

**REGISTERED POST/BY HAND**

No.N-11028/1/2009/IHSDP/JNNURM –Vol.V  
Government of India  
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation  
JNNURM Directorate

Room No. 201 G Wing  
New Delhi, dated 7<sup>th</sup> February, 2009

**OFFICE MEMORANDUM**

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of the minutes of the 51<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Central Sanctioning Committee of Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2009 under the Chairmanship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction projects under Integrated Housing and Slum Development Programme (IHSDP).

2. The appraisal agencies (i.e. HUDCO and BMTPC) are requested to convey the decisions of the Central Sanctioning Committee to the State implementing agency/nodal agency for IHSDP to take appropriate follow up actions as per the minutes of the meeting.

3. A copy of the minutes is forwarded to the Secretaries in-charge of BSUP and IHSDP in the States/UTs with a request to take further follow up action.



(M. Jayachandran)

Deputy Director (BSUP)

Telephone No. +91-11-23061519

**Encl: Minutes of the meeting**

**To**

**Members of the CSC as follows:**

1. The Joint Secretary (JNNURM) and Mission Director, Ministry of HUPA.
2. The JS&FA, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
3. The Joint Secretary (UD), Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
4. The CMD, HUDCO, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
5. The Director (UPA), Convenor, Ministry of HUPA

**Copy to the Joint Secretary (PF-I), Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance, North Block, New Delhi.**

**Copy to the concerned officer in respect of projects considered in the meeting:-**

1. Shri R. Subrahmanyam, Commissioner, Housing, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad
2. Ms. Pushpa Subramaniam, Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block, Secretariat, Hyderabad – 500 002
3. Ms. Naseem Lankar, Commissioner & Secretary (Housing & Urban Development), Government of Jammu & Kashmir, Secretariat, Jammu.
4. Shri Hadadare, Chief Engineer, Maharashtra Housing and Area Development Authority (MHADA), Griha Nirman Bhavan, Bandra (East), Mumbai-400 051

5. Shri R.K. Sinha, Secretary (Urban Development), Government of Mizoram, Civil Secretariat, Block-C, Treasury Square, Aizwal 796 001.
6. Shri HVL. Zarzoenga, Deputy Director, Urban Development and Poverty Alleviation Department, Government of Mizoram, Aizawl
7. Shri Vivek Bharadwaj, Special Secretary, UD Department and Secretary, KMDA, Government of West Bengal, DF-8, Sector-I, Salt Lake, Kolkata-64
8. Shri Kousik Das, Additional Chief Engineer, ME Directorate Department of Municipal Affairs, Government of West Bengal, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Bikash

**Copy to the Secretaries in charge of Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) and Integrated Housing & Slum Development Programme (IHS DP) in the States/UTs:-**

The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Municipal Administration Department Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat Hyderabad – 500 002	The Principal Secretary, Housing Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad – 500 002
The Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat, Hyderabad-500 002.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Tourism, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat, Itanagar.
The Commissioner & Secretary, UD Department, Government of Assam, Assam Secretariat, Dispur, Guwahati -781 006.	The Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna..
The Additional Secretary & Director (BUDA), Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Bihar Sachivalaya Patna – 800 015
The Secretary, Urban Administration & Development Department, Government of Chhattishgath, Room NO 316, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur -492 001.	The Secretary(Housing) Government of Goa, Secretariat Annexe, EDC House, Panaji- 403 001
The Principal Secretary(UD) & Housing, Government of Gujarat, Block No, 14, 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor, New Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar-382 010.	The Chief Executive Officer, Gujarat Urban Development Mission, GMFB Building, Sector-10A, Gandhinagar – 382 016.
The Commissioner & Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Government of Haryana, SCO-20 Sec.7C, Chandigarh – 160 001.	The Secretary (UD), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla – 171 002

The Secretary, Housing and UD Department, Government of Jammu & Kashmir, New Secretariat, Srinagar	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla – 171 002
The Director, Urban Local Bodies Government of Jammu & Kashmir, 151-A/D, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu.	The Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi -834 004.
The Secretary (Housing) Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004	The Principal Secretary (Housing) Government of Karnataka, Room No.213, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor, Vikas Sauda Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore-560 001
The Principal Secretary to Government UD Department, Government of Karnataka Room No.436, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor, Vikas Sauda Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore 560 001	The Secretary (Housing), Government of Kerala, Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram – 695 001
The Principal Secretary, Local Self Government Department Government of Kerala Thiruvananthapuram – 695 001	The Secretary , Local Self Government, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram-695 001
The Executive Director Kudumbashree State Poverty Eradication Mission Government of Kerala 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor, TRIDA Building, Chalakupuzhy Road, Medical College (PO), Thiruvananthapuram 695 011.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Administration and Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal - 462 032
The Principal Secretary (Housing & Environment), Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Ballabh Bhavan, Bhopal - 462 032	The Commissioner, Urban Administration & Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Nagar Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar Bhopal -462 016
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.425, 4 <sup>th</sup> floor Mantraalaya, Mumbai-400 032	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.268, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor, Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032
Commissioner & Secretary, Urban Affairs & Housing, Government of Meghalaya, Main Secretariat Building Shillong-793 001	The Secretary, Housing, UD & Municipal Administration, Government of Manipur, Chief Secretariat, Imphal -795 001
The Commissioner & Secretary, Urban Development & Poverty Alleviation Department, Government of Mizoram, Civil Secretariat, Aizwal-796 001.	The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Nagaland, Kohima – 797 001

The Commissioner & Secretary, Works & Housing, Government of Nagaland Kohima – 797 001	The Principal Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Orissa, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar - 751 001
The Principal Secretary(LSG) Government of Punjab Mini Secretariat Sector-9, Chandigarh 160 001	The Secretary (Housing & UD) Government of Punjab, Room No.419, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9 Chandigarh 160 001
The Principal Secretary, UDH & LSG Department, Government of Rajasthan Room No. 29, Main Building, Secretariat, Jaipur	The Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Rajasthan , Room No.39, SSO Building, Government Secretariat , Jaipur 302 005.
The Secretary, Department of UD & Housing, Government of Sikkim, NH 31A, Gangtok – 737 101	The Secretary (Housing & UD), Government of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Secretariat, Chennai –600 009
The Secretary, Municipal Administration & Water Supply, Government of Tamil Nadu, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor, Ezhilagam Annexe, Chepauk, Chennai– 600 009	The Secretary (UD), Government of Tripura Civil Secretariat, Pt. Nehru Complex, Agartala-799 001
The Principal Secretary (UD & MA) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Room No.825, 8 <sup>th</sup> floor, Babu Bhawan, Lucknow – 226 001	The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Uttar Pradesh, 325 Babu Bhawan, Lucknow – 226 001
The Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Navchetna Kendra, 10, Ashok Marg, Lucknow.	The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Uttarakhand, Uttarakhand Secretariat, 4 B, Subhash Road DEHRADUN – 248 001.
The Project Director (JNNURM), Urban Development Directorate, Government of Uttarakhand, 43/6, Mata Mandir Marg, Dharampur, Dehradun – 248 001	
The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of West Bengal, Nagarayan DF-8, Sector1, Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700 064	The Secretary (UD & Housing), Chandigarh Administration, UT Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh-160 001
The Secretary (Housing), Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605 001	The Secretary, Local Administration Department Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605 001

The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor, C Wing, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi.	The Additional Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi-110 002
The Commissioner & Secretary, (Relief & Rehabilitation), UT of Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Secretariat, Port Blair -744 101	The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Daman & Diu, Secretariat, Moti Daman-396 220
The Secretary (Housing & UD), UT of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Secretariat, Silvassa-396 220	The Chief Town Planner, Town & Country Planning Department, UT Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor, Secretariat, Silvassa - 396 230.

Copy to:

1. The Joint Secretary to Hon'ble Prime Minister (Kind attention Shri R. Gopalakrishnan), PMO, South Block, New Delhi.
2. PS to Hon'ble Minister (HUPA)
3. Sr. PPS to Secretary (HUPA)
4. Joint Secretary (H), Ministry of HUPA
5. The Joint Secretary (PP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Room No.1125, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, New Delhi.
6. The Joint Secretary (UL), Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi
7. Director (UPA), Ministry of HUPA
8. OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
9. Director (Administration), Ministry of HUPA
10. DS(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
11. US(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
12. DD(JPC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
13. DD(Data & MIS), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
14. DD (NRC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
15. SO (IHSDP), Ministry of HUPA
16. Monitoring Cell (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
17. The CMD, NBCC, "NBCC Bhavan", Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003
18. The CMD, IPII, Jangpura, New Delhi-110014
19. The Executive Director, BMTPC, Core 5 A, First Floor, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003
20. The Director (Corporate Planning), HUDCO, "HUDCO Bhavan", India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110 003.
21. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, Uttarakhand - 247 667

Copy to:- Guard folder on JNNURM

  
(M. Jayachandran)  
Deputy Director (BSUP)

**MINUTES OF THE 51<sup>ST</sup> MEETING OF THE CENTRAL  
SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC) OF THE INTEGRATED  
HOUSING AND SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME  
(IHSDP) UNDER JAWAHARLAL NEHRU NATIONAL URBAN  
RENEWAL MISSION (JNNURM)**

**Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2009**

The 51<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Central Sanctioning Committee (CSC) of the Integrated Housing and Slum Development Programme (IHSDP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) was held under the Chairpersonship of Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation in New Delhi on 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2009. The list of participants is at **Annexure – I**.

2.1. Welcoming the Chairperson and the Members of CSC, Joint Secretary & Mission Director (JNNURM) recalled the observations made by the CSC earlier that in order to enable the Ministry to achieve the Mission period target of 15 lakh houses for the urban poor by 31.3.2012, the process of sanction for the targeted number of houses and related basic amenities must be completed as early as possible. He informed that the completion of housing projects for the poor is taking about two years or more in most cases in actual practice due to several reasons. These include delays in release of Central and State Share by State Finance Departments to Nodal Agencies (SLNAs) and from the latter to Urban Local Bodies/Executing Agencies, release of ULB share to project accounts, verification / identification of beneficiaries, issues of biometric identity cards, making the beneficiaries pay for their contributions, floating of tenders, need for re-tendering due to contractors not coming forward, land disputes, court litigation, problems in arranging temporary relocation of beneficiaries in the case on *in situ* projects, escalation in project costs due to rise in prices of building materials like cement and steel, etc. JS (JNNURM) informed that keeping the above factors in view, the CSMC has observed that project sanctions covering 7-year indicative allocation must be completed early, say by June, 2009 so that adequate time is made available for the completion of projects sanctioned well before the Mission ends. He requested the States/UTs to come up with necessary project proposals, seeking ACA out of (i) the balance of the 7-year Mission period allocation and (ii) the new fiscal stimulus package - latest by 15<sup>th</sup> of February 2009. The States/UTs should also demonstrate that the projects already sanctioned are in good progress. The States/UTs were requested to come up with adequate number proposals for the release of 2<sup>nd</sup>/3<sup>rd</sup>/4<sup>th</sup> installments for old projects and 1<sup>st</sup> installment in the case of new projects

1/62  
(m)

under BSUP and IHSDP by the second week of February 2009 in order to enable the achievement of the Mid-term and Mission targets.

