfall in the share would be released soon after receipt of communication on the approval by the CSMC.
- 6.4. The Committee decided that the State should release its share immediately. Before the State comes up with proposal seeking 3rd instalment, TPIM for all the projects should be conducted and report thereof along with comments of SLNA be furnished to the Ministry. Accordingly, the

Committee approved the proposals. Details of approval are at Annexure-V (Col.A to G). The Committee decided that recommendation for release of ACA will be made on receipt of formal communication from the State that requisite State share has been released.

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of ACA on receipt of formal communication from the State that requisite State share has been released; State to take action on the observation/decision of the Committee)

West Bengal

Ŕ

6.3. The proposal from the State of West Bengal seeking 2nd instalment of ACA for 1 project in Kolkata (Kharda Ph-II) was presented by the representative of the State Government.

- 6.4. The Committee observed the following.
 - The State has not furnished either independent quality inspection report or TPIM report.

The Committee decided that before the State comes up with proposal for releasing the 3rd instalment for the project, TPIM report with comments of SLNA should be furnished. Accordingly, the Committee approved the proposal. Details of approval are at Annexure-V (Col.H).

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of ACA; State to take action on the observation/decision of the Committee)

7. Proposal for 3rd instalment of ACA received from

West Bengal (2 projects in Kolkata)

7.1. The representative of the State of West Bengal made a presentation on the proposals seeking release of 3rd instalment of ACA for 2 projects in Kolkata (1 each in Uluberia and Chandannagore).

5

6/59

7.2. The Committee observed the following:-

ą.v

- The State has not furnished either independent quality testing report or TPIM report.
- 7.3. The representative of the State informed that TPIM report for the project in Uluberia has been submitted.
- 7.2. The Committee decided that TPIM report is must before releasing 3rd instalment of ACA under BSUP. The TPIM report on Uluberia will be examined by the JNNURM Directorate and put up on file. Accordingly, the Committee approved the proposal seeking 3rd instalment of ACA for 1 project in Kolkata (Uluberia). Details of the approval are at Annexure-VI. Recommendation for release of ACA will be made after examination of TPIM report by JNNURM Directorate and its approval on file. The proposal seeking release of 3rd instalment of ACA for the second project in Kolkata (Chandannagore) was deferred.

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to take action as per the decision of the Committee in respect of 1 BSUP project in Kolkata (Uluberia); State Government to submit TPIM report along with comments of SLNA for the project in Chandannagore)

- 8. Proposal for setting up of TPIM in Haryana.
- 8.1. Director (JNNURM) made a presentation on the proposal from the State of Haryana sceking approval of the Committee for establishing TPIM Agency in the State. The Committee observed that proposal is in accordance with Guidelines.
- 8.2. The Committee approved the proposal to appoint Water & Power Consultancy Services (India) Limited (WAPCOS) subject to State giving a certificate to the following effects:

5

7/59

- i. CVC/State Government guidelines for award of contract have been followed;
- ii. There is no conflict of interest as per the provision in the TPIM toolkit;
- iii. The selected agency is allotted the work for TPIMA within the ceiling limit of toolkit provided by Ministry of HUPA;
- iv. Proposed rates of the agency for TPIMA are inclusive, or exclusive of taxes quoted by the firms, and taxes, if any, shall be chargeable as per norms;
- v. TPIMA reports will be sent with comments of SLNA thereon as per toolkit of the Ministry; and
- vi. Approval of SLSC/SLCC has to be obtained.

Approved amount for the agency is at Annexure-VII. The release of fund would be subject to fulfillment of conditions and submission of SLSC approval.

(Action: State Government)

9. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE 85th MEETING OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF BSUP HELD UNDER THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SECRETARY (HUPA) ON 5.5.2010

- 2. Dr. P.K. Mohanty, Additional Secretary & Mission Director (JNNURM), MoHUPA
- 3. Shri D.S. Negi, OSD (JNNURM), MoHUPA
- 4. Shri Vivek Nangia, Director (JNNURM), MoHUPA
- 5. Shri V K Gupta, Deputy Financial Adviser, MoHUPA
- 6. Shri A.S. Parmar, Assistant Director, Deptt. of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance
- 7. Shri Umraw Singh, Deputy Director, Ministry of HUPA
- 8. Shri Gajanan Mali, Planning Commission, New Delhi
- 9 Shri Debashis Sen, Principal Secretary, UD, West Bengal
- 10.Shri Vivek Bharadwaj, CEO, KMDA, West Bengal
- 11.Shri Chintamani, Director, SUDA, Uttar Pradesh
- 12.Shri K. Rama Chandre Gowda, Chief Accounts Officer, KSCB
- 13.Shri Quasim Ali, PMO, SUDA
- 14.Capt. S. Mukhopadhyay, BMTPC, Delhi
- 15.Shri C.N. Jha, Development Officer, BMTPC
- 16.Shri Pankaj Gupta, Development Officer, BMTPC
- 17.Ms. Usha Prasad Mahavir, Dy. Chief (Projects), HUDCO
- 18.Ms. Radha Roy, Dy. Chief, HUDCO
- 19. Shri Arul Kumar, Chief (Projects), HUDCO
- 20. Shri M Jayachandran, Deputy Director, MoHUPA

B

IMPORTANT POINTS REGARDING FORMULATION, EXECUTION & MONITORING OF BSUP AND IHSDP PROJECTS

CDPs and Slum Development Plans

• The first generation CDPs have not addressed the requirements of housing and infrastructure in slums/low-income settlements adequately. States/UTs should revisit their CDPs, identify all the slums in their cities and towns — both notified and non-notified, develop database, and assess the needs for both in situ and relocation projects. As far as possible, priority should be accorded to in situ development of slums, keeping the livelihood needs of the urban poor in view. Time-bound Slum Development Plans need to be prepared for all cities and towns to pursue the agenda of Slum-free Cities/Towns in view of the mandatory reform regarding the provision of entitlements and amenities to the urban poor in accordance with the 7-Point Charter of INNURM.

Slum & Socio-economic Surveys

- Survey of slums and potential beneficiaries for coverage under BSUP and IHSDP projects is a must for the meaningful formulation of DPRs. All efforts should be made for the proper conduct of detailed slum surveys and household socio-economic surveys to identify the projects/facilities to be included under DPRs. The SLNAs concerned would be responsible for the conduct of various surveys under the guidance of the State Secretary concerned. OSD (JNNURM) will coordinate the conduct of surveys in BSUP cities/towns and other cities and towns covered / proposed to be covered under IHSDP. He will communicate necessary guidelines / formats for the conduct of Slum, Household and Livelihoods Surveys in States/UTs. Training programmes as required for effective survey works should be organised by SLNAs, coordinated by OSD (JNNURM).
- Surveys would facilitate the assessment of the felt-needs of slum-dwellers/urban poor, especially for housing and physical and social infrastructure including schools, health care centres and other social /community facilities like community halls, common facility centres etc. Such surveys should cover health, education and livelihood profiles of the urban poor. The survey findings must be utilized for designing good BSUP/IHSDP projects, taking into account important aspects such as dependency load in the existing schools, capacity in hospitals for in- and out-patients, need for multi-purpose community centres including livelihood centres, informal sector markets, etc. depending



on the occupational profiles of the beneficiaries and the likely numbers.

- In case there is time constraint, regular slum survey and household socioeconomic survey can be preceded by rapid slum and socio-economic surveys for the purposes of assessing the state of basic amenities in slums and the felt-needs of beneficiaries, duly considering their broad social, economic, educational and skill profiles, main and subsidiary occupations etc. so that appropriate physical and social infrastructure facilities can be proposed in the DPRs.
- Each DPR should be accompanied by a list of beneficiaries based on the socio-economic survey. Efforts should be made to develop slums inhabited predominantly by SCs, STs and other weaker sections living in sub-human conditions. States/ULBs should ensure that houses under BSUP and IHSDP are provided to the needy and the properly targeted sections. The list of beneficiaries should be notified and placed in the website of the ULB/JNNURM.
- States/UTs should go in for issues of bio-metric identity cards to beneficiaries based on the socio-economic survey and computerization of data/records. This is to ensure that they do not sell the dwelling units and squat elsewhere. Further, the States/ULBs may impose conditions that the houses constructed under JNNURM cannot be transferred over a specified period or that the same would be on a long-term lease. The possibility of sale/alienation/misuse of housing units constructed under BSUP and IHSDP should be prevented.

Consultation with Beneficiaries

- Consultation with beneficiaries is a must before deciding on preparation of DPRs. Willingness of the beneficiaries should be taken for any rehabilitation/relocation projects proposed and also for payment of beneficiary contribution.
- Affordability of the urban poor should be kept foremost in view while working out beneficiary contribution. Any contribution amount beyond their financial capacity may lead to the imposition of undue burden on them. Therefore, special care needs to be taken while deciding upfront beneficiary contribution or EMI payment. States/UTs may arrange loans under Differential Rate of Interest scheme for beneficiaries to enable them to meet their share. Overall construction cost of the housing unit may also be kept at a minimum.

Mandatory Clearances/Approvals

• The State Level Nodal Agency/implementing bodies should ensure that the necessary clearances/approvals such as environmental clearance, Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) regulation clearance, land use clearance, etc. are in place before the DPRs are posed to the State Level Steering Committee/State Level Coordination Committee, as the case may be, for consideration. The CEO of the SLNA and State

Secretary concerned should place the necessary technical clearances/approvals under various laws, including Environmental, Town Planning and Municipal laws, etc. and certificate that the estimates contained in the DPRs are authenticated/certified by the technically competent authorities under the State PWD Core/rules before the SLSC/SLCC.

• The layouts proposed for housing colonies under BSUP and IHSDP, showing various land uses and facilities proposed must conform to the prevalent Town Planning Rules/norms, as applicable to low income housing/informal settlements. Copies of layouts and housing designs must invariably accompany DPRs when the same are sent to Appraising Agencies.

Housing & Infrastructure Components

- The Ministry of Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation has circulated Model DPR document to all States/UTs. The same is also placed in the Ministry's web site for JNNURM. A 'whole slum' approach will need to be adopted covering provision of land tenure, affordable housing and basic services. The whole slum proposed for development/redevelopment/relocation should be de-notified after the BSUP or IHSDP project is implemented. However, considering the difficulties in practice and special needs of the urban poor at some locations, clusters having more than 15 housing units can also be considered. Under the whole slum approach, it must be ensured that pucca houses left out of housing programme should be provided with individual toilet facilities with a view to achieving total sanitation.
- The housing component should generally be at least 50% of the total project cost with a view to achieving the targets fixed under the Mission and also giving primacy to the provision of shelter to the urban poor except in cases where housing units have already been constructed/are being constructed under VAMBAY or other EWS scheme of Central or State Governments.
- Adequate provision should be made for water supply, sewerage, drainage, solid and liquid waste disposal in the colonies proposed for development under BSUP or IHSDP. Individual water and sewer connection should be provided. Digester technology, where immediate connections to city sewer systems are not feasible, could be adopted in the place of dual-pits/septic tanks, wherever feasible.

Education, Health & Other Facilities

 Proposals for additional schools or additional rooms in existing schools must be part of the DPRs. The capacity of the existing schools to absorb the children from colonies being developed under BSUP and IHSDP needs to be carefully studied. The estimate of school-going children (including those from the new colonies) and demand for

a

- classrooms in terms of prevailing norms, capacity in existing schools and the additional capacity required should be worked out.
- Similar exercise should be done for providing health care facilities hospitals, health centres, maternity centres etc.
- Action needs to be taken to ensure that other community infrastructure facilities like electrical sub-stations, transformers, water supply reservoirs, parks, playgrounds, bus stops, local markets, post offices, etc., are also made available to the poor.
- Detailed estimates of community facility requirements as per planning norms, availability and gaps to be addressed have to be prepared at the initial stage of project preparation itself.