2.2. Joint Secretary (JNNURM) informed that the estimates included in the DPRs must conform to the latest Schedule of Rates brought out by the State Governments concerned. Further, the bills of quantities would need to be certified to be correct by the competent authority. The State Level Nodal Agency must ensure that the competent State engineering authority specified in the PWD Code / State Government Order has certified/accorded necessary technical approval to the estimates included in the DPRs before the same are placed before the State Level Steering Committee (SLSC) / State Level Coordination Committee (SLCC), as the case may be, for approval. The details of SLSC / SLCC approval and check lists along with appraisal reports must be placed by the Appraising Agency before the CSMC/CSC for consideration.

2.3. JS & Mission Director (JNNURM) reiterated the important points emphasized by the Chairperson in the earlier meetings of CSMC / CSC for adherence/implementation by the States/UTs/ULBs (**Annexure-II**).

3.1. Secretary (HUPA) & Chairperson stated that all efforts should be made by the States/UTs/ULBs/implementing agencies to ensure that the projects sanctioned under BSUP and IHSDP are executed without time and cost overruns and with utmost quality. For this, they should establish PMU/PIUs expeditiously, institute TPIMA, gear up the JNNURM implementation process by fixing clear milestones for progress, undertake regular monitoring and develop supporting frameworks at State and city levels. Secretary (HUPA) & Chairperson, CSMC emphasized the need for building adequate expertise in SLNAs/PMUs/PIUs in areas such as town planning, engineering, community mobilization, social development and MIS. The States/UTs may specially avail the services of town planning and engineering experts to ensure that DPRs follow the appropriate town planning norms and State/UT PWD rules. Secretary (HUPA) informed that the States/UTs would have flexibility to engage professional technical /town planning experts in their PMU/PIUs using the ACA funds released by GoI. She drew attention of the States/UTs towards undertaking capacity building programmes under JNNURM for which funds were released and additional funding could be sought for after submission of UCs.

3.2. Emphasising the need for proper physical planning in the case of *in situ* slum development projects, Secretary (HUPA) stressed the need for implementation of well-formulated slum development plans following

2 | 62  
MAR.

basic town planning norms. These plans should ensure the provision of water and sewer infrastructure, storm drainage, roads, community facilities, open spaces etc. Secretary (HUPA) expressed the view that in the absence of proper planning, the slums would remain as slums and the purpose of JNNURM would not be served. Secretary (HUPA) emphasized the need for a 'whole slum' approach under which pucca houses of the urban poor not having toilet facilities must also be provided with the same. She suggested that every beneficiary household under BSUP and IHSDP must be given individual water connection and individual toilet. These facilities must be connected to city water and sewer systems respectively. Secretary (HUPA) was of the view that urban renewal cannot be achieved without meeting the requirements of basic sanitation for the urban poor. The States/UTs/ULBs should propose community toilet only when the same is absolutely essential. They should focus on social infrastructure facilities such as health centre, schools /additional class rooms, livelihood centre, community centre, etc. Secretary (HUPA) suggested that other basic requirements such as police station, post office, public transport services, bus stop, taxi stand, local shops, market complex, electricity transformer and sub-station, water supply reservoir / overhead tank, hospital, garbage dumping bins, etc. should be ensured. She further suggested that all care must be taken to ensure that avenue plantations, green belts, parks, playgrounds, etc. are developed in all BSUP and IHSDP colonies so as to create green and eco-friendly habitats for the urban poor.

3.3. The Chairperson of CSC suggested that the States/UTs should take action to accord land title / occupancy right to the beneficiaries before coming up with proposals under BSUP and IHSDP. The title / patta needs to be non-transferable. Secretary (HUPA) expressed that any delay in giving land tenure would only cause delay in the implementation of projects. She instructed that in cases where the process is not completed, States/UTs would need to complete the process of identification of beneficiaries in all respects, including issue of biometric cards and land title or occupancy right documents within one month from the date of sanction of project by CSMC / CSC.

3.4. Secretary (HUPA) emphasized the need for proper appraisal of projects. She informed that the appraising agencies would be responsible to ensure that land proposed for housing is free of encumbrance, DPRs/estimates (rates and bills of quantities) are certified by the competent authority, eligible beneficiaries are identified, action is taken for biometric identification, whole slum approach is adopted, a definite plan of action is worked out to put the place vacated by slum-dwellers to productive use in the case of relocation projects, town planning norms as

applicable under State laws are followed, State/ULB shares are available, beneficiaries have agreed to pay for their contribution and the Guidelines of JNNURM, toolkits and instructions issues by the CSC/CSC are adhered to.

3.5. Secretary (HUPA) & Chairperson, CSC said that the infrastructure networks being developed under BSUP and IHSDP should invariably be integrated or planned to be integrated with trunk-line infrastructure facilities, either already existing or being developed under UIG / UIDSSMT or State Government programmes in accordance with CDPs. She suggested that the States/ULBs should ensure proper coordination amongst various agencies engaged in the implementation of JNNURM (UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP) and other schemes to make sure that slums and low-income communities are linked to city-wide infrastructure systems. The project appraisal teams for UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP must ensure such type of linkages.

4. For the CSC Meeting, 35 new projects (1 from Andhra Pradesh, 15 from Jammu & Kashmir, 7 each from West Bengal and Maharashtra and 5 from Mizoram) were put up in the agenda, brief details of which are at **Annexure-III**.

### New Projects

#### Jammu & Kashmir

5.1. The representative of the State of Jammu & Kashmir made a presentation on 15 projects in all of which there was no clear slum cluster but a scattering of kutcha houses amongst other pucca ones. The Committee observed the following:-

- The State has not furnished layout plans of the proposed housing and infrastructure services. In the absence of layout plans the details of infrastructure services could not be verified to see if infrastructure would serve the poor or the town as a whole;
- Biometric identification of the beneficiaries should be conducted within 2 months; and
- The estimates are based on SOR 2004.

5.2. The representative of the State informed the Committee that the layout plans of the proposed housing and infrastructure services could not be provided because of security problems in the State. Regarding SOR he said that it is based on 2004 SOR with cost index varying 20% to 105%. Taking into consideration the information furnished by the State and the comments of the appraisal agency (HUDCO), the Committee decided that

in the absence of layout plans only housing component with reference to the beneficiary list furnished by the State could be approved. The remaining infrastructure components will be considered only after furnishing the layout plans and verifying the services proposed. The housing components approved are for 15 projects at (i) Budgam, (ii) Reasi, (iii) Kupwara, (iv) Ramnagar, (v) Sopore, (vi) Mattan, (vii) Bandipora, (viii) Baramulla, (ix) Magam, (x) Ganderbal, (xi) Hajin, (xii) Handwara, (xiii) Kulgam, (xiv) Shopian, and (xv) Sumbal. **Abstracts of the approved component are at Statement-I to XV of Annexure-IV.**

### Andhra Pradesh

6.1. The representative of the State of Andhra Pradesh made a presentation on 1 IHSDP project at Kurnool. He said that the project is for infrastructure components for 9002 dwelling units already covered under Central/State Government programmes. The Committee observed the following:-

- The State should furnish slum-wise, programme-wise details of dwelling units sanctioned; and
- The existing 7-year allocation of Central assistance under IHSDP for the State has exhausted. In case Central assistance is not available, the State should complete the project with their own resources.

6.2. The representative of the State agreed to complete the project in case Central assistance could not be made available. The State has furnished details of dwelling units covered under various programmes. Taking into consideration the information furnished by the State and the comments of the appraisal agency (HUDCO), the Committee approved the project at Kurnool. **Abstracts of the approved components are at Statement-XVI of Annexure-IV.**

### Deferred projects

7.1. The Committee deferred the following projects:-

#### West Bengal

7 projects at (i) Haldia, (ii) Gushkara, (iii) Jangipur, (iv) Ranaghat, (v) Siliguri, (vi) Taki and (vii) Jiagan-Azimganj

#### Mizoram

5 projects at (i) Serchhip, (ii) Saiha, (iii) Mamit, (iv) Kolasib and (v) Champhai.

#### Maharashtra

7 projects at (i) Wai, (ii) Mudkhed, (iii) Rajura, (iv) Desaiganj, (v) Akola, (vi) Chandrapur and (vii) Warud.

7.2. The projects from West Bengal and Mizoram were deferred as Secretary concerned/SLNA of the State was not present. The projects from Maharashtra were deferred to enable the State to prioritise projects as the existing allocation has exhausted and a decision on diversion of ACA from BSUP to IHSDP/additional allocation had yet to be made.

8.1. In her concluding remarks, Secretary (HUPA) & Chairperson, CSC, said that release of funds to States whose Central share allocation had been exhausted as per the existing indicative allocation communicated for the Mission period by the Planning Commission would be subject to availability of ACA.

8.2. The Chairperson, CSC and Secretary (HUPA) expressed the view that the objective of alleviating urban poverty would be achieved only if proper action is taken for the convergence of various schemes such as UIG, UIDSSMT, Sarva Sikhsa Abhiyan, National Social Assistance Programme, SJSRY etc. with BSUP and IHSDP. She said that shelter and basic amenities to the urban poor may not suffice them to move above the poverty line. She urged that the State Governments/ULBs make effort to dovetail the implementation of SJSRY with JNNURM. This step would provide the urban poor with access to livelihoods and enable them to overcome poverty. Secretary (HUPA) stated that programmes for skill development, self-employment, and community development are necessary to facilitate sustained improvements in the living conditions of the urban poor and develop inclusive cities.

8.3. Secretary (HUPA) emphasized the need for urban policy reforms to address not only the backlog and current urban issues but also the challenges of future urban growth, say in the next 20-25 years so that the conditions that led to urban decay are prevented. She cautioned that without the implementation of urban planning and local government reforms, JNNURM would remain a mere infrastructure upgradation programme, and none of the policy changes for vibrant, productive, sustainable and inclusive cities that JNNURM hopes to drive would materialize. Secretary (HUPA) emphasized that the urban policy reforms should take into account the circumstances that led to the mushrooming of slums in the past calling for the launching of JNNURM and address the same through preventive policy interventions and other measures.

9. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

\*\*\*\*\*

6/62  
MD

**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE 51<sup>st</sup> MEETING OF CENTRAL  
SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC) OF IHSDP HELD UNDER THE  
CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SECRETARY (HUPA) ON 30.1.2009**

- ..... in Chair
1. Ms. Kiran Dhingra, Secretary,  
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
  2. Dr. P.K. Mohanty, Joint Secretary (JNNURM) and Mission Director, Ministry of HUPA
  3. Shri D.S. Negi, OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
  4. Shri V.K. Gupta, Deputy Financial Adviser, Ministry of HUPA
  5. Shri Vivek Nangia, Deputy Secretary (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
  6. Shri Umraw Singh, Deputy Director (JPC), Ministry of HUPA
  7. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, Statistical Officer (JPC), Ministry of HUPA
  8. Shri J.S. Motwani, Chairman, Municipal Council, Desaijanj, Maharashtra
  9. Shri Umesh Yawalkar, Member, Municipal Council, Warud, Maharashtra
  10. Shri G.R. Reddy, Regional Chief, HUDCO, Bangalore
  11. Ms. Usha P. Mahavir, Deputy Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi
  12. Ms. Radha Roy, Assistant Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi
  13. Shri D. Subramaniam, Executive Director, HUDCO, Kolkata
  14. Ms. Nalini Hazarika, Deputy Chief, HUDCO, Kolkata
  15. Shri A.P. Tiwari, Assistant Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi
  16. Shri C.N. JHA, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi
  17. Shri D.P. Singh, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi
  18. Dr. P. Panduranga Rao, Engineer-in-Chief, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation,  
Hyderabad
  19. Shri V. Thirumavalavan, Regional Chief, HUDCO Regional Office, HUDCO, Hyderabad.
  20. Shri G. Das, Adviser, SUDA, IIGUS Building Complex, Kolkata
  21. Shri HVL. Zarzoenga, Deputy Director, Urban Development and Poverty Alleviation  
Department, Government of Mizoram, Aizawl
  22. Shri Lalrimawh Khiangte, Development Officer, HUDCO Development Office, Aizawl
  23. Shri K. Kanna Babu, Additional Commissioner (Projects & Coordination), GIIMC,  
Hyderabad.
  24. Shri G. Uma Maheshwara Rao, Executive Engineer (Housing) JNNURM, GIIMC,  
Hyderabad
  25. Shri C. Mitra, MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad
  26. Shri Ravinder Ticka, Assistant Appraisal HUDCO Officer, Regional Office, Jammu
  27. Shri Surendra Kumar Garg, Regional Chief, HUDCO, Guwahati
  28. Shri P. Bhattacharya, Town and Country Planner, Town & Country Planning Organisation,  
Government of Tripura.
  29. Shri Parvaiz Ahmad Handoo, General Manager, SUIDA, SLNA Housing and Urban  
Development Department, Jammu & Kashmir
  30. Shri M.A. Sheikh, City Engineer, Municipal Council, Chandiapur, Maharashtra
  31. Shri Sannathittaiiah, Technical Director, Karnataka Slum Clearance Board, Bangalore
  32. Prof. I.J. Rathi, President, Shri Ramdeo Baba Charitable Society, Akola, Maharashtra
  33. Shri S.G. Lathi, Chief Officer, Warud M.C., Amravati, Maharashtra
  34. Shri D.R. Hadadare, Chief Engineer, MHADA, Mumbai
  35. Shri M. Raveendrapp. A, Technical Director, KSCB, Bangalore
  36. Shri Asim Kumar Sarkar, Additional Chief Engineer, MHD Directorate, Government of  
West Bengal, Kolkata
  37. Shri Asish Jana, Executive Engineer, M.E. Directorate, Government of West Bengal,  
Bikash Bhavan, Kolkata
  38. Shri M. Jayachandran, Deputy Director, Ministry of HUPA

**IMPORTANT POINTS REGARDING FORMULATION AND EXECUTION OF BSUP AND IHSDP PROJECTS**

- In case there is time constraint, a regular socio-economic survey can be preceded by *a rapid survey for identifying beneficiaries, their main and subsidiary occupations, their educational and skill profile and felt-needs so as to design appropriate social infrastructure for each project.* Willingness of the beneficiaries should also be taken for any rehabilitation/relocation projects.
- Affordability of the urban poor should be kept foremost in view while working out Beneficiary Contribution. Any contribution amount beyond their financial capacity may lead to the imposition of undue burden on them. Therefore, special care needs to be taken while deciding upfront beneficiary contribution or EMI payment. Overall construction cost of the housing unit should be kept at a minimum. The housing component should generally be at least 50% of the total project cost with a view to giving primacy to provision of shelter to the urban poor except where housing units have already been constructed/are being constructed under VAMBAY or other EWS scheme of Central or State Governments. Further, considering the difficulties and special needs of the urban poor at some locations, clusters having more than 15 housing units can also be considered.
- Each project should be accompanied by a list of beneficiaries based on socio-economic survey and ULBs should go for bio-metric cards and ensure that houses are allotted to properly targeted beneficiaries and the possibility of sale/misuse of housing units is avoided. The list should be notified and placed in the website of the ULB/JNNURM.
- The layout plan must be socially cohesive and should facilitate social interaction. Efforts may be made for providing at least 30% open spaces with 15% green area in the layouts and adequate social and livelihoods infrastructure.
- Adequate space must be provided for community activities, informal sector markets, livelihood activities, pen for animals (if permitted and required), space to take care of convergent services such as health, education and recreation conforming to the specific needs of each of the slum pockets and their beneficiaries.
- The houses proposed should have two rooms, balcony, kitchen and separate bathroom and latrine, individual water connection and sewer connection. Aspects such as storage space for keeping things

in rooms/kitchen, location of kitchen, location of toilet and bathroom in the houses to facilitate privacy, independent access from both rooms to toilet and bathroom, leaving a small space for fitting exhaust fan in kitchen and toilet, balcony for drying clothes etc., are some of the nuances that can be thoughtfully incorporated in the design of the houses for the poor.

- The State authorities/ULBs may adopt some of the innovative designs and layouts of houses, multi-purpose community centres, informal sector markets and animal pens, etc. prepared and compiled by HUDCO and BMIPC. The Toolkit published in this regard may be referred to.
- The State authorities, in consultation with appraisal agencies, should ensure that necessary clearances such as environmental clearance, Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) regulation clearance, land use clearance, etc. are obtained. They should also ensure that necessary technical approvals are secured from the competent agencies as per State PWD Code.
- Since these projects are required to be generally completed in 12 to 15 months, it is generally expected that any escalation in the project cost is borne by the State Government/ULB concerned. For reducing escalation in the cost projects, the following option could be exercised:-
  - i) Purchasing materials (cement, steel, sanitary pipes, electrical items) in bulk, wherever considered prudent and feasible with a view to reducing cost;
  - ii) Encouraging labour contribution from the beneficiaries under the supervision of qualified personnel;
  - iii) Bifurcating tendering (between housing component and infrastructure component) with a view to reducing the possibility of time and cost overruns; and
  - iv) Creating/using a revolving "Basic Services for Urban Poor (BSUP) Fund" earmarked out of the municipal budget and supplemented by other innovative measures like cross-subsidization for meeting cost escalation.
- Wherever informal sector markets are taken up as a part of social infrastructure, their operation on a time-sharing basis by inhabitants for enabling wider coverage of beneficiaries can be considered by the ULB concerned.
- Adequate provision should be made for solid and liquid waste disposal and digester technology could be adopted in place of dual-pits/septic tanks, wherever feasible.
- Road-side plantations with tree guards and green belts are advisable.

- Responsibility of the technical specifications (adherence to State PWD Code) and their approval by the competent authority lies with the ULBs/State Level Nodal Agency. The appraisal agencies must ensure that technical specifications are duly approved by the technically competent authority as per State Government Public Works code.
- **Prime Minister's New 15-Point Programme for the Welfare of the Minorities:** *An important objective of the new programme is to ensure that the benefits of various government schemes for the underprivileged reach the disadvantaged sections of the minority communities.* In this regard, care should be taken to take up clusters of minority beneficiaries to the extent possible. Wherever feasible, efforts should be made to allocate upto 15% of targets and outlays under BSUP and IHSDP for the minorities. Similarly, priority should be given to accommodate physically challenged beneficiaries.
- **Capacity Building Activities:** In the year 2006-07, the Ministry of HUPA had released fund to the State Governments for capacity building activities including Research and Training towards implementation of BSUP and IHSDP projects. Unless the States submit utilisation certificates for the funds released earlier, further release of Central Assistance would be held up, as utilisation certificates have to be furnished within 12 months from the date of closure of the financial year to which financial sanction pertains.
- **Status of Project Implementation:** The States/ULBs should present Quarterly Progress Reports/Monthly Progress Reports as per prescribed format, without fail to enable the Ministry to report to Prime Minister's Office in time. Further, one page abstract on the status of implementation of projects and reforms must be presented before presenting the details of project proposals in the meetings of Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee.
- **Setting up of PMU/PIA/PIU:** The States/UTs should submit proposals to the o/o OSD (JNNURM) which will get the same appraised and bring up before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. Transparent method should be adopted in the selection and appointment of professionals in PMUs and PIUs. Such appointments should not be permanent in nature but only in terms of short-term engagements. The appointments should not be seen as a place for parking the dead-wood. Each appointment should be based on prescribed terms of reference and the deliverables should be measured. Various activities, tasks and outcomes have to be clearly spelt out in the TORs. States/ULBs should exercise utmost caution in making such

appointments on a contract basis. The States/ULBs should try and ensure minimum expenditure by selecting/appointing professionals at an appropriate fee rather than immediately opting for the maximum amount indicated by the Centre. However, the calibre of such professionals should be of a reasonably high level. If need be, qualified persons from Central/State Government/ULBs could be taken in PMU/PIA/PIU on deputation. The personnel with PMUs/PIUs should work in tandem/collaboration with the State Level Nodal Agency / ULBs.

- **Fees for Preparation of DPRs:** The States should submit proposals to the concerned Appraisal Agency which had appraised the projects. The Appraisal Agency has a crucial role in examining the claim with particular reference to the various stages of improvement and modifications that were brought out in the DPRs before they were finally approved by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. The Appraisal Agency should submit proposals to the Ministry for releasing Central Assistance towards the cost of preparation of DPRs (both in the case of DPRs prepared by in-house personnel as well as by consultants). These will be considered by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee. After approval, recommendation will be sent to the Ministry of Finance/Ministry of Home Affairs for releasing Central Assistance out of the ACA allocation for the particular State/UT in the case of projects prepared by consultants. The Central Assistance for DPRs prepared through in-house personnel of the States would be released from out of the 1% JNNURM fund in the Budget of Ministry of HUPA as decided in the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee /Central Sanctioning Committee meetings earlier.
- **Community Development Network (CDN):** The States / UT's should prioritise and get necessary approval from SI/SC/SLCC to the proposals concerning Community Development Network (CDN) so as to seek Community Participation Fund. Such proposals received in the Ministry of HUPA will be appraised by a team working under the GOI-UNDP Project on National Strategy for Urban Poor coordinated by the National Project Coordinator/Deputy Secretary (JNNURM). The reports will then be placed before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee.