Colony Layouts & Housing Designs

- The colony layout plan should be socially cohesive and should facilitate social interaction amongst the dwellers. Efforts should be made for providing at 30% open areas along with 15% organised green area in the layouts.
- Adequate space must be provided in the layouts for community facilities including social and livelihoods infrastructure. The layout plan must include designated space to take care of convergent services such as health, education and recreation, informal sector markets, livelihood centres, pens for animals (if permitted and required) etc. in accordance with the specific needs of each of the slum pockets and their beneficiaries.
- The houses proposed should have two rooms, balcony, kitchen and separate bathroom and latrine, individual water connection and sewer connection. Aspects such as storage space for keeping things in rooms/kitchen, location of kitchen, location of toilet and bathroom in the houses to facilitate privacy, independent access from both rooms to toilet and bathroom, leaving a small space for fitting exhaust fan in kitchen and toilet, balcony for drying clothes etc., are some of the nuances that can be thoughtfully incorporated in the design of the houses for the poor.
- In the case of more than G+3 structures, provisions for ramp/lifts may be made to ensure that the old, disabled and children are not put to inconvenience.
- While priority should be given to accommodate physically challenged beneficiaries in the colonies, suitable barrier-free environments need to be provided for them in the proposed projects.
- The Ministry of HUPA, with the help of HUDCO and BMTPC, has
 developed good designs of houses, colonies, ramps and various types
 of social infrastructure facilities which could be appropriately used
 while formulating project proposals. A Toolkit has also been published
 in this regard. The same may be referred to.

4

13/59

• The State Level Nodal Agency/ULBs/other implementing agencies may adopt innovative designs for layouts and houses for the poor, multi-purpose community centres, informal sector markets, animal pens, etc. not only in BSUP and IHSDP projects but also in their own programmes taken up by Housing Corporations/Boards etc. duly considering the models presented by HUDCO and BMTPC in the Toolkit published by the Ministry.

į,

Developing Green Habitats

- States/UTs should take action to develop green habitats for the urban poor duly providing as many green belts, parks, avenue plantations, etc. as possible. Road-side plantations with tree guards and block plantations in the colonies taken up under BSUP and IHSDP should be given priority by the ULBs/Departments dealing with Parks, Plantations and Urban Forests.
- Tall seedlings, say 4-5 years old may be procured and planted in BSUP and IHSDP colonies so that they get established quickly without the need for careful nurture and maintenance.

Connectivity to City Infrastructure

- The infrastructure networks being developed under BSUP and IHSDP should invariably be integrated or planned to be integrated with trunkline city infrastructure facilities, either already existing or being developed under UIG / UIDSSMT or State Government programmes in accordance with CDPs.
- The States / ULBs should ensure proper coordination amongst various agencies engaged in the implementation of JNNURM (UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP) and other schemes to make sure that slums and low-income communities are linked to city-wide infrastructure systems. The project appraisal teams for UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP must ensure such type of linkages.
- Infrastructure facilities provided to slum-dwellers/urban poor in the BSUP and IHSDP colonies should not be inferior to those in the surrounding areas.

O&M - Maintenance of Assets

- Proper maintenance of assets and upkeep of cleanliness and hygiene in the housing complexes / colonies developed under BSUP and IHSDP should be given utmost importance. States/UTs must develop viable and sustainable mechanisms for the maintenance of the houses and common infrastructure facilities created under BSUP and IHSDP though suitable mechanisms such as colony welfare associations, local body-residents partnerships, institutional arrangements of collection of monthly maintenance charges etc.
- Wherever informal sector markets are taken up as a part of social infrastructure facilities in colonies, their operation on a time-sharing

basis by inhabitants or their associations can be considered by the ULB concerned for enabling wider coverage of beneficiaries, without allotting space to any one person permanently.

Prime Minister's New 15-Point Programme for Welfare of Minorities

• An important objective of the new programme is to ensure that the benefits of various government schemes for the underprivileged reach the disadvantaged sections of the minority communities. In this regard, care should be taken to take up clusters of minority beneficiaries to the extent possible. Wherever feasible, efforts should be made to allocate up to 15% of targets under BSUP and IHSDP for the minorities.

Appraisal Check Lists

7

- Responsibility for the technical specifications in DPRs (adherence to State PWD Code and Government Orders) and their endorsement/approval by the competent authority lies with the ULB/implementing authority/State Level Nodal Agency. The appraisal agencies must also ensure that the technical specifications are duly certified by the technically competent authorities as per State Government Public Works Code/Government Orders. Authenticated estimates (rates and bills of quantities) duly signed by appropriate authorities must accompany the DPRs/Appraisal Reports.
- The SLNAs/Appraisal Agencies should specially devote attention to the following aspects:
 - Land availability for housing the poor verification of ownership;
 - Land tenure status patta, temporary patta, occupancy right etc.;
 - Availability of State share, ULB share, Beneficiary contribution as per Guidelines of BSUP/IHSDP;
 - Willingness of beneficiaries to pay for their contribution.
 - Housing design two rooms, kitchen, balcony, individual toilet, individual water connection - refer to the Design Manual circulated by the Ministry;
 - Adherence to town planning norms Layout plans/designs should conform to town planning regulations applicable and be duly signed by competent municipal authority/SLNA officer;
 - Provision of adequate open space in layouts for green belts, parks, playgrounds, avenue plantations, roads etc.;
 - Authentication by competent engineering authority as per State Government PWD Code/Order duly signed by engineers of appropriate level and SLNA Chief Executive Officer;

- Identification of eligible beneficiaries process for issue of biometric identity cards must be completed within one month after sanction of projects, in general;
- Rapid/detailed socio-economic survey of beneficiaries details to be provided;
- Proper identification of needs of community infrastructure
 - Provision of required civic infrastructure including social
 - infrastructure such as community hall, livelihood centre, information sector market, animal pen, etc. Estimation of requirements must be based on judicious norms.
 - Connectivity of slum infrastructure facilities with citywide trunk infrastructure networks — water supply, sewerage, storm drainage, roads etc. — ensuring connectivity of local infrastructure being taken up under BSUP/IHSDP with facilities being created under UIG/UIDSSMT.
- Availability/provision of basic amenities like post offices, bus stops, transport services, local shopping complexes, electricity transformers, sub-stations, water supply overhead tanks/ground level service reservoirs etc.
- SLSC/SLCC approval, project-wise (not in a block) with all details clearly indicating estimates, plans, availability of State share and agreement to meet cost escalation.

Time & Cost Over-runs

- The BSUP and IHSDP projects are generally required to be completed in 12 to 15 months. In the case of Special Category States/UTs and projects requiring special considerations, 3 to 6 months additional period could be provided. It is expected that any escalation in the project cost would be borne by the State Government/ULB concerned.
- For reducing escalation in the cost of projects, the following options could be exercised by the implementing agencies:
 - i) Purchasing materials (cement, steel, sanitary pipes, electrical items) in bulk, wherever considered prudent and feasible with a view to reducing cost;
 - ii) Encouraging labour contribution from the beneficiaries under the supervision of qualified technical personnel;
 - iii) Bifurcating tendering (between housing component and infrastructure component) with a view to reducing the possibility of time and cost overruns; and
 - iv) Creating/using a revolving "Basic Services for Urban Poor (BSUP) Fund" earmarked out of the municipal budget and supplemented by other innovative measures like cross-subsidization, State Government support etc. for meeting cost escalation.

Setting up of PMU/PIUs

- The States/UTs should submit proposals to OSD (JNNURM) who will get the same appraised and bring up before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. Transparent methods should be adopted in the selection and appointment of professionals in PMUs and PIUs. Such appointments should not be permanent in nature but only in terms of short-term engagements. No appointment should be seen as a place for parking the dead-wood. States/UTs must take all care to ensure that the PMU/PIUs have competent personnel.
- Each appointment to PMU/PIU should be based on prescribed terms of reference and the deliverables should be measured. Various activities, tasks and outcomes have to be clearly spelt out in the TORs. States/ULBs should exercise utmost caution in making such appointments on a contract basis. They should try and ensure minimum expenditure by selecting / appointing professionals at an appropriate remuneration rather than immediately opting for the maximum amount indicated by the Centre. However, the calibre of such professionals should be of a reasonably high level. If need be, qualified persons from Central/State Government/ULBs could be taken in PMU/PIU on deputation. The personnel with PMUs/PIUs should work in tandem/collaboration with the State Level Nodal Agency / ULBs. These personnel should also assist in the implementation of other programmes like SJSRY.

Fees for Preparation of DPRs

- The States/UTs should submit proposals for reimbursement of fees to the concerned Appraisal Agency which had appraised the projects. The Appraisal Agency has a crucial role in examining the claim with particular reference to the various stages of improvement and modifications that were brought out in the DPRs before they were finally approved by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. The Appraisal Agency should submit report to the Ministry for releasing Central Assistance for reimbursement of charges towards the cost of preparation of DPRs (both in the case of DPRs prepared by in-house personnel as well as by consultants). These will be considered by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. approval, recommendation will be sent to the Ministry of Finance/Ministry of Home Affairs for releasing Central Assistance out of the ACA allocation for the particular State/UT in the case of projects prepared by consultants.
- The Central Assistance for DPRs prepared through in-house personnel of the States would be released from out of the 1% JNNURM fund in the Budget of Ministry of HUPA as decided in the Central Sanctioning



& Monitoring Committee /Central Sanctioning Committee Meetings earlier. While sending proposals for reimbursement of project preparation charges, the appraising agency must ensure that two copies of DPRs (duly revised based on decisions of CSMC/CSC) are provided to OSD (JNNURM) for record. The Appraising Agency should also keep two copies of DPRs with it in safe custody so as to be able to meet requests for information under the RTI Act, 2005.

Community Development Network (CDN)

- The States / UTs should prioritise and get necessary approval from SLSC/SLCC to the proposals concerning Community Development Network (CDN) so as to seek support from the Community Participation Fund. Such proposals received in the Ministry of HUPA will be appraised by a team working under the GOI-UNDP Project on National Strategy for Urban Poor coordinated by the National Project Coordinator/Deputy Secretary (JNNURM). The reports will then be placed before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee for consideration.
- Community Development Networks involving Neighbourhood Groups, Neighbourhood Committees and Community Development Societies should be promoted so that the dynamics of the CDN lead to fraternity in the neighbourhoods and issues of alienation of all sorts could be eliminated. CDN should work towards better inter- and intra- relationships in colonies to get over the dividing forces. This will strengthen a feeling of solidarity among the residents.

Quality in Execution of Projects

- Housing for the poor does not mean poor quality housing. Utmost emphasis must be accorded to the quality execution of houses and infrastructure facilities for the poor. High quality construction, functional units, vector-free atmosphere and healthy living environment should be ensured in the housing projects under BSUP/IHSDP. States/UTs should establish both internal and external quality assurance mechanisms in the case of all BSUP and IHSDP projects.
- State Secretaries in charge of BSUP and IHSDP should ensure that all
 the projects approved are inspected by independent high level teams
 from time to time to ensure quality in execution and timely completion
 of projects through removal of all hurdles
- Beneficiary committees must be constituted to supervise construction of houses. States/UTs should take steps for conducting social audit of projects under BSUP and IHSDP similar to NREGA.

Third Party Inspection and Monitoring (TPIM)

 TPIM should be instituted to bring in transparency and quality in the implementation of all BSUP and IHSDP projects. The Ministry will be providing necessary financial and technical assistance to the States /



UTs for TPIM. A Toolkit has been prepared and communicated to the States /UTs.

- Those States/UTs who have not been able to establish TPIMA for BSUP and IHSDP projects may use the services of independent review and monitoring agencies engaged for UIG, UIDSSMT or other programmes.
- Before final instalment is released under BSUP and IHSDP projects, TPIM or quality inspection report until such time a TPIMA is engaged, will be required from the concerned States/UTs unless the CSMC/CSC is of the opinion that the release need not be stopped for the present in the interest of completing houses for the urban poor, who have contributed their share.

Capacity Building Activities

- In the year 2006-07, the Ministry of HUPA had released fund to the State Governments for capacity building activities including research and training towards implementation of BSUP and IHSDP projects. Unless the States/UT's submit utilisation certificates for the funds released earlier, further releases of Central-Assistance would be held up, as utilisation certificates have to be furnished within 12 months from the date of closure of the financial year to which financial sanction pertains.
- Capacity building is one of the biggest constraints in the execution of projects and reforms under JNNURM. The States/UT's may take full advantage of the IEC facilities under JNNURM, the National Programme on Capacity Building for Urban Poverty Alleviation and the programme of National Network of Resource Centres (NNRCs).

City/Town Poverty Reduction Strategy

- The city of Rajkot (Gujarat) has brought out a City Poverty Reduction Strategy Report. Other cities/towns may bring out similar reports. They may prepare comprehensive Slum Development Plans with a view to pursuing the goal of Slum-free City. The toolkit prepared by the National Strategy for the Urban Poor project may be referred to.
- States/UTs must develop agenda for Slum-free Cities and Towns and prepare and implement time-bound action plans with specified milestones for progress.

Key Reforms - Core to the Urban Poor

• Special attention should be paid for the implementation of the three key reforms stipulated under JNNURM that are critical to the urban poor: (i) internal earmarking within local body budgets for basic services to the urban poor; (ii) provision of basic services including the implementation of 7-Point Charter in accordance with agreed timelines; (iii) earmarking at least 20-25% of developed land in all housing projects (both public and private agencies) for EWS/LIG category with a system of cross subsidization. States/UTs must

- develop broad state level policy frameworks to facilitate the implementation of these reforms in all cities and towns.
- The issues of land availability for housing the urban poor and providing them security of land tenure are important issues to be addressed by States/UTs/ULBs if the goals of JNNURM are to be attained. States/UTs need to develop a policy framework including tangible reforms in master planning paradigm and process urgently.

Convergent Delivery of Social Services

- It is necessary to integrate provisions of health, education and social security with land tenure, housing and other amenities for the urban poor to enable them to lead a descent quality of life. Urban Local Bodies and State Governments have a critical role to play to ensure the proper convergence of facilities under the already available schemes for education, health, social security, etc. implemented through different Departments/Agencies. The DPRs should list out the deficiencies in terms of access to school, primary health centre, provision of social welfare and other facilities so that timely remedial measures can be taken up in accordance with the socio-economic survey. Provision of adequate infrastructure by way of school/additional class rooms and health care centres should be taken at the formulation of the DPR itself. A mere statement that adequate number of schools/health centres is available in the vicinity of the proposed housing colony would not be sufficient. The State/ULB/implementing agencies concerned should certify that such facilities available in the vicinity are also accessible to the slum dwellers. Similarly proper convergence of schemes in the realm of social security such as old age pension, widow pension, disability pensions, health insurance, maternity benefit scheme, etc. should be ensured to benefit the urban poor selected under INNURM and other programmes.
- The States/UTs must take all steps to ensure the convergence of BSUP and IHSDP with other ongoing schemes such as UIG, UIDSSMT, Sarva Sikhsa Abhiyan, Health Mission, Aam Aadmi Bima Yojana, Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana, National Social Assistance Programme, Prime Minister's Employment Generation Programmes, SISRY etc. Shelter and basic amenities to the urban poor may not suffice them to move above the poverty line. In particular, State Governments/ULBs effort dovetail should make to implementation of SJSRY with JNNURM. This step would provide the urban poor with access to livelihoods and enable them to overcome poverty. Programmes for skill development, 'selfmobilization, community development empowerment are necessary to facilitate sustained improvements in the living conditions of the urban poor and develop 'inclusive' cities.

Projects for in situ Development

 States /UTs should come up with adequate number of projects for insitu development with good lay-outs and type designs. The emphasis



should be to provide a better and supportive atmosphere for living and working. The in-situ development projects should not end up with creation of another cluster of unplanned houses without access to water, sanitation and social infrastructure. Suitable planning and infrastructure provision norms must be adopted. The quality of infrastructure provided to housing colonies under BSUP and IHSDP projects should not be inferior to those available for surrounding areas.

Handholding in Relocation

- In the case of relocation projects, the process of shifting to a new environment with inadequate facilities, near-loss of contact with close relatives and being far off from work places can be very traumatic. The States/UTs should engage social counsellors and Community Development Department personnel/Community Organisers in ULBs to work closely with the beneficiaries and ensure that the process of transition to the new multi-storeyed housing complex/environment/location is as smooth as possible.
- Time-bound programmes must be implemented to provide all basic amenities to the urban poor in the relocation colonies, including city transport services and local market complexes.

Sense of Belongingness

- To create a sense of belongingness, the slums may be named in consultation with the intended beneficiaries. Provision of a low cost enclosure around open spaces in the slum pocket being covered under BSUP/IHSDP could be considered by States/ULBs, if the cost is not prohibitive.
- Beneficiaries must be closely involved in the planning, identification, implementation, monitoring, review and social audit of JNNURM projects.

IEC Activities - Awareness Building

• In a people-centric programme like BSUP and IHSDP under JNNURM, there is a need to generate awareness amongst both the targeted so that they are abele to receive what is intended for them by the Government. Awareness needs to be generated amongst the non-targeted sections so as to improve urban policy and highlight how the concerns of the urban poor are very relevant to them. Any awareness campaign should have a national tone, tenor, appeal and recall value, backed by consistent and coherent slogans and themes. The States/ULBs could bring out advertisements in vernacular languages with local adaptation of the templates prepared by the Ministry of HUPA. States/ULBs should ensure that the local adaptation does not deviate from the letter and spirit of the national templates and the messages being conveyed are only about the programme and related policy advocacy. They should also ensure that all such media



campaigns are in accordance with the relevant rules and regulations applicable. Cost of such campaigns, in accordance with Government approved rates, would be reimbursed to the States/ULBs under the IEC component of JNNURM subject to limits fixed by CSMC/CSC. Reimbursement will be made if prior approval of the Mission Directorate/CSMC/CSC in the Ministry of HUPA was obtained before launching such campaign. Proposals for reimbursement of such expenditure will be submitted through HUDCO, which will put up the same to the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee for its consideration and approval of reimbursement through Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance or Ministry of Home Affairs, as the case may be.

Progress Reports on Implementation

- The States/ULBs should send Quarterly Progress Reports/ Monthly Progress Reports on projects as well as reforms as per prescribed formats, without fail to enable the Ministry to report to the Prime Minister's Office in time. Further, one page abstract on the status of implementation of projects and reforms must be presented before posing the details of project proposals in the meetings of Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee.
- State/UT Secretaries in charge of BSUP and IHSDP should take monthly review of the implementation of projects and reforms under BSUP and IHSDP. Copies of the minutes of such review meetings should be sent to the Mission Directorate in MoHUPA.

Focus on Urban Policy

• There is an urgent need for States/UTs to focus on broader urban policy and urban management reforms to address not only the backlog and current urban issues but also the challenges of future urban growth, say in the next 20-25 years, so that the conditions that led to urban decay are prevented well in time. We should not be in a situation where we are perpetually chasing slum upgradation; States/UTs should plan proactively in anticipation of the future patterns of urban growth due to the factors of rural-urban migration, urban-urban migration, reclassification and natural increase. Without the implementation of urban planning and local government reforms and capacity building for effective urban management, JNNURM would remain a mere infrastructure upgradation programme, and none of the policy changes for vibrant, productive, sustainable and inclusive cities that JNNURM hopes to drive would materialize.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

(Rs. in Crores)

	Joint Venture Housing Projects construction of EWS/							
	housing projects –for all the public sector as well as the							
	> Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in							
	year of completion is 2011-12.							
	Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban poor- Target			•				
	50%,55 DUs 25-50%and 11 DUs completed up to 25%.							
	been occupied.80 DUs are completed more than						l.	
	78 DUs have been fully completed out of which 27 have							
	sanctioned houses. Work started in 224 dwelling units.				•			
03	tender floated and work order issued in all the							
ð	> 1330 DUs have been sanctioned for construction,							
01	87%.							
	> The Utilisation of Centre Share is and state share is							
	4.1939 cr.						1	
	> The 2 rd installment recommended to release is Rs.							
•	Rs.4.1939 Cr. have already been released.				·	Bengal		
	> Total amount for 1 st Installment of Central Share of					Phase-II, West		
	Share is Rs 16.7755 Cr.					town of Khardah		
	> The total project cost is Rs.33.5510 cr. with the Central					Installment: BSUP		
	on 13.09.2007.					Sanction of 2'	Bengal	
	> The project had been approved in 17th meeting held	4.1939				Proposal for	Kolkata/West	<u>.</u>
No.		ments	Snare	Snare	Cost		State	0 0
Page	Brief Summary	2 nd & 3 rd	State	Central	Total	Project Title	Mission City,	: <u>S</u>
)					

85th CS&MC meeting, dated:05.05.2010 (Agenda Brief)

23/59

Fage 1 of 7

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

(Rs. in Crores)

	53 DUs completed up to 25%.							2	
	DUs are completed more than 50%,110 DUs 25-50%and								
	1556 DUs have been fully completed and occupied.230		_						
	sanctioned houses. Work started in 1949dwelling units.							10	
	tender floated and work order issued for all the								
	2120 DUs have been sanctioned for construction,	V		-					
9 2	The Utilisation of Centre Share and state share is 88%.	¥				Roikātā, West Bengai	Be S		
; ;	4.7935 cr.					Phase-I,	· P		
2	The 3 rd installment recommended to release is Rs.	¥				Municipality area-	. <u> </u>		
	of Rs.9.5870 Cr. have already been released.				 .	Uluberia	<u>_</u>		
					<u>-</u> -t	project of slums in	pro		
	Share is Rs 19.1739 Cr.				_	Development	De De	6	
						Integrated	<u>.</u>		
	on 27.02.2007.	1				Installment:-	In a	Bengal	
	The project had been approved in 10th meeting held	<u>~</u>	4.7935			Proposal for	P	Kolkata/west	В.
	PMU/PIUs established and functional .	V			 				
	No Quality report with SLNA Comments.	¥							
	TPIMA has been instituted.	Y	-					ş	
	progress.							ı	
	Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under	Y							
	Beneficiaries identification has been done.	¥		<u>.</u>					·
	LIG category houses have been made compulsory.					_			
				1					

85th CS&MC meeting , dated : 05.05.2010 (Agenda Brief)

24/59 Sage 2 of 7

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING

COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

(Rs. in Crores)

ņ Kolkata/West Ġ Ť Installment :-Sanction of 3rd slums in Proposal for West Bengal Rehabilitation of 47 (Phase-I) Kolkata, Chandannagore 4.8908 ٧ ٧ ٧ Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban poor- Target PMU / PIUs established and functional No Quality report with SLNA Comments. Beneficiaries identification has been done Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in year of completion is 2011-12. . The total project cost is Rs.39.1257 cr. with the Central Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under housing projects- for all the public sector as well as the progress. LIG category houses have been made compulsory. Joint Venture Housing Projects construction of EWS/ Total amount for 1 & 2rd Installment of Central Share Share is Rs 19.5629 Cr. meeting held on 14.12.2006 & 29.12.2006 The project had been approved in 7th & 8th CSMC TPIMA has been instituted The Utilisation of Centre Share and state share is 84% The 3rd installment recommended to release is Rs. of Rs. 9.7815Cr. have already been released 1905 DUs have been sanctioned for construction

85th CSLMC meeting, dated: 05.05.2010 (Agenda Brief)

25/59

Fage 3 of 7



BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

*

ļ

(Rs. in Crores)

<u>.</u>	 -	<u>.</u> .	<u>-</u>					
Kanpur/ Uttar Pradesh	¢.			-	16			14
Proposal for Sanction of 2 nd Installment - BSUP Scheme for 4 slums for the town of Kanpur, Distt								,
4.2853		1- 646						
- 			Y	¥				
The project had been approved in 63rd meeting held on 28.02.2009. The total project cost is Rs.36.5107 cr. with the Central Share is Rs 17.1412Cr. Total amount for 1 st Installment of Central Share of	 No Quality report with SLNA Comments. PMU / PIUs established and functional . 	progress. TPIMA has been instituted.	· Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under	Beneficiaries identification has been done.	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects- for all the public sector as well as the Joint Venture Housing Projects construction of EWS/ LIG category houses have been made compulsory.	Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban poor- Target year of completion is 2011-12.	DUs are completed more than 50%,225 DUs 25-50%and 245 DUs completed up to 25%.	tender floated and work order issued for all the sanctioned houses. Work started in 1440 dwelling units. 740 DUs have been fully completed and occupied. 230
						9 8	06	

85th CSd.MC meeting, dated: 05.05.2010 (Agenda Brief)

26/59

Fage 4 of 7

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING

COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

(Rs. in Crores)

				Kanpur, Uttar
250 fully more the 25% to 25% 268 DU: sanction Earmark housing Benefici Biometra TPIMA I TPIMA I UC. PMU es Whethe	share. > 871 DU:	is 93%. > Short re	> Amount > The Utili	
250 fully completed and occupied . 6 DUs completed more than 50%.9 DUs completed 25-50% and 3 DUs up to 25% completed. 268 DUs are various stages of completion out of total sanctioned 871 DUs. Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects are in progress. Beneficiaries identification not reported. Biometric identification of beneficiaries is not reported. TPIMA has been instituted. No quality report with SLNA comments furnished with UC. PMU established & establishment of PIU is in progress. Whether up-to-date uploaded on IPOMS -up to	share. 871 DUs have been—sanctioned for construction and	is 93%. Short release of rs. 0.4920 Cr against matching state	Amount sought for 2 Installments is Ks., 4,2853Cr. The Utilisation of Centre Share is 71% and state share	Rs.4.2853Cr. have already been released.