Community Development Networks involving Neighbourhood Groups, Neighbourhood Committees and Community Development Societies should be promoted so that the dynamics of the CDN lead to fraternity in the neighbourhoods and the issues of

alienation of all sorts are eliminated. CDN should work towards better inter- and intra- relationships in colonies to get over the dividing forces. This will strengthen a feeling of solidarity among the residents.

- **Third Party Inspection and Monitoring (TPIM) mechanism:** TPIM should be instituted to bring transparency and quality in the implementation of BSUP and IHSDP projects. The Ministry is giving necessary assistance to the States for TPIM. Toolkit has been prepared and communicated to the State Governments.
- **Quality of Projects:** Housing for the poor does not mean poor quality housing. Utmost emphasis must be given to the quality of houses for the poor. A vector-free atmosphere and healthy living environment should be ensured in the housing projects under BSUP/IHSDP.
- **Socio-economic Survey:** No efforts should be spared for conducting socio-economic surveys of potential beneficiaries. This would facilitate assessing the needs of the beneficiaries, especially for schools, health centres and other social/community facilities. Based on the socio-economic survey, biometric identity cards should be issued to the beneficiaries to ensure that they do not sell the dwelling units and squat elsewhere. Such surveys should cover housing, health, educational and livelihood profiles of the urban poor. The surveys would assist in designing good BSUP/IHSDP projects by taking into account important aspects such as dependency load in the existing schools, capacity of hospitals for in- and out-patients, need for multi-purpose community centres including livelihood centre and informal sector markets. *HUDCO and BMTPC have developed good designs of houses, colonies and various types of social infrastructure facilities which could be appropriately used while formulating project proposals. A Toolkit has also been published.*
- **City Poverty Reduction Strategy Report.** The city of Rajkot (Gujarat) has brought out a City Poverty Reduction Strategy Report. Other cities/towns may bring out similar reports.
- **Convergence of Health, Education and Social Security:** It is necessary to integrate provisions of Health, Education and Social Security with Housing for the Poor to enable them to lead a better quality of life. The Urban Local Bodies and State Governments have a critical role to play to ensure proper convergence of facilities under the already available schemes for education, health and social security implemented through different departments/fields. The projects should list out the deficiencies in terms of access to school, primary health centre, provision of social welfare measures so that

timely remedial measures in accordance with the socio-economic survey can be taken up. Provision of adequate infrastructure for school and health care should be taken at the formulation of the project itself. A mere statement that adequate number of schools/health centres is available in the vicinity of the proposed housing colony would not be sufficient. The State/ULB/ implementing agency should certify that such facilities available in the vicinity are also accessible to the slum dwellers. Similarly proper convergence of schemes in the realm of social security such as old age pension, health insurance, maternity benefit scheme, etc. should be accessed to benefit the urban poor selected under JNNURM.

- **Educational facilities:** Proposals for additional schools or additional rooms in existing schools must be part of the DPRs. The capacity of the existing schools to absorb the children from colonies being developed under BSUP and IHSDP needs to be studied. The estimate of school-going children (including those from the new colonies) and demand for classrooms in terms of prevailing norms, capacity in existing schools and the additional capacity required should be worked out. Similar exercise should be done for providing health care facilities. Further, action needs to be taken to provide other community infrastructure and facilities. Detailed estimates of requirements as per norms, availability and gaps to be addressed have to be prepared at the initial stage of project preparation itself.
- **Projects for in-situ development:** States should come up with projects for in-situ development with good lay-outs and type designs. The emphasis should be to provide a better and supportive atmosphere for living and working. The in-situ development should not end up with creation of another cluster of houses without access to water, sanitation and social infrastructure.
- **Sense of belongingness:** To create a sense of belongingness, the slums may be named in consultation with the intended beneficiaries. Provision of a low cost enclosure around open spaces in the slum pocket being covered under BSUP/IHSDP could be considered by States/ULBs, if the cost is not prohibitive.
- **O&M System for Maintenance:-** Maintenance of the assets and upkeep of cleanliness and hygiene in the housing complexes / colonies developed under BSUP and IHSDP should be given importance. State Governments/ULBs should evolve a viable mechanism for maintenance of the assets created under BSUP and IHSDP projects, especially the houses and common facilities constructed.

- **Three key reforms core to the urban poor:** Special attention should be paid for the implementation of the three key reforms stipulated under JNNURM that are critical to the urban poor: (i) internal earmarking within local body budgets for basic services to the urban poor; (ii) provision of basic services including the implementation of 7-Point Charter in accordance with agreed timelines; (iii) earmarking at least 20-25% of developed land in all housing projects (both public and private agencies) for EWS/LIG category with a system of cross subsidization.
- **IEC activity:** In a people-centric programme like BSUP and IHSDP under JNNURM, there is a need to generate greater awareness among the targeted sections so that they received what is intended for them by the Government. Any awareness campaign should have a national appeal and recall value with consistent and coherent slogans and themes. The States/ULBs could bring out advertisements in vernacular languages with local adaptation of the templates prepared by the Ministry of HUPA. States/ULBs should ensure that the local adaptation does not deviate from the letter and spirit of the national templates and the messages conveyed are only about the programme and related policy advocacy. They should also ensure that all such media campaign is in accordance with the relevant rules and regulations applicable. Cost of such campaign, in accordance with Government approved rates, would be reimbursed to the States/ULBs under IEC component of JNNURM subject to limits fixed by CSMC. Reimbursement will be made if prior approval of the Mission Directorate/CSMC/CSC in the Ministry of HUPA was obtained before launching such campaign. Proposals for reimbursement of such expenditure will be submitted through HUDCO which will put up the same to the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee for its consideration and approval of reimbursement through Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance or Ministry of Home Affairs, as the case may be.

\*\*\*\*\*

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC)  
UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

*Rs. in Crores*

Sl. No.	State/ ULB	Project Title	Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	Ist install-ment	Project Brief	Page No.
A.	Budgam town, Distt.- Budgam, Jammu & Kashmir	IHSDP Scheme for Budgam town, Distt.- Budgam, Jammu & Kashmir	1.70	1.26	0.25	0.63	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SLCC has approved the project.</li> <li>• The beneficiary list has been submitted.</li> <li>• The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 63% :37% of project cost.</li> <li>• The proposal envisages the construction of 85 new DU with built up area of 29.86 sq. mtr. and provision of infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>• Per Du cost is Rs. 1.25 Lakhs with central grant of Rs. 0.81 lakhs.</li> <li>• The building plan and layout drawing for housing and infrastructure have not been submitted.</li> <li>• SOR adopted is JKSSR 2004. The cost estimates have been worked out as per SOR 2004 with 20% to 105% above percentage and these estimates has not been approved/signed by the Chief</li> </ul>	01 to 10

51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of ESC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Agenda Brief)

Page 1 of 9

15/62  
(MD)

B.	Reasi town, Distt. Reasi, Jammu & Kashmir	IHSDP scheme for Reasi town, Distt.- Reasi, Jammu & Kashmir	5.50	3.20	2.30	1.60	<p>Engineer.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> <li>SLCC has approved the project.</li> <li>The beneficiary list has been submitted.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 51%:49% of project cost.</li> <li>The proposal envisages the construction of 223 new DU with built up area of 29.86 sq. mtr. and provision of infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>Per Du cost is Rs. 1.25 Lakhs with central grant of Rs. 0.81 lakhs.</li> <li>The building plan and layout drawing for housing and infrastructure have not been submitted.</li> <li>SOR adopted is JKSSR 2004. The cost estimates have been worked out as per SOR 2004 with 20% to 105% above percentage and these estimates has not been approved/signed by the Chief Engineer.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> <li>SLCC has approved the project.</li> <li>The beneficiary list has been submitted.</li> </ul>	11 to 19
C	Kupwara town, Distt. Kupwara,	IHSDP scheme for Kupwara town, Distt. Kupwara,	4.16	3.03	1.13	1.52	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> <li>SLCC has approved the project.</li> <li>The beneficiary list has been submitted.</li> </ul>	

51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of ESC, dated. 30.01.2009 (Agenda Brief)

16/6/09

Jammu & Kashmir	Jammu & Kashmir					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 68%:32% of project cost.</li> <li>The proposal envisages the construction of 226 new DU with built up area of 29.86 sq. mtr. and provision of infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>Per Du cost is Rs. 1.25 Lakhs with central grant of Rs. 0.81 lakhs.</li> <li>The dwelling units will be transferred to beneficiaries as per guidelines.</li> <li>The building plan and layout drawing for housing and infrastructure have not been submitted.</li> <li>SOR adopted is JKSSR 2004. The cost estimates have been worked out as per SOR 2004 with 20% to 105% above percentage and these estimates has not been approved/signed by the Chief Engineer.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> </ul>	19 to 29
D Ramnagar town, Distt. - Udhampur, Jammu & Kashmir	IHSDP scheme for Ramnagar town, Distt. - Udhampur, Jammu & Kashmir	4.58	3.54	1.05	1.77	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SLCC has approved the project.</li> <li>The beneficiary list has been submitted.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 51%:49% of project cost.</li> <li>The proposal envisages the</li> </ul>	30 to 38

51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of ESC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Agenda Brief)

17/6/20

E.	Wai, Distt. Satara, Maharashtra	Implementation of IHSDP at Wai town, Distt. - Satara Maharashtra	6.89	3.98	2.91	1.99	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• construction of 187 new DU with built up area of 29.86 sq. mtr. and provision of infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>• Per Du cost is Rs. 1.25 Lakhs with central grant of Rs. 0.81 lakhs.</li> <li>• The building plan and layout drawing for housing and infrastructure (including existing service plan) have not been submitted.</li> <li>• SOR adopted is JKSSR 2004. The cost estimates have been worked out as per SOR 2004 with 20% to 105% above percentage and these estimates has not been approved/signed by the Chief Engineer.</li> <li>• The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> <li>• The SLCC has approved the project.</li> <li>• The agency has covered all 4 Slums of town. Out of these slums, 2 are situated on ULB land, 01 on Govt. Land and 01 slum is on private land.</li> <li>• The ration of housing and infrastructure is 64%:36% of total project cost.</li> <li>• The instant proposal envisages construction of 342 dwelling units in G+2/G+3 RCC structure through regularized in-situ &amp; partially</li> </ul>	39 to 53
----	---------------------------------	--	------	------	------	------	--	----------

51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of CSC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Agenda Brief)