85th CS&MC meeting , dated : 05.05.2010 (Agenda Brief)

27/59 Sage 5 of 7

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

Ţ

(Rs. in Crores)

1								1
	identification has been done.							
	 Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects is in progress. Beneficiaries 		1 .					
	 219 DUs are various stages of completion out of total sanctioned 816 DUs. 							
	191DUs have been fully completed out of which 27 have been occupied. 8 DUs are completed more than 50%,10 DUs 25-50% and 10 DUs completed up to 25%.					i .		
	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
				_				
	Share. The Utilisation of Centre Share is 73% and state share is:							
	Short release of Rs0.4228 Cr against matching State	¥						
	3.2990 cr.				Pradesh	Pr	•	
	The 2 nd installment recommended to release is Rs.				Distt Kanpur, Uttar	⊬ Di		
	Rs.3.2990 Cr. have already been released.			···	816 DUs in 3 slums	81		
	Total amount for 1 St Installment of Central Share of		· .		town for const. of	f i		
	Share is Rs 13.1962 Cr.				BSUP at Kanpur	BS		
	The total project cost is Rs.28.5038 cr. with the Central	Y			Installment:-	- I		
	on 21.02.2009.				Sanction of 2 th	· Sa	Pradesh	
	The project had been approved in 60th meeting held	3.2999	3.		Proposal for		Kanpur/ Uttar	Ш
	Feb.,2010.					14g	_	
i	(44.44.44.44.44)							7

85th CS&MC meeting , dated : 05.05.2010 (Agenda Brief)

28/59

Tage 6 of 7

leg

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITIORING COMMITTEE (CS&MC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (Sub-Mission-II)

(Rs. in Crores)

	21.4634		Total	
Feb.,2010.				
Whether up-to-date uploaded on IPOMS -up to		-		
> PMU established & establishment of PIU is in progress.		_	_	L.
UC.				
No Quality report with SLNA Comments furnished with	<u>-</u>			
> TPIMA has been instituted.	<u> </u>			
Biometric identification of beneficiaries is not reported.				

85th CS&MC meeting, dated: 05.05.2010 (Agenda Brief)

17

ķ

29/59

Fage 7 of 7

.

City/State: Kolkata (Khardah)/West Bengal

Project Title: BSUP Scheme for the town of Khardah Ph-II, West Bengal

Financial Assessment:

(Rs. Lakhs)

FIN	encial Assessment:				(1/2: 10	KIISJ
1	CSMC Approval	17th CSMC/13.0	9.2007			_
2	Total Project Cost	3355.10				
3	Central Share	1677.55				
4	State , ULB & KMDA share (Excluding Beneficiary share)	1285.81				
5	Beneficiary Share	391,74				
		Due	Released	Utilized —	%age Utiliz	ed vis-a-vi
		l Due	Released	Otthized	Due	Released
6	Central Share	419.39	419.39	365.79	87%	879
7	State+ULB & KMDA Share	321.45	321.45	280.37	87%	87%
8	Beneficiary Contribution	97.94	56.00	48.84	50%	879
9	TOTAL:-	838.78	796.84	695.00	83%	87%
10	Amount Sought (Rs.)	419.39 (akhs			
11	Recommended release as 2 ^e Installment (Rs.)	419.39 L	akhs			

Physical Progress:

\$1.	Progress Parameter	Housing (Nos.)	Water Supply (Mts)	Orainage (Mts)	Concrete Road (Sq.mts)	Community Centre (Nos)
1	Sanctioned	1330	2006	11178	170300	26
2	Tender Floated	1330	2006	11178	170300	26
3	Work Order Issued	1330	2006	11178	170300	26
4	Work Started	224		*****		i
5	Upto 25% Completed	11"	75			*****
6	25-50% Completed	55	270		800	****
7	More than 50% completed	80	125		1500	
8	Fully Completed	78	1500	10200	49500	1
9	Occupied	27				

Reform Status:

*****	TOTAL STORES					
	(a) Internal Earmarking of funds for Urban Poor					
1	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	Details at Annexure-I				
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor *					
13	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects					

Conditions by CSMC:

Si.	Conditions	Compliance			
Г	As an Interim measure, till such time reguair system is put in place, where required, tube-wells, storage				
Ľ	tanks, digesters may be provided				
2	Bio-metric details of the beneficiaries should be put on the web-site within 1 month	Under process			
3	The project be completed within a period of 12-15 months				

Other aspects:

ì.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes		
fi.	Whether list of beneficiaries publised on the state website:-	Under Process		
jii,	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	Under Process		
iv.	Whether TPIMA Instituted:-	Yes		
٧.	Quality:-	No Quality report with SENA's comments		
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes		

- oz-

2

30/59

City/State: Kolkata (Uluberia)/West Bengal

Project Title: Integrated Development project of Slums in Uluberia Municipality Area-Phase-I, Kolkata

Financial Assessment:

(Rs. Lakhs)

L13.1	anciai Assessment:				(143, 22	
1	CSMC Approval	10th CSMC/27.02.2007 2nd Instal 65 CSMC/15.07.2009				2009
2	Total Project Cost	4218.26		Other Expenses (C NAOE) :-	ionting, and	383.48
3	Central Share	1917.39			N	
4	State Share (Excluding other expenses):-	1917.39				
		Dual	0-1-0404	Utilized	%age Utilia	red vis-a-vis
		Due	Released	D(silizeu -	Due	Released
5	Central Share (1 st + 2 nd insti.)	958.70	958.70	842.70	88%	88%
6	State+ULB & KMDA Share (1 st + 2 rd instl.)	958.70	1644.56	1445.55	151%	88%
7	TOTAL:-	1917.39	2603.26	2288.25	119%	88%
B	Amount Sought (Rs.)	479.35 Lakhs				
9	Recommended release as 3º installment (Rs.)	479.35 Lakhs				

S1 ,	Progress Parameter	Housing (Nos.)	Water Supply (M)	Drainage (M)	Roads & Pavements (M)	Street Light (Nos)	Community Centre (Nos)
1	Sanctioned	2120	15025	34900	17450	421 Nos	7 Nos
2	Tender Floated	2120	15025	34900	17450	Nil	Nil
3	Work Order Issued	2120	15025	32900	17450	Nil	Nii
4	Work Started	1949	15025	4275	17450		
5	Upto 25% Completed	53			6000		****
6	25-50% Completed	110					
7	More than 50% completed	230		440	1500		
8	Fully Completed	1556	14830	3835	17450		
9	Occupied	1556			<u> </u>		

Reform Status:

145.45)(() 3LE RGS.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor				
1	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	Details at Annexure-l			
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor				
3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects				

Conditions by CSMC:

General Conditions

Other aspects:

<u></u>	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes
H.	Whether list of beneficiaries publised on the state website :-	Under Process
	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	Under Process
iv,	Whether TPIMA instituted:-	Yes
٧.	Quality:-	No Quality report with SLNA's comments
vl.	Whether PMU/PlUs established & functional:-	Yes

-09--D-

City/State: Kolkata (Chandannagore)/West Bengal

Project Title: Rehabilitation of 47 slums in Chandannagore (Phase-I): Kolkata, West Bengal

MAN WE H

(Rs. Lakhs)

incial Assessment:				HS. LB	KIISJ
CSMC Approval			-		
Total Project Cost	3912.57				
Central Share	1956.29				
State Share (Excluding Beneficiary)	1453.36	<u>-</u> -			
Beneficiary Share	502.92				
	D.u.s	Dalassad	Lieithead	%age Utiliz	ed vis-a-vis
	Due	Kejeasea	Othizeu	Due	Released
Central Share (1 st + 2 nd instl.)	978.15	978,16	823.16	84%	84%
State+ULB & KMDA Share (1 ^{it} + 2 nd instl.)	726.68	726.72	612.29	84%	84%
Beneficiary Contribution	251.46	250.26	210.86	84%	84%
TOTAL:-	1956.29	1955.14	1645.31	84%	84%
Amount Sought (Rs.)	489.08 1	akhs			
Recommended release as 3° Installment (Rs.)	489.08 1	akhs			
	CSMC Approval Total Project Cost Central Share State Share (Excluding Beneficiary) Beneficiary Share Central Share (1st + 2nd instl.) State+ULB & KMDA Share (1st + 2nd instl.) Beneficiary Contribution TOTAL:- Amount Sought (Rs.) Recommended release as 3dd	CSMC Approval 7th & 8th CSMC/ 2nd instal 65th Total Project Cost 3912.57 Central Share 1956.29 State Share (Excluding Beneficiary) 1453.36 Beneficiary Share 502.92 Due Central Share 978.15 Cen	The Commended Project Cost Total Project Cost 3912.57	The State Share CSMC/14.12.2006 & 29.12.2006 2nd instal 65th CSMC/15.07.2009 Total Project Cost 3912.57 State Share 1956.29 State Share (Excluding Beneficiary) 1453.36 5	CSMC Approval 7th & 8th CSMC/14.12.2006 & 29.12.2006 2nd instal 65th CSMC/15.07.2009

ŞJ.	Progress Parameter	Housing (Nos.)	Water Supply (M)	Drainage (M)	Roads (M)	Street Light (Nos)	Community Centre (Nos)
1	Sanctioned	1905	6987	24466	13345	431	47
2	Tender Floated	1905	11444	26668	20725	278 (to WBSEDCL)	20
3	Work Order Issued	1905	11444	26668	20725		20
4	Work Started	1440		- 1,444		-41-6	
5	Upto 25% Completed	245					
6	25-50% Completed	225					
7	More than 50% completed	230					
8	Fully Completed	740	6987	18997	12827	In progress	1
9	Occupied	740					***

Reform Status:

	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor	
1	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	Details at Annexure-)
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	,
- 1	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	·

Conditions by CSMC:

General Conditions

_		
i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes
ii.	Whether list of beneficiaries publised on the state website:-	Yes
jii.	Whether Blometric Identification of beneficiaries completed :-	On progress
Iv.	Whether TPIMA Instituted:-	Yes Yes
v.	Quality:-	No Quality report with StNA's comments
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes





Project Title: BSUP Scheme for 4 slums for the town of Kanpur, Distt. Kanpur, UP

Financial Assessment:

(Rs. Lakhs)

FEE	antiai Assessment:							
1	CSMC Approval	63 rd CSMC/28.2.2	009					
2	Total Project Cost	3651.07	-		xpenses s, IEC and A&OE):-	222.84		
3	Central Share	1714.12						
4	State Share (Excluding Beneficiary & other exp.)	1541.27	· 	<u> </u>		··		
5	Beneficiaries Share	172.84			6			
-	<u> </u>	Due	D.	D.,,	Dalaasad	(Miliand	%a	ge Utilized vis-a-vis
		, bue	Released	Utilized	Due	Released		
6	Central Share	428.53	428.53	303.00	71%	71%		
_		385.32	336.12	238.76				
7	State Share	Additional Utilizat		74.85	81%	93%		
8	TOTAL:-	813.85	764.65	616.61	76%	81%		
9	Amount Sought 2nd Instal, (Rs.)	428.53	akhs			_		
10	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (Rs.)	428.53	Lakhs					

^{**}Short release of Rs. 49.20 lakh against matching state share

Physical Progress:

SI.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	. 871	Yes
2	Tender Floated	Yes	Yes
3	Work Order Issued	Yes	Yes
4	Work Started	Yes	Yes
5	Upto 25% Completed	3	5% completed
6	25-50% Completed	9	NIL
7	More than 50% completed	6	NIL
8	Fully Completed	250	NIL
	Occupied	250	

^{** 268} DUs are various stages of completion out of total sanctioned 871 DUs.

Reform Status:

Γ.	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor	Earmarked
1'	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	Carrierked
7	Basic Services to Urban Poor	in Progresss
3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	In Progresss

^{**}Detailed status 3 Pro-poor reforms as submitted by the state is at Annexure-I

CSMC Conditions:

Contractoris.
No Conditions

i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Not reported
ìl.	Whether list of beneficiaries publised on the state website:-	Not reported
iii.	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	Not reported
iv.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	Yes
٧.	Quality:-	No Quality report with SLNA comments furnished with UC
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional:-	PMU established & eatablishment of PIU is in progress
vi.	Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPoMS:-	Upto March 2010

BSUP 2nd Installment

City/State: Kanpur/Uttar Pradesh

Project Title: Implmentation of BSUP at Kanpurt for const. of 816 in 3 slums Distt. Kanpur,UP

Financial Assessment:

(Rs. Lakhs)

1 11116	inciai Assessment:					_ -	
1	CSMC Approval	60 th CSMC/21.02.20	09				
2	Total Project Cost	2850.38		Other Exp. (A&O prep. Char		211.14	
3	Central Share	1319.62					
4	State Share (Excluding Beneficiary & other exp.)	1160.69	t-		4		
5	Beneficiary Share	158.93					
		D	Dalassad	l tkiliand	%age	%age Utilized vis-a-vis	
		Due	Released	Utilized	Due	Released	
6	Central Share	329.90	329.90	240.00	73%	73%	
		290.17	247.89	176.02			
7	State Share	Additional Utilization 26.04.2010 by		71.87	85%	100%	
8	TOTAL:-	620.07	577.79	487.89	79%	84%	
9	Amount Sought (Rs.)	329.90 Lai	kh		-		
10	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (Rs.)	329.90 Lak					

^{**}Short release of Rs. 42.28 lakh against matching state share

Physical Progress:

SI.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	816	YES
2	Tender Floated	Yes	YES
3	Work Order Issued	Yes	YES
4	Work Started	Yes	YES
5	Upto 25% Completed	. 10	5% Completed
6	25-50% Completed	10	NiL
7	More than 50% completed	8	NIL
8	Fully Completed	191	NIL
9	Occupied	191	NIL

^{** 219} DUs are various stages of completion out of total sanctioned 816 DUs.

Reform Status:

1		(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor	Earmarked		
	1	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	Earmarked		
Ī	2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	In Progresss		
ſ	-3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	In Progresss		

^{**}Detailed status 3 Pro-poor reforms as submitted by the state is at Annexure-!

CSMC Conditions:

No Conditions

Other Aspects:

i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Not reported					
ii,	Whether list of beneficiaries publised on the state website :-	Not reported					
iii.	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	Not reported					
iv.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	Yes					
v.	Quality:-	No Quality report with SLNA comments furnished with UC					
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	PMU established & establishment of PIU is in progress					
γi,	Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPoMS:-	Upto Feb 2010					

0

34/59

(Rs. in Crores)

								· <u>-</u>							_	.A	· 			Z	SI.
ŧij					ij							6			Pradesh	Varanasi/ Uttar				State	Mission City,
				•				Pradesh	Varanasi ,Uttar	Varanasi City, Dist.	with infrastructure at	const of 728 DUs	Implementation of	Installment:-	Sanction of 2 nd	Proposal for				•	Project Title
·			_								. "									Cost	Total
							•													Share	Central
	_					•											Share	-aries	Benefici	Share/	State
			_													3.9718			ments	Install -	2 nd
	 Beneficiaries identification has been done. Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under 	Share.	> Short Release of 0.3738 Cr. against matching State	completed up to 25%.	completed more than 50%, 18 DUs 25-50% and 23 DUs	> 728 DUs have been—sanctioned for construction, 233	79%.	> The Utilisation of Centre Share is 70% and state share is	3.9718 cr. ↔	The 2 rd installment recommended to release is Rs.	Rs.3.9718 Cr. have already been released.	> Total amount for 1 st Installment of Central Share of	Share is Rs 15.8873 Cr.	> The total project cost is Rs.34.3166 cr. with the Central	on 03.02.2009.	The project had been approved in 53rd meeting held					Brief Summary
				<u></u> .:		8 g	2				•	•								Z o	Page

85th CS&MC meeting, dated: 05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

35/59

Fage 1 of 8

/57 (2)

(Rs. in Crores)

								_
	Beneficiaries identification has been done.	¥				-		
	Short Release of 0.3966 Cr. against matching State Share.	A	···					<u> </u>
	776DUs have been sanctioned for construction. 262 DUs are various stages of completion. 171 DUs are completed more than 50%, 59 DUs 25-50% and 32 DUs completed up to 25%.			No			, ,	
03				_	<u> </u>	Pradesh		
		~				Varanasi City, Dist. Varanasi ,Uttar		
		,			_	with infrastructure at		
	Total amount for 1 St Installment of Central Share of			·	<u>.</u>	BSUP project for the const. of 776 DUs	1	
		Y	· •			Installment:- Implementation of	_	
	The project had been approved in 53rd meeting held on 03.02.2009	102 >	3.5402			Proposal for Sanction of 2 nd	Varanasi/ Uttar Pradesh	ß
	Up to date data uploaded on IPOMs up to Feb,2010.	V		1				
	progress.							
	PMU established and functional while PIU under	Y		<u>.</u>				
	No Quality report with SLNA Comments.	¥			<u>.</u>		6	
	TPIMA has been instituted.	· 🗸						

.

85th CSLMC meeting, dated: 05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

36/59

Tage 2 of 8

(Rs. in Crores)

 Short Release of 0.5990 Cr. against matching State 	Y		ř. 					
completed more than 50%, 35 DUs 25-50% and 54 DUs completed up to 25%.							6	
			•	a.				·
 1305 DUs have been—sanctioned for construction, 638 	~			<u></u>	<u></u>			
78%.								
 The Utilisation of Centre Share is 70% and state share is 	Y							_
6.5674 cr.								
 The 2nd installment recommended to release is Rs. 	¥							
Rs.6.5674 Cr. have already been released.				_		Pradesh	19	
 Total amount for 1St Installment of Central Share of 	Y	.=				(1305 DUs)Uttar		
Share is Rs 26.2697 Cr.					- T	Scheme for the City		
The total project cost is Rs.56.7426 cr. with the Central	¥			_		Installment:- BSUP		
held on 03.02.2009.						Sanction of 2 nd	Pradesh	
The project had been approved in 53rd meeting	74	6.5674				Proposal for	Varanasi/ Uttar	<u>.</u>
 Up to date data uploaded on IPOMs up to Feb,2010. 	Y		_					
progress.							Ķ	_
 PMU established and functional while PIU under 	Y							
No Quality report with SLNA Comments.	Y		_		17.77			
TPIMA has been instituted.								
progress.								
 Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under 	V							

85th CS&MC meeting, dated: 05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

ķ

87 54 Endens

(Rs. in Crores)

	completed more than 50%, 9 DUs 25-50% and 11 DUs	_						-ej		_
	DUs are various stages of completion. 236 DUs are						•			
8 8	585 DUs have been sanctioned for construction. 256	V	1	i						
; ;	76%.									
)	The Utilisation of Centre Share is 70% and state share is	Y								
	2.8713 cr.									
	The 2 rd installment recommended to release is Rs.	Y					,Uttar Pradesh			
	Rs.2.8713 Cr. have aiready been released.						DUs, Varanasi		<u>-</u> -	
	Total amount for 1 st Installment of Central Share of	V					for const of 585	1 h		
	Share is Rs 11.4851 Cr.						BSUP at Varanasi			
	The total project cost is Rs.24.8078cr. with the Central	V				•	Implementation of			
	held on 03.02.2009 .						Sanction of 2	Pradesh	70	
	The project had been approved in 53rd meeting	3 ∀	2.8713			•	Proposal for	Varanasi/ Uttar	<u>و</u> ي ر	
	Up to date data uploaded on IPOMs up to Feb,2010.	V								Γ
	progress.	-								
	PMU established and functional while PIU under	٧						19		
	No Quality report with SLNA Comments.	¥	-							
	TPIMA has been instituted.	Y								_
	progress.	_								
	Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under	Y							_	
	Beneficiaries identification has been done.	٧			•					
	Share.							ŀr		

85th CS&MC meeting, dated: 05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

38/59 Sage 4 of 8

(Rs. in Crores)

	> 336 DUs have been sanctioned for construction. 288						
	85%.						_
	> The Utilisation of Centre Share is 87% and state share is						
	21,4888 cr.				1		
9	> The 2 nd installment recommended to release is Rs.		- -		Pradesh	•	
	Rs.1.4888 Cr. have already been released.				Lucknow Uttar		
	> Total amount for 1 st Installment of Central Share of				MALHALIBI Dict		
	Share is Rs 5.9552 Cr.				Scheme for the		
	> The total project cost is Rs.12.5648cr. with the Central				Installment:- BSUP		
	held on 03.02.2009.	<u>.</u>			Sanction of 2 nd	Pradesh	
	> The project had been approved in 53rd meeting	1.4888	1.		Proposal for	Lucknow/ Uttar	m
	> Up to date data uploaded on IPOMs up to Feb,2010.			····		114	
	progress.						
	> PMU established and functional while PIU under						
	No Quality report with SLNA Comments.						
	> TPIMA has been instituted.		_				
	progress.		<u>-</u>				
	> Biometric identification of béneficiaries is under			_		4) :	
	Beneficiaries identification has been done.					•	
	Short Release of 0.1604 Cr. against matching State Share,						
	completed up to 25%.		81				
			_				

85th CS&MC meeting, dated:05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

39/59 Supe 5 048

P

Ŀ

3

(Rs. in Crores)