18/02/09

							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>relocation in two slums on the land of ULB. The Carpet area of DU is 25.00 sq. mtr.</li> <li>Proposal also proposes basic infrastructure facilities. Community Centre, Transit tenements and parks etc. are proposed under social infrastructure.</li> <li>The estimates of housing and infrastructure component are based on DSR for the year 2008-09 of Pune Region.</li> <li>The project duration is 13 months.</li> <li>The SLCC approval is still awaited.</li> <li>The agency has covered 7 Slums in the proposal. 6 Slums are situated on Govt. Land and 1 Slum i.e. Vasant Nagar is situated on Land owned by Vasant Nagar Co-operative society.</li> <li>The ration of housing and infrastructure is 59%:41% of total project cost.</li> <li>The instant proposal envisages construction of 810 dwelling units in G+2 RCC structure through regularized in-situ &amp; partially relocation. The built-up area of DU is 32.32 sq. mtr.</li> <li>Proposal also proposes basic infrastructure facilities. Community</li> </ul>	54 to 64
F.	Mudkhed, Distt. Nanded, Maharashtra	Implementation of IHSDP at Mudkhed, Distt. Nanded, Maharashtra	20.56	11.29	9.27	5.65		

19/6/09

							Centre, Transit tenements and parks etc. are proposed under social infrastructure.	
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The estimates of housing and infrastructure component are based on DSR for the year 2008-09 of Nanded Region.</li> <li>The project duration is 1 years.</li> </ul>	
<b>G.</b>	<b>Serchhip, Mizoram</b>	Detail Project Report under IHSDP for <b>Serchhip City, Mizoram</b>	<b>7.10</b>	<b>4.45</b>	<b>2.66</b>	<b>2.22</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Details of SLCC approval not provided.</li> <li>Elected body is in place.</li> <li>It is mentioned that the Govt. of Mizoram has selected the town on the basis of number of slum area.</li> <li>The housing and infrastructure ration is 74%: 26%.</li> <li>The beneficiary list has not been included in DPR.</li> <li>The instant proposal envisages the construction of 350 DUs (carpet area of 25.00sq. mtr.) in single storey structure along with basic infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The land belongs to beneficiaries.</li> <li>Detailed layout plan for housing and infrastructure are not given.</li> <li>The estimates are worked out as per Mizoram PWD SOR 2007.</li> </ul>	65 to 69
<b>H.</b>	<b>Saiha, Mizoram</b>	Detail Project Report under IHSDP for <b>Saiha</b>	<b>5.55</b>	<b>3.49</b>	<b>2.06</b>	<b>1.75</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Details of SLCC approval not provided.</li> <li>Elected body is in place.</li> </ul>	

51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of CSC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Agenda Brief)

20/1/09

		City, Mizoram					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is mentioned that the Govt. of Mizoram has selected the town on the basis of number of slum area.</li> <li>• The housing and infrastructure ration is 61%: 39%.</li> <li>• The beneficiary list has not been included in DPR.</li> <li>• The instant proposal envisages the construction of 200 DUs (carpet area of 25.00sq. mtr.) in single storey structure along with basic infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>• The land belongs to beneficiaries.</li> <li>• Detailed layout plan for housing and infrastructure are not given.</li> <li>• The estimates are worked out as per Mizoram PWD SOR 2007. The cost index - 15.4% has been taken.</li> </ul>	70 to 75
I.	Mamit town, Mizoram	Detail Project Report under IHSDP for Mamit town, Mizoram	3.52	2.29	1.23	1.15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Details of SLCC approval not provided.</li> <li>• Elected body is in place.</li> <li>• It is mentioned that the Govt. of Mizoram has selected the town on the basis of number of slum area.</li> <li>• The housing and infrastructure ration is 70%: 30%.</li> <li>• The beneficiary list has not been included in DPR.</li> <li>• The instant proposal envisages the construction of 376 DUs (carpet area of 25.00sq. mtr.) in single storey</li> </ul>	76 to 81

51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of ESC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Agenda Brief)

21/6/20  
MS

						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• structure along with basic infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>• The land belongs to beneficiaries.</li> <li>• Detailed layout plan for housing and infrastructure are not given.</li> <li>• The estimates are worked out as per Mizoram PWD SOR 2007. The cost index -3% has been taken.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>J.</b> <b>Kolasib town, Mizoram</b></p>	<p>Detail Project Report under IHSDP for <b>Kolasib town (Phase-II), Mizoram</b></p>	<p><b>5.76</b></p>	<p><b>3.72</b></p>	<p><b>1.88</b></p>	<p><b>1.86</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Details of SLCC approval not provided.</li> <li>• Elected body is in place.</li> <li>• It is mentioned that the Govt. of Mizoram has selected the town on the basis of number of slum area.</li> <li>• The housing and infrastructure ration is 66%: 34%.</li> <li>• The beneficiary list has not been included in DPR.</li> <li>• The instant proposal envisages the construction of 250 DUs (carpet area of 25.00sq. mtr.) in single storey structure along with basic infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>• The land belongs to beneficiaries.</li> <li>• Detailed layout plan for housing and infrastructure are not given.</li> <li>• The estimates are worked out as per Mizoram PWD SOR 2007. The cost index -4.6% has been taken.</li> </ul>	<p>82 to 86</p>



22/52

K.	Champhai town, Mizoram	Detail Project Report under IHSDP for Champhai town(Phase-II), Mizoram	6.23	4.65	1.58	2.32	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Details of SLCC approval not provided.</li> <li>• Elected body is in place.</li> <li>• It is mentioned that the Govt. of Mizoram has selected the town on the basis of number of slum area.</li> <li>• The housing and infrastructure ration is 66%: 34%.</li> <li>• The beneficiary list has not been included in DPR.</li> <li>• The instant proposal envisages the construction of 250 DUs (carpet area of 25.00sq. mtr.) in single storey structure along with basic infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>• The land belongs to beneficiaries.</li> <li>• Detailed layout plan for housing and infrastructure are not given.</li> <li>• The estimates are worked out as per Mizoram PWD SOR 2007. The cost index -5.30% has been taken.</li> </ul>	87 to 91
	Total		71.55	44.9	26.32	22.46		

23/1/09  


**BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING  
COMMITTEE (CSC) UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

*Rs. in Crores*

Sl. No.	State/ ULB	Project Title	Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st installment	Project Brief	Page No.
<b>A.</b>	<b>Sopore Town, J&amp;K</b>	IHSDP Scheme for Sopore Town, Dist., Baramulla, J&K	<b>9.03</b>	<b>6.72</b>	<b>2.31</b>	<b>3.36</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SLCC has approved the Project.</li> <li>• List of beneficiaries has been submitted without photograph.</li> <li>• The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 61% : 39% of project cost.</li> <li>• Per Du cost is 1.25 lac.</li> <li>• Beneficiaries share is 0.22 Lacs</li> <li>• The proposed project envisages to resettle 452 families from 10 identified Slums.</li> <li>• Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> </ul>	1 to 11

*51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of CSC, dated: 30.01.2009 ( Supplementary Agenda- Brief)*

*24/12*

						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The new 446 housing unit of single storey with the built up area 29.86 Sq. Mt.</li> <li>The cost estimates are based on SOR 2004. But not signed by the Chief Engg. Of State.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 12 month.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>B.</b> Mattan Town,J&amp;K</p>	<p>IHSDP Scheme for Mattan Town,Distt. Anantnag,J&amp;K</p>	<p>1.06</p>	<p>0.82</p>	<p>0.24</p>	<p>0.41</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>S LCC has approved the Project.</li> <li>List of beneficiaries has been submitted without photograph.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 52% : 48% of project cost.</li> <li>Per Du cost is 1.25 Lac.</li> <li>Beneficiaries share is 0.22 Lacs</li> <li>Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> <li>The new 44 housing unit of single storey with the built up area 29.86 Sq. Mt are</li> </ul>	<p>12 to 23</p>

51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of ESG, dated: 30.01.2009 ( Supplementary Agenda- Brief)

25/62  
(NS)

						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>proposed.</li> <li>The cost estimates are based on SOR 2004. But not signed by the Chief Engg. Of State.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 12 month.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>C.</b></p> <p>Bandipora Town,J&amp;K</p>	<p>IHSDP Scheme for Bandipora Town,Distt. Bandipora ,J&amp;K</p>	<p>9.91</p>	<p>7.61</p>	<p>2.30</p>	<p>3.80</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>S LCC has approved the Project.</li> <li>MOA has been signed.</li> <li>List of beneficiaries has been submitted without photograph.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 52% : 48% of project cost.</li> <li>Per Du cost is 1.25 Lac.</li> <li>Beneficiaries share is 0.22 Lacs</li> <li>Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> <li>The new 413 housing unit of single storey with the built up area 29.86 Sq. Mt.</li> <li>The cost estimates are based</li> </ul>	<p>24 to 33</p>

51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of ESC, dated: 30.01.2009 ( Supplementary Agenda- Brief)

26/52  
(M)

						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>on PWD SOR 2004.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 12 month.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>D.</b></p> <p><b>Baramulla Town,J&amp;K</b></p>	<p>IHSDP Scheme for <b>Baramulla Town,Dist Baramulla,J&amp;K</b></p>	<p><b>12.25</b></p>	<p><b>8.91</b></p>	<p><b>3.34</b></p>	<p><b>4.46</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>S LCC has approved the Project.</li> <li>MOA has been signed.</li> <li>List of beneficiaries has been submitted without photograph.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 68% : 32% of project cost.</li> <li>Per Du cost is 1.25 Lac.</li> <li>Beneficiaries share is 0.22 Lacs</li> <li>Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> <li>The new 672 housing unit of single storey with the built up area 29.86 Sq. Mt.</li> <li>The cost estimates are based on PWD SOR 2004.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 12 month.</li> </ul>	<p>34 to 43</p>



27 ✓

<b>E.</b>	<b>Magam Town, J&amp;K</b>	IHSDP Scheme for Magam Town, Distt. Budgam, J&K	2.48	1.79	0.69	0.90	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• S LCC has approved the Project.</li> <li>• MOA has been signed.</li> <li>• List of beneficiaries has been submitted without photograph.</li> <li>• The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 70% : 30% of project cost.</li> <li>• Per Du cost is 1.25 Lac.</li> <li>• Beneficiaries share is 0.22 Lacs</li> <li>• Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> <li>• The new 140 housing unit of single storey with the built up area 29.86 Sq. Mt.</li> <li>• The cost estimates are based on PWD SOR 2004.</li> <li>• The duration of the project is 12 month.</li> </ul>	44 to 53
<b>F.</b>	<b>Ganderbal Town, J&amp;K</b>	IHSDP Scheme for Ganderbal Town, Distt.	2.59	1.99	0.60	1.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• S LCC has approved the Project.</li> <li>• MOA has been signed.</li> </ul>	

5<sup>th</sup> Meeting of CSC, dated: 30/01/2009 (Supplementary Agenda- Brief)