DUs are various stages of completion. 192 DUs are completed more than 50%, 64 DUs 25-50% and 32 DUs completed up to 25%. > Short Release of 0.2002 Cr. against matching State Share. > Internal earmarking of fund for urban poor is earmarked. > Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects is in progress. > Beneficiaries identification not reported. > Biometric identification of beneficiaries is is not reported. > TOPIMA has been instituted. > No Quality report with SLNA Comments. > PMU established and functional while PIU under progress. > PMU established and functional while PIU under progress. > Up to date data uploaded on IPOMs up to Feb,2010. > Approval of SLSC/SLCC for appointment of TPIMA is Not reported. > Not reported. > Total Charges per Project is Rs. 34.45 Lakh.	;	Total charges per project(14 visit for BSUP and 12 Vist	\ \ \			Haryana State.		<u>. </u>	_
DUs are various stages of completion. 192 DUs are completed more than 50%, 64 DUs 25-50% and 32 DUs completed up to 25%. Short Release of 0.2002 Cr. against matching State Share. Internal earmarking of fund for urban poor is earmarked. Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects is in progress. Beneficiaries identification not reported. Biometric identification of beneficiaries is not reported. TPIMA has been instituted. No Quality report with SLNA Comments. PMU established and functional while PIU under progress. PMU established and functional while PIU under progress. Approval of SLSC/SLCC for appointment of TPIMA is Not reported.		Total Charges per Project is Rs. 34.45 Lakh.				Moitoring Agency-	ri,		
DUs are various stages of completion. 192 DUs are completed more than 50%, 64 DUs 25-50% and 32 DUs completed up to 25%. Short Release of 0.2002 Cr. against matching State Share. Internal earmarking of fund for urban poor is earmarked. Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects is in progress. Beneficiaries identification not reported. Biometric identification of beneficiaries is not reported. No Quality report with SLNA Comments. PMU established and functional while PIU under progress. PMU odate data uploaded on IPOMs up to Feb,2010. Approval of SLSC/SLCC for appointment of TPIMA is	10	Not reported.				Party Inspection &	•		
	;	Approval of SLSC/SLCC for appointment of TPIMA is			34.45	Agenda for Third	Harayana	_	<u>.</u>
		Up to date data uploaded on IPOMs up to Feb,2010.		,					
		progress.							
		PMU established and functional while PIU under						<u>-</u>	
	,	No Quality report with SLNA Comments.		.	,				
		TPIMA has been instituted.		<u> </u>	-d-1-		ļ\$	-	_
		Biometric identification of beneficiaries is is not reported.							
		Beneficiaries identification not reported.							
		Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects is in progress.						: ·.	
		Internal earmarking of fund for urban poor is earmarked.			_		19		
DUs are various stages of completion. 192 DUs are completed more than 50%, 64 DUs 25-50% and 32 DUs completed up to 25%.		Short Release of 0.2002 Cr. against matching State Share.							
DUs are various stages of completion. 192 DUs are		completed up to 25%.		<u></u>					
		DUs are various stages of completion. 192 DUs are							

85th CSd.MC meeting, dated: 05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

40/59 Fage 6 af 8

Q

(Rs. in Crores)

Fradesh # Lucknow/Uttar Affordable housing 132.81 8.32 124.49 SLSC approval is to be obtained. Comparison 132.81 8.32 124.49 Pradesh # Lucknow,	COLD CITY OF THE TO EARLY IN THE				_			
Lucknow/Uttar Affordable housing 132.81 8.32 124.49 > 10 cm partnership (Under JNNURM) At BasantKunj Yojna, Sector-A, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh Uttar Pradesh	acquired by LDA under Basant K \$\ Lucknow .LDA hyas opened the public and registrations of the fl							
Lucknow/Uttar Affordable housing 132.81 8.32 124.49 > S Pradesh In partnership (Under JNNURM) At BasantKunj Yojna, Sector-A, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh Uttar Pradesh	proposal.	for the present						
Lucknow/Uttar Affordable housing 132.81 8.32 124.49 > 10 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	pted UPPWD SOR 2009-10 of Lu) DSR 2007 with 24% cost escala			<u>".</u>			44.	
Lucknow/Uttar Affordable housing 132.81 8.32 124.49 Pradesh in partnership (Under JNNURM) At BasantKunj Yojna, Sector-A, Lucknow.	he scheme had not been benefite / Central/State govt. Schemes.					Uttar Pradesh		
Lucknow/Uttar Affordable housing 132.81 8.32 124.49 Pradesh in partnership (Under JNNURM) At BasantKunj	astructure ratio is /3./0: 26.30					Yojna, Sector-A,		
Lucknow/Uttar in partnership in partnership	ly is in existence.		<u>.</u>			(Under JNNURM) At BasantKunj		
Affordable housing 132.81 8.32 124.49	proved.					in partnership	Pradesh *	Ģ
	to be obtained.		124.49	8.32	132.81	Affordable housing	I make a will than	7
V	t of India Underwing.	Gurgaon(A Gov						
Y	t selected as TPIMA is M/S WAP(and the 1st Lowes						
	be & Associates, Span Consultar Consultar Pelhi and Water & Powere Consultar						14	
for IHSDP.	s heen received from the following							
		for IHSDP.						

85th CS&MC meeting , dated : 05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

41/59 Sage 7 of 8

95	18.43	124.49 18.4395	8.32	167.26	N	
> The project duration is18 month.						
Average unit cost for EWS is Rs.290700/-and LIG houses individual unit cost is Rs. 519857/-						
dwelling unit.						
> Separate WC and bath has been proposed in the					fi 	
centre have been proposed.				-		
> 5 number of Parks , one livelihood centre & community					<u>-</u> -	
woman and Man.						
would be given as far as possible in the name of the		_		- - -	 -	1
(

85th CSSMC meeting , dated : 05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

Ė

42/59 Sage 8 048

Project Title: implementation of BSUP project for the construction of 728 DUs with infrastructure facilities at Varanasi City, Dist. Varanasi, UP

' Financial Assessment:

(Rs. Lakhs)

					1	
1	CSMC Approval	53 rd CSMC/03.02.2009				
2	Total Project Cost	3431.66		Other Expenses (IEC & DPR and A&OE)	;-	254.20
3	Central Share	1588.73				
4	State Share (Excluding Beneficiary & Other Expenses)	1446.49				
5	Beneficiary Share	142.24				
		Due	Released	Utilized —	%age Util	zeď vis-a-vis
١.		Due	Keleazed	Othized	Due	Released
6	Central Share	397.18	397.18	278.15	70.03%	70.03%
		361.62	324.24	226.97		
7	State Share	Additional Utilization reported of by fax:-	on 04.05.2010	30.50	71.20%	79.41%
8	TOTAL:-	758.81	721.42	535.62	70.59%	74.25%
9	Amount Sought (Rs.)	397.18 Li	kh	-	result.	
10	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (Rs.)	397.18 La	akh	 _		_

^{**} Short release of 37.38 Lakh against matching state share

Physical Progress:

SI.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	03.02.2009 (houses - 728)	Yes
2	Tender Floated	Yes	Yes
3	Work Order Issued	Yes	. No
4	Work Started	Yes	No
5	Upto 25% Completed	23	No
6	25-50% Completed	18	No .
7	More than 50% completed	192	No
8	Fully Completed	NIL NIL	No
9	Occupied	NIL.	No

^{**233} DUs are various stages of completion out of total sanctioned 728 DUs.

Reform Status:

	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor	
	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund]
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	DETAILS AT ANNEXURE-I
-	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing	
L	projects	

CSMC Conditions:

401110 CONQUITORS:		
	No Conditions	

i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes
ii.	Whether list of beneficiaries publised on the state website:-	Under process
SII.	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	In process
iy.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	Yes
v,	Quality:-	No Quality report with 5LNA's comments
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes. PIU under process
vii.	Whether up-to-date data uploaded on IPoMS	Upto February 2010

Project Title: Implementation of BSUP project for the construction of 776 DUs with infrastructure facilities at Varanasi City, Dist. Varanasi, UP

Financial Assessment:

(Rs. Lakhs)

,	BINGI M33633IIIEIIL.				(173, 60)	
1	CSMC Approval	53 rd CSMC/03.02.2009				
2	Total Project Cost	3058.73	3058.73 Other Expenses (IEC & DPR and A&OE): -			226.5
3	Central Share	1416.08				
4	State Share {Excluding Beneficiary & Other Expenses}	§ 1264.47	6 1264.47			ŀ
5	Beneficiary Share	151.61				
		Due	Released	Utilized	%age Utilized vis-a-	
		Due Due	veisased	Otilized —	Due	Refeased
6	Central Share	354.02	354.02	247.90	70.02%	70.02%
		316.12	276.46	194.40		. <u></u>
7	State Share	Additional Utilization reported of by fax :-	in 04.05.2010	28.50	70.51%	80.63%
8	TOTAL:-	670.14	630.48	470.80	70.25%	74.67%
9	Amount Sought (Rs.)	354.02 Lakh				
10	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (Rs.)	354.02 Lakh				

^{**} Short release of 39.66 Lakh against matching state share

2. Different state release figures Rs. 101.22 Lakh & Rs. 276.46 Lakh in two sheets shown

Physical Progress:

SI.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	03.02.2009 (houses - 776)	Yes
2	Tender Floated	Yes .	Yes
3	Work Order Issued	Yes	No
4	Work Started	Yes	No
5	Upto 25% Completed	32	No
6	25-50% Completed	59	No
7	More than 50% completed	171	No
8	Fully Completed	NIL	No
9	Occupied	NiL	No

^{**262} DUs are various stages of completion out of total sanctioned 776 DUs.

Reform Status:

Ι,	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor	
L	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	DETAILS AT ANNEXURE-I
	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing	ļ
Ľ	projects	

CSMC Conditions:

_	
	No Conditions
•	

Other Aspects:

<u> </u>	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes
ii.	Whether list of beneficiaries publised on the state website :-	Under process
iii.	Whether Blometric Identification of beneficiaries completed :-	In process
lv.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	Yes
٧.	Quality:-	No Quality report with SLNA's comments
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes. PIU under process
vii.	Whether up-to-date data uploaded on IPuMS	Upto February 2010

2 44/59

Project Title: BSUP Scheme for the City of Varanasi (1305 DUs), Uttar Pradesh

Financial Assessment:

(Rs. Lakhs)

	attern varetatiscist.		_		, lies ca	
1	CSMC Approval	53 rd CSMC/03.02.2009				
2	Total Project Cost	Other Expenses 5674.26 (FC & DPR and A&OE): -		•	420.32	
3	Central Share	2626.97				
4	State Share (Excluding Beneficiary & Other Expenses)	2321.52	14		19r	
		305.45				
		Due	Released	Utilized —	%age Utilized vis-a	
			released	Otilizeo -	Due	Released
6	Central Share	656.74	656.74	459.81	70.01%	70.01%
		580.38	520.48	365.10		
7	State Share	Additional Utilization reported by fax :-	on 04.05,2010	43.23	70.36%	78.45%
8	TOTAL:-	1237.12	1177.22	868.14	70.17%	73.74%
9	Amount Sought (Rs.)	656.74 Lakh				
10	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (Rs.)	656.74 Lakh				

^{**} Short release of 59.90 Lakh against matching state share

Physical Progress:

SI.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities	
1	Sanctioned	03.02.2009 (houses - 1305)	Yes	
2	Tender Floated	Yes	Yes	
3	Work Order Issued	Yes "	No	
4	Work Started	Yes	No	
5	Upto 25% Completed	54	No	
6	25-50% Completed	35	No	
7	More than 50% completed	549	No	
8	Fully Completed	NIL	No	
9	Occupied	NIL	:No	

^{** 638} DUs are various stages of completion out of total sanctioned 1305 DUs.

Reform Status:

(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund 2 Basic Services to Urban Poor Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	ĺ.	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor	
Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing	<u> </u>	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	
131	2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	DETAILS AT ANNEXURE-I
	3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	

	COMIC COMORDING:	al .						
- 1								
	No Conditions							
		. No Conditions						

i	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes
id.	Whether list of beneficiaries publised on the state website:-	Under process
iil.	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed:-	In process
ìy.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	Yes
v.	Quality:-	No Quality report with SLNA's comments
νi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes. PIU under process
vii.	Whether up-to-date data uploaded on IPOMS	Upto February 2010

Project Title: Implementation of BSUP at Varanasi for the const. of 585 DUs.

Financial Assessment:

(Rs. Lakhs)

1111	anciai Assessment:				(RS. Lai	u 13 j
1	CSMC Approval	53 rd CSMC/03.02.2009				
2	Total Project Cost	2480.78		Other Expenses (IEC & DPR and A&OE):	7.0.	183.76
3	Central Share	1148.51				
4	State Share (Excluding Beneficiary & Other Expenses)	1046.76				
5	Beneficiary Share	101.75				
		Due	Released	Utilized —	%age Util	Ized vis-a-vis
			MEIEASEU	Utilized	Due	Released
6	Central Share	287.13	287.13	201.34	70.12%	70.12%
		261.69	245.65	172.76		
7	State Share	Additional Utilization reported of by fax :-	n 04.05.2010	12.60	70.83%	75.46%
8	TOTAL:-	548.82	532.78	386.70	70.46%	72.58%
9	Amount Sought (Rs.)	287.13 La	kh	·		
10	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (Rs.)	287.13 La	ıkh			

420

Physical Progress:

SI.	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	03.02.2009 (houses - 585)	Yes
2	Tender Floated	Yes	Yes
3	Work Order Issued	Yes	No
4	Work Started	Yes	No
5	Upto 25% Completed	11	No
6	25-50% Completed	9	. No
7	More than 50% completed	236	, No
8	Fully Completed	NiL	No
9	Occupied	' NIL "	No

^{**256} DUs are various stages of completion out of total sanctioned 585 DUs.

Reform Status:

	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor	
*_	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	DETAILS AT ANNEXURE-I
1	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing	
Ľ	projects	

CSMC Conditions:

 	 	 	·	•
No Conditions				

í.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Yes
ű.	Whether list of beneficiaries publised on the state website :-	Under process
iii,	Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed :-	In process
iv.	Whether TPIMA instituted :-	Yes
v.	Quality:-	No Quality report with SLNA's comments
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes. PIU under process
vli,	Whether up-to-date data uploaded on IPoMS	Upto February 2010

^{**} Short release of 16.04 Lakh against matching state share

City/State: Lucknow (Chak Malhauri)/Uttar Pradesh

Project Title: BSUP Scheme for the town of CHAK MALHAURI, Distt. LUCKNOW, UP

Financial Assessment:

(Rs. Lakhs)

• • • • •	ancial Assessificate.				(K2: F8	
1	CSMC Approval	53 ^{rt} CSMC/03.02.2	009	·-		_
2	Total Project Cost	1256.48		Other Expenses (A&OE, DPR Charges & IE	C): -	65.50
3	Central Share	595.52				_
4	State Share (Excluding Beneficiary & Other Expenses)	527.51		14		m . Pe
5	Beneficiary Share	67.95	······································			
		Due	Released	Utilized —	%age Ut	ilized vis-a-vis
	^	Due	Reteased	Otilized	Due	Released
6	Central Share	148.88	148.88	130.00	87%	87%
7	State Share	131.88	111.86	> 95.00	72%	85%
8	TOTAL:-	280.76	260.74	225.00	80%	86%
9	Amount Sought (Rs.)	148.88 Lakh	1			
10	Recommended release as 2 nd Installment (Rs.)	148.88 Lakh	1			

^{**} Short release of 20.02 Lakh against matching state share

Physical Progress:

12	Progress Parameter	Housing	Amenities
1	Sanctioned	03.02.2009 (houses - 336)	Yes
2	Tender Floated	Yes	Yes
3	Work Order Issued	Yes	No
4	Work Started	Yes	No
5	Upto 25% Completed	32	No
6	25-50% Completed	64	No
7	More than 50% completed	192	No
8	Fully Completed	NIL	No
9	Occupied	NIL	No

^{** 288} DUs are various stages of completion out of total sanctioned 336 DUs. Work order not yet issued for amenities

Reform Status:

	(a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor	Earmarked
1	(b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	
2	Basic Services to Urban Poor	In progress
1.3	Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects	In progress

^{**} No detailed reforms status has been furnished

CSMC Conditions:

 		·
No Conditions		
 NO CONDICIONS		

i.	Whether Beneficiaries identified:-	Not Reported
ī, '	Whether list of beneficiaries publised on the state website :-	Not Reported
rii,	Whether Blometric identification of beneficiaries completed:-	Not Reported
iv.	Whether TPIMA Instituted :-	Yes
٧.	Quality:	No Quality report with SLNA's comments
vi.	Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional :-	Yes. P(U under process
e vii	Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPoMS:-	Upto February 2010

(Rs. in Crores)

	> Separate WC and bath has been proposed in the							
	> 5 number of Parks , one livelihood centre & community centre have been proposed.							
·	 Although the scheme is launched by Lucknow Development Authority, the Sale price is not yet approved by the State Govt. 							
15	As per proposal, there is no state Share and entire cost other than Govt. of India is being borne by the beneficiaries including infrastructure cost.						14	
6 01	Agency has adopted UPPWD SOR 2009-10 of Lucknow Circle and CPWD DSR 2007 with 24% cost escalation for the present proposal.							
	Agency has informed that the area/ beneficiaries covered under the scheme had not been benefited previously in any Central/State govt. Schemes.					Ottar Pradesh	4	
	> Housing and Infrastructure ratio is 80 : 20			·	···	Bijnour, Lucknow		·
	> Elected local body is in existence.					(Under JNNURM)		
	> CDP has been approved.					partnership	riadesii	
	> SLSC approval is to be obtained.	٠.	132.40	6.63	139.03	Affordable	Lucknow/Uttar	Þ
No.		ment	Share					
Page	Brief Summary	Install -	-aries	Share	Cost		State 🔄	Z
			Renefici	Central	Total	Project Title	Mission City	<u>.</u>

44

85th CSdMC meeting, dated: 05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

48/59 @ sage 1 of 4

(Rs. in Crores)

85th CS&MC meeting, dated:05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

49 59 W Sage 2 of 4

4

(Rs. in Crores)

			_ _					_
	Although the scheme is launched by Lucknow Development Authority, the Sale price is not yet approved by the State Govt.						ť	
	for the present proposal.			•				
;	Agency has adopted UPPWD SOR 2009-10 of Lucknow Circle and CPWD DSR 2007 with 24% cost escalation							
45 to	previously in any Central/State govt. Schemes.						<u> </u>	
31	Agency has informed that the area/ beneficiaries covered under the scheme had not been benefited					Uttar Pradesh	19	
	Housing and Infrastructure ratio is 72.38: 27.62					at Devpur Para, Lucknow		
	Elected local body is in existence.	_ _				(Under JNNURM)		
	CDP has been approved.	- ∀				Housing in	Pradesh	
	SLSC approval is to be obtained.	٧	124.17	8.74	132.91	Affordable	Lucknow/Uttar	<u>.</u>
	The project duration is18 month.	¥					h _i	
	Average unit cost for EWS is Rs.290700/-and LIG houses individual unit cost is Rs. 519857/-				•			
	Separate WC and bath has been proposed in the dwelling unit.	∀						
	centre have been proposed.							
	8 number of Parks , one livelihood centre & community	¥		 				

85th CSLMC meeting, dated: 05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

50/59 A 3age 3 at 4

(Rs. in Crores)

	350.79	24.78	375.57	
> The project duration is18 month.	-			
> Average unit cost for EWS is Rs.290700/-				
Separate WC and bath has been proposed in the dwelling unit.				
community centre have been proposed.		_		
> 11 number of Parks , one livelihood centre &				 - !*
beneficiaries including infrastructure cost.			<u> </u>	
other than Govt. of India is being borne by the	.		_	
As per proposal ,there is no state Share and entire cost				

85th CS&MC meeting, dated: 05.05.2010 (Suppl.Agenda Brief)

þ

51/59 D 3age 4 of 4

Ī			-					(Re in lars)
of the	Name of		Affordable Housing Project Name / Components	Total	Central	S	State Share	
	the city/ District			Project	Share	State		Beneficlary
<u>. </u>				Cost	(25% of the infra.	Grant	Share	Share
	ļ				Cost)			
十	<u>2</u>	4	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	9	(8)
ottar Pradesh Lu	Lucknow		Affordable housing in Partnership (Under JNNURM) at Basant Kunj Yojna Sector - A, Lucknow, UP					
		∢	Construction of 1776 EWS DUs @ Rs.290,700/- and 800 LIG DUs @	9321.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	9321.69
			structure includes 2 rooms, kitchen, WC and Bathroom and LIG DUs having	-				
			carpet area 40.54 somt with G+3 storey structure includes 3 rooms, kitchen,					
			WC and bathroom. The beneficiaries will be selected by draw of lottery. All					
			inclusive cost of DU is Rs.290,700/- and Rs.519,857/- lacs and sale price for					
			EWS is at par and for LIG is Rs.5.90 lacs. The title of flat will be on 30 years		•			
			lease and after 30 years flats will be registered on freehold basis.		angle -			
			A STATE OF THE STA	00 7000		1,	9	
		ď	1 Boods Of Ar DT	9321.69	0.00	9.0	0.00	9321.69
		<u> </u>		834.51	208.63	0.00	0.00	625.88
		_	Z. Storm water drains	429.56	107.39	00:00	00.0	322.17
SIAIEMENI - I	-		3. Water supply	176.54	44,14	00:0	00.0	132.41
			4. Sewerage with 01 STP	725.20	181.30	0.00	0.00	543.90
			5. Electrification	104.01	26.00	00.0	0.00	78.01
			6. Parks & open spaces	377.38	94.35	00.00	000	283.04
			7. Community facilities	98.00	24.50	0.00	0.00	73.50
				225.85	56.46	00.0	8	169.39
			9. Earth Filling	356.09	89.02	0.00	800	267.07
			Sub Total (B)	3,327.14	831.79	•	Ī	2,495.36
			Project Cost (A+B)	12648.83	831.79	0.00	0.0	11817.04
		ပ	A&OE charges, IEC & DPR preparation charges etc. @ 5%	632.44	0.00	632.44	00.0	000
			Sub Total (C)	632.44	0.00	632.44	0.00	00.0
	,		Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	13281.27	831.79	632.44	0.00	11817.04
Note: In addition to	the above	e, ager	Note: In addition to the above, agency has proposed 12.5% centage charges, which has been excluded from the project cost	ect cost.				

10 bs/cs

								(KS IN IBCS)
Name of the Na	Name of		Affordable Housing Project Name / Components	Total	Central	S	State Share	4
	the city/			Project	Share	State	nrB	ULB Beneficiary
	District			Cost	(25% of	Grant	Share	Share
	•				the Infra.			•
				į	theor.	-	į	Į.
(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	8	<u>®</u>
Uttar Pradesh Lu	Lucknow		Affordable housing in Partnership (Under JNNURM) at Gahroo Yojna,					
								0000
		4	Construction of 896 EWS DUs @ Rs.290,700/- and 1536 LIG DUs @	10589.68	00.0	00.0	0.00	10589.68
			Rs.519,857/- EWS DUs having carpet area 26.85 sqmt. with G+3 storey					
		_	structure includes 2 rooms, kitchen, WC and Bathroom and LIG DUs having		_			
•		_	carpet area 40.54 somt with G+3 storey structure includes 3 rooms, kitchen,			5		
			WC and bathroom. The beneficiaries will be selected by draw of lottery. All					
			inclusive cost of DIJ is Rs 290 700/- and Rs 519 857/- lacs and sale price for				<u> </u>	
			100 CO 101 P			-		
			EWS is at par and for Life is KS.5.90 lacs. The title of flat will be on 30 years loose and often 30 years.					
								İ
			Sub Total (A)	10589.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	10589.68
		8	1. Roads – CC or BT	395.58	98.90	0.00	0.00	- 296.69
STATEMENT - II	=-		2. Storm water drains	635.28	158.82	00:00	00.00	476.46
		_	3. Water supply	174.13	43.53	00.00	0.00	130.60
		_	4. Sewerace	~ 640.00	160,00	00.0	0.00	480.00
			5. Electrification	67.27	16.82	00.0	00.00	50.45
			6. Parks & open spaces	345.35		00:00	00:00	259.01
			7. Community facilities	00.86	24.50	00:00	0.00	73.50
			8. Rain Harvesting	295.94	73.99	00.00	00.00	221.96
	-		Sub Total (B)	2,651.55	662.89	-	•	1,988.66
			Project Cost (A+B)	13241.23	662.89	0.00	00.00	12578.34
		ပ	A&OE charges, IEC & DPR preparation charges etc. @ 5%	662.06	00.00	662.06	0.00	0.00
				- 662.06	00.0	662.06	0.00	0.00
_			Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	13903.29	662.89	662.06	00:00	12578.34
Note: In addition to	the above	1906	Note: In addition to the above, agency has proposed 12.5%, centage charges, which has been excluded from the project cost	piect cost.	i			
	2000	1	The book of the second of the					