25/02/09



							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 59% : 41% of project cost.</li> <li>Per Du cost is 1.25 Lac.</li> <li>Beneficiaries share is 0.22 Lacs</li> <li>Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> <li>The new 71 housing unit of single storey with the built up area 29.86 Sq. Mt.</li> <li>The cost estimates are based on PWD SOR 2004.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 12 month.</li> </ul>	64 to 73
<b>H</b>	<b>Handwara Town, J&amp;K</b>	IHSDP Scheme for <b>Handwara Town, Distt. Handwara J&amp;K</b>	<b>4.17</b>	<b>3.14</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>1.57</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SICC has approved the Project.</li> <li>MOA has been signed.</li> <li>List of beneficiaries has been submitted without photograph.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 59% : 41% of project cost.</li> </ul>	74 to 83



*Handwritten initials/signature*

						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Per Du cost is 1.25 Lac.</li> <li>• Beneficiaries share is 0.22 Lacs</li> <li>• Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> <li>• The new 196 housing unit of single storey with the built up area 29.86 Sq. Mt.</li> <li>• The cost estimates are based on PWD SOR 2004.</li> <li>• The duration of the project is 12 month.</li> </ul>	
<b>Kulgam Town, J&amp;K</b>	IHSDP Scheme for <b>Kulgam Town, Distt. Kulgam, J&amp;K</b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>3.88</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>1.94</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SLCC has approved the Project.</li> <li>• MOA has been signed.</li> <li>• List of beneficiaries has been submitted without photograph.</li> <li>• The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 59% : 41% of project cost.</li> <li>• Per Du cost is 1.25 Lac.</li> <li>• Beneficiaries share is 0.22 Lacs</li> </ul>	84 to 93

51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of ESC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda- Brief)

31/5/09

Page 8 of 14

							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> <li>• The new 256 housing unit of single storey with the built up area 29.86 Sq. Mt.</li> <li>• The cost estimates are based on PWD SOR 2004.</li> <li>• The duration of the project is 12 month.</li> </ul>	
<b>J</b>	<b>Shopian Town, J&amp;K</b>	IHSDP Scheme for Shopian Town, Distt. Shopian, J& K	<b>2.96</b>	<b>2.25</b>	<b>0.71</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SLCC has approved the Project.</li> <li>• MOA has been signed.</li> <li>• List of beneficiaries has been submitted without photograph.</li> <li>• The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 56% : 44% of project cost.</li> <li>• Per Du cost is 1.25 Lac.</li> <li>• Beneficiaries share is 0.22 Lacs</li> <li>• Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> </ul>	94 to 103



*Handwritten signature/initials*

							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The new 132 housing unit of single storey with the built up area 29.86 Sq. Mt.</li> <li>• The cost estimates are based on PWD SOR 2004.</li> <li>• The duration of the project is 12 month.</li> </ul>	
<b>K</b>	<b>SumbalTown, J&amp;K</b>	IHSDP Scheme for Sumbal Town, Distt. Bandipora, J&K	4.74	3.62	1.12	1.81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SLCC has approved the Project.</li> <li>• MOA has been signed.</li> <li>• The list of beneficiaries has been submitted without photograph.</li> <li>• The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 59% : 41% of project cost.</li> <li>• Per Du cost is 1.25 Lac.</li> <li>• Beneficiaries share is 0.22 Lacs</li> <li>• Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> <li>• The new 207 housing unit of single storey with the built up area 29.86 Sq. Mt.</li> </ul>	104 to 113



33/62

						5.03	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The cost estimates are based on PWD SOR 2004.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 12 month.</li> <li>SLCC has approved the Project.</li> <li>Elected local body is in existence.</li> <li>The MC has conducted Socio Economic Survey.</li> <li>List of beneficiaries has been furnished.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 60% : 40% of project cost.</li> <li>Per Du cost is Rs. 1,25,000/-</li> <li>Beneficiaries contribution per Du works out to Rs.8000/-for reserved category and Rs.9600/- for General category.</li> <li>Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> </ul>	114 to 129
L.	Rajura, Maharashtra	Implementation of IHSDP at Rajura, Dist. Chandrapur, Maharashtra	17.68	10.06	7.62	5.03		



Sis: Meeting of CSE, dated: 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda- Brief)

24/6/09

Page 11 of 14

35/162 (NR)

							<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• There are 5 slums in the city, out of which 4 slums have been considered for this DPR.</li><li>• The 777 housing unit of Ground floor for 1 Slum and G+1 in 2slums with the built up area 25.45 Sq. Mtr. are proposed to be constructed in this project</li><li>• The cost estimates are based on DSR of year 2008-09 of Nagpur Region.</li><li>• The duration of the project is 18 month.</li></ul>	
11.	Desaiganj, Maharashtra	Implementation of IHSDP at Desaiganj, Dist., Gadchiroli, Maharashtra	12.05	6.93	5.12	3.47	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• SLCC has approved the Project.</li><li>• Elected local body is in existence.</li><li>• The MC has conducted rapid Socio Economic Survey.</li><li>• List of beneficiaries has been furnished.</li><li>• The ratio of housing and</li></ul>	130 to 143

51st Meeting of ESG, dated: 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda- Brief)

35/162 (NR)

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• infrastructure is 58% : 42% of project cost.</li> <li>• Per Du cost is Rs. 1,25,000/-</li> <li>• Beneficiaries contribution per Du works out to Rs.8000/-for reserved category and Rs.9600/- for General category.</li> <li>• Biometric identification of beneficiary needs to be carried out.</li> <li>• All the 6 slums in the city have been considered for this DPR.</li> <li>• The 504 housing unit of Ground floor for 2 Slums and G+1 in 1slums with the built up area 26.45 Sq. Mt. are proposed to be constructed in this project</li> <li>• The cost estimates are based on DSR of year 2003-09 of Nagpur Region.</li> <li>• The duration of the project is</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--	--	---

5<sup>th</sup> Meeting of ESE, dated: 30.01.2009 ( Supplementary Agenda - Brief)

36/62  
(2)

Page 13 of 14



**BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA -IV FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC)  
UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

*Rs. in Crores*

Sl. No.	State / ULB	Project Title	Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st installment	Project Brief	Page No.
A.	Haldia Town, Distt.- East Midnapore, West Bengal	IHSDP scheme for the town of Haldia (Phase-II), Distt.- East Midnapore, West Bengal	15.89	11.44	4.45	5.72	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SLCC has approved the project but minutes of meeting dt/ 19.01.2009 awaited. Project has been forwarded by SUDA.</li> <li>• Elected body is in existence</li> <li>• The beneficiary list has been submitted.</li> <li>• Livelihood survey has been carried out by the agency. Necessary surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>• The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 50%:50% of project cost.</li> <li>• The proposal envisages the construction of 795 new DU on built up area of 25 sq. mtr. in single storey structure with provision of basic infrastructure facilities in 21 slum pockets.</li> <li>• Title of land belongs to beneficiaries. Agency has stated that Land title will be transfer as per IHSDP guidelines after project completion.</li> <li>• The authenticated building plan and layout drawing for housing and infrastructure have not been submitted.</li> <li>• SOK adopted are PWD SOR 2008 A.O.I. May, 2008, except hedge boundary and street light, which considered on Market price.</li> </ul>	01 to 12

*51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of CSC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda Brief-11)*

38/62  
(10)

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA -IV FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC)  
UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

		<i>Rs. in Crores</i>					
B.	Gushkara Town, Distt. - Burdwan, West Bengal	IHSDP scheme for the town of Gushkara, Distt.- Burdwan, West Bengal	8.50	6.08	2.42	3.04	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The duration of the project is 2 year.</li> <li>SIACC has approved the project but minutes of meeting dt- 19.01.2009 awaited. Project has been forwarded by SI/DA.</li> <li>Electrd body is in existence.</li> <li>The beneficiary list has been submitted.</li> <li> livelihood survey has been carried out by the agency. Necessary surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 53%-47% of project cost.</li> <li>The proposal envisages the construction of 795 new DU on built up area of 25 sq. mtr. in single storey structure with provision of basic infrastructure facilities in 21 slum pockets.</li> <li>Title of land belongs to beneficiaries. Agency has stated that Land title will be transfer as per IHSDP guidelines after project completion.</li> <li>The authenticated building plan and layout drawing for housing and infrastructure have not been submitted.</li> <li>SOR adopted are PWD SOR 2008 w.e.f. May 2008, except hedge boundary and street light, which considered on Market price.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> <li>SIACC has approved the project but minutes of meeting dt- 19.01.2009 awaited. Project has been forwarded by SI/DA.</li> </ul>
C	Jangipur town, Distt.- Murshidabad,	IHSDP scheme for town of Jangipur (Ph-ID), Distt.-	10.05	7.00	3.05	3.50	

51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of ESC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda Brief-II)

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA -IV FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC)  
UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

West Bengal	Murshidabad, West Bengal						Rs. in Crores
D Ranaghat town, Distt.- Nadia, West Bengal	IHSDP scheme for town of Ranaghat (Ph-ID), Distt.- Nadia, West Bengal	5.75	4.13	1.63	2.06	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Elected body is in existence</li> <li>The beneficiary list has been submitted</li> <li>Livelihood survey has been carried out by the agency. Necessary surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 65%:35% of project cost.</li> <li>The proposal envisages the construction of 650 new DU on built up area of 25 sq. mtr. in single storey structure with provision of basic infrastructure facilities in 26 slum pockets.</li> <li>Title of land belongs to beneficiaries. Agency has stated that Land title will be transfer as per IHSDP guidelines after project completion.</li> <li>The authenticated building plan and layout drawing for housing and infrastructure have not been submitted.</li> <li>SOR adopted are PWVD SOR 2008 w.e.f. May, 2008, except hedge boundary and street light, which considered on Market price.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> <li>SLCC has approved the project but minutes of meeting dt- 19.01.2009 availed. Project has been forwarded by SUDA.</li> <li>Elected body is in existence</li> <li>The beneficiary list has been submitted.</li> <li>Livelihood survey has been carried out by the agency. Necessary surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> </ul>	25 to 35
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Elected body is in existence</li> <li>The beneficiary list has been submitted</li> <li>Livelihood survey has been carried out by the agency. Necessary surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> </ul>

\* CSC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda Brief-II)

UP/62  
(M)

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA-IV FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC)  
UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

Sr.	Name of the project	Area (sq. mtr.)	No. of units	No. of plots	No. of plots	Remarks
1.	Siliguri town, Distt.- Darjeeling, West Bengal	35.99	25.82	10.17	12.91	<p align="right"><i>Rs. in Crores</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 52%:48% of project cost.</li> <li>The proposal envisages the construction of 277 new DU on built up area of 25 sq. mtr. in single storey structure with provision of basic infrastructure facilities in 25 slum pockets.</li> <li>Title of land belongs to municipalities. Approver has stated that land title will be transfer as per IHSDP guidelines after project completion.</li> <li>The authenticated building plan and layout drawing for housing and infrastructure have not been submitted.</li> <li>SOR adopted are PWD SOR 2009 w.e.f. May, 2008, except hedge boundary and street light, which considered on Market price.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> <li>SLCC has approved the project but minutes of meeting dt- 19.01.2009 awaited. Project has been forwarded by SUDA.</li> <li>Elected body is in existence.</li> <li>Livelihood survey has been carried out by the agency. Necessary surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 52%:48% of project cost.</li> <li>The proposal envisages the construction of 1859 new DU on built up area of 25 sq. mtr. in single storey structure with provision of basic infrastructure facilities in 25 slum pockets.</li> </ul>

*Smt. Madan of ESC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda Brief-II)*

41/62  
M

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA -IV FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC)  
UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

*Rs. in Crores*

						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Title of land belongs to beneficiaries. Agency has stated that Land title will be transfer as per IHSDP guidelines after project completion.</li> <li>The authenticated building plan and layout drawing for housing and infrastructure have not been submitted.</li> <li>SOR adopted are PWD SOR 2008 w.e.f. May, 2008, except hedge boundary and street light which considered on Market price.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> </ul>	
<p>F. Taki town, Distt.- North 24 Pargana, West Bengal</p>	<p>IHSDP scheme for the town of Taki (Phase-II), Distt.- North 24 Pargana, West Bengal</p>	6.99	4.78	2.20	2.39	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SLCC has approved the project but minutes of meeting dt- 19.01.2009 awaited. Project has been forwarded by SUDA.</li> <li>Elected body is in existence.</li> <li>Livelihood survey has been carried out by the agency. Necessary surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 72%:28% of project cost.</li> <li>The proposal envisages the construction of 504 new DU on built up area of 25 sq. mtr. in single storey structure with provision of basic infrastructure facilities in 27 slum pockets.</li> <li>Title of land belongs to beneficiaries. Agency has stated that Land title will be transfer as per IHSDP guidelines after project completion.</li> <li>The authenticated building plan and layout drawing for housing and infrastructure have not been submitted.</li> </ul>	61 to 72

57<sup>th</sup> Meeting of CSC, dated- 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda Sluif-11)

42/62  
(M)

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA -IV FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC)  
UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

G.	Jiaganj- Azimganj, Distt.- Murshidabad, West Bengal	IHSDP scheme for the town of Jiaganj- Azimganj (Phase-II), Distt.- Murshidabad, West Bengal	10.20	7.33	2.87	3.66	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SOR adopted are PWD SOR 2008 w.e.f. May 2008, except hedge boundary and street light, which considered on Market price.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> <li>SLCC has approved the project but minutes of meeting dt- 19.01.2009 awarded. Project has been forwarded by SUDA.</li> <li>Elected body is in existence.</li> <li>Livelihood survey has been carried out by the agency. Necessary surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The ratio of housing and infrastructure is 51%:49% of project cost.</li> <li>The proposal envisages the construction of 521 new DU on built up area of 25 sq. meter in single storey structure with provision of basic infrastructure facilities in 25 slum pockets.</li> <li>Title of land belongs to beneficiaries. Agency has stated that Land title will be transfer as per IHSDP guidelines after project completion.</li> <li>The authenticated building plan and layout drawing for housing and infrastructure have not been submitted.</li> <li>SOR adopted are PWD SOR 2008 w.e.f. May, 2008, except hedge boundary and street light, which considered on Market price.</li> <li>The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> <li>SLCC has approved the project but approval for revised project cost is yet to be obtained.</li> </ul>	Rs. in Crores	73 to 84
H.	Akola City, Distt.- Amravati,	Implementation of IHSDP at Akola City	29.68	18.32	11.36	9.16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The duration of the project is 1 year.</li> <li>SLCC has approved the project but approval for revised project cost is yet to be obtained.</li> </ul>		

5<sup>th</sup> Meeting of CSC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda Brief-II)

43/62  
M

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA -IV FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC)  
UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

Maharashtra	(Phase-II), Distt.- Amravati, Maharashtra					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Elected local body is in existence.</li> <li>Agency stated that the 6 slums were selected on basis of criteria and priority for selected Livelihood survey surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The instant proposal adhere the 7-point charter through in-situ redevelopment housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The housing: Infrastructure ratio is 51%: 49% of project cost.</li> <li>The beneficiary list has been furnished.</li> <li>Construction of 1118 DUs in G+1 &amp; G+2 RCC structure with built up area of 28.34 sq. mtr. The Single DU cost is Rs. 1.28 lakhs with approx. 10% beneficiary share.</li> <li>The covered slums are on State Govt. land. The land is yet to be transferred to the ULB, which subsequently allotted to individual beneficiary as per IHSDP guidelines.</li> <li>The cost estimates are based on PWD State DSR 2008-09 (Amravati region).</li> <li>The project duration is 18 months.</li> </ul>	Rs. in Crores
J. Chandrapur City, Distt.- Amravati, Maharashtra	Implementation of IHSDP at Chandrapur City, Distt.- Amravati, Maharashtra	29.64	18.34	11.30	9.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SLCC has approved the project but approval for revised project cost is yet to be obtained.</li> <li>Elected local body is in existence.</li> <li>Agency stated that the 6 slums were selected on basis of criteria and priority for selected Livelihood survey surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> </ul>	85 to 100

51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of CSC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda Sub-11)

44/62  
M

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA -IV FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC)  
UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

*Rs. in Crores*

							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The instant proposal adhere the 7 point charter through in-situ redevelopment housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The housing infrastructure ratio is 32.74 sq. m project cost.</li> <li>The beneficiary list has been furnished</li> <li>Construction of 1179 DUs in RCC single storey structure with built up area of 34 sq. m. The Single DU cost is Rs. 1.23 lakhs with approx. 10% beneficiary share.</li> <li>The covered 5 slums are on State Govt. land. The land is yet to be transferred to the LIB, which subsequently allotted to individual beneficiary as per IHSDP guidelines.</li> <li>The cost estimates are based on PWD State DSR 2008-09 (Nagpur region).</li> <li>The project duration is 18 months.</li> </ul>
1.	Wardd City, Distt.- Amravati, Maharashtra	Implementation of IHSDP at Wardd City, Distt.- Amravati, Maharashtra	9.24	5.43	3.81	2.71	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SLCC has approved the project but approval for revised project cost is yet to be obtained.</li> <li>Elected local body is in existence.</li> <li>Agency stated that the 3 slums were selected on basis of criteria and priority for selected Livelihood survey surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The instant proposal adhere the 7-point charter through in-situ redevelopment housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The housing infrastructure ratio is 34.80 sq. m of project cost.</li> </ul>

31<sup>st</sup> Meeting of CSC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda Brief-11)

45/62  
Page 85/9

107  
to  
110

116  
to  
128

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA -IV FOR CONSIDERATION OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING COMMITTEE (CSC)  
UNDER INTEGRATED HOUSING & SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IHSDP)**

*Rs. in Crores*

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The beneficiary list has been furnished.</li> <li>Construction of 360 DUs in RCC frame single storey structure with built up area of 34 sq. mtr. The Single DU cost is Rs. 1.25 lakhs with approx. 10% beneficiary share.</li> <li>The land is in possession of ULB and subsequently allotted to individual beneficiary as per IHSDP guidelines.</li> <li>The cost estimates are based on PWD State DSR 2008-09 (Amravati region).</li> <li>The project duration is 18 months.</li> </ul>	
<p align="center">✓</p>	<p align="center">J. Kurnool town, Distt - Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p align="center">Implementation of IHSDP at Kurnool town, Distt. - Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p align="center">19.76      15.81      3.95      7.90</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SLCC has approved the project.</li> <li>Elected local body is in existence.</li> <li>Agency stated that the 27 slums were selected on basis of criteria and priority for selected livelihood survey surveys &amp; assessing deficiency in housing and infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The instant proposal envisages development of basic infrastructure facilities.</li> <li>The housing component will be covered under State Govt. schemes.</li> <li>The road &amp; drains component is amounting to Rs. 16.83 Crores, which is 85% of total project cost</li> <li>The cost estimates are based on SSR 2008-09</li> <li>The project duration is 12 months.</li> </ul>	<p align="center">129 to 144</p>
	<p align="center">Total</p>		<p align="center">165.8      113.04      52.76      56.5</p>		

*31<sup>st</sup> Meeting of ESC, dated: 30.01.2009 (Supplementary Agenda Brief-II)*

*46/62*

(Rs in lakh)							
Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1.	Jammu & Kashmir	Budgam	IHSDP Scheme for Budgam Town, Distt. Budgam, Jammu & Kashmir				
STATEMENT-I							
Details of State Share				(Rs in lacs)			
1)	State share			18.70			
2)	ULB contribution			0.00			
3)	Beneficiaries Share			18.70			
	State Share			37.40			
Per DU Finance (General)				(Rs.)			
1)	Central Share			81,000.00			
2)	State share			22,000.00			
3)	ULB share			-			
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution			22,000.00			
	Total			125,000.00			
				106.25	68.85	37.40	34.45
			Project Cost	106.25	68.85	37.40	34.45
			In-situ - Construction of 85 new Dwelling units @ Rs.1,25,000/- per DU; Ground floor house with two rooms, one kitchen and independent access to WC & Bath having built-up area 29.86 sq mt. Title of land belongs to beneficiary. Houses will be allotted to individual beneficiaries & the title will be issued on Female / joint name of family member.				