83/85

								(Rs in lacs)
Name of the	Name of	L	Affordable Housing Project Name / Components	Total	Central	S	State Share	
	the city/		•	Project	Share	State	OLB	Beneficiary
	District			Cost	(25% of	Grant	Share	Share
					the Infra.	· <u>-</u>		
					Cost)			
(1)	(2)		(c)	(4)	(2)	[(e) _	(2)	(8)
Uttar Pradesh	Lucknow	.:	Affordable housing in Partnership (Under JNNURM) at Devpur Para,					
			Lucknow, UP			14		
		∢	Construction of 3152 EWS Dus @ Rs.290,700/- lacs having carpet area 26.85	9162.86	00:00	00:00	0.00	9162.86
			sqmt. G+3 storey structure includes 2 rooms, kitchen, w.c. and Bathroom. The					
			beneficiaries with be selected by draw or lottery. All inclusive cost of DU is					
			RS.2.907 lacs and sale price is at par. The time of that will be on 30 years lease					
			and after 30 years flats will be registered on freehold basis.					•
			Sub Total (A)	9162.86	0.00	00:00	0.00	9162.86
		<u>m</u>	1. Roads - BT/CC	404.26	101.07	00:00	0.00	303.20
			2. Storm water drains	759.49	189.87	00.0	00.0	569.62
			3. Water supply	338.60	84.65	0.00	000	253.95
STATEMENT - III	21 - 17		4. Sewerage	583.90	145.98	00'0	00:00	437.93
			5. Electrification	109.70	27.43	00.00	00.00	82.28
	ı		6. Parks & open spaces	363.12	90.78	00.0	00.0	272.34
	ı	_	7. Community facilities	98.00	24.50	00.00	0.00	73.50
		_	8. Rain Water Harvesting	383.56	95.89	00:0	00:0	287.67
		_	9. Earth Filling	454.94	113.74	00:00	0.00	341.21
			(a) tead to Sub Total (B)	3,495.57	873.89	-	-	2,621.68
			Project Cost (A+B)	12658.43	873.89	00.0	00.0	11784.54
		ပ	A&OE charges, IEC & DPR preparation charges etc. @ 5%	632.92	00:00	632.92	0.00	0.00
				632.92	00.0	632.92	0.00	00.00
			Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	13291.35	873.89	632.92	0.00	11784.54
Note: In addition	to the above	, age	Note: In addition to the above, agency has proposed 12.5% centage charges, which has been excluded from the project cost.	ject cost.				

17 78 78

65/ns

£

4

3.

								Ç
ſ		Ī						(KS IN Iacs)
of the	Name of		Affordable Housing Project Name / Components	Total	Central	Si	State Share	
State	the city/			Project	Share	State	OLB	Beneficiary
<u></u>	District			Cost	(25% of	Grant	Share	Share
				, , ,	tne Infra. Cost)			
(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
Uttar Pradesh L	Lucknow		Affordable housing in Partnership (Under JNNURM) at Gomti Nagar E tension Yolna Sector - 4, Lucknow, UP					
		⋖	191	6104.60	00.0	00:00	00.0	6104.60
			sqmt. with G+3 stor					_
			structure includes 2 rooms, kitchen, WC and Bathroom and LIG DUs having					
			carpet area 40.54 sqmt with G+3 storey structure includes 3 rooms, kitchen,					
			WC and bathroom. The beneficiaries will be selected by draw of lottery. All					
			inclusive cost of DU is Rs.290,700/- and Rs.519,857/- lacs and sale price for			ĥ		
			EWS is at par and for LIG is Rs.5.90 lacs. The title of flat will be on 30 years					
		_	lease and after 30 years flats will be registered on freehold basis.	•		_		
		-	Sub Total (A)	6104.60	0.00	00:0	0.00	6104.60
		8	1. Roads – CC or BT	1203.33	300.83	00:00	00.0	902.50
STATEMENT - IV	\		2. Storm water drains	1472.49	368.12	0.00	0.00	1104.37
			3. Water supply	201.18	50.30	0.00	0.00	150.89
			4. Sewerage	128.05	32.01	0.00	0.00	96.04
			5. Electrification	138.18	34.55	00.00	0.00	103.64
			6. Parks & open spaces	288.11	72.03	00.0	00:00	216.08
			7. Community facilities	98.00	24.50	00:00	0.00	73.50
			8. Rain Harvesting	235.59	58.90	00:00	0.00	176.69
			Sub Total (B)	3,764.93	941.23	•	•	2,823.70
			Project Cost (A+B)	9869.53	941.23	00'0	0.00	8928.30
		၁	A&OE charges, IEC & DPR preparation charges etc. @ 5%	493.48	00.00	493.48	0.00	00.00
				493.48	00.0	493.48	0.00	00.0
	_		Total Project Cost (A+B+C)	10363.01	941,23	493.48	0.00	8928.30
Note: In addition t	o the above,	agen	Note: In addition to the above, agency has proposed 12.5% centage charges, which has been excluded from the project cost	ject cost.				
			Total for Uttar Pradesh (4 projects)	50838.92	3309.80	2420.90	00.0	45108.22

(Rs. In Lakhs) recommen ded to the approved to the minutes of 85th CSMC (BSUP) dated 05-05-2010 25% Central 25% amount 25% share 25% 25% yov total 397.18 354.02 329.90 428.53 148.88 installment recommen release as of ACA Amount ded for 7 Pg 222.90 81% 247.89 100% 93% 257.47 79% 95.00 85% noitsation to % 336.12 313.61 Amount Amount of State of State Share released | utilized 324.24 276.46 247.89 111.86 Share 247.90 70% %02 73% 130.00 87% 303.00 71% noileailitU lo % 278.15 240.00 Amount utilized Central Share 354.02 428.53 397.18 329.90 148.88 Installment of Central Released Share 1416.08 1588.73 595.46 1714.12 1319.62 Approved Excluding Fotal State expenses) Share other 1416.08 1588.73 1319.62 595.52 1714.12 Approved Central share Total 3431.66 3058.73 2850.38 1256.48 3651.07 Total Project Cost BSUP Scheme for 4 slums for the town of Kanpur, Distt. Kanpur, UP Varanasi City, Dist. Varanasi, UP Varanasi City, Dist. Varanasi, UP Implementation of BSUP project Implementation of BSUP project for the construction of 776 DUs for the construction of 728 DUs with infrastructure facilities at with infrastructure facilities at BSUP Scheme for the town of Kanpurt for const. of 816 ln 3 CHAK MALHAURI, Distt. Implmentation of BSUP at slums Distt. Kanpur, UP Name of the Project LUCKNOW, UP

Malhauri)

Kanpur

A

Varanasi

Kanpur

UTTAR PRADESH

C

Varanasi

Œ

Lucknow

Chak

⋖

Name Name of the of the City

.Ţ

¥

KT P

r e

approved гесоттел ded to the (Rs. In Lakhs) amount Central share 25% 25% 25% विठा 419.39 installment 419.39 656.74 ₹ 287.13 2602.38 3021.77 release as recommen Amount ded for of ACA $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{i}$ 185.36 75% 377.45 329.21 87% 408.33 78% noitssilitU to % of State of State Amount Amount released utilized 520.48 245.65 Share 70% 365.79 87% 459.81 70% aoitsailitU 10 % 201.34 utilized Amount Central Share 419.39 656.74 287.13 419.39 Approved Installment 3021.77 (Excluding of Central 2602.38 Released Share 1677.55 expenses) Total State 2626.97 1148.51 other Share 2626.97 1148.51 1677.55 12087.10 1677.55 10409.55 Approved Central share Total 3355.10 5674.26 2480.78 Total Project Cost BSUP Scheme for the town of BSUP Scheme for the City of Varanasi for the const. of 585 ST C Kolkata BSUP Scheme for the town of E (Khardah) Khardah Ph-II, West Bengal Implementation of BSUP at Varanasi (1305 DUs), Uttar TOTAL FOR UTTAR PRADESH TOTAL FOR WEST BENGAL Name of the Project GRAND TOTAL (7 PROJECTS) (1 PROJECT) Pradesh Name Name of the Varanasi Varanasi of the City UTTAR PRADESH H G Ľ

bs/ts 1/6

;

Annexure-VI to the minutes of 85th CSMC (BSUP) dated 05-05-2010

		= - *		·
(Rs. In Lakh)		ended to the total Central share	25%	
(R	Amount	ded for release as 3rd installment of ACA	479.35	479.35
	uo	itsilitu To %	88%	, <u></u>
£		Amount Amount of State of State Share Share released utilized	1445.55	
		Amount Amount of State of State Share Share released utilized	958.70 842.70 88% 1644.56 1445.55	1
•	uo	insiliitU Io %	88%	
•	Amount	of Central Share utilized	842.70	
	ed'so far	Total	958.70	958.70
	Central Share released so far	2nd Instalment	479.35	479.35
	Central	ist 2nd Instalment Instalment	479.35	479.35
	Total State	Share Approved (Excludin g other expenses)	1917.39	
	- 1	Total Central share Approved	1917.39	
		Total Project Cost	4218.26	
E .		Name of the Name of the Project State City	Integrated Development project of Slums in Uluberia Municipality Area- Phase-I, Kolkata	TOTAL FOR WEST BENGAL (2 PROJECTS)
		Name of the City	Kolkata (Uluberia)	OTAL FOF
		Name of the State	MEST BENGAL	Ľ

do

, F

排液

55/85

VANEXURE-VII

to the minutes of 85th CSMC (BSUP) dated 05-05-2010

PROPOSAL APPROVED AS PER LOWEST RFQ

Name of the TPIM Agency :- Water & Power Cunsultancy Services (India) Limited (WAPCOS)

(विश्वया का उ			AoueR	A Isowo.J		l		ļ <u></u> .
ายกลา	%\$"0	late f	erisiV\rsoD	Desk				
паэмээр	/(ansu)	Changes		Review				
Linninios	10 %57.0	bet,			l			
8.102.38	(HZDF)	าวอโตมป			ł			ĺ
1	Istof To	iiziV bi)			1			
	project	for a green			1			·
i	ıspo	& 9U2U .i.siu.ci						
ļ		Jiriv SI			1			"
l l		102 to			วรอโดรนี ระกา	រ វាជ	avitano I	0
2.80	59.6	2.80	2.1817 8 \08.5		1428'04 Cost	\$19	Location	_
	دم.د		CHELL D (OPER		MO'DCM1	C10	Ambala Sadar	
2.80	3.85	2.80	2.80/ 8 visits		680.6521	\$67	Ambala City	
4.30	7.23	4'30	etiaiv 8 \08.5	02.1	2892.01	6491	Dhiwani	
2.80	3 0 C	2.80	2,80/8 \08.5		1511.05	\$09	Dadri	
4.30	26.2	4.30	ziely 8 \08.S	05.1	2368.76	0981	Hisar	
4.30	88.8	4.30	arisiv 8 \08.5	05.1	2350.40	896	inadbagat	
1.75	6L'1	521	eliely & \&T.1		06'51L	1Cb	าลกู้โดยโ	
55.0	29.0	\$6.0	DIBIN 1 VEE.D	_	258.90	061	Kaika	
5 <i>L</i> 1	08'1	<i>\$L</i> "1	Bilbiy & 127.1		66.617	119	theguiensM	
0£.4	pp'91	4,30	stisiv 8 \08.S	02.1	86.9728	1501	Panchkula	0
07.0	\$6.0	07.0	4)12iv S \07.0	-	≯9.87£	051	Pinjore .	1
4.30	00.3	06.4	2)iely 8 \08.5	05.1	2399.50	482	İπεwэΩ	7

-00

65/65