47/182  
(M)

					(Rs in lakh)			
Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50 %)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
2.	Jammu & Kashmir	Reasi	IHSDP Scheme for Reasi Town, Distt. Reasi, Jammu & Kashmir					
<b>STATEMENT-II</b>								
<b>Details of State Share</b>								
1)	State share	(Rs in lacs)		278.75	180.63	98.12	90.32	
2)	ULB contribution				180.63	98.12	90.32	
3)	Beneficiaries Share							
	State Share							
	<b>Per DU Finance (General)</b>	<b>(Rs.)</b>						
1)	Central Share							
2)	State share							
3)	ULB share							
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution							
	<b>Total</b>							
				125,000.00				

42/62  
(M)

					(Rs in Lakh)		
Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
3.	Jammu & Kashmir	Kupwara	IHSDP Scheme for Kupwara Town, Distt. Kupwara, Jammu & Kashmir				
STATEMENT-III							
Details of State Share				(Rs in lacs)			
1)	State share			49.72			
2)	ULB contribution			0.00			
3)	Beneficiaries Share			49.72			
	State Share			99.44			
Per DU Finance (General)				(Rs.)			
1)	Central Share			81,000.00			
2)	State share			22,000.00			
3)	ULB share			-			
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution			22,000.00			
	Total			125,000.00			
			In-situ - Construction of 226 new Dwelling units @ Rs.1,25,000/- per DU, Ground floor house with two rooms, one kitchen and independent access to WC & Bath having built-up area 29.86 sq mt. Title of land belongs to beneficiary. Houses will be allotted to individual beneficiaries & the title will be issued on Female / joint name of family member.	282.50	183.06	99.44	91.53
			Project Cost	282.50	183.06	99.44	91.53

49/12  
(2)

					(Rs in lakh)			
Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50%)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
4.	Jammu & Kashmir	Ramnagar	IHSDP Scheme for Ramnagar Town, Distr. Udhampur, Jammu & Kashmir					
<b>STATEMENT-IV</b>								
<b>Details of State Share</b>				<b>(Rs in lacs)</b>				
1)	State share			233.75	151.47	82.28	75.74	
2)	UIB contribution			0.00	151.47	82.28	75.74	
3)	Beneficiaries Share			41.14				
	State Share			82.28				
<b>Per DU Finance (General)</b>				<b>(Rs.)</b>				
1)	Central Share							
2)	State share							
3)	UIB share							
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution							
	<b>Total</b>			<b>125,000.00</b>				

50/52  
M

**Annexure-IV**  
to the minutes of 51st meeting of CSC(IHSDP)

Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	(Rs in lakh)			
				Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
5.	Jammu & Kashmir	Sopore	IHSDP Scheme for Sopore Town, Distt. Baramulla, Jammu & Kashmir				
	<b>STATEMENT-V</b>						
	<b>Details of State Share</b>	<b>(Rs in lacs)</b>					
1)	State share	98.12		557.50	361.26	196.24	180.63
2)	UIB contribution	0.00					
3)	Beneficiaries Share	98.12					
	State Share	196.24					
	<b>Per DU Finance (General)</b>	<b>(Rs.)</b>					
1)	Central Share	81,000.00					
2)	State share	22,000.00					
3)	UIB share	-					
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution	22,000.00					
	<b>Total</b>	<b>125,000.00</b>					

51/52  
(12)



					(Rs in lakh)			
Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50%)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
7.	Jammu & Kashmir	Bandipora	Jammu & Kashmir					
<b>STATEMENT-VII</b>								
	<b>Details of State Share</b>	<b>(Rs in lacs)</b>						
			In-situ - Construction of 413 new Dwelling units @ Rs.1,25,000/- per DU, Ground floor house with two rooms, one kitchen and independent access to WC & Bath having built-up area 29.86 sq. mt. Title of land belongs to beneficiary. Houses will be allotted to individual beneficiaries & the title will be issued on Female / joint name of family member.	516.25	334.53	181.72	167.27	
			<b>Project Cost</b>	516.25	334.53	181.72	167.27	
1)	State share	90.86						
2)	ULB contribution	0.00						
3)	Beneficiaries Share	90.86						
	State Share	181.72						
	<b>Per DU Finance (General)</b>	<b>(Rs.)</b>						
1)	Central Share	81,000.00						
2)	State share	22,000.00						
3)	ULB share	-						
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution	22,000.00						
	<b>Total</b>	<b>125,000.00</b>						

53/62  
M



Annexure-IV  
to the minutes of 51st meeting of CSC(IHSDP)

Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	(Rs in lakh)			
				Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50 %)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	Magam	IHSDP Scheme for Magam Town, Distr. Budgam, Jammu & Kashmir				
<b>STATEMENT-IX</b>							
	<b>Details of State Share</b>						
		<b>(Rs in lacs)</b>					
	1) State share	30.80					
	2) ULB contribution	0.00					
	3) Beneficiaries Share	30.80					
	State Share	61.60					
	<b>Per DU Finance (General)</b>						
		<b>(Rs.)</b>					
	1) Central Share	81,000.00					
	2) State share	22,000.00					
	3) ULB share	-					
	4) Beneficiaries Contribution	22,000.00					
	<b>Total</b>	<b>125,000.00</b>					
				175.00	113.40	61.60	56.70
			<b>Project Cost</b>				

55 (62)  
M

		(Rs in lakh)						
Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50 %)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
10.	Jammu & Kashmir	Ganderbal	IHSDP Scheme for Ganderbal Town, Distt. Ganderbal, Jammu & Kashmir					
<b>STATEMENT-X</b>								
	<b>Details of State Share</b>							
		(Rs in lacs)						
1)	State share	24.20	In-situ - Construction of 110 new Dwelling units @ Rs.1,25,000/- per DU, Ground floor house with two rooms, one kitchen and independent access to WC & Bath having built-up area 29.86 sq mt Title of land belongs to beneficiary. Houses will be allotted to individual beneficiaries & the title will be issued on Female / joint name of family member.	137.50	89.10	48.40	44.55	
2)	ULB contribution	0.00		Project Cost	137.50	89.10	48.40	44.55
3)	Beneficiaries Share	24.20						
	State Share	48.40						
<b>Per DU Finance (General)</b>								
		(Rs.)						
1)	Central Share	81,000.00						
2)	State share	22,000.00						
3)	ULB share	-						
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution	22,000.00						
	<b>Total</b>	<b>125,000.00</b>						

56/62  
(M)

Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	(Rs in lakh)			
				Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
11.	Jammu & Kashmir	Hajin	IHSDP Scheme for Hajin Town, Distt. Bandipora, Jammu & Kashmir				
<b>STATEMENT-XI</b>							
<b>Details of State Share</b>							
		(Rs in lacs)					
1)	State share	15.62		88.75	57.51	31.24	28.76
2)	ULB contribution	0.00					
3)	Beneficiaries Share	15.62					
	State Share	31.24					
	<b>Per DU Finance (General)</b>	(Rs.)					
1)	Central Share	81,000.00					
2)	State share	22,000.00					
3)	ULB share	-					
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution	22,000.00					
	<b>Total</b>	<b>125,000.00</b>					

57/62  
(M)

(Rs in lakh)							
Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
12.	Jammu & Kashmir	Handwara	IHSDP Scheme for Handwara Town, Distr. Handwara, Jammu & Kashmir				
<b>STATEMENT-XII</b>							
<b>Details of State Share</b>							
1)	State share	(Rs in laes)		245.00	158.76	86.24	79.38
				<b>Project Cost</b>	158.76	86.24	79.38
2)	ULB contribution						
	Beneficiaries Share	0.00					
3)	Beneficiaries Share	43.12					
	State Share	86.24					
<b>Per DU Finance (General)</b>							
1)	Central Share	(Rs.)					
	Central Share	81,000.00					
2)	State share						
	State share	22,000.00					
3)	ULB share						
	ULB share	-					
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution						
	Beneficiaries Contribution	22,000.00					
	<b>Total</b>						
		125,000.00					

58/62  
(2)

				(Rs in lakh)			
Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
13.	Jammu & Kashmir	Kulgam	IHSDP Scheme for Kulgam Town, Distt. Kulgam, Jammu & Kashmir				
<b>STATEMENT-XIII</b>							
<b>Details of State Share</b>							
				(Rs in lacs)			
1)	State share			56.32			
2)	ULB contribution			0.00			
3)	Beneficiaries Share			56.32			
	State Share			112.64			
<b>Per DU Finance (General)</b>							
				(Rs.)			
1)	Central Share			81,000.00			
2)	State share			22,000.00			
3)	ULB share			-			
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution			22,000.00			
	<b>Total</b>			<b>125,000.00</b>			
				320.00	207.36	112.64	103.68
				320.00	207.36	112.64	103.68
				Project Cost			

59  
59  
59

(Rs in lakh)							
Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
14.	Jammu & Kashmir	Shopian	IHSDP Scheme for Shopian Town, Distt. Shopian, Jammu & Kashmir				
<b>STATEMENT-XIV</b>							
<b>Details of State Share</b>				<b>Project Cost</b>			
1)	State share			165.00	106.92	58.08	53.46
2)	ULB contribution						
3)	Beneficiaries Share						
	State Share						
	<b>Per DU Finance (General)</b>						
1)	Central Share						
2)	State share						
3)	ULB share						
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution						
	<b>Total</b>						

60/52  
W

					(Rs in lakh)			
Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central Share (50 %)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
15.	Jammu & Kashmir	Sumbal	IHSDP Scheme for Sumbal Town, Distt. Bandipora, Jammu & Kashmir					
<b>STATEMENT-XV</b>								
	<b>Details of State Share</b>	<b>(Rs in lacs)</b>						
1)	State share	45.54		258.75	167.67	91.08	83.84	
2)	ULB contribution	0.00						
3)	Beneficiaries Share	45.54						
	State Share	91.08						
	<b>Per DU Finance (General)</b>	<b>(Rs.)</b>						
1)	Central Share	81,000.00						
2)	State share	22,000.00						
3)	ULB share							
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution	22,000.00						
	<b>Total</b>	<b>125,000.00</b>						
			<b>Total for Jammu &amp; Kashmir (15 projects)</b>	<b>4260.00</b>	<b>2760.48</b>	<b>1499.52</b>	<b>1380.24</b>	

61/62  
(100)

		(Rs in lakh)					
Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	Name of the city	IHSDP Project Name / Components	Total Project Cost	Central Share	State Share	1st instalment of Central share (50%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
16.	Andhra Pradesh	Kurnool	Implementation of IHSDP at Kurnool, district Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh				
	STATEMENT-XVI		Housing				
	Details of State Share	(Rs in lacs)		Housing is not proposed in the project and is to be			
1)	State grant	197.64	1 CC Roads & pavements	1065.09	852.07	215.02	426.04
				Sub Total (A)	0.00	0.00	0.00
2)	ULB contribution	197.64	2 CC Drains	570.87	456.70	114.17	228.35
3)	Beneficiaries Share	0.00	3 Water supply	105.08	84.06	21.02	42.03
	State Share	395.28	4 Fencing/compound wall around open spaces	235.38	188.30	47.08	94.15
	Per DU Finance	(Rs.)		Sub Total (B)	1976.42	1581.14	395.28
1)	Central Share			Total Project Cost (A+B)	1976.42	1581.14	790.57
2)	State grant						
3)	ULB share						
4)	Beneficiaries Contribution	NIL					
	Total	0.00					
			Total for Andhra Pradesh (1 project)	1976.42	1581.14	395.28	790.57
			Grand Total (2 States/16 projects)				
			Jammu & Kashmir (15 projects)	4260.00	2760.48	1499.52	1380.24
			Andhra Pradesh (1 project)	1976.42	1581.14	395.28	790.57
			Grand Total (2 States/16 projects)	6236.42	4341.62	1894.80	2170.81

Note: Release of ACA for 1 project in Andhra Pradesh is subject to availability of ACA

62/62  
NR